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## PREFACE

Of the papyri included in this volume, the two long classical texts containing the Hypsipyle of Euripides (852) and the new commentary on Thucydides II (853) formed part of the large find of literary MSS. which was made on Jan. I3, 1906, in the circumstances described in the Times of May 24, 1906, and the Archaeological Report of the Egypt Exploration Fund, 1905-6, p. io. The other literary papyri were chiefly discovered during the same season, but some were found in 1897 or 1902. The non-literary documents, which largely belong to the third and fourth centuries, come, with a few exceptions, from the finds of 1897.

In editing the new classical texts we have for the first time been without the support of the late Professor F. Blass, to whom our previous publications have owed so much ; but for 852 and 853 we have been fortunate in obtaining the generous aid of Professors U . von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff and J. B. Bury, who have very materially furthered the reconstruction of those texts, while Mr. Gilbert Murray has also contributed many most valuable suggestions and criticisms upon 852. To these three scholars in particular, and to some others whose occasional assistance is acknowledged in connexion with the individual papyri, we here offer our sincerest thanks. Lastly, we would express our obligations to the accomplished Proof-reader of the University Press, whose care, in this book as in its predecessors, has removed many small blemishes from our pages.

The next volume of the Graeco-Roman Branch will be Part VII of the Oxyrkynchus Papyri, to be issued, we hope, in the course of igo9. We expect to include in it a detailed description of the site and excavations with a plan, and a résumé of the topographical information which the papyri have so far yielded concerning Oxyrhynchus and the Oxyrhynchite nome.

BERNARD P. GRENFELL. ARTHUR S. HUNT.
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## NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

The general method followed in this volume is the same as that in Parts I-V. As before, some of the more important new literary texts (852-3, 855) are printed in a dual form, a literal transcript being accompanied by a reconstruction in modern style. In other cases, and in the fragments of extant authors, the originals are reproduced except for division of words, capital initials in proper names, expansion of abbreviations, and supplements of lacunae. Additions or corrections by the same hand as the body of the text are in small thin type, those by a different hand in thick type. Non-literary documents, including the magical text (886) in the 'Miscellaneous' section, are given in modern form with accentuation and punctuation. Abbreviations and symbols are resolved; additions and corrections are usually incorporated in the text and their occurrence is recorded in the critical apparatus, where also faults of orthography, \&c., are corrected if they seemed likely to give rise to any difficulty. Iota adscript has been printed when so written, otherwise iota subscript is employed. Square brackets [ ] indicate a lacuna, round brackets ( ) the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces $\}$ a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets [I] a deletion in the original. Dots placed within brackets represent the approximate number of letters lost or deleted; dots outside brackets indicate mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Letters with dots underneath them are to be considered doubtful. Heavy Arabic numerals refer to the texts of the Oxyrhynchus papyri in this volume and Parts I-V, ordinary numerals to lines, small Roman numerals to columns.

The abbreviations used in referring to papyrological publications are practically those adopted in the Archiv fiur Papyrusforschung, viz.:-
P. Amh. = The Amherst Papyri (Greek), Vols. I-II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.

Archiv $=$ Archiv für Papyrusforschung.
B. G. U. = Aeg. Urkunden aus den K. Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden. P. Brit. Mus. = Greek Papyri in the British Museum, Vols. I-II, by F. G. Kenyon ; Vol. III, by F. G. Kenyon and H. I. Bell.
C. P. Herm. $=$ Corpus Papyrorum Hermopolitanorum, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
C. P. R. $=$ Corpus Papyrorum Raineri, Vol. I, by C. Wessely.
P. Cairo $=$ Catalogue of Greek Papyri in the Cairo Museum, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Fay. = Fayûm Towns and their Papyri, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and D. G. Hogarth.
P. Flor. = Papiri Fiorentini, Vol. I, by G. Vitelli.
P. Gen. = Les Papyrus de Genève, Vol. I, by J. Nicole.
P. Grenf. = Greek Papyri, Series I, by B. P. Grenfell, and Series II, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Hibeh $=$ The Hibeh Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Leipzig $=$ Griechische Urkunden der Papyrussammlung zu Leipzig, Vol. I, by L. Mitteis.
P. Leyden $=$ Papyri Graeci Musei Antiquarii Lugduni-Batavi, by C. Leemans.
P. Magd. = Papyrus de Magdola, Bull. de Corr. Hell., xxvi. pp. 95-128, xxvii. pp. 174-205, by P. Jouguet and G. Lefebvre.
P. Oxy. = The Oxyrhynchus Papyri, Parts I-V, by B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt.
P. Par. $=$ Les Papyrus grecs du Musée du Louvre, Notices ct Extraits, t. xviii. 2, by W. Brunet de Presle and E. Egger.
P. Reinach $=$ Papyrus grecs et démotiques, by Th. Reinach, W. Spiegelberg, and S. de Ricci.

Rev. Laws $=$ Revenue Laws of Ptolemy Philadelphus, by B. P. Grenfell, with an Introduction by J. P. Mahaffy.
P. Strassb. = Griechische Papyrus der K. Universitätsbibliothek zu Strassburg im Elsass, Vol. I, Parts I-2, by F. Preisigke.
P. Tebt. $=$ The Tebtunis Papyri, Part I, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and J. G. Smyly ; and Part II, by B. P. Grenfell, A. S. Hunt, and E. J. Goodspeed.
Wilcken, Ost. $=$ Griechische Ostraka, by U. Wilcken.

## I. THEOLOGICAL FRAGMENTS

845. Psalms leviii and lxx.

$$
12.5 \times 18.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late fourth or fifth century. }
$$

This fragment from a papyrus book contains parts of Ps. lxviii and lxx, written in a large and clear cursive hand probably of the period from 350 to 450 . The book was of a large size, the page when complete measuring about 22 cm . across. No lection signs occur beyond the diaeresis; the usual contractions of $\theta$ 白s and кúplos are used, but oủpavoí and $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s$ are written in full. For the two Psalms here represented the chief uncial MSS. are N, B, and R (the Verona Psalter, attributed to the sixth century), but the papyrus does not agree consistently with any of these authorities. It seems to have been rather nearer to $\mathbb{N}$ than to B, and, as would be expected in an Egyptian text, supports none of the peculiar readings of $R$.

Verso lxviii. 30-7.

To $\mu[0 v \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \omega$ то оขо $\mu \alpha$ тоv $\overline{\theta v} \mu \epsilon \tau$ $\omega \delta \eta S ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda v \nu \omega$ avtov $\epsilon \nu$ al


$\tau 0 \nu \bar{\theta} \nu$ ка८ $\epsilon \kappa \xi \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \eta \quad \psi v \chi \eta$ $\ddot{\mu} \mu \nu$ от $[\iota \sigma \eta] \kappa 0 \cup \sigma[\epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$
$5 \pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \omega \nu \overline{\kappa s} \kappa \alpha \iota$ tous $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 u s$ [ $\alpha v \tau 0 v$ ovк $\epsilon \xi \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon$
$\nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$ alvєбат $\omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ аvтоv ol oupavol к $\alpha[\iota \eta$ $\eta \eta \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ка८ $\pi \alpha \nu$
$\tau \alpha \tau \alpha \epsilon \rho \pi о \nu \tau \alpha \in \nu$ avтols от८ о $\overline{\theta[s} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \eta \nu \Sigma \iota \omega \nu$ ка८ о七ко

 10 $[\kappa] \alpha \theta \epsilon[\xi$ ovalv $\alpha v \tau \eta \nu$

Recto 1xx．3－8．
 $\left[\phi v \gamma \eta \mu o v \in l\right.$ $\sigma v$ o $\left.\overline{\theta_{S}} \mu o v\right] \quad \rho \cup v[\sigma \alpha l] \mu \epsilon \epsilon \kappa$ Xєlpos $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda o v$




 $[\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \tau \omega$ то $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha$ $\mu о v \quad \alpha][[\nu \epsilon \sigma] \epsilon \omega s$ oл $\omega$ s $\ddot{v} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \omega$

I．It is doubtful whether to at the beginning of this line is the final syllable of avтєえaßєто or the article before ovoца．The latter division would make the line rather short， but it could be sufficiently lengthened by the insertion of $\mu \circ \boldsymbol{a}$ after $\theta\left[\right.$［co］u with $\boldsymbol{N c}^{c}$ ．a．The vestige of the letter after co suits $\mu$ better than o，but is too slight to decide the point，and some traces of ink later on in this line are also indecisive．

2．$\left.\tau \omega \theta_{[ }^{[ }(\epsilon) \omega\right]$ ：or perhaps $\left.\tau \omega \kappa^{-}(v p \iota) \omega\right]$ ，which would be a new reading，though the cursive 188 has тор кขро⿱亠乂，єкфєроита was perhaps omitted，as in $\mathbf{\aleph}^{*}$ ．Its insertion produces a very long line，while on the other hand its omission leaves the supplement a little shorter than would be expected．

4．$\theta(\epsilon o) \nu:$ кขрєò R．
 a repetition from $\epsilon \kappa\{\eta \tau \eta \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ，or the scribe may have transferred the preposition from one verb to the other ；cf．the omission of $\epsilon \kappa$ with $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ in R．

5．к（vpıo）s：о кขpıos BNR．
$\epsilon \xi_{0 v \delta \epsilon} \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{l}$ ：so $\mathbf{N}^{*} \mathrm{R}$ ；є $\xi \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{B} \mathbf{N c}^{\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{a}}$ ．
7．єртоута єу autocs：so $\mathrm{B} \mathfrak{\aleph}^{\mathrm{c} \cdot \Omega} \mathrm{R}$ ；$\pi \epsilon \rho a \tau a ~ \tau \eta s ~ \gamma \eta s \mathbf{N}^{*}$ ．
12．The length of the lacuna indicates that the papyrus had $\mu \circ v$ after $\theta(\epsilon \sigma) s$ with $N R$ ； $B$ omits．

13．$v \pi \rho[\mu 0 \nu \eta$ ：so the cursives 27,$285 ; \eta v \pi o \mu$ ．BNR．Cf．the omission of $\eta$ before $\epsilon \lambda \pi \iota s$ in l．14．It is unlikely that кat stood before $\epsilon \kappa$ as in R．

14．$k(v \rho \imath) \varepsilon \mu v v$ ：om．$\mu \nu v$ BNR ；cf．the addition of $\mu v v$ in l． 12.
$\kappa(v \rho \iota) \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \pi \iota s:$ кvpt $\eta$ ¢ $\lambda \pi \iota \iota \mathrm{R}$ ；кvpıos $\eta \in \lambda \pi \iota s \mathrm{BN}$ ．



16．viopov $\sigma$ ots $(v$ seems to be corrected $)=v \pi o \mu \nu \eta \sigma t s$ ，which is the reading of $\mathbb{\aleph}$ and the Sahidic version ；v $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ BR．$\omega$ of $\omega \sigma \epsilon t$ is corrected．

17．$\sigma o t:$ l．$\sigma v . \quad \aleph^{c}-n \mathrm{R}$ add $\mu$ ov after ßon⿴os．

 but added by the second corrector．

## 846. Amos ii.

$$
16 .+\times 12.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Sixth century. }
$$

The upper portion of a leaf from a papyrus codex, preserving part of the second chapter of the book of Amos in the Septuagint version. Six lines are lost at the bottom of the verso, and the size of the complete page can be estimated at about $26.5 \times 15.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. The large and heavy uncial script, round and upright, in brown ink, and resembling the hand of P . Amh. I90, is probably of the sixth century. At the ends of the longer lines the writing becomes very small. Two kinds of stops, the high and middle, occur, as well as some of the usual contractions. The text is fairly correct, and so far as it goes coincides for the most part with that of the Vaticanus, Alexandrinus, and Marchalianus, with which we give a collation. The only variant of interest is in verse 7 , where a reading peculiar to a few cursives occurs.

```
Vcrso ii. 6-8.
\(\tau \alpha \quad \epsilon \nu \in \kappa \in \nu \quad \ddot{\pi} \pi о \delta \eta \mu \alpha \tau[\omega \nu\)
\([\tau] \alpha \pi \alpha \tau о \nu \nu \tau \alpha \in \pi \iota\) тоv [Xov,
```



```
\(\epsilon \iota s \quad \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha s \pi \tau \omega \chi \omega[\nu\)
5 ка८ oঠov \(\tau \alpha \pi \iota \nu \omega \nu \in[\xi \in \kappa \lambda \iota\)
\([\nu] \alpha \nu\) ка८ \(\ddot{\imath}\) їоs кає \(\overline{\pi \rho}[\alpha \nu \tau о v\)
\([\iota \sigma] € \pi о \rho є \cup о \nu \tau о \quad \pi \rho o[s \quad \tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau \bar{\eta}\)
\(\pi \alpha[\iota] \delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \nu\) от \(\omega\) s \([\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda \omega\)
\([\sigma] 0 v \sigma \iota v\) то ov \([0] \mu \alpha \quad \tau[0 v \overline{\theta v} \alpha v\) ıо \([\tau \omega] \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha ~ \ddot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \alpha[\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
[ \(\delta] \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \sigma \chi[0 เ \nu\) lols
\([\pi] \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \in \pi[\) olovv
\([\epsilon] \chi^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha\) тov \(\theta \nu \sigma[\iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta\)
plov каl olvov \(\epsilon \kappa\) б \(\quad\) vкоф \(\nu^{\prime}\)
\({ }^{5} 5 \tau \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \nu \circ[\nu \in \nu \tau \omega\) о८к \(\omega\)
\(\tau 0 v \overline{\theta[\nu} \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu\)
```

 long, and perhaps $\tau \eta \nu$ was omitted.
8. $[\beta \in \beta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma]_{\text {ovg } \nu}$ : so the cursives $86,{ }^{1} 53,198$ (Holmes); $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu B$, Swete, $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \omega \sigma t(\nu) \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Q}, \& c$.

```
20. \(\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma[\epsilon \rho a \kappa] \quad \nu \tau a:\) so \(\mathrm{AQ} ; \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\).
23. \([A \mu \mu]\) ]рat \(\nu\) : A \(\mu о \rho \rho a t \omega \nu\) MSS. There is room for at least three letters in the lacuna ; A \(\mu\) o]ppat \(\omega \nu\) cannot be read.
\(\epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu: Q^{a}\) has \(a \nu \epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu\).
28. A stop is probably lost after \(\kappa(v \rho \iota o) s\).
```


## 847. St. John's Gospel ii.

$16.2 \times 14.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fourth century. Plate VI (recto).
This leaf from a vellum MS. of St. John's Gospel is sufficiently early in date to be of decided value. The rather large calligraphic script is more closely related to the sloping oval type of the third and fourth centuries than to the squarer heavier style which subsequently became common for biblical texts and of which 848 and 851 are examples. Especially noticeable are the small $o$ and $\omega$ placed high in the line of writing ; the $\omega$ is also remarkably shallow-shallower for instance, than that in 665 (cf. P. Oxy. IV, Plate I). We have little hesitation in referring the MS. to the fourth century, and it may well be as old as any of the great biblical codices. Stops in the middle position are freely used ; a few other dots which occur seem to be accidental. The usual contractions of marpós and 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ are used, the latter word appearing both as $\overline{\eta_{s}}(1.9)$ and $\overline{1}(1.30)$; $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \rho$, on the other hand, is written out at length (1.4).

The leaf is practically entire, and preserves a dozen verses from chap. ii of the Gospel. Compared with the three principal MSS., the Sinaiticus, Vaticanus, and Alexandrinus ( C and D are both defective here), the text is much nearest to that of the Vaticanus, with which it agrees four times against the other two, whereas there is no coincidence with $\mathbb{N}$ against AB , one with $A$ against $\mathbb{N} 13$, and only two with NA against B. Readings unsupported by any of the three are
 variants for which the new MS. is much the earliest authority.

ov $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha s \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha s . \quad \kappa \alpha \iota$ є $\gamma \gamma \nu s$
$\eta \nu \tau 0 \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Ïov $\alpha \iota \omega \nu$. к $\alpha \iota$
$[\alpha \nu \epsilon] \beta \eta$ €ls $I \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma о \lambda \nu \mu \alpha$ o $\overline{I \eta S}$
ıо $[\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon v] \rho \epsilon \nu \in \nu \tau \omega$ ï $\rho \omega$ tous $\pi \omega$
[ $\lambda о v] \nu \tau \alpha s$ ßoas кає $\pi \rho о \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$.
$[\kappa \alpha] \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha s$. кає тous $\kappa \epsilon \rho$ $\mu[a \tau] \operatorname{l\sigma \tau \alpha s} \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \in \nu$ ous [ $\kappa \alpha \iota$ $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha s$ $\omega s$ ф $\rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu[\epsilon \kappa \quad \sigma] X 0 \iota$
${ }^{5}$ 丂 $\nu t \omega \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma \quad \epsilon \xi \epsilon \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu & \epsilon\end{array}\right] \kappa^{\prime}$
тov їє $\rho о \downarrow \cdot \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi \rho о \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ кац тous
ßoas каı $\tau \omega \nu \kappa о \lambda \lambda \nu \beta \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \epsilon$
$\chi^{\epsilon \epsilon \nu} \tau \alpha \kappa є \rho \mu \alpha \tau \propto \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha s \tau \rho \alpha$
$\pi \epsilon\}[\alpha] s \quad \alpha \nu \in \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu \cdot$ кац $\operatorname{\tau ols} \tau \alpha S$

тov oıкои боv. катафаүєт $\alpha \iota \quad \mu \epsilon$ $a \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ouv ol Ïovסaloı $\kappa \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \nu \alpha v \tau \omega$. $\tau \iota \sigma \eta \mu[\epsilon \iota \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon$ кluєls $\eta \mu l \nu$. отו $\tau \alpha v[\tau \alpha$ molels $30 \alpha \pi \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \theta \eta$ Is кає $\epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ $\alpha v[\tau 0 \iota s$ $\lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau$ Tov vaov toutov [אа८ $[\epsilon \nu \quad \tau] \rho \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota s$ є $\gamma \epsilon \rho \omega$ [avтov $[\epsilon \iota \pi] \alpha \nu$ ouv ol Ïovסalol. $\mu \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon$ $\tau \epsilon[\sigma l] \nu$ шкодо $\mu \eta \theta \eta$ о $\nu \alpha o s$ ov
$35 \operatorname{\tau os[[]}$ каı $\sigma v \in \nu$ трı $\sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota s$ $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \rho \epsilon I S$ autov. $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu 0 S \delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ тоv $\nu \alpha 0 v$ тоv $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau 0$ S avtov. otє ovv $\eta \gamma \epsilon \rho \theta \eta \in \kappa \quad \nu \epsilon \kappa$

I-2. fis avtov originally stood after avtov in N.
3. тavta: so Ml , the cursive 124 , \&c.; тоито $\mathbb{N A B}, \mathrm{W}$ (estcott)- H (ort), T (extus) R(eceptus).

кафаруаогн: so NB, W-H: катєруаои A, T-R.
4. A curved mark above the $\rho$ of $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$ is presumably accidental.
5. The MS. agrees with B in omilting autov after a $\delta$ ed $\phi u$ (so W-H); NA add avtou


7. каи є $\gamma \gamma v \mathrm{~s}: ~ є \gamma \gamma u s \delta \in \mathbb{N}$.

I I. N originally read кає та тро३ата кає ßuas.
14. $\omega s$ is found before $\phi \rho a \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu$ also in GLX, some cursives, \&c.; om. $\omega s$ NAB,

W-H, T-R. N originally had $\epsilon \pi \sigma \iota \eta \epsilon \nu$. . кaı $\pi a v \tau a s$ in place of the participial construction.
16. $\tau \epsilon$ and tous are omitted in $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$.
18. та кєриата: so $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$; то кєрда $\mathrm{NA}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$.

2I. $\mu \eta$ : кає $\mu \eta$ A.
${ }_{23} . \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu:$ so $\lesssim \mathrm{BB}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H} ; \epsilon \mu \nu, \delta \epsilon \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$.

26. катафауєтаи: so NAB, W-H; катєфаує $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$ with some cursives and patristic citations.
28. $\epsilon \tau \pi a \nu$ : so $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$; $\epsilon \epsilon \pi \boldsymbol{N} \mathrm{NA}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{R}$. The same variation occurs at 1.33.
30. $\mathrm{I}(\eta \sigma o v)_{s}$ : so $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$; o I. N, T-R.
32. [ $\epsilon \nu]$ : so NA, W-H in brackets, T-R; om. B. To read [kuu] in place of [ $\epsilon \nu$ ] would leave l. 3I too short.
33. $\mu$ каи $\epsilon \xi$ : the use of figures instead of words is unusual in early uncial MSS., though sometimes found in B and elsewhere ; cf. e. g. 2. recto 9 sqq., 846. 20, note.
34. $\kappa к о \delta о \mu \eta \theta_{\eta}$ : so A, T-R ; окодо $\not \eta \theta_{\eta} \mathfrak{\aleph} \mathrm{B}^{*}, \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{H}$.
$35 . \epsilon \nu$ is omitted in $\aleph$.
38. avtov: om. א.

## 848. Revelation xvi.

$$
3.1 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth century. Plate I (verso). }
$$

Fragment of a leaf from a vellum codex, containing a few verses from Rev. xvi. The book was of remarkably small size, for only ix lines are lost between the last line of the recto and the first of the verso, whence it follows that there were only 17 lines in the complete page; the inscribed surface would thus have been about 10 cm . in height. The bold upright uncials are similar in style to those of the Codex Alexandrinus, though rather heavier ; they may be referred to the fifth century. Stops in both the high and middle position occur. The text agrees, so far as it goes, with that of the Codex Alexandrinus.

Recto xvi. 17-8. Verso xvi. 19-20.

```
        [Tov vao]u a\pio Tov
        0\rhoovov \lambda\epsilon\gammaov\sigma\alpha
        \gamma\epsilon\gammaov\epsilon\nu \kappa\alphal є\gamma\epsilon
        \nuо\nu\tauо а\sigma\tauр\alpha\pi\alpha\iota
5 к\alpha\iota ф\omega\nu\alpha\iota к\alpha\iota \beta\rho\overline{o}
\tau\alpha\iota}\kappa[\alpha| \sigma]\epsilon\iota\sigma\muos \epsilon\gamma:[\epsilon
```

I. [ $\tau$ ov vaə]v: so NA, W(estcott)-H(ort) ; tov vaov tov oupavov B \& č., T(extus) R(eceptus). oupavov, if uncontracted, would occupy the same space as tov vaov, and it is therefore possible that [ovpavo]u should be read here.
a $\pi$ o tov $\theta_{\text {povov }}$ is omitted in $\mathbb{N}$ and tov $\theta_{\text {gov substituted. }}$


8. ঠovva: тоv Sovva $\aleph$.

9-12. то, тov, and avtov are omitted in $\mathbf{N}$.

## 849. Acts of Peter.

$9.8 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$, Early fourth century. Plate I (recto).
A single leaf from a vellum codex of the Acts of Pcter in Greek, the two pages being numbered 167 and 168 respectively. These so-called 'Gnostic' Acts of Peter, clistinct from the so-called 'Catholic' Acts, are partially preserved in more than one shape. There is firstly the Latin Codcx Vercellensis of the
seventh century, which contains an account of the acts of Peter at Rome in connexion with Simon Magus and of his martyrdom. Secondly, there are two Greek MSS. (of the ninth to eleventh centuries) containing only the martyrdom; dependent upon this recension are the Slavonic, Coptic, Armenian, and Ethiopic versions. Thirdly, another Latin version of the martyrdom, ascribed to Bishop Linus and extant in a large number of MSS., is independent of the version in the Codex Vercellensis, which is shorter and written in much worse Latin. These three texts were edited by Lipsius in Acta Apostolorum Apocrypha, I. pp. 1-22 and 45-103. Recently a fragment of a different portion of the Acts dealing with an incident during Peter's sojourn at Jerusalem has been published by C. Schmidt from a fourth or fifth century Coptic MS. at Berlin (Dic altcn Petrusakton in Texte und Untersuchungen, Bd. xxiv. Heft 1). The date and character of these Acts of Pcter, and the history of the text in its different forms have been the subject of much discussion; and the discovery of a fragment of what is no doubt the Greek original is a new factor of considerable importance. Our fragment belongs to the portion of the Acts concerned with Simon Magus found only in the Codex Vercellensis, and corresponds to p. 73, 11. 16-27 of Lipsius' edition.

The leaf is practically perfect, but the ink is much obliterated in the last five lines of the verso. The handwriting is a medium-sized upright uncial of a common third to fourth century type. Had the material used been papyrus, we should have been more disposed to assign it to the late third than to the fourth century, but since vellum was not commonly used in Egypt until the fourth century, it is safer to attribute the fragment to the period from Diocletian to Constantine. The papyri with which it was found were rather mixed in point of date, ranging from the third century to the fifth. The usual contraction of $\theta$ єós and its cases is employed, but $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \in \rho$ is uncontracted. $v$ at the end of a line is sometimes indicated by a stroke above the preceding letter. There are no stops, breathings, or accents, but a coronis is employed to fill up a space at the end of 1.14. The scribe was not very careful ; $\theta(\epsilon \circ) v$ for $\theta(\epsilon) \hat{\varphi}$ occurs in 1. 8 and $a \pi о \iota \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon \theta a$ for $\mathfrak{a} \pi \sigma \iota \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ in 1. 9, while in 11. 1-2 it is clear that the text is seriously corrupt; cf. note ad loc. Apart, however, from this difficulty at the beginning, the agreement between the Greek of our fragment and the Latin of the Codex Vercellensis is on the whole very close. The Greek sometimes tends to be fuller than the Latin, there being two instances (cf. notes on $11.6-7$ and 19) where the Latin omits words or phrases found in the Greek: at other times the Latin is longer ; cf. notes on 11. 14, 22, and 26. $\sigma \epsilon \ldots \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ in 11. 20- 1 is wrongly rendered confidens in te, but as a rule the Latin is a singularly literal interpretation ; cf. e.g. libenter laabet for $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota$ in 11. 16-7, and the close resemblance in the
order of the words throughout. That our fragment represents the Greek text from which the Codex Vercellensis was translated admits of little doubt.

For the question of the relation of the two Latin versions and the Greek $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{p} \rho o v$ to the Greek original of the Acts of Pcter that conclusion is of cardinal importance. Lipsius had supposed that the Greek original was altogether lost, and that the longer Latin version found in the martyrium ascribed to Bishop Linus, so far as it went, represented the original more faithfully than the shorter Latin version found in the Codex Vercellensis, while he regarded the Greek text of the $\mu a \rho \tau u ́ p l o v$ as a retranslation from the shorter Latin version. Against this complicated hypothesis Zahn (Gesch. d. NTKanons, ii. pp. 832 sqq.) put forward the simpler explanation that the extant Greek $\mu$ aprúpıov was part of the original Acts of Petcr, that the Codex Vercellensis was a translation of it, the longer Latin version being an independent translation made at a later date with numerous claborations, and a much less faithful representation of the original. The correctness of Zahn's explanation, which has been generally accepted (cf. Harnack, Chron. d. altchr. Lit., ii. 1, p. $55^{1}$ ), is thoroughly vindicated by the new discovery. Though the longer Latin version of that portion of the Acts to which our fragment belongs is not extant (whether the longer Latin version ever contained more than the martyrium is very doubtful), a comparison of the divergences in the two Latin versions of the martyrium shows unquestionably that the shorter and not the longer one is the form supported by our fragment. The rejection of the claims of the longer Latin version to be regarded as more authentic than the shorter also removes the principal reason for supposing the Greck text of the $\mu$ aprúpıov to be a retranslation from the Latin, and this theory may now be finally abandoned. Since the Greek $\mu a \rho \tau$ úptov agrees on the whole very closely with the conclusion of the Codex Vercellensis, Zahn is clearly right in accepting the former as belonging to the Greek original. Its relation to this shorter Latin version is very similar to that of our fragment to the corresponding portion of the Codex Vercellensis. The Greek tends to be rather fuller than the Latin, which however sometimes instead of abbreviating paraphrases the Greek at greater length and generally follows it closely. So far as the style of our fragment can be judged, it is quite in keeping with that of the paprúptor. The construction, for instance, $\dot{\delta \rho \omega} v \tau \omega v . . . \sigma v v \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta o v v$ in 11. 4-5 finds a parallel


Did the MS. to which our fragment belongs begin at the point where the Codex Vercellensis commences, or did it also comprise an account of carlier doings of Peter, including perhaps the events at Jcrusalem described in C. Schmidt's fragment, which apparently belongs to the period before l'cter came to Rome? The two pages of our fragment, nos. 167 and 168 of the MS., correspond to 12
lines of Lipsius' edition of the Codex Vercellensis. The previous 166 pages therefore ought to correspond to approximately 996 lines of his edition. As a matter of fact the preceding portion of the Codex Vercellensis occupies 908 lines, and when allowance is made for the circumstance that, judging by the $\mu a \rho \tau u \rho t o v$, the tendency of the Latin to abbreviate the original is less marked than usual in our fragment, there is every probability that the beginning of this MS. coincided with the beginning of the Codex Vercellensis, and that the acts of Peter at Jerusalem formed no part of it. This conclusion is not necessarily fatal to C. Schmidt's view that his fragments form part of the same work as the Codex Vercellensis, for from an early period the various apocryphal Acts-tended to break up into distinct sections, if indeed these sections were originally combined. That the Acts of Paul comprised the Acts of Paul and Thecla, the forged correspondence with the Corinthians, and the Martyrium Pauli, which were previously known as distinct documents, has only recently been made clear through C. Schmidt's discovery of the Coptic fragments of the Acts as a whole. Similarly of the Acts of $\mathfrak{F o l m}$ various sections have been preserved in different forms, but with considerable lacunae in or between them, in one of which is no doubt to be placed the new fragment in the present volume (850), itself containing the beginning of a distinct section with a sub-title of its own. But since the composition of the Acts of Petcr is referred by the principal critics to A. D. 160-170 (Zahn), 200-210 (C. Schmidt), 200-220 (Harnack), our fragment was written little, if at all, later than a century afterwards ; and the apparent absence in so early a MS. of any section corresponding with C. Schmidt's fragment certainly provides an argument in favour of G. Ficker, who (Dic Petrusaktcn, pp. 6-7, Neutest. Apokryphen, ed. E. Hennecke, pp. 383-4) is disposed to regard that fragment as either not belonging to the Acts of Pctor as such, or as later than the Acts of the Codex Vercellensis, and thinks that these Acts were intended to follow immediately after the Acts of the Apostlcs. On the other hand the subscription in the Coptic MS. Прâ $\iota s$ П'́тpov certainly provides strong prima facic evidence that it belonged to the same work as the Codex Vercellensis, and, as C. Schmidt reminds us, in the stichometry of Nicephorus the Acts of Petor is credited with 2750 $\sigma$ ríxol (i. e. it was about the same length as Leviticus or St. Luke's Gospel), a number which is too large to be accounted for by the Greek original of the Codex Vercellensis alone.

On the disputed questions of the date of the composition of the Acts of Peter and their supposed Gnostic or 'vulgärchristliche' origin (cf. Harnack, op. cit., ii. 2. pp. 170-2) the new fragment has no direct bearing, but its appearance is useful in tending to clear the ground by a dispersal of the suspicions of having been tampered with which have hitherto attached to the Codex Vercellensis and
the Greek $\mu$ aprúplov（cf．Harnack＇s later view that the Acts of Pcter are a com－ pilation in Texte und Unters．Bd．xx．Heft 3，pp．Ico sqq．，and C．Schmidt＇s criticism of this in his Pctrusaktcu）．For，putting aside the question whether C．Schmidt＇s Coptic fragment was an integral part of the Acts or not，there is now no longer any reason to doubt the substantial fidelity of the shorter Latin version，
 than the Acts of Pctcr in their original form．

Verso．
$\rho \xi \xi$
$\delta \iota ~ \epsilon \mu о \nu \quad \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$
［］风utov катєХоутш⿱ єє а
［］］$\alpha \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega s \quad \alpha \pi \epsilon \theta \alpha \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ кає
ор $\omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ от $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \omega \rho$ ，$\nu^{\prime} \epsilon$
5 кроs $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \theta o v \nu$
 ßou入єt $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho$ каו $\theta a \rho \rho \epsilon \iota s$ $\tau \omega \Pi_{\epsilon \tau \rho o v} \overline{\theta v}$ apavtєS аขтov $\eta \mu \epsilon \iota s$ a $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon \theta a$

 $\tau \omega s$ 入a入ovvт $\omega \nu$ o $\pi \rho \alpha \iota \phi \epsilon$



Recto．
$\rho \xi \eta$
${ }^{15}$ o Tais $\mu$ оu veкpos kettal

${ }^{\epsilon}$ Хє८ ка८ оขк єфє८ба $\mu \eta \nu$
avtou каוтоц $\gamma \epsilon$ єтєpous
${ }^{\epsilon} \chi^{\omega \nu} \mu \epsilon \tau$ є $\mu$ avtov $\nu \in \alpha \nu \nu \sigma$
20 kous $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda$ оे ка८ тō
$\delta_{\iota \alpha} \sigma o v \overline{\theta_{\nu}} \pi \in \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \theta \in \lambda \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \iota \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \in \imath s \in \sigma \tau \epsilon$ тоит $\bar{o}$ $\eta \beta o v \lambda \eta \theta \eta \nu \quad \alpha \pi o \theta \alpha \nu \in \iota \nu$
－Пєтроs єфך ov $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
 $\pi \alpha \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ф $\lambda \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs кає $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \lambda о v \mu \epsilon \nu о$ акоvєє
$\tau \omega \nu \alpha \xi \iota \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \quad \delta \epsilon \quad \nu \cup \nu^{\prime}!$
＇．．．（the youths having examined his nostrils to see）whether he was indeed really dead，and seeing that he was in truth a corpse，consoled the old woman saying，＂If indeed you wish，mother，and trust in the God of Peter，we will lift him up and carry him thither， in order that Peter may raise him and restore him to you．＂While they were thus speaking， the praefect looking intently at Peter（said），＂Behold，Peter，my servant lies dead，who was a fatourite of the king himself，and I did not spare him although I have with me other youths；but because I desired to try you and the God whom you preach，whether ye are indeed true，I wished him to die．＂And Peter said，＂God is not to be tried or proved， Agrippa，but when He is loved and entreated He hearkens to those who are worthy．But since now ．．．＂

Codex Tercellensis（Lipsius，Acla Apost．Apocr．，p．73）．
iunenes autem qui ucncrunt nares fucri considerarant si uere mortuus essel．widentes aulem quoniam mortuus est consolabantur malrem ipsius diccnes：Si ucre credis in deo Petri tollentes cum perforimus ad Petrum ut eum suscitans restitual libi．hace dicentibus iubenibus
pracfoctus autem in foro intuens Petrum dixit: Quid dicis, Petre? ecce puer mortuus iacet quem et imperator libchter habet et non illi peperci; utique habebam alios conplures iuncoes; sed confidens in te et in dominum tuum quem praedicas, si uere certi et ueri estis: ideo hunc uolui mori. Petrus autcm dixit: Nou templatur deus neque ex〈is〉timatur, sed dilectissimus cx: animo colendus cxaudict qui digni sunt. Sed quoniam munc . . .

1-2. Line r is not only far removed from the equivalent of the Latin at this point
 quite inappropriate. $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \sigma v$ is unintelligible, while the case of $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a \iota \tau \epsilon s$ is in contradiction to катєфоут $\quad$. . o o $\omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in ll. 2-f, and though in itself the nominative would yield a better construction than the genitive, a parallel for this kind of genitive absolute is cited from another part of the Acts of Pcter in introd. Nor can avtov кaтє $\begin{aligned} & \text { ovtav in } 1.2 \\ & \text { be right, }\end{aligned}$ for a participle meaning 'examined' is necessary in view of the following clause $\epsilon t$ apa
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ is almost hopeless to emend. $\mu \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \omega \nu$ might be read and connected with qui uenerunt (cf. continuo surrexerunt four lines previously, and, for $\mu \eta$ instead of ov in this phrase, Acts of John, ed. Bonnet, p. 191. $23 \mu \bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma a)$, but $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \circ v$ would remain unaccounted for, and it would still be necessary to suppose the omission of kat vas puras before avtov. It secms more probable that $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ has come in by mistake from some other passage. $\delta \imath^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{\mu} \hat{v}$ presumably occurred where the Latin has faciens per me a few lines after the passage preserved in our fragment, and perhaps again two lines later where per meam uocem is found. $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda i j a u \tau \epsilon s$, however, does not suggest itself as an equivalent for any Latin expression on p. 73 of Lipsius' edition, except continuo iin l. in where $\delta i$ ' $\epsilon \mu o v$ would be out of place.
2. [ ]avtov: there is a hole which occupies the place where the first letter of this line and of 1.3 would have come, if these lines began evenly with 11 . I and 4 -14, and it is therefore possible that a letter is lost before aurov and $\rho a$ respectively. But this hypothesis is not satisfactory in l. 2, where avzov is preferable to e.g. [ $]$ ]uviov or $[\sigma$ ]avtov, and leads to much difficulty in 1.3 ; for though the $\rho$ of $\rho a$ is very faint the a is practically certain ( $\chi$ is the only alternative), and that apa is the word meant is shown clearly by 11.6 and 22 . Hence if [a]oa is read in 1. 3, the a at the end of 1.2 becomes superfluous. We prefer to suppose that the hole was there when the leaf was written upon, and that the scribe therefore began ll. $2-3$ further to the right than l. I. "ipa $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ is rendered by only one word in the Latin, uere; cf. I. 22 where in rendering üpa ä $\lambda \eta \theta$ eis the Latin is redundant.

6-7. For $\tau \eta \gamma \rho a \iota \delta$ the Latin has matrem ipsius, omitting to translate Bovict $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho$ кut.
8. $\overline{\theta_{v}}$ is a mistake for $\overline{\theta \omega}$.

10. єкєь: ad Petrum Lat., which is clearer.

 autem too late. The addition of in foro, however, makes the passage clearer, since the preceding lines refer to what took place at the house of the old woman.
13. aтenı $\omega_{\omega}$ : cf. àtevigas in chs. 55 and 56 of the Martyrium Petri at Pauli (ed. Lipsius, pp. 164. 21, i66.6), which is supposed to be based on the older Acts of Peler (cf. Harnack, Chron. d. altchr. Lit., ii. 2, p. 177).
14. The Latin has dixit: Quid dicis, Petre? ecce pucr mortuus, \&c., and we should expect
 be $\epsilon$, i. e. the termination of $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$, which is, however, insufficient by itself. The leaf is torn at this point, and the ink very much obliterated, so that decipherment is impossible.
15. $\mu$ ov is omitted in the Latin.
16. Buodevs $=$ imperator, as frequently in the Martyrium Petri at Pauli.
18. кautot $\gamma \epsilon$ єтєpovs: the point of this is that the boy chosen to die was the favourite servant, and that Agrippa might have chosen one of his other attendants.
19. In place of $\mu \in \tau \in \mu$ кvorov the Latin has conplures.
$20-\mathrm{r}$. tov $\delta$ ta ov $\theta(\epsilon))_{\nu}=$ dominum tuum quem praedicas. The addition of a participle
 mistranslated by the Latin confidons in, which does not suit the following clause si uere certi, \&c.
22. et apa a $\lambda \eta \theta$ ets: the Latin is redundant, si uere certi et ueri. In ll. 2-3 on the other hand äpa $\dot{u} \lambda \eta \theta \omega \hat{s}$ is rendered by one word ucre.
25. A $\gamma \rho \iota \pi \pi a$ is omitted in the Latin.
 animo colendus.

## 850. Acts of John.

$12.1 \times 10.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fourth century. Plate I (recto).
The upper portion (apparently) of a leaf from a codex of the Acts of Folun, containing a mutilated account of two incidents, neither of which occurs in the extant portions of that work. The handwriting is a good-sized, irregular and rather inclegant uncial of the fourth century. Stops (middle and low points) are freely employed, as well as occasional breathings. The ordinary theological contractions of $\theta$ єós, 'l $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$, and кúpıos occur. The recto has in one or two lines at the top of the page the sub-title of the section of the Acts. This sub-title is unfortunately incomplete, and no light is thrown upon it by the actual contents of the fragment ; but the mention of Andronicus supplics a point of contact with the extant portions of the Acts of $\mathfrak{F o l m}$, in which that individual is mentioned several times as a $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o$ of Ephesus who, at first a sceptic, afterwards became one of the apostle's chicf disciples in that city. The following incident is of a type familiar in apocryphal Acts. The apostle goes to visit the brethren apparently at a village near Ephesus, and on the way has to cross a bridge, where his passage is barred by a demon in the form of a soldier, who threatens violence. The military aspect assumed by the demon recalls a similar story in the Mortyrium Matthaci, which is not impossibly here copying the Acts of Fohn; cf. 1. 26, notc. Rebuked by St. John, the demon vanishes, and on reaching his destination the apostle cxhorts the brethren to worship and joins with them in prayer (11. 22-36). The verso (11. 1-19) is concerned with a quite different episode which is much more obscure. The scene is a church (cf. 1. 16), and apparently a person called Zcuxis (1. 13) had just tried to hang himself but had been miraculously saved by St. John (11. 5-6), who in 11. 4-13 offers up a thanksgiving of a character for which there are numerous parallels in the extant Acts of Fohn. Afterwards
some question seems to arise concerning the partaking of the Eucharist （ll． $13-5$ ），and the proconsul（sc．of Ephesus）intervenes，perhaps bringing a letter from the Emperor（ll．15－8），but the circumstances are obscure．Whether the page on the recto precedes that on the verso or vice versa there is no external evidence to show ；but since the description of the incident on the verso implies a considerable amount of space devoted to the earlier part of the Zeuxis story， we prefer to suppose that the verso precedes the recto，for the missing lower half of the recto does not seem to allow sufficient room for the beginning of the Zeuxis story，which is obviously quite unconnected with the incident concerning the demon in the form of a soldier．The verso therefore presumably belongs to the conclusion of one section of the Acts of $\mathcal{F o h m}$ ，and the recto to the begin－ ning of the next．The tendency of the various apocryphal Acts to split up into independent parts has already been noted（cf．p．9）in regard to the Acts of Petcr，and in the Acts of Folm is especially marked；the fullest edition （Bonnet，Acta Apost．Apocr．，i．pp．151－2I6）is made up of five separate sections derived from different MSS．，and not only separated from each other by gaps of uncertain length，but also exhibiting in some places evidence of internal omissions．There is no difficulty in finding a place for the new fragment．The references to Andronicus and the proconsul clearly indicate Ephesus as the back－ ground．Andronicus is mentioned，obviously for the first time，in c． $3^{I}$ of the extant Acts，where he appears as an unbeliever，but in c． 37 he has already become a disciple，and the account of his conversion probably occurred in one or more lost chapters which originally intervened between cc． $3^{1}$ and 37 ，although these both belong to the continuous section of the Acts（cc．18－86）found in the Codex Patmensis．Andronicus also occurs in the following section found only in the Codex Vindobonensis（cc．87－105），so that our fragment must be inserted at some point later than c． 3 I and before c．106，where begins the account of the $\mu \in \tau$ d́ata⿱亠乂⿱一土儿 with which the work concluded．Two periods of residence at Ephesus are ascribed to the apostle in these chapters，the first covering cc． $31-55$ ，at which point St．John leaves for Smyrna and there is a gap in which several chapters are lost．His return to Ephesus is narrated in c．62，and throughout the rest of the Acts Ephesus remains the scenc． Excluding therefore cc．55－62 with those lost between cc． 55 and 58 ，all of which dealt with events away from Ephesus，the most suitable points for the insertion of our fragment are（1）c． 37 before the sentence beginning oi $\delta \hat{\varepsilon} \dot{a} \pi \grave{u}$ Mı入ítov，where there is a change of subject，and a lacuna is in any case probable owing to the inconsistency of c． 37 with c． 3 I concerning Andronicus；（2）the gap between cc． 86 and 87 ；（3）the gap between cc． 105 and 106．But though in these three places the lacunae are evident，there are other points between
cc. $3^{1-55}$ and 62-86 (cc. 87-105 form one long speech) where the existence of lacunae is possible, so that there is much freedom of choice. If the title in 11.20 and 21 refers, as is possible, to the separation of Andronicus from his wife
 $\theta \epsilon o \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota a v$ ), our fragment must have preceded that chapter, and the general resemblance between the situation in 11.22 sqq. and that in c. 48 (especially in the version found in the Codex Parisiacus ; cf. 11. 22-3, note) also suggests that our fragment belongs to the earlier rather than to the later portions of the Acts of $\mathfrak{F o l n}$.

The composition of the original Acts of $\mathcal{F o h n}$ is assigned by all critics to the second century, but how far back in that century the work is to be placed depends largely upon the disputed question whether it was used by Clement of Alexandria, as has been supposed by Zahn and others, but not by Harnack (Chron. d. altchr. Lit., ii. 1, p. 542, ii. 2, p. 174). As is usual with apocryphal Acts preserved in comparatively late MSS., there is some uncertainty as to the extent to which the existing portions accurately represent the original or have been subjected to editing. So far as it goes, our fragment, which on account of its antiquity no doubt belongs to the original Acts of Folm, agrees closely both in its general form and contents with the previously extant portions, and therefore tends to support the view that these have not undergone any serious amount of revision ; cf. the similar conclusion to which we attained in connexion with the Acts of Petcr (pp. 9-10). The use of the first person plural in reference to Leucius, the supposed narrator of the Acts of $\mathcal{F}$ oln, which often occurs in the narrative portions of the Acts dealing with Ephesus, is not found in 11.22 sqq. where it might perhaps be expected ; but no importance is to be attached to this circumstance, for c. g. in the story in cc. 48 sqq. the use of the first person is equally absent. Formerly the Acts of $\mathcal{F o l n}$ were treated as pronouncedly Gnostic, but this inference has recently been disputed by C. Schmidt, who is followed by Harnack (op. cit., ii. 2, p. 173) in regarding them as 'vulgärchristlich, aber von ausserordentlich starker modalistischer und doketischer Färbung'. It is unfortunate that the passage in our fragment which would be most likely to show its author's theological point of view, the prayer in $11.5^{-13}$, is far from completc. While most of the phrases are, so far as can be judged, of a conventional
 a somewhat Gnostic ring.

The beginnings and ends of lines are lost on both pages of the fragment, but it is clear from the fairly certain restorations of the lacunae between 11. 22-3, $26-7,29-30$, and $3^{1-2}$ that the lines on the recto contained about 41 letters, and 1.27 which projects proves that at least 5 letters are lost at the beginnings
of the other lines on the recto．We have calculated the size of the lacunae on the hypothesis that one letter is lost before $\sigma_{j} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu^{2}$ in 1.27 and 4 letters at the end of 1.3 I ．The arrangement of the division of lines on the verso is more problematical；we suppose the lines to be of the same length as those on the recto and have taken the restorations in 11.12 and 13 as the basis for calculating the size of the lacunae elsewhere ；cf．note on 1.9.

```
                    Verso.
                    v]\pi\epsilon\rho \alphav\tauov \pi[.
                .] \sigma\tauЄ!'a\gamma\muovs к\alphal \tau[
                    .] \delta\epsilon }\ddot{I}\omega\alpha\mp@subsup{\nu}{}{\prime
                Z\epsilonv\xi]\ell\delta\iota \alpha\nu\alpha\sigma\tau\alphas \alpha\rho\alphas \pio .[.
```




```
. . . .] \epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau\rho\rho
```



```
. . .]\omega. o \tauovs \nu\epsilon\nu\epsilonк\rho\omega\mu\epsilon\nu0vs \alpha\nu|\sigma\tau\omega\nu \mu
```



```
    . . . .] l\omega\nu. \alpha\iota\nuоv\mu\epsilon!' \sigma\epsilon к\alpha\iota \piро\sigmaк\nu\nu\nuо\nu\mu\epsilon\nu к\alpha[\iota \epsilon\nu\chi\alpha\rho\iota
    \sigma\tauоv]\mu\epsilon\nu \epsilon\pi\iota \pi\alpha\sigma\eta \sigma[0]\ \delta\omegaр\epsilon\alpha. ка\iota \tau\eta \nuv\nu о\iotaкоソং[\mu\iota\alpha \sigmaо\nu
    \kappa\alphal] \delta\iota\alphaко\nu[l]\alpha. к\alpha\iota \muо\nu\omega \tau\omega Z Zөv\xil\deltal \tau\etaS \epsilonv\chi\alpha\rho[\iota\sigma\taul\alphaS
    . . . .] }\epsilon\pi\epsilon[\delta\omega\kappa]\epsilon[\nu] \delta\epsilon \tauo\iotas \beta[ov]\o\mu\epsilonvo\iotas \lambda\alpha\beta\epsilontl'. .[. ... . . 
```




```
    ....'\omega\nu \lambda[\epsilon\gamma\epsilon]] \deltaov\lambda\epsilon \tauоv акат\omega\nuо\mu\alpha\sigma\tauо⿱ !
    . . . .] . . . . .] \epsilon\pit\sigma\tauо\lambda\alphas єко\mu\iota\sigma\epsilon\nu \pi\alpha\rho\alpha K\alpha\iota\sigma[\alpha\rhoоs ...
                                    ] . к\alpha\iota \sigmav![.
Recto．
20 .... \alpha\pi\alpha]\lambda\lambda\alpha\gamma\eta\:>>>> [
    ]>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>[>>>]]>>>[
    A]\nu\delta\rhoov⿺коs ка\iota \eta 
    ]>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>[
    \eta\mu\epsilon\rho\omega\nu \delta]\epsilon o\lambda\iota\gamma\omega\nu \delta\ell\epsilon\lambda0ov\sigma\omega\nu \epsilon[\xi\epsilon\lambda00\omega\nu o I I\omega\alpha\nu
```


то $\pi \epsilon \rho] \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \phi \nu \rho \alpha \nu \quad \ddot{\psi} \phi \quad \eta \nu \quad \pi[0] \tau \alpha \mu \circ s \quad \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \in \varphi[. .$.




$\epsilon \phi \eta \quad \sigma] \beta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ $\sigma 0 \nu$ o $\overline{\kappa s} \tau \eta \nu \quad a \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \nu[\kappa \alpha]!\quad \tau \eta \nu$ op $\eta \eta \nu \quad \kappa[\alpha \iota \quad \tau \eta \nu$


$\alpha \nu \tau o v] s \quad \sigma \nu \nu \eta \theta_{0} \rho \circ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \cup s \in \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$. $\alpha[\nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha] \nu \tau \epsilon S \quad \alpha[\delta \in \lambda$



. . . . .] $] \tau \nu \nu \bar{\theta} \bar{s} \epsilon \phi[$
'John . . . (spake) to Zeuxis, "Rise up and lift . . .; thou who didst compel me to turn from his purpose one who was intending to hang himself, who turnest the hearts that are in despair to thyself, who makest known the things that are known to none . . ., who weepest for the afflicted . . ., who raisest the dead . . . of the weak, O Jesus, the comforter of the ... We praise thee and worship thee and give thanks to thee for all thy bounty and the present dispensation and service." And he (gave) the eucharist to Zeuxis alone, (and then) offered it to those who wished to receive it, but . . did not dare to do so. The proconsul... (coming) into the middle of the church saith to John: "O servant of the unnameable one, . . . brought letters from Caesar . . ."
'The separation (?) ; Andronicus and his wife.
' After a few days had passed, John went forth with several brethren to . . ., and wished to cross a bridge under which a . . . river was flowing. And as John was on his way to the brethren, a certain . . ., clothed in the fashion of a soldier, approached him, and standing before his face said, " John, if thou (advancest) thou shalt straightway engage me in combat." And John . . . said, "The Lord shall quench thy threat and thy wrath and thy offence," and behold the other vanished. John then having come to those whom he was visiting and found them gathered together, spake, "Let us rise up, my brethren, and bow our knees before the Lord who has made of none effect the unseen activity of even the great (enemy ?)" .. . he bowed his knees with them . . .'
4. Probably $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ is to be supplied before $Z \epsilon v \xi \jmath \delta \iota($ (for whom cf. 1. 13) and avactas, apas $\ldots$ is the beginning of the speech, although there is no stop after $Z \in \nu \xi] \delta \delta$; cf. however l. 30 , note.
5. After [. .]s is a low stop, as after $\sigma \epsilon$ in l. 7 and $\eta \mu \phi \epsilon] \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ os in l. 27. If $\mu \epsilon$ before
 evpoov $\left.V_{T} \pi\right]_{\text {] }}$. The letter after $\mu e \tau a$ is quite uncertain. For similar invocations in the Acts of John see pp. 187-93 of Bonnet's edition.

6．o both before $\tau a$ here and before tous in 1.9 probably had a breathing which is lost in a lacuna．

7．The line may be completed $\gamma \omega_{f}[\mu \mu a \delta a$ if $] \nu o s$ in 1.8 is a genitive；$\iota$ or $\mu$ could be read there in place of the doubtful $\nu$ ．

9．The supposed $\omega$ at the beginning of the line is extremely doubtful，and it would be possible to read e．g．s．In that case，if the lines on the verso were 3 or 4 letters shorter
 in place of evxapíatov ${ }_{\mu \in \nu}$ in li．11－2，omitting both $\sigma o v$ in 1.12 and the supposed lacuna between $a \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega[\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu a$ and $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho[\epsilon \phi] \omega \nu$ in $11.6-7$ ．The reduction of the corresponding lacunae elsewhere by 3 or 4 letters would，however，present some difficulty in II．13－4，where a verb is necessary；and we prefer to adhere to the length of lines indicated by the recto．
$\kappa a t$ is possible after avaтш in place of $\mu$ ．，but less suitable．
10．aviotav is not satisfactory since the word occurred in the previous line．I $\eta\left(\sigma_{0}\right) v$ is no doubt vocative．

11－3．Cf．e．g．Acts of John（AJ），p．189．23－4，and 193． 2 sqq ．，and for oiкооріи p．188． 2.
 lacuna after $\epsilon v \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau a s . \quad \epsilon \mid \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon]$ might be read；but then if $\epsilon \pi \epsilon[\delta \omega \kappa][\nu]$ in the next line is right （cf．$A /$ ，p．208．ni）these two sentences do not connect well together．

The supposed stop after $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ may be the beginning of a letter，e．g．т．The letter at the end of the line is represented by the lower half of a vertical stroke；$[0 \iota[\delta \epsilon$ is possible．

15．Perhaps ar］ evicave $_{\{ }$s．The supposed apostrophe after ovk is very doubtful．For the àvoinatos of Ephesus cf．A／，p．167． 28 and 851．2，note．

16．$] \omega \nu$ suggests a participle like $\epsilon \lambda \theta] \omega \nu$ ，but the following letters constitute a difficulty， the arrangement of the fibres，which are twisted，being not quite certain．Of the supposed $\kappa$ the merest vestige remains，but $\delta \epsilon[\kappa a] \tau a$ is unsuitable．

17．］ov may be read in place of $] \omega v$ ．At the end of the line the supposed rough breathing is more to the left than usual，but it is not salisfactory to regard it as part of a mark of abbreviation，i．e．$\overline{\theta_{[ } v}$ ．úкaтovó $\mu a \sigma \tau a s$ does not occur elsewhere in the apocryphal Acts．

20－1．Prof．C．Schmidt well compares the similar sub－titles in the Coptic Acta Panli． i $\lambda \lambda a \gamma^{\prime} \eta=$＇posting－stage＇，which is unsuitable here，occurs in $A J$, p．r54．7．Of the compounds àmaגarin seems most likely，and if the words in 1.22 had been in the genitive it would be easy to connect this heading with the allusion to the separation of Andronicus and his wife Drusiane in $A J$, p．181． 25 ．The presence of the nominative there renders
 after $\lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta$ are not certain．That $a \pi a] \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta$ refers to the death of St．John is very improbable，for the section of the Acts of John dealing with that subject is extant，under the sub－title of $\mu \in \tau$ úcta⿱宀八九s or invítavots（ $A J, p .203$ ）．With regard to the reading $\eta \gamma v v \eta$ ， the $\gamma$ is almost certain，$\rho$ being the only alernative and less suitable；but $\eta \gamma$ might of course be the beginning of e．g．another proper name．The prominence of Drusiane， however，as well as Andronicus in the Acts of John makes $\eta \gamma[\nu \nu \eta$ very probable，even if 1． 20 has no connexion with 1.21 and belongs，as is possible，to the preceding section，not to the sub－title at all．

 than $\epsilon$ ßovגєтo）is possible in l．23，but a place－name or equivalent expression is more likely．



24. The lacuna at the end may be filled either by a short epithet of $\pi\left[{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top} \tau a \mu o s\right.$, e. g. $\mu \in \gamma a s$ or $\beta a \theta u s$, or else by reading каь with a compound of $\pi \sigma^{\top} \rho \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \nu 0 v$. The doubtful $\nu$ of $\epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ might be $\mu$.
26. Cf. Martyrium Matthaci (Bonnet, Acta Apost. Apocr., ii. I, p. 232. 15-6) ó ס̇є סai $\mu \omega \nu$

 the coincidence may be due to imitation by the author of the former work. $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ would be expected at the beginning of this line, for it is clearly an exil spirit who appears; but the traces of the last letter are inconsistent with $\nu$ and suggest $\rho$, though $\delta a \mu \mu^{\top} \omega\langle\nu\rangle$ might be read.
28. op $\gamma / \sigma \theta$ ts might be read at the end of the line. The supposed o might be $\sigma$ but not $\epsilon$, so that $\epsilon[\pi \in \nu$ is inadmissible.
30. There is no stop or blank space after eyeveto, and a possibly represents arvio, with $a \pi 0 \mid 3 \pi \nu \tau 0]$ s for the next word. Cf. note on 1. 4.

33-4. The second letter of $k(v \rho t o) \nu$ is rather more like $v$, but the accusative seems to be required by the sense, $\nu$ or $\pi$ can be read after $\mu \epsilon$ in place of $\gamma$. The word no doubt


 $\eta \sigma a$ can be $\gamma$, and $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon y o s$ is possible. The word is probably a participle in any case.
 repetition of this word is not very satisfactory, but a $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ en $\phi$ oos is inadmissible there also. The next word may be $\epsilon l^{\prime} \pi \in \nu[\delta \epsilon$, but $\tau$ can be read for $\pi$.

## 851. Apocryphal Acts.

## $5.3 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Fifth or sixth century.
The following small fragment of a papyrus codex, which clearly contained the Acts of some apostle or saint, we have not succeeded in identifying with any of the Acta Apostolorimn Apocrypha edited by Lipsius and Bonnet. It consists of the lower portion of a leaf, written with brown ink in a large round calligraphic uncial hand which is certainly not later than the sixth century and may belong to the fifth. $\theta \in$ ós is contracted as usual, but not äv $\theta \rho \omega$ onos, nor perhaps кúpoos. The recto begins just after the commencement of a new chapter which is indicated by a paragraphus and by a vertical wavy line in the margin, apparently the bottom of a flourish. If our restoration $\eta \gamma \epsilon \mu \mu \nu$ in l. I (cf. 1. 5) is correct, a praefect is apparently giving orders for some one to be exposed to wild beasts. The verso contains part of a protest made to the praefect, defending some one (no doubt the apostle concerned in thesc Acts) from the charge of being a magician. Whether the recto or the verso comes first is quite uncertain. Some points of conncxion with the Acts of Paul and Thecla, in which a similar scene occurs, suggest that the fragment may belong either to a different version of those Acts or to one of the lost scctions of the Acts of Paul (cf. p. 9), but it does not correspond to any of the new Coptic fragments of that work.

Recto. Verso.

## $\int \overline{\epsilon \iota \pi} \epsilon \nu$ ws $\beta$ ou入 $\eta \pi[0 l] \epsilon \iota$ o $[\delta \epsilon \eta \gamma \epsilon$ $\mu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ т $\rho$ os tous $\alpha \rho \chi \iota \kappa \nu$ $\nu \eta \gamma o u s a \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu 0 \iota \omega \delta \epsilon \varsigma \omega \nu \theta \alpha \nu$

'. . . said "Do as you wish". The praefect said to the chief huntsmen, "Bring to me here . . .
"O lord praefect, this man is not a magician, but perhaps his god is great . . ."

 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ (cf. 1. 5) is also the word used in those Acts for the Roman governor, while $\pi \rho u i \phi \epsilon \kappa \tau o s ~ i s ~$ used in the Acts of Peter and divevinuros in the Acts of John.
3. The letter after $\zeta \omega$, if not $\nu$, must be $\mu$ or possibly $\pi$, and the next letter seems to be a round one, but much narrower than the scribe's $\theta$ or o elsewhere. Possibly he began to write $\zeta \omega \nu \tau a$ and corrected it to $\zeta \omega \sigma a \nu$, but though the supposed $\nu$ may have been crossed through the next letter is not like $\sigma$ or $\tau$ corrected into $\sigma$. Or perhaps a proper name is intended. ऽwypav cannot be read.



## II. NEW CLASSICAL TEXTS.

852. Euripides, Hypsipyle.

Height $37 . \mathrm{Icm}$. Late second or early third century. Plates II and III (Fr. ı. ii-iii, Fr. 60. i-ii).
The following fragments, which constitute the most important addition to the remains of Greek tragedy hitherto made by Egyptian papyri, belong, like $841-4$ in our previous volume and 853 in this, to the first large group of literary texts found in 1906. The style and contents of 852 were sufficiently definite to enable us at the time of the first announcement of the discovery to identify the play as the Hypsipyle of Euripides, and this identification has subsequently been confirmed by the recognition of at least two coincidences with citations from that drama by ancient authorities.

In common with the other manuscripts from this find, the papyrus was recovered in an extremely mutilated condition. The most considerable piece was the central portion of Fr . I containing parts of two consecutive columns; but the majority of the fragments, originally numbering over 200, were comparatively small in size. On the whole they have hardly fitted together so well as might have been expected. Particularly difficult to deal with in this respect are the pieces numbered $6-17$ and $20-56$, which formed a small group found subsequently at some little distance from the rest, and distinguished by being of a darker colour and badly worm-eaten. Another characteristic shared by 852 with $841-2$ and 853 is that the literary text is on the verso of a non-literary document,-in this instance a money account, of which a description is given under 985. Though of course very useful for purposes of confirmation, this document on the recto, which follows no regular formula, is in too large a hand to be of much assistance towards the combination of small fragments; moreover the recto of a number of the fragments is uninscribed. The account is of a decidedly early date, and may be safely placed within the first century; but it was apparently not till a good many years later that the verso came to be used for this copy of the Hypsipyle, which we should judge to be little anterior to A.D. 200. It is written in a sloping uncial hand similar in kind to that of 842 (the new historian), and no doubt of about the same period. $\xi$ is always of the cursive shape, with a tail, and other cursive forms occasionally make their appearance, particularly at the ends of lines, e. g. vs of otкovs in Fr. 58. 8, $\mu \in \nu$ of $\lambda \epsilon \xi 0 \mu \epsilon \nu$ in Fr. 60.59 . The size of the letters and the spacing show considerable variations in different parts of the MS. ; there is a marked contrast for instance in this respect between Fr. I. ii and Fr. 60. ii (cf. Plates II and III). Hence incqualities occur in the number of lines contained in the columns, which are remarkably tall : there was a difference of seven lines between Cols. i and ii of Fr. 60, numbering 62 and 55 lines respectively; Col. ii of Fr. $6+$ has only $5+$ lines, while the first seven columns of the play averaged about 60 lines, as is shown by the occurrence in the seventh column of the figure 400, the verse opposite to which this numeral is placed being succecded by at least 15 lines before the column ended. This marginal numeration of verses by hundreds is not infrequent in papyri ; cf. e. g. 841 (Pindar's Pacans), and note on Fr. 25. There are frequent variations of the point in the column at which the lines were commenced, the object usually being to mark the distinction between iambics and lyrics or strophic divisions within the latter. Accents, breathings, and marks of elision and quantity are fairly frequent throughout, but lectional signs, as might be expected, are rather commoner in the lyrical parts than elsewhere. The system of accentuation is similar in character to that of 223,841 and other
papyri of this period; it should be noted that for convenience of printing we place the circumflex on the second vowel of a diphtlong, though in the original it usually covers the two letters. A line curving upwards is occasionally placed below compound words, as in 841 and the Bacchylides papyrus. Punctuation, which is rare, is commonly effected by a high stop, usually placed well above the line; a low stop occurs in Fr. 68. 7. To what extent these various signs are due to the original scribe is uncertain; but he evidently wrote some of them and the majority may well come from his pen. The same writer was also doubtless responsible for the names of the dramatis porsonac which occasionally appear in the margin (cf. 211, 855, \&c.), for the stichometrical figures already mentioned, and for the paragraphi, which are employed both to denote changes of speaker and to mark strophic divisions. But it is equally clear that a number of the frequent alterations and additions made in the text are due to another hand, which we have as usual attempted to distinguish by the use of a thicker type ; it is however often very difficult to feel confidence in assigning the authorship of minor corrections, and doubtful cases have as a rule been credited to the original writer. Occasionally a variant or an explanatory note is inserted in the margin. But in spite of the numerous modifications the text is left in a by no means satisfactory condition, and in several passages emendation is necessary. The fault no doubt sometimes lay with the archetype, but it is impossible to acquit our copyist of much carelessness. His orthography is very fair: $\epsilon \iota$ and $\iota$ are unusually correctly written, but iota adscript is frequently omitted, and some mistakes of accentuation occur. With regard to the use of the Doric $a$ in the lyrical parts there is little consistency, and here we as a rule follow the spelling of the papyrus.

The fragments are scattered widely over the play, and though much of the plot is now clear, some essential points unfortunately remain in doubt. Hypsipyle's story is told by several ancient authorities, but none of the versions is found to agree very closely with the treatment of Euripides. Hypsipyle, daughter of Thoas, the son of Dionysus and king of Lemnos, in a massacre of the men of the island by the women concealed and saved her father, whom she succeeded in the government of Lemnos. The deception was eventually discovered, and Hypsipyle, who had meanwhile become the mother of two sons by Jason on his way to Colchis in quest of the golden fleece, was sold as a slave to Lycurgus, king of Nemea, and put in charge of his infant son. It was with her subsequent adventures at Nemea that the plot of Euripides' drama was concerned. The following is the account of the scholiast to Clement of Alexandria,







 for Eviф'भ " $\Upsilon \notin \iota u$ ún $v$ ', the outline so far as it goes will be accurate, but it omits entirely the two sons of Hypsipyle who, as we now know, played a part in the plot of Euripides. Apollodorus iii. 6.4 is slightly less detailed : he adds however that the serpent was slain and gives Lycurgus as the name of the king of Ncmea. Four separate accounts are prefixed to the scholia on Pindar's Nemca. The first of these brings in Hypsipyle's sons, though with marked divergences from



 roùs ĭp $\omega$ as $\tau o \hat{s}$ mai $\sigma i v\langle\sigma v i\rangle a \gamma \omega r i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$. There was evidently no question of the concealment of Hypsipyle by the queen in Euripides' play, nor any intercourse between the former and the seven chieftains after her recognition by her sons. The brief account of Hyginus c. 74 is very similar to those of Apollodorus and the scholiast on Clement. In only one extant work is the story of Hypsipyle at Nemea treated at length, namely the Thebais of Statias, which might have been expected to reflect the version of Euripides and was largely drawn upon by Hartung in connexion with the Hypsipyle in his Euripides Restitutus, ii. pp. 430 sqq. Statius, however, whom as Hartung thought csse Euripide usum auctore manifestum est, turns out to have been by no means a safe guide. Apart from minor variations in detail, which need not be emphasized here, there are fundamental discrepancies in structure. After the death of the child (Theb.v. 505 sqq.) Statius represents the Argive army as proceeding with Hypsipyle to the palace of Lycurgus. The procession is met by the king, who proposes to take vengeance for Hypsipyle's negligence, but is restrained by the chieftains. In the confusion which results the sons of Hypsipyle, who had been hospitably received at the palace, go to the assistance of Lycurgus and are so led to discover their mother's identity. Then follows the institution of the Nemean games at the instigation of Amphiaraus. As will be seen, it was certainly not from Euripides that Statius derived the ground-plan of this part of his poem. In the fragments of the tragedy Lycurgus is conspicuous by his absence, his place being taken by the queen Eurydice who in Statius is a minor figure, while the only representative of
the Argive army is Amphiaraus accompanied by a few attendants, and his appeal on Hypsipyle's behalf is exclusively to right, not might (Fr. 60. 40).

Let us now turn to the actual remains of the play. First in order stand the three verses quoted in Aristoph. Frogs 1211-3 from the prologue (Nauck Fr. 752) $\Delta \iota o ́ v v \sigma o s, ~ o ̂ s ~ \theta u ́ \rho \sigma o \iota \sigma \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi \eta \delta ̀ a ̨ ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ W e l c k e r, ~ G r i c c h . ~ T r a g . ~ i i . ~ p p . ~ 557-8, ~, ~$ and Hartung, Eurip. Rest. ii. p. 43I, are very positive that the prologue was spoken by Dionysus and have therefore to suppose that the lines cited by Aristophancs were preceded by one or two other verses. This however is on the one hand inconsistent with the use of the third person $\pi \eta \delta \hat{a}$, and on the other with the express testimony of the scholiast, which there is no reason to doubt, that the passage was ' $\Upsilon \psi \iota \pi u ́ \lambda \eta s \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta{ }^{\eta}$. The opening is strictly parallel to others in the prologues of Euripides, e. g. those of the Ion or the Iphig. in Tauris, where the speaker begins by giving his or her genealogy. In the present case accordingly the speaker was tracing descent from Dionysus, and the only persons who can here come in question are Hypsipyle herself or one of her two sons Euneos and Thoas. In the first column of Fr. I of the papyrus, which, as the stichometry indicates, was the third column of the play, the sons in the guise of travellers seeking hospitality for the night appear in colloquy with a woman, whose congratulations to the mother of the strangers would almost suffice to identify her as Hypsipyle herself; hence the initial $\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ would be quite appropriate in the mouth of any one of the three persons who are available. If the speaker of it is, as we suppose, Hypsipyle, the arrangement is similar to that of the Iphigenia in Tauris. Hypsipyle recounts her history and circumstances, and then enters the palace, perhaps for the purpose of fetching the child Archemorus ; Euneos and Thoas arrive, and after some conversation, in which their identity and mission (they were looking for their lost mother: causa viac genetrix as Statius, Theb. v. 715, says; cf. Schol. Nenl. quoted on p. 22) are explained, knock at the door of the palace; Hypsipyle opens it and the dialogue of Fr. s. Col. i follows. If on the other hand Euneos or Thoas made the opening speech, Hypsipyle would not have appeared until the travellers proceeded to knock at the door. This view is simpler, but possibly too simple; it hardly accounts so well for the 120 lines of the first two columns, apart from the consideration that the heroine of the piece is perhaps more suitable as the $\pi \rho o \lambda o y^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}$ ov $\sigma a$.

The papyrus breaks off in the middle of the conversation of Hypsipyle with the strangers, who presumably gained admittance, in spite of the absence of the king Lycurgus (Fr. I. i. II) ; in these two details Statius is in agreement with Euripides (cf. Thcb.v. 640, 715). Hypsipyle then sings a monody to her nursling, of which the conclusion is preserved in the first 14 lines of Fr. I. ii. This is the song, as the reference in 1.8 to коóтада indicates, to which allusion is made


 Fr. 769 , takes the word кротa入ıб́́б $\eta$ s as having occurred in the text of the play, but that is not at all likely; the verse of the papyrus sufficiently accounts for Photius' note. The parodos of the chorus, consisting of Nemean women friendly
 choral ode consisting of a strople and antistrophe (largely composed of glyconic verses), each of which is succeeded by a lyrical response from Hypsipyle. In the strophe (Fr. I. ii. I5-40) the chorus asks if the captive's thoughts are still busy with her island home while such stirring events as the march of the army of Adrastus against Thebes are in progress. Hypsipyle replies (Fr. I. iii. Y-I7) that she cares for none of these things; her heart is with the ships of the Agonauts. The chorus offers consolation by recalling the adventures of other heroines who had left their homes and suggests that Hypsipyle's prospects are brighter than were theirs (Fr. Y. iii. 18-43). Hypsipyle refuses to take comfort, and can only look forward to the release of death (Fr. I. iv. 1-9). She then perceives some strangers approaching (Fr. I. iv. 10-14), and Amphiaraus enters with a small retinue ( Fr .1. iv. I5). He addresses Hypsipyle, and asks to be shown the way to running water, which was needed for the purpose of a sacrifice on behalf of the army on crossing the frontier (cf. note on Fr. i. iv. 35). A long dialogue ensues in which Amphiaraus explains who he is, what was the object of the expedition, and how he himself came to be concerned in it, while Iypsipyle in her turn discloses her identity and antecedents (Fr. 1. iv. 53-v with Frs. 3-5). Finally she consents to comply with Amphiaraus' request (Nauck, Fr. $753 \delta \in i \xi \omega$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon \nu}$ ' $A_{\rho \gamma \epsilon i o \iota \sigma \iota v}$ ' ${ }^{\chi} \chi \in \lambda$ ¢̣́ov póov), and goes off with him, carrying the child with her. Thus ends the first $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma^{\circ} \delta{ }_{0}(o r$, and the chorus occupied the interval with an ode, to which Frs. 6-9 are likely to belong; there is a reference in Fr. 6. उ to $\chi \chi^{\prime} \rho \nu \iota \beta a$, and the description in Frs. 8-9 of the quarrel between Polynices and Tydeus which led up to the expedition of Adrastus would be a very suitable subject at this point. Meanwhile Hypsipyle, perhaps with the motive attributed to her by Statius ne tarda Pelasgis dux foret (iv. 778), had left the child lying unguarded on the ground, to find on her return that he had fallen a victim to a snake; cf. the fragmentary description of the accident by Amphiaraus in Fr. 60.67 sqq.

At this point the course of events becomes obscured, and clearness is reached only at Frs. 20-1, where Hypsipyle is found in conversation with the chorus, fearful of the vengcance of the child's parents and considering means of flight. In what way is the lacuna to be filled? How was the misadventure made known to the chorus and in the palace? The usual tragic means in such a case
was the report of a messenger, and as a matter of fact in Fr. 18 there are the remains of a few lines which certainly refer to the serpent, and might well come from such a report. The objection to this view is the subsequent occurrence of a description of the scene by Amphiaraus when pleading with Eurydice. On this ground Prof. U. von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff, to whom with Prof. J. B. Bury and Mr. Gilbert Murray we owe a number of most valuable suggestions and criticisms, would reject the intervention of a messenger, and refer Fr. 18 to the first dialogue between Amphiaraus and Hypsipyle, supposing the serpent to be a well-known object to whose existence Hypsipyle might allude in speaking of the spring. This no doubt is a quite tenable explanation, and the serpent is actually so treated by Statius; cf. v. 505 nemoris sacer horror Achaci, 511-2 Inachio sanctum dixcre Tonanti agricolae, and 579 sqq. On the other hand some description of the disaster seems essential at this point, if only for the enlightenment of the audience; moreover to credit Hypsipyle with so clear a previous knowledge of the risk would considerably increase her culpability in leaving her charge unprotected, while to the parallel from Statius a counterweight may be found in his reference to a messenger: ct iam sacrifici subitus per tecta Lycurgi mutius implerat lacrimis (v. 638-9). Hence, whether a regular messenger was employed by Euripides or not, we should prefer to regard Fr. 18 as part of a post factum narrative. But there is an obvious alternative to a regular messenger : possibly the narrator was Hypsipyle herself. At the conclusion of the stasimon she may have returned alone from her ill-fated expedition, and in answer to interrogations from the chorus briefly stated what had occurred; to the lyrical portion of such a scene we should refer Frs. 10-13; cf. the scholiast on Clement quoted above, $\hat{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \grave{\epsilon} \pi a v \in \lambda \theta o \hat{v} \sigma a \dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon$. The question would then arise, how was the news to reach queen Eurydice? A hint towards the solution of this problem is perhaps to be found in the rather mysterious remark of Hypsipyle when being led off to death, кєvà $\delta^{\prime}$ '̀ $\pi \eta \delta \delta \in \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \nu^{\prime} a ̆ p a$, 'to no purpose then was my compunction' (Fr. 60. 21). These words appear to imply that shame had prevented her from a certain action ; and we can find no interpretation more suitable than that first suggested by Mr. Murray, that Hypsipyle's feelings of honour led her to abandon the project of flight discussed in Frs. 20-I. If that is right, then she might naturally be supposed to have gone a step further, and voluntarily to have surrendered herself. Of course this explanation of Fr. 60.21 is quite compatible with the hypothesis of a messenger ; but the latter expedient becomes rather superfluous, and the awkwardness of a second description of Archemorus' fate would be far slighter if the first had been a more or less incoherent account by the distracted Hypsipyle, and not a formal report of another independent eyewitness.

Our supposition of a voluntary surrender seems to harmonize with the mutilated fragment in which Eurydice first occurs among the dramatis personae. In Fr. 22 the speakers are an unnamed person who appears to be pleading the cause of Hypsipyle, the chorus, and Eurydice. The chorus praise the first speaker's nobility or generosity ( $\gamma \epsilon \nu v[a \hat{\imath}) \quad \epsilon_{]}^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon[\xi a s)$, and Eurydice follows with
 first speaker is Hypsipyle and she had courageously thrown herself upon the queen's mercy, $\gamma \in \nu v a i ̂ o s ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ t h e ~ n a t u r a l ~ e p i t h e t ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ c h o r u s ~ t o ~ a p p l y ~$ to her, while her scruples and excuses would no less naturally appear to the indignant mother as mere конұótๆs. Frs. 23-31 may for the most part well belong to the same scene as Fr. 22 ; in the case of three of them stichometrical figures show that they come from the central portion of the play (ll. 600-800), though somewhat widely dispersed.

Postponing for the moment the question of what further scenes may have here intervened, we pass on to Fr .60 , where sure ground is again reached. Hypsipyle is now being led off to her doom. She makes a final appeal to Eurydice, acknowledging indeed that she had unwillingly caused the child's death, but indignantly repudiating the charge of malicious negligence made against her
 and Nauck Fr. 758). It is of no avail, and her position seems hopeless when at the critical moment Amphiaraus suddenly interposes. He had forescen, he says, Hypsipyle's fate, and comes with the object of preventing it, not by force, but by persuasion. The queen, who here meets Amphiaraus for the first time, consents to hear him. He proceeds to tell her (11. 55-111) how he had induced Hypsipyle to show the way to the spring, and describes the accident with the deductions which he drew from it concerning the fate of the expedition against Thebes. He offers philosophical consolation, and concludes with the practical proposal that the army should give her son burial and institute a festival (the Nemean games) to perpetuate both his name and hers. Of Eurydice's reply only the first few lines are preserved, but their tone suggests that she had been convinced and was prepared to give way (11. 112-7). Another gap here occurs, but that it is of no very large extent seems to follow from the fact that in Fr. 64. Col. ii Amphiaraus is still found upon the stage. He has now done the further service of bringing about a recognition between Hypsipyle and her sons, and this accomplished he leaves them to mutual explanations in which the adventures of both parties are reviewed, Hypsipyle speaking mainly in lyrical measures and the sons more calmly in iambics. The i600th line is marked in the course of this column, and that the end of the play is imminent is also clear from the occurrence in the margin of the column following of the name of the god

Dionysus as a speaker. Col. i of this fragment has almost entirely disappeared, but it must have been largely if not entirely occupied by the scene of anagnorisis, and therefore one or more columns are required between Fr .60 . ii and Fr. 64. i for Eurydice's decision and exit, and the development by Amphiaraus of the preliminaries, whatever they were, to the recognition. Stichometrical data point to a loss of three columns, to which probably should be assigned Frs. 6I-3 (cf. Fr. 61. 4-6, Fr. 62. 3, Fr. 63. 6). A suitable place can also be found for the lyrical fragments $57-9$ in the choral ode immediately preceding the long act which we have now reconstructed. In these fragments, of which the connexion is evident and the language recalls that of the parodos in the Bacchac, the chorus sings the praises of Dionysus. The topic at this juncture would be especially appropriate: the god is invoked to come to the assistance of his descendant in her extremity, and his actual appearance in the concluding scene is fittingly presaged. In one of these fragments (57. 15; cf. note ad loc.) the figure 1100 probably occurs, which though consistent with the position assigned to them involves a final act of unusual length, if it be inferred from the presence of Amphiaraus (cf. p. 26) that Frs. 60 and 64 belong to a single act. The longest $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi o \delta o s$ in the extant plays of Euripides, that of the Ion, is under 400 lines, whereas the $\because \xi$ goos here would reach nearly 600 . Hence it is likely that a short choral ode, like e.g. that in Electra 1147-64, divided the exit of Eurydice and the recognition of Hypsipyle's sons, though not necessarily effecting a real break in the action. The large lyrical element in the two columns of Fr. 64 is a further reason for reducing the part of the chorus in this section.

Euripides' plot may thus be followed with sufficient clearness by means of the surviving fragments both in its earlier stages and its final dénouement ; but there is an intermediate link which remains wrapped in obscurity. It would in any case have been not a little singular if Hypsipyle's sons who, as has been seen, appeared both at the outset and at the end of the play, were kept entirely out of the action during the rest of it. Secondly, an interval of some 200 lines between about ll. 900 and 1100 at present remains quite unaccounted for, and it is difficult to see how this can be filled without bringing in the sons in some way. Now on this point we have some external evidence to take into consideration, primarily that of the epigram in Anth. Pal. iii. $10:-$



 According to this Euneos and Thoas rescued Hypsipyle ; but in Euripides her preserver, properly so called, was certainly Amphiaraus. There thus appear to be two distinct traditions ; and it is even possible, as Wilamowitz suggests, to follow these to their source. There was at Athens a class of musicians called Eiveióaı who traced their descent from Euneos the son of Hypsipyle ; cf. e. g. Hesych.



 Euneos to Athens, and would accordingly be likely to glorify him by giving him and his brother the credit of saving Hypsipyle. A clear reflection of this form of the legend is to be found in Euripides in Fr. 64. 98, where one of the sons (obviously Euneos) says that Orpheus had taught him the lyre. These considerations provide a clue, as Wilamowitz points out, to the tenour of the speech of Dionysus, whose appearance when the crisis was over would otherwise have remained rather unaccountable; the god no doubt directed Euneos to go to Athens ${ }^{1}$. It is quite in accordance with this inference to find from C.I. A. iii. 274 that Dionysus Melpomenos was the object of the family cult of the Euneidac. The other and probably older legend, which represented Hypsipyle as owing her preservation to Amphiaraus, is likely to have been derived from Theban epic tradition. Euripides contrived to combine both versions of the story ; but what part he assigned to the sons between their arrival at the palace and their recognition is a problem which still awaits solution. Hartung, Eurip. Rest. ii. pp. 43I and 437-8, proposing in Arist. Poct. c. 14 кai $\grave{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{l}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ " $\lambda \lambda \eta$ ị ó viòs $\tau \grave{\eta} v \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$
 conjectured 'A $\nu \tau \tau \circ{ }^{\prime} \pi \eta$ ), thought that Euncos and Thoas were constituted Hypsipyle's judges and condemned her to death, a view supported, as he believed, by an amphora published by Gerhard in 1837, which represents Hypsipyle and Amphiaraus standing before Eurydice, with Euncos and Thoas on the side next Hypsipyle and the two chieftains Parthenopacus and Capancus next to Amphiaraus; above the two former appears Dionysus, above the other pair Zcus and Nemea. But this evidence is of very doubtful value. In the passage

[^0]from the Poctics ${ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta$ (though otherwise unknown) is retained by the best modern editors; and it is now clear that ' $\Upsilon \psi \iota \pi v ́ \lambda \eta$ would not really be suitable, for it was not the sons' recognition but the intervention of Amphiaraus that saved Hypsipyle ; the recognition came afterwards. As for the amphora, the artist's object seems to have been to include the principal figures associated in the legend rather than to depict a single scene of it ; at any rate it is evident that Hypsipyle, her two sons, Eurydice, Amphiaraus, Parthenopacus, and Capaneus cannot all have been brought on the stage together by Euripides. There is apparently no road this way; and we have searched vainly for a cluc in the papyrus. One or two mutilated passages indeed in the central group of fragments may be interpreted as remains of a scene in which the sons appear, the most significant being Frs. 34-5. There Hypsipyle is alluded to by the periphrasis
 was unacquainted with her name. The only characters to whom such ignorance would be natural are Euneos and Thoas; and perhaps the latter name is to be recognized in Fr. 33.7, while $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a s \quad \theta v \rho \omega v$ in 1.2 of the same fragment may be a reference to their encounter with Hypsipyle in the prologos. But these fragments are too ambiguous to carry much weight, and they hardly bring us any nearer to the answer to the question how the sons were brought into connexion with the main action. If, as we have supposed, Hypsipyle did not carry out her idea of flight, they cannot have assisted her in it-although perhaps it was of the travellers whom she had befriended that she was thinking in her question to the chorus (Frs. 20-1. 15), 'What if I found some one to convey me out of the country ?' Possibly there is a substratum of truth in Hartung's theory, and Eurydice in the absence of her husband turned to the two strangers for advice or support in her condemnation of the culprit. Or possibly-and this we think more likely-they may have gone to seek the assistance of Amphiaraus, although the natural inference from his words in Fr. 60. 37-8 is that his opportune arrival was spontancous. This last suggestion would have the further advantage of bringing the young men into contact with Amphiaraus, and so give him an opportunity to discover their identity. Indeed it is difficult to perceive how otherwise he can have become aware of their presence at allunless by a very remarkable display of his powers of divination.

It will be convenient here to summarize briefly our conception of the dramatic structure.

Prologos. Speech of Hypsipyle, describing her history and present circumstances, after which she retires on some pretext into the palace. Arrival of Euneos and Thoas, who hold an explanatory conversation; they then knock and Hypsipyle emerges with the child Archemorus. She inquires their business,
and they enter. Hypsipyle left alone sings to the child. 11. I-about 200. Nauck Fr. 752, Fr. 1. i-ii. 14, Fr. 2.

Parodos of Chorus of Nemean women. Strophe and antistrophe, each followed by lyrical response from Hypsipyle. About 11. 200-310. Fr. I. ii. I5iv. 9.

Ist Epeisodion. Arrival of Amphiaraus, who converses with Hypsipyle and persuades her to conduct him to a stream of water. They go out together. About ll. 310-430. Fr. i. iv. 10-v, Frs. 3-5, Nauck Fr. 753.
ist Stasimon. The chorus refer to the quarrel of Polynices and Tydeus at Argos, and their marriage with the daughters of Adrastus. About 11. 430-5co. Fr. 7-9.

2nd Epeisodion. Hypsipyle returns in great gricf after the death of Archemorus. She laments his fate, and questioned by the chorus gives some description of what had occurred. Becoming calmer she considers plans of flight, but finally resolves to give herself up to Eurydice (?). About 11. 500-650. Frs. 10-1 3, Nauck Fr. 754-5, Frs. 20-1.

2nd Stasimon. About 11. 650-700.
3rd Epeisodion. Hypsipyle and Eurydice ; Hypsipyle is condemned to death. About 11. 700-850. Frs. 22-32, Nauck Frs. 758, 760.

3rd Stasimon. About 11. 850-900.
4th Epeisodion. Euneos and Thoas take an uncertain part in the action. They were probably confronted with Eurydice, and perhaps subsequently induced either by an appeal from Hypsipyle or by natural generosity to go and seek assistance from Amphiaraus. About ll. 900-1080. Frs. 33-5.

4th Stasimon. The chorus sing the praises of Dionysus and call on him for succour. About ll. 1080-1 150 . Frs. 57-9.

5th Epeisodion. Hypsipyle is led out to meet her doom. Arrival of Amphiaraus, who persuades Eurydice of Hypsipyle's real innocence. Exit Eurydice. About 11. 1150-1 350. Fr. 60. i-ii.

5th Stasimon. About 11. I 350-75.
Exodos. Amphiaraus brings about the recognition between Hypsipyle and Euneos and Thoas, and then leaves the mother and sons together. Dionysus, the ancestor of the family, appears ex machina, and sends Euneos to Athens. About 11. 1375-1720. Frs. 61-64, Nauck Frs. 756, 761, 762, Fr. ap. Lydus.

With regard to the number of the actors, though the characters in the play are only six, they would require four $\dot{d} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau a i$ to represent them if the papyrus is followed in the ascription of Fr. 64. 68-70 to the two sons of Hypsipylewhether they speak simultancously or one after the other ; cf. note ad loc. If the number is to be reduced to the ordinary three, one of the sons must be a mute.

It is clear from internal evidence that Euneos is the speaker in Fr. 64. Ior (cf. p. 28), while the papyrus assigns Fr. r. i. 7 sqq. to Thoas; but the former may have been the кшфòv $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ in one scene, the latter in the other.

The Hypsipyle was one of the latest of Euripides' plays, being brought out not long before Aristophanes' Frogs, as stated by the scholiast on 1. 53
 'Avtiónls: the Frogs was produced in 405 B. C., Euripides having died the year before. This statement of date is borne out by indications traceable in the character of the lyrical odes (cf. notes on Fr. i. ii. I5 sqq.), as well as by the repeated parodies of the Hypsipyle in the Frogs (cf. e.g. notes on Fr. . . ii. 8-10, Fr. 7. 4), which are more natural if the play be supposed to be fresh in the memory of Aristophanes' audience. From the conjunction of the three names in the above-mentioned note of the scholiast it has been inferred by some critics, e. g. Hartung and Meineke, that the Hypsipyle, Phocnissae, and Antiope belonged to the same tetralogy; but this is quite uncertain. The remark that they were 'fine' tragedies probably reflects the popular verdict, which in the case of the Hypsipyle has been endorsed, on the strength of the scanty evidence before them, by modern critics: 'drama eximium,' Valckenaer, Diatr. p. 211 , 'fabula venustissima rerum varietate distincta,' Hartung, op. cit. ii. p. 41 I . We now know that there was not quite so much varietas as Hartung imagined, but the remains happily brought to light at Oxyrhynchus sufficiently justify his epithet 'venusta'. If none of the new fragments reveals Euripides in his sublimest poetic flights, they maintain a high level of excellence, and need not fear comparison with much of his extant work; while the fact that the dramatization of this part of the Hypsipyle legend appears to be essentially a Euripidean creation renders the recovery of at any rate the bulk of his plot, with upwards of 300 verses either complete or capable of suitable restoration, a matter of especial satisfaction.

In arranging the fragments of the papyrus we have placed them so far as possible in what we conceive to have been their original order. The small group, which, as already explained (p. 20), was found separately, and both from the stichometrical numeration and internal evidence appears to represent the central portion of the play, has been kept together (Frs. 6-17, 20-56) ; the other minor fragments, the contents of which give no sufficient clue to their position, are placed at the end. Finally on pp. $80-83$ we print the previously known citations from the play, and attempt to assign them their place among the fragments of the papyrus.

Fr. i. Col. i.
$\gamma \rho \alpha[24$ letters $]$ €oו $\sigma$


$v \mu \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \kappa \rho \circ v \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime} \omega \nu \epsilon \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \iota \alpha[. . . ..] \sigma$
$\hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \alpha \phi \bar{\omega} \nu \downarrow \tau \tau \epsilon \kappa \circ[. . ..] \tau \iota \sigma \pi о \tau^{\prime} \eta \nu$
$\tau i \tau \hat{\omega}[\cdot] \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \omega \nu \delta \in[$ [. . $] \nu 0 ו \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau о \nu$
Өоa $\overline{\sigma \tau} \epsilon \gamma[\cdot] \sigma \kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}[.] \nu[. . . .]^{\theta} \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota$
$\epsilon \iota \delta v[..] \tau o \nu .[.] \nu \nu v ́ к т \in[. . . ..] a!\mu i ́ a \nu$

$\left.\epsilon \sigma \sigma_{\cdot} \cdot\right] \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \tau 0 \stackrel{\imath}{ } \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau o ̀ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \rho \omega \omega \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon!\mu[. ..] \epsilon!$
[. . . .] ]
[ $\quad 17$ letters $] \cdot[..] \delta \omega \mu[.] \tau a$

Fr. 2.
[. .] $\mu$. [
ликоир $[$
$\gamma \nu \nu \eta \delta[$
$\theta 0 \alpha \sigma$ ovк $\epsilon \nu \xi \epsilon[$
$\pi \rho o \sigma \delta^{\prime} \alpha[$
$\eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau[$
$\xi \in \nu 0[$
$\alpha \in \epsilon \delta \in[$
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon!\varphi[$
. . [. $] \hat{\omega}^{\prime} \in \delta[$

Fr. I.

Col. ii.
Plate 11.
[. . . . . . . . . . ] . . ọのo!
[. . . . . . . . .]ocï $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
[. . . . . .] . . $\rho \chi \chi^{\circ \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \rho \rho o v$

Fr. 1.
${ }^{2} \Upsilon \psi$.)
$\gamma \rho \alpha[2+$ letters $]$ eors
$\eta{ }_{\eta} \xi \in[\iota$. . . . . . $] \sigma \pi$. [. . . . . . $\left.\alpha\right] \theta \dot{v} \rho \mu \alpha[\tau] \alpha$
$\grave{\alpha} \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu[\hat{0}] \delta \nu \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta[\nu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \phi] \rho \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha s$.

$\hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho i ́ \alpha ~ \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \tau \in \kappa \sigma\left[\hat{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime}, \eta \eta\right] \tau \iota \varsigma \pi o \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu$.
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[ $\quad 17$ letters ].[. .] $\delta \omega \mu[\alpha] \tau \alpha$

Fr. 2.
(' $\Upsilon \psi) \quad.[..] \mu \cdot[$
पuкои̂p[yos
үvvŋ̀ $\delta[\grave{\epsilon} \quad$ Evjpuסík
$\Theta o ́ \alpha s . ~ o u ̉ k ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \xi \epsilon[\nu \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s \delta^{\prime} \alpha[$
${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \psi$.) ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \iota \sigma \tau[\alpha$
$\xi \in \nu 0[$
$\alpha \in \grave{\iota} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \in i^{\prime} \nu[$
10

Fr. 1.

Col. ii.
Plate II.
${ }^{〔} \Upsilon \psi$.)
] . . oool
[. . . . . . . .]os i i $\delta$ '́ $\sigma$ Oat
[. . . . . . .]. . pXov és є́vótт

```
        [. . . . .].фф\alpha\tilde{\eta}\tau\iotav'av\gamma\alpha\nu
    5 [. . . . .]áv\xi`\eta\muатопо\nu
        [. .] ]\nu\eta\sigma\omega\omega\mu\alpha\iotaт\epsilonк\nuо\nu\epsilon\nu
        \omega\piо\iota\sigma\eta0\epsilon\rhoа\pi\epsilon\iotaа\iota\sigma
        ̈ठоvкти́\piо\sigmaő\deltaєкрот\alphá\lambda\omega\nuа
    \downarrow/ ov\tauá\delta[['\alpha]]\pi白\alpha\sigmaоит\alphá\deltaєкєркi\deltaо\sigma
    IO \iota\sigma\tauото́\nuоv\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\mu\nu0\iota\alpha\lambda\eta\mu\nu\iota\alpha
        \mu0v\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\mu\in[\\\]]\epsilon[.]\epsilon\iotavö'\tauו\delta\epsilon\iota\sigmav\piv\nuov
    \eta\chi\alpha\rho\iota\nu\eta0єратєv\muата\piпоо́\sigmaфо\rho\alpha
    [.]\alpha|\delta\iota\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon\epsilon\nu\epsilon\alpha\rho\omega\iota
    \tau\alpha\delta\epsilon\mu\epsilon\lambda\omega\deltao\sigma\alphav\delta\hat{\omega}
I5 ]
        \piот\epsilon\rhoа\delta\omega\muа\tauо\sigma\epsilont\sigmaо\deltaоv\sigma
        \sigma\alphá\iota\rho\epsilon\iota\sigma\hat{\eta}\delta\rhoо\sigmaоv\epsilon\pi\iota\pi\epsiloń\deltaळ\iota
        \beta\alpha\lambda\lambda\epsilon!\sigmaôlá\tau\epsilon\deltaóv\lambda\alpha
        \età\tau\overline{\alpha}\nu\alpha\rho\gamma\omega\tau\overline{\alpha}\nu\delta\iota\alpha\sigmao\hat{v}
        \sigma\tauó\mu\alpha\tauо\sigma\alpha\epsilon\iotaк\lambda\eta\zetaо\muє́\nu\alpha\nu
```



```
        \etaेто\chi\rho\nu\sigma\epsilonо\mu\alpha\lambda\lambdaо\nu
        í\rho\rhoo\nu\deltaє\rhoо\sigmaо\pi\epsiloǹ\rho\iota\delta\rhovo\sigma
        %\mp@code{%ו\sigmaо\mu\mu\alpha\deltaрако\nu}то\sigma
        ф\rhoоирєí\mu\nuа\muо\sigmaú\nuа\deltaє\sigmaо,
            \lambda\eta\mu\nu
        \tau\alpha\sigma\alpha\gamma\chi\iotaá\lambdao\iotao\llbracket\nu\eta\sigma\rrbracket\ou
        \tau\overline{\alpha}\nu\alpha\iota\gamma\alpha\iotao\sigma\epsilon\lambda\iota[.]\sigma\omega\nu
        кv\muоти́\piо\sigmaа\overline{\alpha}єi
        \delta\epsilon\hat{v}\rhoо\tau\alpha\nu\lambda\epsilonє\mu\hat{\omega}\nu\alpha\nu\epsiloń\mu\epsilon\epsilon[. . . . . . . .]
        \alpha\pi\alphá
    \alpha\rho\gamma\epsilon\hat{L}\nu\pi[.]\delta\iotao\nu\pi\alpha[
    \epsilon\pi!\tauот\hat{\alpha}[.]\kappa\iota0\alphá\rho\alpha\sigma\epsiloń\rho\nu\mu\alpha[
    \tau\alpha}\sigma\alpha\mu\phi\iotaoví\alpha\sigma\epsiloń\rho\gammaov
    \omega[. .]\pió\delta\alpha\sigma\alpha[. .]\alpha\sigma[. .]\sigma[
35 o[.]\epsilon\kappa\alpha\lambda\epsilon\sigma\sigma }\mu\in\nuO
```

            [. . \lambda\epsilonv\kappa]0ф\alpha\hat{\eta}\tau\iota\nu' \alphaú\gamma\grave{\alpha}\nu
        5 6[. . . . .] \alphav`\xi\eta\mu\alpha \tauò \sigmaòv
        %[. .] \mu\nu\etá\sigma\omega\mu\alpha\iota, \tau\epsilońк\nuо\nu, єú-
        8 \omega\pi\mp@code{\imatĥ今 \eta}\eta 0\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsiloní\alphals.
    ` i\deltaov̀ ктú\pios ő\delta\epsilon к\rhoот\alphá\lambda\omega\nu
    ```

```

    10 12 i\sigma\tau0тóvov \pi\alpha\rho\alpha\muú0l\alpha \Lambda航\iota\alpha,
    13.Mov̂\sigma\alpha, \mu\epsiloń\lambda\epsilon\ell \mu\epsilon к\rho\epsilońк\epsilon\ell\nu, ö \tau\iota \delta' \epsilonis v̈\pi\nu0v,
    1& \ddot{\eta X\alphá\rhol\nu \etaे 0\epsilon\rho\alpha\pi\epsilonú\mu\alpha\tau\alpha \pi\rhoó\sigma\phio\rho\alpha}
    15[\pi]\alpha\iota\deltai \pi\rho\epsiloń\pi}\pi\epsilon\iota \nu\epsilon\alpha\rho\hat{\varphi
    ```

```

Xo(\rhoós)] 15 1 \tauí \sigmav̀ \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}\pi\rhoо0ú\rhoo\iotas, фí\lambda\alpha; \sigma\tau\rho. \beta'
2\pióт\epsilon\rhoа \delta\omegá\muатоs єi\sigmaó\deltaous

```

```

    4 \beta\alphá\lambda\lambda\epsilon\epsilons oíá \tau\epsilon \deltaoú\lambda\alpha,
    5 \eta
    ```

```

    \imath \pi\epsilon\nu\tau\etaКо́v\tau\langle0\rangle\rhoо\nu वै\alpha \delta\epsilon\iotaS,
    8 \eta} \tauò \chi\rhov\sigma\epsilonó\mu\alpha\lambda\lambdao\nu
    و i\epsilonpòv \deltá\epsilońpos ô \pi\epsilon\rhoi \delta\rhovòs
    10 o`{ols ó }\mu\mu\alpha \deltaра́ко\nuто
    25 11 ф\rhoоv\rho\epsilonî, \mu\nu\alpha\muо\sigmav́va \delta'́ \sigmao\iota
12 \tau\hat{\alpha}s \alphả\gamma\chi\iota\alphá\lambdaoto \Lambda\etá\mu\nu0v
13 \tau\grave{\alpha}\nu Ai`\alphaíos è \lambdaí[\sigma]\sigma\omegav,
14 кv\muо(к)\tauú\pios áX\epsiloní,
15 \delta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon\rho}\rho\primeö\tau' वे\nu \lambda\epsilon\iota\mu\omega\hat{\nu}\alpha N\epsiloń}\mu\epsilon\epsilon[0

```

```

    17 'A\rho\gamma\epsilonío\nu \pi[\epsilon]\deltaiov \pi\alpha[\rho\epsilonis
    ```

```

    19 \tau\hat{\alphas 'A}\mu\phi\iotaovías \epsilon'\rho\gammaov [\chiє\rhoòs
    20 \omega'[\kappav]\pió\delta\alpha " "A[\delta\rho]\alpha\sigma[\tau0]s ["A\rho\eta 0oóv;
    35 21 ó [\delta'] \epsilońк\alphá}\lambda\epsilon\sigma\epsilon \mu\epsiloń\nu\nu[s . . .

```
```

    \piо\iotaк\iota\lambda\alpha\sigma[[v]]\epsilon\mu\alphaт\alpha[. 
    \tauó\xi\alphá\tau\epsilon\chiри́\sigma\iota\alpha[
    \kappa\alpha[.] \muòvò \beta\alphá\alpha\muо\nu\epsilon[
    \alpha\in!\rhoо\mu\in\nuо\iota}\chi0
    4 0
[. . . . . . . . .]o\tau[

```
Fr. 1.
                                    Col. iii.

Plate II.
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .]pal.[.]
[. . . . . . . .] \(] \rho \alpha \kappa \iota \alpha \nu\)
[. . . . ] \(] \sigma[.] \mu \in \nu \eta \sigma o \rho o v \sigma \alpha \sigma\)
\(\epsilon \pi о \iota \delta \mu \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \iota a \sigma\)
\(5 \pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \nu \alpha \psi \alpha \iota\)


\(\pi \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \omega \iota\)

10 \(\theta \rho \eta \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \beta о \alpha \kappa i \theta \alpha \rho เ \sigma о \rho \phi\) '́ \(\omega s\)
\(\mu \alpha к р о \pi о \lambda \omega \nu \pi \iota \tau \nu \lambda \omega \nu\)
\(\epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \eta!\downarrow \iota \kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu\)
\(\tau о \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \chi^{v \pi \lambda o v \nu}\)
\(\tau о \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \tau \iota \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha[.\).
\({ }_{1} 5 \tau[.] \delta \epsilon \mu \circ \iota \tau \alpha \delta \in \theta v \mu \circ \sigma \ddot{\delta} \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{t}{i} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\)
\(\delta \alpha \nu \alpha \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \pi о \nu 0: \sigma\)
\(\epsilon \tau \in \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \beta \circ \alpha \tau \omega\)
\(\ddot{\chi} \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma о \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \lambda v o \nu \lambda о \gamma \sigma[\cdot] \sigma\)
\(\pi о т \epsilon \rho о \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \pi เ к \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu\)
\(20 \pi о \lambda \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \circ v \sigma \delta o \mu о \nu[\)
фоוขıкабтขрıатаルб €
\(\epsilon v \rho \omega \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \pi 0 \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \pi \epsilon \beta \alpha\)
§וот оофоvкр \(\eta \tau \alpha \nu і ̈ \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu\)
\(\kappa о v \rho \eta \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi о \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu\)
```

        22 \piоккí\lambda\alpha \sigma\alphá\mu\alphaт\alpha [...
    23 \tauó\xi\alpha \tau\epsilon X\rhov́\sigma\langle\epsilon\rangle\alpha [. . .
    24 к\alpha[i] \muо\nuо\beta\alphá\muо\nu\epsilon[S . . .
    25 \alphaं\epsilon\iota\rhoó\mu\in\nu0\iota \chi }\mp@subsup{}{}{0
    40 -6 [. . . . . . . . .]o\tau[

```

Fr． 1.
Col．iii．
Plate II．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \((\Gamma \psi\). & ［．．．．．．．．．．．．\(]\) ］\(\alpha\)［．］ & \(\alpha \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho . \alpha^{\prime}\) \\
\hline & ［．．．．．．\(\Theta\) ］paккiav & \\
\hline & ［．．．．\(] \sigma[.] \mu \epsilon \nu \eta\) s ópov́ \(\alpha\) s & \\
\hline & \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}\) oîd \(\mu \alpha\) र \(\alpha \lambda \eta \nu\) cias & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{5} \pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \eta{ }^{2} \iota^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \psi \alpha \iota\) ，
－Tòv \(\dot{\alpha}\) тồ \(\pi о \tau \alpha \mu 0\langle i o\rangle \pi \alpha \rho\)－

\({ }_{8} \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha, \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \varrho \varrho\) ס̀̀ \(\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}\) í \(\sigma \tau \hat{\omega}\)
\(\imath^{\prime} A \sigma \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} \varsigma \quad\)＇\(\lambda \epsilon \gamma\langle 0\rangle \nu\) ínıov

\({ }_{11} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \pi \iota \tau v ́ \lambda \omega \nu\) є́рє́т \(\eta \sigma \iota\) кє－

\({ }_{13} \pi \lambda o \nu \nu\) то́тє \(\delta^{\prime}\) єi入aтívas \(\alpha \nu \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \nu \mu \alpha ~ \pi \lambda \alpha ́-\)

\({ }_{15} \tau \alpha \iota, \Delta \alpha \nu \alpha \omega ิ \nu\) ठ̀ \(\begin{gathered}\text { Tóvous }\end{gathered}\)
16 є́ \(\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta o \alpha ́ \tau \omega\) ．

\(\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}\)

20 з \(\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu\) каi \(\pi \alpha \tau\) píous \(\delta_{o ́ \mu o v[s ~}^{s}\)
4 Solvíkas Tupía raîs
» Eủpóma 入ıто仑̂б＇є́ \(\pi \epsilon \beta \alpha\)
\({ }^{6}\)－ loтро́фо⿱ \(K \rho \eta ́ \tau \alpha \nu ~ i \in \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu\)
\({ }_{7}\) Koup \(\dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu\) т \(\rho \circ \phi o ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega ิ \nu\) ，

```

    \(\tau \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \iota \sigma \in \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \kappa \rho a[. .\).
    \(\chi^{\hat{\omega}} \rho \alpha \sigma \tau^{\prime} 0 \lambda \beta \iota o \nu \alpha \rho \chi^{\alpha \nu}\)
    \(\alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \nu \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \kappa \lambda \nu \omega\)
        \(\sigma i \lambda \in l\)
    \([..] \tau \rho \omega \beta \alpha[\lambda \pi]] \alpha \nu \ddot{\omega} \omega\)
    30 [. . ]p $\alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \nmid \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon i ̂ \psi \alpha$
[. .]aбфороуата⿱
[. . .] $\tau \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \rho о \nu \tau \iota \delta a \theta \eta \sigma o \iota$

```

```

    [. . . . . . . ]a \(]\) o入̣́є \(\iota \psi \in \iota\)
    $3 \overline{3}$ [. . . . . . . . .]aтєробтатєєра
[. . . . . . . . $]$ ]єХ
[. . . . . . . .]. кило . o[!] $] \mu \tau \alpha \nu!\sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha[~$
[. . . . . . . . . . $] \gamma \in \nu \in \alpha[$. . . ]
[. . . . . . . . . . . ]! $\operatorname{lo\sigma }[$
40
[. . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\imath} \omega \sigma$. [
[. . . . . . . . . . . ] $] \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{x}[$
[. . . . . . . . . . . . .]. [
[..............].o.[

```
    Fr. 1.

        \(\kappa \nu \mu a \llbracket \tau]\) о \({ }^{\gamma} \tau \epsilon \pi о \kappa \rho \nu \nu[\)
        таขлобเбєкта
        \(\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \theta р \eta \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \alpha 0\) o \(\alpha \iota \cdot \sigma[\)
        \(\theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau о \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \in[\)


        \(\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \pi \pi \alpha \sigma\)
        \(\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \circ \nu 0 v \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \circ \iota\)
    \(\bar{\omega}\} \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \alpha \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \delta^{\circ} \alpha \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \omega \nu\)
    \(\tau \iota \nu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \mu \pi о \rho i \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu \sigma 0 \rho \omega\)
```

25 8 \ddot{\alpha}\tau\epsilońк\nu\omega\nu \alpha \proptoо́то\iota\sigma[l]\nu

```
\({ }^{9}\) т \(\rho \iota \sigma \sigma o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ้ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \quad \kappa \rho \alpha ́[T o s]\)
\({ }_{10} \chi^{\omega} \omega \rho \alpha s \tau^{\prime}\) ö \(\lambda \beta \iota \nu \quad \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu\).

\(12[o i ้ \sigma] \tau \rho \varphi \beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu\) 'I \(\omega\)
\(30{ }_{13}[\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau] \rho \alpha s \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i s \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \in i \psi \alpha \iota\)
\({ }_{14}[\kappa \in \rho] \alpha \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o \nu \quad\) ä \(\tau \alpha \nu\).
    \({ }_{15}\) [ \(\left.\tau \alpha \hat{v}\right] \tau^{\prime}\) à \(\nu\) \(\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \phi \rho o \nu \tau i ́ \delta \alpha ~ \theta \hat{\eta}\) \(\sigma o l\)
    16 [...'.].[.]s \(\delta \eta\) 亿, фí \(\alpha\), тò \(\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu\).
    \({ }_{17}[. . . . . . ..] \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ́ \psi \in \iota\)
35
    18[. . . . . . . \(\pi\) ]atє́ \(\rho o s ~ \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~\)
    \({ }_{19}[\ldots . . . . . . ..] \tau \in \chi \in \iota \quad \sigma^{\prime} \theta \in \epsilon \nu\)

    \({ }_{21}[\). . . . . . . . . .] \(\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha[\). . . .]
    22 [. . . . . . . . . . . .] \(\operatorname{lo\sigma }[\)
to ะз [. . . . . . . . . . . . ] \(\omega \sigma \sigma\). [
    \({ }_{24}[. . . . . . . . . . ..] \sigma \phi \iota \lambda[\)
    \({ }_{25}\) [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . [
    26 [. . . . . . . . . . . . .] . o . [

Fr． 1. Col．iv with Fr． 3 ．
\(\left({ }^{( } \Upsilon \psi.\right) \quad \nu є \mu о \nu\) ä \(\gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \in \pi о \tau \epsilon\) ．［
\(\kappa v\langle\nu\rangle \alpha \gamma o ́ v\) тє \(\Pi\langle\rho\rangle\) о́крı
お㐫 \(\nu \pi o ́ \sigma t \varsigma ~ \epsilon ' K \tau \alpha\)
\(\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \theta \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} 0 ı \delta \alpha i ̂ s\).


 \(\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} K \alpha \lambda \lambda\) tót \(\pi \alpha\)



\(\left.\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \sigma \xi \in \nu 0 \nu \sigma \delta \omega_{\rho}\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]\right] \delta \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \omega \nu\)
 \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \ell X 0 \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \mu 0 \nu \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma\)
```

        0
    15 \alpha\mu\phi\iota\alpha }\omega\sigma\epsilon\chi\rhoо\nu\alpha\nu0\rho\omega\piо⿱\sigma\iota\nu\alpha\iota\tau\epsilon\rho\eta\mu\iota\alpha\iota
от\alpha\nu\tau\epsilonXр\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu\epsilon\iota\sigma\pi\epsilon\epsilon\sigma\omega\nu0\deltaо\iota\piоро\sigma
\alpha\gamma\rhoоv\sigma\epsilon\rho\eta\muо\nu\sigma\kappa\alpha\iota\mu[\epsilon]]\nu0\iotaк\eta\tauоv\sigmaïठ\eta
o

```

```

        \circ}\pi\eta\eta\tau\rho\alphá\pi\eta\tau\alpha\iotaк\alpha\iota\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\rho\tauо\delta[.]\sigma\chi\in\rho\epsilon
    ```

```

        \tauо\nu\sigma\delta' }\epsilon\nu\deltat\sigma\sigma\lambda\iota\mu\hat{\omega}\nu\iota\nu\epsilon\mu\epsilon\alphá\deltaO\sigma\chi0o\nu
        \kappa\alpha\iota\sigma\epsilon\epsilonเ\tau\epsilon\deltaо\nu\lambda\eta\tauо\iota\sigma\delta' \epsilonф\epsilon\sigma\tau\etaк\alpha\sigma\deltaо\mu-
        \epsilonเ\tauоv\chi\iota\deltaOv\lambdaо\nu\sigma\omega\omega\mu\epsilon\chiоv\sigma\epsilon\rho\eta\sigmao\mu\alpha\iota
        \taui\nu0\sigma\tau\alpha\delta\alpha\nu\delta\rho\omega\nu\mu\grave{\eta\lambdaò\betaò\sigma\kappa\alpha\delta\omega\mu\alpha\tau[}][⿱亠䒑
    25 \phi\lambda\epsilon\iotaov\nu\tauía\sigma\gamma\eta\sigma\sigma\xiє\epsilon\nu\eta\nuо\mul}\epsilon\tau\alphal
v\psi\iota\piv[\lambda] [.]\lambda\lambda<\alpha\lambdavкоv\rho\gammaоv\mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha0\rho\alphaк\lambda\lambda\eta\zeta\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota\tau\alpha-
[.]\sigma\epsilon\xi\alpha\pi\alpha\sigma\eta\sigma\epsilonu\rho\epsilon0\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\sigma\omega\pi\iota\alpha

```

```

        \alpha\mu}\mp@subsup{}{}{\phi}[.]|\tau0\nu\lambda\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon!\varphi[\cdot]!\rho[.. . . .] !\alpha\nu\nu\epsilon\nu\kappa\rho[[0]|\sigma\sigmao\hat{\imath}\sigmav\delta\omega
        [.]\epsilon\rho\nu\iota\beta\alpha0\epsilonol[.]l\nuoे[. . .] }\omega\sigma\chi\rho\eta\sigma\alpha\iota\mu\epsilon0
        \sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\omega\nu\gamma\alpha\rhov\delta\alpha\tau\omega\nu[.]а\mu\alpha\tau\alpha0v\delta!\epsilonL\pi\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}
        \sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tauov\delta\epsilon\pi\lambda\lambda\eta}0\epsilon\iota\pi\alpha\nu\tau\alpha\sigmauv\tau\alpha\rho\alpha\sigma\sigma\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha
    v\psi\mp@subsup{\iota}{}{\pi} [...]\nu\epsilon\sigma\muо\lambdaо\nu\tau\epsilon\sigmaк\alpha\iotaX[.]\\nu0\sigma\piо\iota\alpha\sigma\alpha\piо
        [一]
        \epsilon\kappa\tau\omega\nu\mu\nu\kappa\eta\nu\omega\nu[. .] ]\epsilon\nu\alpha\rho\gamma\epsilonlol\gamma\epsilon\nu[
        [.]\rho\iota\alpha\delta'v\pi\epsilon\rho\beta\alpha\iota\nuо\nu\tau\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\nu}\mp@subsup{\chi}{}{0}\nu
        [. . .]\alpha\tauov\pi\rho[.]0v\sigma\alpha\iota\betaov\lambdao\mu\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\delta\alpha\nu[.]ii\delta\omega[.]
        [.]\mu\epsilon!\sigma[. . . .] . . [. . . .]0\alpha\pi\rhoо\sigmaк\alpha\delta\muоv\piv\lambda\alpha\sigma\sigma
    ```

```

Fr. 3. [. . .]\omega[. . . . . . . . . . . . .]\alpha\sigma0\eta\rho人}
\pi\alpha![.]0ïк[. . . . . . . . . . . .]\alpha\mu\phiı\alphap[

```
\(\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ t \alpha s\) छ́єívous \(\Delta \omega \rho i ́ \delta \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda \omega \nu\)
'́ \(\sigma \theta \hat{\eta}\{\sigma\} \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \delta o ́ \mu o u s ~\)
\(\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi o \nu \tau \alpha s\) ' \(\rho \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu\) ’ \({ }^{\alpha} \lambda \sigma \sigma\);



\(\ddot{\alpha}\langle\phi i \lambda o s\rangle \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \rho \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \in u \tau 0(s) \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi o \rho i ́ \alpha \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \notin \omega \nu\)



каi \(\sigma^{\prime}\), єi'тє סоú \(\eta\) тоі̂ \(\sigma \delta^{\prime}\) є́фє́ \(\sigma \tau \eta к \alpha s\) סо́o [оוs

тívos тá \(\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \eta \lambda о \beta о \sigma \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau[\alpha\)






\(\sigma \tau\{\rho\} \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{v} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu[\nu] \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}\) oú \(\delta \iota \ddot{\iota} \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}\),



\(\left[{ }^{\prime \prime}\right] \rho \iota \alpha\) ס' \(\dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha\) ívovtєs \(\epsilon\) is ä \(\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \quad \chi^{\theta o ́ \nu \alpha}\)
\([\sigma \tau \rho] \alpha \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho[0] \theta \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \quad \Delta \alpha \nu[\alpha] i \delta \hat{\sigma}[\nu\).
\([\dot{\eta}] \mu \epsilon i s ~[\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) @ \(] \rho \mu[\eta ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma] \theta \alpha\) т \(\rho o ̀ s ~ K \alpha ́ \delta \mu o v ~ \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha s, ~\)





```

\omega\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\lambda[. . . . . . . . . .]!\alpha\alphaк\alpha![
[一]
\pi}\boldsymbol{\omega}\sigma\delta'o!\lambda[.. . . . . . . . . . . .]\sigma\alpha . [

```

Fr. 4.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . ] } \sigma \epsilon[ \\
& \text { ] } \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \circ \hat{\sim}[ \\
& \text { ]/оขо } \mu a[ \\
& \stackrel{\dot{\eta} \lambda}{\lambda} \mu[ \\
& 5
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 1. Col. v. .

5 є \(\bar{\eta} \mu \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma \lambda}\). [
єí \(\sigma \eta \nu \tau \iota \sigma \omega[\)
\(\overline{\tau \alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta \delta i \delta \omega \sigma[\)
Өєoı \(\theta \epsilon \omega \nu \gamma \alpha_{\text {L }}\)
\(\pi 0 \lambda v \delta \omega \rho \circ \sigma \circ \hat{\sim}[\)
10 \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi \pi 0 v \theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \phi \nu[\)
тоитovסє \(\pi \alpha\) [
[. . . . . .]'. \({ }^{\prime}[\)

Fr. 5.


Fr. . Col. v.


( \(A \mu \phi.) \pi \bar{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}\) ot \(\lambda[. . . . . . . . . ..] \sigma \alpha \cdot[\)

Fr. 4.
( \(A \mu \phi\).) [. . \(\sigma \sigma \in\)



г ('A \(\mu\).) ! [

Fr. 1.
Col. v.
('A \(\mu \phi\).) \(\gamma v\left[\nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \notin \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \ldots\right.\)

( \(A \mu \phi\).) \({ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi\left[\alpha \theta^{\prime}\right.\) óp \(\mu о \nu .\).
( \({ }^{\text {' }} \psi\).) \(\pi\) о́ \(\theta \epsilon \nu \quad \mu[\quad 375\)





10 ( \({ }^{( } \Upsilon \psi\).) єỉ \(\pi\)

( \({ }\) r \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\).) [. . . . . .] . [

Fr. 5. .
(A) [.] \(\epsilon \mathrm{l}\).
(B) ò̀ кка̀ \(\sigma[\)
(A) єis \(\chi \rho \eta\) I
(B) \(\chi \rho \eta ̀ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho\) [

5 (A) \([.] \delta o \xi \alpha[\)

Fr. I. Col.v. .
( \({ }{ }^{2} \Psi\).) єi \(\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma[\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\)
('A \(\mu \phi\).) \({ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \omega \gamma v[\nu \alpha \iota\)
\({ }^{2} \Upsilon \psi\).) \({ }^{2}\).s oú . [
400
30 ('A \(\mu \phi\).) oủ \(\delta v_{i} \nu \alpha\)
(' \(T \psi\).) єíd. [
(A \(A \phi\).) [.] \(/ \sigma \chi\) • [

\author{
35 \\ \(\alpha \lambda \lambda o![\)
\(\tau \iota \sigma \times \rho[\)
\(\delta \delta \delta[\)
}

Fr. 6.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . . . . . . . .]хєр } \boldsymbol{v i ́ \beta a [ ~}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . . . . .] . кaget[ } \\
& \text { [. . . . . . . . }] \alpha \beta o[.] \text {. [ } \\
& 5 \text { [. . . . . .] }][.] / \sigma \sigma o v[ \\
& \text { [. . . . .]aı } \delta \alpha[ \\
& \text { [. . . . .] }] \sigma \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 7.
```

[. . . . . . . . . .]\nu }0
[. . . . . . .]. \piо\lambdavк\alpha[
[. . . . .]a\iota\sigma\tau\alpha\chi\nu\omega[
[. . . . . .]o\sigmat{о }\epsilonv
[. . . . .]\delta\omegaторє\sigma\epsilon\iota . [
[. . . . .] \epsilon\lambda . [. . .]\epsilon }\beta\rho

```

Frs. 8, 9 .
    [. .] \(\lambda \in v[\)
    \(\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho[\)
    \(\alpha \lambda \bar{\alpha} \tau \epsilon v[\)
    \(\pi \alpha \tau \rho \alpha[. . . . . . . . . .] ..[\)
5 фvуаб[. . . . . . . .]


    \(\sigma \iota \delta[. . . . . . . . ..] \in \sigma \iota \alpha\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime}\left(\Upsilon \psi \text {.) }{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o l[ \right. \\
& \text { ('A } \mu \text {.) Tis Xp[ } \\
& 405 \\
& 35 \text { ( }{ }^{2} \Upsilon \psi \text {.) } \text { ) } \delta \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 6.
```

(Xo.) [. . . . . . . . . .] Х $\chi^{\epsilon} \rho \nu ı \beta \alpha[$
$[\ldots . . . . . ..] \in \sigma \in \nu[].\left[\begin{array}{l}] \nu[ \end{array}\right]$
[. . . . . . . .]. к колı[
[. . . . . . . .] $] \beta o[$.$] . [$
5 [. . . . . .] $\sigma[.] \iota \sigma o v[$
[. . . . .]aı ${ }^{\circ} \alpha[$
[ . . . .] $] \sigma \sigma[$

```

Fr. 7.
```

[............]\nu0[
[. . . . . . .] . по\lambdavкá[\rho\piш\nu
[......]a\iota \sigma\tau\alpha\chiv́\omega[\nu
[. . . \delta\rho]o\sigma\iota\xiо\mu\epsilon\nu[
5 [......]\deltaбторєs \epsilon\iota.[
[. . . . .] ] . [. . .] ] \betap[

```

Frs. 8, 9 .
```

    [. .] \(] \in v[\)
    \(\Pi \lambda \in \nu \rho[\omega \nu\)
    \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu[\)
    ```

```

5 фuyàs [..........]

```


```

    \(\sigma \iota \delta\left[\dot{\alpha} \rho o v \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i p\right] \epsilon \sigma i \alpha\)
    ```
```

    \sigma\phi . \\gamma\alpha[. . . ]\\o\nu
    10 к\lambda\iota\sigma\iota\alpha\sigma . [. .]\imathvк\tau\epsilon\rhoоч
\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\alpha\iota\omega\nu\pi[.]\tau\epsilon\rho\omega\nu
\phi[.]\gamma\alpha\delta\epsilon\sigma\deltaO\rhoו0v\muov[. .]

```


```

    f\in\kappav\alpha0\eta\rho\sigma!\nu[].] . [.]a!
    15 [. . . . . . .]o\muо[. . .]
「. . . . . . . . . . . .] व\mu\pi\epsilon\tau\alpha\sigma\alpha\sigma
]!

```

Fr. 10.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \rho[-. . . .] o p[ \\
& \text { ]. } \pi o v \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {.]vб } \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{\nu} \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \text { of } \\
& 5 \text {. . . }]<k \in \sigma o l \alpha \sigma \in!\left[\prod_{\cdot}^{R} \cdot\right] \text {. [ } \\
& \text {.] } \epsilon \gamma \omega \\
& \tau \iota \theta \rho о є i \sigma \\
& \overline{\omega \lambda} о \mu \alpha \nu[ \\
& \text { [. . . . . .] } \sigma a[
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. II.


Fr. 12.
\(\phi[\)
\(\mu \epsilon\left[\right.\). .] \(\theta_{0 \sigma \alpha \delta[ }\)
\({ }^{\text {ov }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \rho \in \rho \mu \mu \varphi[\)
\(\lambda\)

[. . . . . .] \(] \sigma \sigma \alpha\)
```

            852. EURIPIDES, HYPSIPYLE
            \sigma\phiа\gamma\hat{q}}[\begin{array}{ll}{\tau\epsilon}&{\delta\overline{\eta}]\o\nu}
    10 к\lambda\iota\sigmaí\alphas \pi[\epsilon\rho]i \nuuk\tau\epsilońpov
\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu\alphai'\omega\nu \pi[\alpha]r\epsiloń\rho\omega\nu
\phi[v]\gamma\alphá\delta\epsilons \deltaopi 0v\muóv.
\Phioí\betaov \delta' '̇\nu[0]\pià[s] \beta[\alpha\sigma]<br>lambda\epsilon\grave{S \epsilon'vv́\chi\ellv.}
\epsilon[\nu] "A\delta\rho\alpha\sigma\tauоs '^'X\omegal'
I5 \tau\epsilon'к\nu\alpha O\eta\rho\sigmai\nu [\zeta\epsilon\hat{v}[\xi]\alpha\iota
[. . . . . . \delta]о́\muо[\nu . .]
[............] \dot{\alpha}\mu\pi\epsilon\tau\alphá\sigma\alphas
]

```

Fr. IO. .
(Xo.) \(\quad \pi o[\hat{v} \ldots .] o v.[\)
            \(\pi o \hat{v} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime}\);

            \(\lambda \epsilon] \hat{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \sigma o[\)
5 (Xo.) \(\left.\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda\right] \iota \kappa \in S\), oï \(\alpha s \in \iota \rho\). [

(Xo.) \(\quad\) тi \(\theta \rho o \epsilon i ̂ s\);

(Xo.) [......] \(] \alpha[\)

Fr. II.


(Xo. ?) \(\delta \alpha[\)
\(X{ }^{\circ}[\)
\(\mu\) [

Fr. 12.
```

    (Xo. ?) \phi[
        \mu\epsilon{[\gamma\epsilon]Oos \alpha\delta{
                                ov \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho '\epsilon}\mu\mu\epsilon\nu
            ' }\Upsilon\psi\iota\piú\lambda(\eta). i\omegá \muo\iota.
    5 (Xo. ?) [.] . [. . .] . \alpha\in\alpha[
[. . . . . . .]o\sigma \alpha

```
Fr. 13.
Fr. 14.



5 ] \(\dagger \eta[\)
Fr. 15

] \(\phi \alpha \pi \omega \nu o ̛ ̣\). . ] \(\iota \sigma\)
5 ]....
\(] \sigma^{\prime} \cdot[\cdot] \nu \delta \rho \cdot[\cdot]\)

Fr. 17.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \sigma!!![ \\
& ] \\
& ] \\
& ] \alpha v \delta[
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\kappa \rho \eta \nu \eta \nu\) ¢̣ん \(\alpha \zeta\)
ঠр \(\alpha \kappa \omega \nu \pi \underset{\sim}{\alpha \rho o \iota \kappa[~}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \pi \circ \iota \mu \in \nu \in \sigma \in \pi \in \iota \cdot \iota \gamma^{\prime} \in \nu[.] \text {. [ }
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\bar{\phi} \cdot\). . .] \(] \nu \iota \kappa \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \in[\)
[. . . .] \(] \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \cdot \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \delta^{\prime}\) ov \([\)
\(\stackrel{\sigma}{0}\)
\([. . . . . . ..] \sigma \epsilon \varphi . . \emptyset \epsilon \in[\cdot] \rho \rho[\)
]. \(\epsilon \cdot[\)
] \(\delta \alpha \gamma \omega[\)
\(\stackrel{0}{\square}\)
] \(\rho \omega[\)
. . . . .

Frs. 20, 21.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \omega \phi[[\cdots] ? \alpha \iota \underset{\sim}{\gamma}[ \\
& \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \mid \kappa \alpha \mu[\cdot] \pi \cdot \stackrel{[ }{[ } \cdot \nu \stackrel{l \mid c[ }{[ } \cdot[]
\end{aligned}
\]


Fr. 18.
( \(\Upsilon \Psi\). ?) кр \(\left.\eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \zeta\right]\)
б \(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \rho о \iota \kappa[0\) S
\([\gamma] o \rho \gamma \omega \pi \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega[\nu\)
\(\pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \epsilon \dot{i} \omega \nu\), ổ фó \(\beta[\omega\)

] \(\quad \pi \alpha \nu[\cdot.] \delta \iota \alpha \quad \delta \rho \bar{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha i \quad \rho \vartheta[\)
(Xo. ?) \(\phi[\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \gamma v] \nu \alpha \iota \kappa i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha\) रí \(\gamma \nu \epsilon[\tau \alpha \iota\)
[....]s \(\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \in \iota^{\circ}\) фú入ака \(\delta^{\prime}\) oú \(\pi[\)
[. . . . . . . .] \(\sigma \epsilon \nu\). . \(\phi \epsilon[\).] \(\rho o[\)

Frs. 20, 2 1. .
 \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \mu[\cdot] \pi \cdot[\)
\(\alpha \nu \alpha[..] \epsilon \xi \in \epsilon \iota \nu{ }^{\circ}\) b \(!\phi \circ \beta o \iota \delta[\)
\(\epsilon v \epsilon \lambda \pi \mid[\cdots] \cup \tau![. \cdots] \epsilon \chi \epsilon!\sigma \epsilon[\)
\(5 \overline{\phi \epsilon} v \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \tau \cdot[\cdot] \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu[\cdot] \delta \rho \rho[\) ra \(\gamma\)
\(\left.\tau \iota \delta \eta\left[\pi \Pi \odot \tau^{\prime}\right]\right] \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \lambda \kappa[\) \(\pi a \iota \delta o \sigma\)
\(\delta \epsilon \delta \sigma[.] \kappa \alpha \theta_{\llcorner }\). . \(] \alpha \tau \omega o \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \circ \mu[\)
óvкоvข \(\alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \sigma \gamma^{\prime} \omega \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \sigma[\)
[-]
\(\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega \tau\) оитокаıфи入 \(\alpha \underset{[ }{[ }\)
[一]
1○ \(\pi \circ \iota \delta \eta \tau \alpha \tau \rho \in \psi \eta!\tau \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \delta[\cdot] \xi \in \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho[\cdot]!\sigma\)

\(\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \sigma[\cdot] \epsilon \tau \alpha[\cdot] \gamma \eta[\lceil\eta \delta \epsilon] \phi \rho \circ v[. \cdot]\} \sigma \iota \nu \kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega \iota\)
[.].] \(\kappa \alpha[.] \epsilon \omega \delta \eta \tau о \nu \tau[.] \alpha \lambda \lambda[].] \pi \epsilon \rho \chi о \mu \alpha \iota\)
\(\sigma к о \pi \epsilon \iota \phi i \lambda \alpha \sigma[..] \rho \tau \alpha[. ..] \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda о \nu \sigma \epsilon \chi \in \iota \sigma\)

[ 21 letters ] \(\delta o u \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu\)
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}25\end{array}\right]\) ] \(\in \rho 0^{-}\)

Fr. 22.
[....]. . เтovo[
[. . .] \(\beta\) ovt \(\alpha \lambda \epsilon[\)
каı \(\mu \eta \delta \iota \circ \rho[\)
\(\chi \rho o \nu \omega \delta \in \beta o v[\)
5 тот \(\omega\) у \(\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \ell[\)
\(\kappa \alpha ו \pi \alpha[.] \delta \alpha \tau[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \nu \delta \iota \rho \iota \theta \mu\) [
\(\eta \nu \delta \epsilon \xi \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \mu \alpha[\)
\(] x^{0} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu[. . ..] \lambda \epsilon[\)
10 єข \(\quad \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma!\nu[\)
\(]^{\kappa} \overline{\tau \tau} \alpha \cup \cup \tau[.] \kappa о \mu \psi[\)

Fr. 23.
\(\tau!\phi[\cdot] \sigma \in[\)
\(\lambda_{0}\) [
\(\epsilon K \in![\lfloor\alpha \rho[]\)
\(\omega \pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot[\)
\(\tau 0 \mu[\)

Fr. 24.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\delta \epsilon[ } \\
& \overline{\kappa \alpha} \iota \pi[ \\
& \overline{\omega \sigma}[\cdot] \eta \pi \epsilon[ \\
& \overline{\omega \sigma}[\cdot .] \rho[
\end{aligned}
\]














25 letters \(] \tau \in \rho \circ[\).

Fr. 22.
('T \(\psi\).) [. . . ]. . ıovo \([\) [. . ] \(\beta o v \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon\) \(\kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \grave{\eta}\) \(\delta i\) óp \([\gamma \bar{\eta} s\)

5 тò \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota[k \hat{\omega} \nu\) \(\kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha[i] \delta \alpha \tau[\)
\(\kappa \grave{\alpha} \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu[\eta\) \(\eta^{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \alpha \mu[\rho \tau\)
\(X o(\rho o ́ s) . \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu\left[a i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon\right] \lambda \epsilon[\xi \alpha s\)
го \(\epsilon \nu \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \sigma \iota \nu\) [
Ev̉ \(\rho v \delta i \nmid \kappa(\eta)\). \(\quad \tau i \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau[\alpha] \kappa о \mu \psi[\grave{\alpha}\)

Fr. 23.
(A) \(\tau i ́ \phi[\grave{n}] s \in[\)
(B) \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{\imath} \lambda o[\)
(A) \(\hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \cdot[\)
(B) тò \(\mu[\)

Fr. 24.
(A) \(\delta \epsilon[\)
(B) каi \(\pi[\)
(A) \(\dot{\omega} s[\delta] \eta\rangle \tau i[\)
(B) \(\omega\) © [. . \(] \rho[\)

Col．i．Col．ii．
Fr． 25.
\(] \alpha \iota \sigma\)
\(]\)\(\quad \underline{\bar{\zeta}}[\)

Fr． 27.
\(\stackrel{[-]}{\underline{\theta}}[\cdot] \rho \cdot[\ldots] u[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \chi[. ~.] \nu i \beta_{[ }^{[ }\)
\(\epsilon \omega \delta \in[\) ．\(] \cup \lambda \alpha a[\)
［．］．\(\eta \tau a!\eta \nu![\)
\(\alpha \rho \in \tau \eta \nu \xi \in \nu o[\)
\(\delta 0 \kappa \omega \delta \in \tau \alpha \underline{[ }]\)
\(\eta \nu \mu \eta \sigma v ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \llbracket \theta]] \theta[\)
［r］\(]\) ．［．．．．］ovк［
［．．．．．．．］．．［
\(\theta \alpha \nu[\)
\(o t \in[\)
］\(\delta \in!\leqslant \underline{\xi}[\)
］\(\epsilon \pi \omega[\)
］ \(\operatorname{kov}[\)
］\(\in . \hat{C}[\)
－•

Fr． 26.
\(\bar{\eta} \quad \frac{\sigma[ }{![ }\)

Fr． 28.
－

Fr． 29.
\begin{tabular}{lrl}
\(\cdot\) & Fr．30． & ． \\
\(\alpha \cdot[\) & & {\([\cdot] \cdot[\)} \\
\(\pi o[\) & & \(\pi \omega \sigma \delta[\) \\
\(\pi[\) & \(\frac{\theta \alpha \nu[ }{o \omega \epsilon[ }\)
\end{tabular}
\(a \cdot[\)
\(\pi 0[\)
\(\pi[\)

Fr． 32.
］\(\mu\)［
］\(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma[. . . . . . . .] r. o \lambda[\)
］\(\alpha \nu \underset{\sim}{\text { ．} \alpha \cdot[. ~ .] . ~}{ }_{\bullet} \alpha \sigma\) ．［
］\(\nu=\nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa[\)
5 ］pıєXоvбєทаүка入
］\(\left.\kappa \epsilon[. \cdot]!\phi \iota \lambda\left[{ }^{0}\right]\right]^{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu[\)

Fr．33．
\(] ⿳ 亠 丷 厂[\cdot] ~ . ~ . ~ \alpha[]. ~ . ~[~\)
］\(\epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\) ．［
］\(\alpha \delta о \sigma \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \in[\)
］．\(\tau[\cdot] \tau^{\prime} a \pi o[\)
\(5 \quad] \sigma \alpha \sigma[\)
］．\(\sigma \eta \delta[\)

Fr． 31.


Fr. 26.
\(\begin{array}{ll}\sigma[ \\ \text { (B) } 1[ & 700\end{array}\)

Fr. 27.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline  & 800 & Fr. 28. \\
\hline к \(\alpha i \quad \chi[\epsilon \rho] \nu \iota \beta[\) & & ] \(\delta \in \iota\) ¢ \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{\epsilon} \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon[\cdot.] \nu \lambda a[\) & & ] \(\epsilon \pi \omega[\) \\
\hline [.] \(\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \quad \tau \eta \nu \downarrow\) [ & & ] \(<0 \nu[\) \\
\hline  & & \(] \in \lambda[\) \\
\hline ठокलิ ठè \(\tau \alpha v[\tau\) & 805 & - . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\grave{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \grave{v} \pi \epsilon \iota \theta[\hat{\eta}\}\)
[.] \(]\). [. . . .]ovk[
[. . . . . . .]. . [
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Fr. 29. & Fr. 30. & Fr. 3 I. \\
\hline \(\alpha\). [ & [.] . [ & (A) of \\
\hline \(\pi o[\) & \(\pi \hat{\omega} s\) d[ & (B) \(\rho[\) \\
\hline \(\pi[\) & \(\theta \alpha \nu[\) & . . . \\
\hline . . & (B) \(o \iota \in[\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Fr. 32.
\(\left.\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{2} \psi.\right) \quad\right] \mu[\)
] \(\delta^{\prime} \in \sigma[\). . . . . . . .] \(] \sigma \lambda[\)
] \(\alpha \nu v\). \(\alpha\). [. .]. \(\iota \alpha \sigma\). [

\(5 \pi \epsilon] \rho \iota \epsilon ́ X o v \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda[\alpha / s\)
]кє[. .]е фí̀as тє́к上[
Fr. 33 .
] \(\omega[\cdot]\). . \(\alpha[\cdot] \cdot[\)
\(\pi] \hat{\kappa} \lambda \alpha s \quad \theta v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\). [
]aסos єipyє[
]. \(\tau[.] \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi o t[\)
\(5] \sigma \alpha \sigma[\)
]. \(\sigma \eta \delta[\)


Frs. 34,35 .


Fr. \(3^{6}\).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \delta![ \\
& ]!\omega \nu \\
& ] \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \\
& ] \delta \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \iota \nu
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
5 \text { ] . [. . . . ! }
\]

Fr. 37.
Fr. 38.
Fr. 39.
Fr. 40

\(] \pi \epsilon![\)
\(] \in \xi i \sigma a[\)
\(] \cdot!a[\)
\(\cdot \quad \cdot\)
] \(\alpha \nu \sigma[\)
]ovtatov \(\delta\) [
]ora[
\(] \sigma \alpha[\)
                                ] \(\kappa \in \tau[\)

Fr. 36 .
\[
\text { ] } \delta \delta[
\]
\[
j \omega \omega \nu
\]
\[
\text { ] } \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon i \nu
\]
\[
]\} \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu
\]
\[
5 \quad] \ldots[\ldots]
\]

Fr. 37.
Fr. \(3^{8 .}\)
. Fr. 39.
Fr. 40.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ] \kappa \epsilon[ \\
& ] \cdot \alpha ́ \iota[ \\
& ] \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda[ \\
& ] \nu \text { oïov } \kappa[ \\
& ] \nu \delta[ \\
& ] 0[
\end{aligned}
\]
] \(\alpha \rho \tau[\)
] \(\sigma \eta \lambda \theta\). [
] \(\tau \omega \delta[\)
] \(\alpha \sigma \tau[\)
5 ]rova[
] \(\alpha \nu\) o[
] \(\operatorname{lo}^{\prime} \tau \alpha \operatorname{\tau o\nu } \delta[\)
]ота[ ] \(\sigma \alpha[\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 852. EURIPIDES, HYPSIPYLE } \\
& \text { Frs. } 34,35 . \\
& \text { [ } \\
& \text { [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] }] \eta \eta[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \delta є ~ ' ~ \sigma] ~] \pi o \iota \nu \alpha ~[-~ \\
& {[\underline{\underline{-}}-\cup] o \iota \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \rho\left[{ }^{\prime}\right] \text { ต่s [. . . . .]. ov } \sigma \epsilon[-} \\
& [\underline{\underline{U}}-\cup] \omega \mu \alpha \iota \delta \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \text { [. . . . . }] \tau \iota \delta \alpha[
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [. . . . . . . . . . . .]op . [. . . .] } \pi \rho \rho[
\end{aligned}
\]

Fr. 41.
Fr. 42.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(] \tau v \sigma \omega[\) & \(] \cdot!\sigma[\) \\
\(] o v![\) & \(] \alpha \rho \gamma o \sigma[\) \\
\(]!\tau \rho!\) & \(] \cdot[\)
\end{tabular}

Fr. 45 .
Fr. 46.
Fr. 43 .
Fr. 44.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(] \iota \sigma![\) & \(] \tau \iota \kappa \tau 0 \cup \sigma[\) \\
\(] \rho \delta^{\circ} \epsilon[\) & \(] \cdot[].] \cdot[\) \\
\(]!\sigma \tau \iota \sigma[\) & \(\cdot\).
\end{tabular}

Fr. 47.
Fr. 48.

] \(v \mathrm{va}\) [
] \(\alpha \sigma \lambda \cdot[\)
]um! [
] \(\delta[\)
5 ] \(\llcorner\nu[\)
]. \(\alpha \iota \sigma[\)
] \({ }^{\prime}\) [

Fr. 49.
Fr 50.
\(] \ldots[\)
\(] \times \kappa[\)
\(] o \iota \cdot[\)
Fr. \({ }^{1}\).

Fr. 53.
\(] \times[\)
\(]\)
\(\eta\)
] X \([\)
\(\eta \cdot[\)
Fr. 54.
]. \(\alpha \sigma \sigma\) [

Fr. 41.
\(\mu \alpha ́ \rho] \tau \nu \sigma \iota \nu\) [
]ovi[ ]ıro[

Fr. 45 .
Fr. 46. ]. \(\rho^{\prime} a[\)
] \(\rho \cdot \iota \delta[\quad] \cdot \alpha \nu \delta[\)
] \(\in \alpha \lambda \iota \tau \alpha[\) ] \(\phi o \rho[\)

Fr. 42.
Fr. 43.
Fr. 44.
\(] \iota \sigma 1[\)
] \(\sigma \sigma \tau \sigma[\)
\(] \angle \sigma!\)
\(] 0 \delta^{\prime} \in[\)
\(] \angle \sigma \tau \iota \sigma[\)
\(]\) тiktovo \([\)
\(] \cdot[..] . \cdot[\)
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(] \tau o[\) & \(] \cdot \rho^{\prime} \alpha[\) \\
\(] \rho \cdot \cdot \iota \delta[\) & \(] \cdot \alpha \nu \delta[\) \\
\(] \epsilon \cdot \alpha \lambda \iota \tau \alpha[\) & \(] c \mu[\) \\
\(\quad] \phi \circ \rho[\) & \(\cdot\)
\end{tabular}
]. \(\alpha \iota \sigma[\). \(\quad\) v \(\nu \alpha[\)
]ov[
] \(\tau^{\prime}\) [
] \(\alpha{ }^{s} \lambda[\)
]umı[
] \(\delta[\)
\(5] \omega \nu[\)


Fr. 55. .
].
] ] \(v\)


Fr. 58. .
[.] \(] \quad \Delta\) lóvvaós \(\tau\left[\begin{array}{ll}\epsilon & 1085\end{array}\right.\) [.]avtos \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma\) ! [.] \(] \notin \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}\) oúd[

ஸ́ \(\sigma[\).\(] . \delta\) [
5 [.]. เ \(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\), ou่ [ Tís mot' [

\(\sigma] \mu v ́ \rho v a s ~ к \alpha \pi v[\)
 ] \(\alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) oiv \(\alpha s\)
5 ] \(\quad \tau \epsilon \phi i ́ \lambda \alpha \iota\)
] \(\theta \eta \kappa \alpha\) фє́ \(\rho о v \sigma \alpha\) т \(\tau \iota \pi \epsilon[\)


Fr. 60.
Col. i.
Plate III.

\section*{3 (?) lines lost.}
. \(v \cdot \pi[\)
5 ถ้
\({ }^{\text {o }} \boldsymbol{\rho} \gamma \eta \pi \rho เ \nu \circ \rho \theta \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \pi \alpha \gamma \mu\)
\(\sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \sigma^{*} \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \beta \eta \delta^{\prime}\) ov \(\delta \in \nu \nu^{\prime}[\)
\(\omega \sigma \tau o v \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{o} v \nu \epsilon \kappa[. . . . . . . . ..] \omega\)
точ \(\delta \epsilon к \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon L \nu \tau о \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu\) оขоикор \(\theta[.] \sigma \delta о к \omega\)
10 тоv \(\mu о \nu \tau \iota \theta \eta \nu \eta \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \in \pi \epsilon \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma\)
\(\pi \lambda \eta \nu 0 \nu \tau \in \kappa\) ои \(\sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta^{\Omega} \omega \sigma \epsilon \mu о \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu о \nu\)
\(\left.\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma v \sigma \alpha \in \phi \in \rho o v \omega \phi \in \lambda \eta \mu^{\prime} \in \mu \circ \iota \mu \in \llbracket \widetilde{\tau}\right] \alpha\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu 0[\) & 1090 & &  \\
\hline & \(\beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota\) vin [ & & & ]s '̇s oikous \\
\hline & \(\alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \tau^{\prime}\) ait[ \({ }^{\prime \prime} \rho\) & & &  \\
\hline 10 & тí тò \(\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha\) [ & & & \(\kappa v] \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \rho o\langle\phi\rangle o \nu \quad \chi \in \rho i \quad \nu[\) \\
\hline & \(\beta\) о́трus \(\alpha\). [ & & & \(\left.{ }^{\prime \prime}\right] \sigma \omega \theta_{\epsilon}\) \\
\hline & \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta i \delta \omega[\sigma \iota\) & 1095 & & ] \(\tau[\) [. . . .] . [ \\
\hline &  & & & . . . . \\
\hline & \(\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}\} \in \iota\) [ & & & \\
\hline 15 & \(\nu\) ข'кт \(\alpha \rho\) [ & & & \\
\hline & \(\lambda \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu 00\) [ & & Fr. 59. & . . . . \\
\hline & \(\tau \alpha \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) à \(\nu \in[\) & 1100 & & ]. . [ \\
\hline &  & & & ] \({ }^{\text {s }} \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{s}\) [ \\
\hline & \(\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega[\nu\) & & & \(\tau] o ̀ ~ к \tau \tau \bar{\eta} \alpha\). [ \\
\hline \(20 \quad \sigma \tau \rho\). & ¢人] \(\pi\) ót \(\nu \iota \alpha \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}[\nu\) & & & ]s oúXi \(\theta \iota \gamma[\) \\
\hline &  & & & ] v oikoıs \\
\hline &  & & & ] \({ }^{\prime} \xi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \tau \alpha[\iota\) \\
\hline &  & & & ]ov \(\gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) о[s \\
\hline & . . . . .] \(\delta \grave{\eta}\) тótє [ & & &  \\
\hline \({ }^{2} 5\) & . . . . . .] \(\gamma^{\prime \prime}\) о \([\) & & & \(] \mu \in \nu \quad \sigma \alpha\). [ \\
\hline & . . . . . .] . . \(\theta[\) & & 10 & ] \(\eta\) X \(\chi^{\alpha} \rho(\nu\) [ \\
\hline & - & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fr. 60.
Col. i.
Plate III.
3 lines lost.
\(\left.{ }^{c}{ }^{2} \psi \cdot\right) \cdot \nu \cdot \pi[\)

ó \(\gamma \gamma \hat{\eta} \pi \rho i \nu\) ó \(\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu[\alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i ้ \nu\) ódóv.
\(\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} s, \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \beta \eta \delta^{\prime}\) oú \(\delta^{\prime} \grave{\nu} \nu \hat{\omega}[\nu\) к \(\alpha \tau \eta \gamma о \rho \hat{\omega} ;\)





\(\lambda \epsilon v\)
\(\omega \pi \rho \omega \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \alpha \nu \nu \nu \epsilon \xi \alpha \lambda \mu \eta \sigma v \delta \omega \rho\) \(\alpha \rho \gamma о v \sigma i ̈ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \in \dot{\sigma} \omega \sigma \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \cup \mu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma\)
\({ }^{1} 5 \omega \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \pi \alpha \tau \rho о \sigma о \ddot{\kappa} \lambda \epsilon\) оv \(\sigma \alpha \nu о v \mu \in \theta \alpha\) \(\alpha \rho \eta \xi o[. ~.] \lambda \theta \epsilon \mu \eta \mu i ̈ \delta \eta \sigma v \pi \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \sigma\) \(\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \nu o v \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \delta \iota \circ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \iota\)
\(\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon o \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \delta \eta \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon \mu \alpha \rho \llbracket \mu\rceil] \nu \rho \alpha\) \(\sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu\) \(20 \alpha \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \cdot \phi \iota \lambda \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \alpha \in \iota \sigma \circ \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma\) \(\left.\stackrel{\llcorner }{\circ} \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon \nu \alpha \delta_{\cdot} \cdot\right] \pi \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha p \alpha\) \(\overline{\epsilon \pi} \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \mu \pi o v \sigma \alpha \tau[.] \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \phi \alpha \gamma \alpha[\). \(\delta о \mu \omega \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \omega \gamma \alpha \rho \in \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \ddot{i} \delta \omega \nu\) \(\tau 0 v \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \sigma o \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \eta \mu \iota \eta \phi v \sigma \epsilon \ell\)
\({ }^{2} 5 \omega \pi \rho о \sigma \sigma \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \ddot{\kappa} \in \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \phi \iota \alpha \rho \in \omega \pi \iota \nu \omega\) [.] \(] \alpha \iota \rho \circ \sigma[.] \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota\). [. .] \(\eta \sigma \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu o \sigma \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s\) [.] \(\alpha \iota \rho о \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \eta \kappa є \iota \sigma \tau о \iota \sigma \epsilon \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu \in \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa о \iota \sigma\) [.] \(] \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \cdot \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \sigma \eta \nu \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda v \mu \alpha \iota \chi \alpha \rho \iota \iota^{\prime}\) \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \iota \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \in \iota \sigma \circ \rho \alpha \sigma\)
\(30 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \sigma o \iota \sigma \iota \gamma \circ \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \eta \tau 0 \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \pi о \mu \eta \nu \xi \in \nu 0 \cup \sigma\) \(\iota \quad \sigma_{t} \delta\) \(\sigma \sigma \alpha[[l] \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \iota \sigma \dot{t}[\iota]] \rho \sigma \omega \nu \pi \rho[.] \delta o v \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon\)

\(\sigma\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \delta \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha}[. ..] \nu \epsilon \mu \pi v \rho \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \omega \nu \tau v \chi \alpha \sigma\) \(\delta \alpha \nu \alpha o \iota \sigma \iota \nu[. ..] \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \circ \cup\) \(\pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu \gamma \alpha[.\). . \(] \theta \alpha \phi \eta \sigma \iota \delta \in \eta \delta \in \epsilon \in \kappa о \nu \sigma \iota \omega s\) \(\kappa \tau \alpha \nu \in \iota \nu \mu[\). . \(] \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \iota \beta\) ои \(\lambda \in v \sigma \alpha \iota \delta о \mu \circ \iota \sigma\) \(\overline{\epsilon \delta \delta} \omega \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha \iota \tau \nu \tau v \chi \eta \nu \tau \cdot v \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \circ \mu \eta \nu\) \(\tau \eta \nu \sigma \eta \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \in \cup \kappa о \tau о \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \cup\) \(\eta \kappa[.] \delta^{\prime} \alpha \rho \eta \xi \omega \nu \sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma\)
40 то \(\left.\mu_{\cdot} \cdot.\right] \nu \beta \iota \alpha \iota о \nu о \nu к \epsilon \chi \omega \nu ` \tau о \delta \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \sigma\) \(\alpha \iota[. .] .0 \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \in v \mu \in \nu \in \xi \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \theta \in \iota \nu\) \(\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \in \nu \in \cup \pi \alpha \theta\) о \(\tau \tau \pi \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \theta \in \nu\) \(\pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu \mu \in \nu 0 \nu \nu \sigma \circ \nu \delta \in \iota \xi \circ \nu \omega \xi \epsilon \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \rho \alpha\)
 'Apyoûs, ì̀ \(\pi \alpha i \delta^{\prime}\{\epsilon s\} \cdot \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \kappa \omega ิ s\).
\(15 \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \iota \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ O i ́ k \lambda \epsilon ́ o v s, ~ \theta \alpha \nu o v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\).

\(\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\alpha} s \theta^{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu\), \(\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) Sıó入 \(\lambda \nu \mu \alpha \iota\).
 \(\sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau\langle 0\rangle \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \alpha / \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \eta \delta^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \quad \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu\).


 \(\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha\). \(\tau \hat{\omega} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu}\langle\pi\rangle \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma^{\prime}\) iठ̀̀ \(\nu\)





 \(30 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma o i ̂ \sigma l ~ \gamma o ́ \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \grave{\eta} ~ \tau o ́ \theta ' ~ \epsilon i \pi o ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \xi ́ \epsilon ́ \nu o l s . ~\)


\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \quad \delta_{i}^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha}[\gamma \nu \hat{\omega}] \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \dot{v} \rho \omega \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu\) тú \(\alpha\) \(\Delta \alpha \nu \alpha 0 \hat{\sigma} \tau \nu[\epsilon i \pi] \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta}\{\nu\} \delta \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu о \nu\),


 \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\) б市 \(\nu\) à \(\pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \epsilon \nu к o ́ \tau о s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu o v, ~\)
 40 тò \(\mu[\hat{\epsilon}] \nu\) ßíalov oủk ' \({ }^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu\), тò \(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta\) '́s.
 \(\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta_{\epsilon} \nu \quad \epsilon \hat{\nu} \pi \alpha \theta o ́ v \tau \alpha\) \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu\).

\({ }^{\omega} \rho\)
 \(\pi \epsilon\)
\(45 \pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \delta \iota \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \iota ф \cup к о \nu \tau \omega \sigma \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota\)
\(\kappa о \sigma \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \phi \in \rho \circ \nu^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}\) ор \(\nu^{\prime \prime}\)
\(\delta\)
\(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \kappa 0 v \sigma 0 \nu \tau 0 v \tau \alpha \times\) оv \(\sigma \epsilon \tau o v \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon[[0]]\) \(\sigma\)
\(\epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda о \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu\)

\(50 \omega \xi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha[\cdot] \nu \alpha \omega \nu \chi \chi^{\theta o \nu \alpha}\)
\(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \kappa о о \nu \sigma^{\prime}\) ої \(\left.\delta \alpha \sigma \epsilon 0^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}.\right] \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \alpha\)
ои \(\gamma \rho \pi\) от' \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \tau о \delta^{\prime}\) о \(\mu \mu \alpha \epsilon \beta \lambda[\cdot] \psi \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu\)
\(\nu v \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \kappa \lambda \nu \epsilon[\cdot] \nu \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega\)
\(\kappa \alpha ו \sigma ' є \kappa \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon เ \nu о \cup \kappa \alpha \nu \alpha \xi \iota \circ \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota\)
\(\overline{\gamma v} \nu \alpha \iota \tau о \tau \eta \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \circ v \kappa[.] \kappa 0 \nu\)
\(\alpha \gamma \rho \iota \omega \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho 0 \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon \eta \pi \iota \circ \nu \theta[\cdot . . . . . . ..] \omega\)
оит \(\eta \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \alpha \lambda[\).\(] о \eta \tau о \tau \eta \sigma \delta[\cdot] \kappa \eta \sigma \sigma \cdot[\cdot] \omega \nu\)
\(\alpha \iota \sigma \chi v \nu 0 \mu \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \phi \circ \prec \beta\) ovov \(\delta \iota \epsilon \mu \pi v \rho \omega \nu\)
\(\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \sigma \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \psi \in \nu \delta \sigma \sigma \epsilon[\cdot][\lambda \epsilon \xi=\mu \epsilon \nu\)
\(60 \tau \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega} \xi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \kappa \rho \eta \nu \alpha \iota \nu \nu[\cdot.] \nu 0 \sigma\)
\(\delta_{\epsilon} \iota \xi \alpha \iota \delta \iota a ̆ \gamma \nu \omega \nu \rho \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu[. . . . . . . . . .\).
\(\sigma \quad\) apүєLOV̄̄ \(\sigma\)
\(\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha \pi \rho 0 \theta \nu \mu \alpha\left[\left[\sigma \omega \sigma \tau \tau \nu[\cdot] \cdot{ }_{\cdot}{ }^{\pi} \nu\right]\right] \delta[. . . . . . . .\).
Fr. 60.
Col. ii.
Plate III.
3 (?) lines lost.
[. . . . . .]vo
[. . . .] \(\pi \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon[\)
[. . .] \(] \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon!{ }^{[ }[\)
[. .] \(\epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \epsilon[\)
万o [. . .] \(\alpha<\theta \in \lambda[\)
[. .]ak \(\omega \nu \alpha \sigma\) [

\(\kappa \alpha \iota \nu \iota \nu \delta \rho о \mu[\)
\(\epsilon i \lambda \iota \xi \in \nu \alpha \mu \phi[\)
\(75 \stackrel{\downarrow}{\eta} \mu \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \epsilon i ̋ \delta[\)






 \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad\left\{\delta^{\prime}\right\} \quad \alpha \quad \alpha o\langle\hat{v}\rangle o v \sigma^{\prime}\) oỉdá \(\sigma^{\prime}\) ô \([\nu] \tau \alpha\) \(\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o \nu \alpha \cdot\)




 oú \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda[\lambda] o \nu \quad \ddot{\eta}\) тò \(\tau \hat{\eta} S \delta[\hat{l}\} \kappa \eta S \quad \hat{o}[\rho] \omega \bar{\omega} \nu\).





[. . . . . .]vov
[....] \(\pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon[\)
[. . .] \(] \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu\) [
\([\eta \dot{\eta} \mu] \overline{i s}\) ס̀ \([\)
70 [. . .]al Oéर[ovtes
\([\delta \rho]\) ] \(\kappa \omega \nu \quad \alpha \sigma[\)

каí vıv боóц[ \(\omega\)
єï入l \(\xi \in \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi[i\)
\(75{ }^{i} \mu \epsilon i \bar{s} \delta^{\prime}\) i \(\delta \dot{o}[\nu \tau \epsilon s\)
\(\epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \epsilon \tau o ́ \xi \epsilon \nu \sigma[\)
\(\alpha \rho \times \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \eta{ }^{\mathrm{F}} \mu \iota \nu[\)
\(\left.\alpha \rho X \in \mu \circ \llbracket{ }^{\rho}{ }^{\nu} \rrbracket\right] \sigma \sigma \epsilon[\)

80 ó \(\rho \nu \imath \alpha \delta^{\prime} \alpha \rho \gamma \in \iota \circ[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \eta \sigma \tau 0 \lambda[\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda o v \chi[\)
\(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \iota \delta[\)
\(\kappa \alpha \delta \mu o \varphi[\)
85 ขобточкขр \(\eta \sigma\) [
\(\delta\)
áp \(\alpha \sigma \tau o \sigma i \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho[\)
€ \(\pi \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma[\)
\(\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu[\)

90 द́ \(ф \nu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma 0[\)
\(\theta a \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa[\)
\(\alpha \nu \tau o \iota \tau \in \theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon[\)
\(\sigma\)
\(\epsilon \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \phi \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma[\)
\(\beta \iota 0 \nu \theta \in \rho \iota\} \epsilon \iota \nu \omega[\)
95 каıтоע \(\mu \in \nu \epsilon \iota\) [
\(\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon[\)

\(\theta \alpha \psi \alpha \iota \delta o \sigma \eta \mu[\)
\(\alpha \lambda \lambda \in!\sigma \tau 0 \nu \alpha \epsilon[\)
100 то . [. . .]! \(\sigma \beta\) ро́т \(\epsilon[\)
\(\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho \in \sigma[\)
\(\alpha \gamma \omega \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \omega[\)
\(\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu 0 \nu \sigma \delta \iota \delta[\)
\(\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau[\)
\(105 \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon\). [
\(\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha[\)
\(\epsilon \pi \omega \nu o \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta[\)

\(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu[\pi \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \alpha \nu \grave{\omega} \nu\)
'A \(\rho \chi\) є́ \(\mu\) оро́s є \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota\)
\(\sigma \dot{v} \tau^{\prime}\) oúXi \(\sigma \alpha u \tau \grave{\eta}[\nu\)
So ó \(\rho \nu \iota \theta \alpha \delta^{\prime}\) 'Apreio[ \(\iota \sigma \iota\)
\(\kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \eta े \quad \sigma \tau о \lambda[\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v_{\chi}[\)
\(\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀[\)
Ка́ठ \(\mu\) оv [
85 मо́бтои кирךб[

ध́ \(\pi \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma[\hat{\omega} \nu\) '̇к \(\kappa \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s\) \(\mu o ́ \nu o s\).

\(\grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \tau\left[\alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}\right.\) \(\mu o l \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \alpha l\), \(\gamma \dot{v} \nu \alpha l\).

\(\theta \alpha ́ \pi \tau \tau \iota\{\nu\} \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in\left[\nu \alpha\right.\) Х \({ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha\) кт \(\alpha \tau \alpha \iota \downarrow \nu^{\prime} \alpha\)


Biov \(\theta \epsilon \rho i ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\omega}[\sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \iota \mu o \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \chi \nu \nu\),


ஷे \(\delta^{\prime}\) єіко̀s ' \(A \rho \gamma\) [
\(\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha \iota\) ठòs \(\dot{\eta} \mu[\hat{\imath} \nu\)





\(\S \eta \lambda \omega \tau o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{~}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau\left[\alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu \quad \nu i ́ k \eta \pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu v\right.\).
\(105 \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \varphi \widehat{c} \delta \epsilon \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu\) [
\(\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha\left[\iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} s\right.\)
\(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu о \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta\) [

Fr． 61.
］\(\epsilon \pi \pi o![\)
］\(\lambda o[.] \rho \iota \alpha \xi \eta \lambda \omega \kappa \alpha[\)
］\(\theta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon \sigma[\) ］\(\sigma \delta[\).\(] ］ \times о \iota \sigma \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu![\)
5 ］\(\lambda\) Oо \(\mu о v \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \nu \theta\)＇o ．［
］\(\sigma \iota \nu \tau \tau \theta \nu \alpha \sigma \iota<\)［
］\(\lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \nu \sigma \tau v \chi\) Х \(v \nu[\)
］סov入є \(\iota \alpha \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho[\)
］ба⿱ \({ }^{2} \nu v u ́ \tau o v \sigma \lambda o[\)
10 ］\(\alpha \dot{\nu} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon \delta \omega[\)
］к \(\alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha[\)
＇］\(\sigma \theta\)＇\(\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu\) ．［
］\(\rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma v \mu о \iota \tau \epsilon \rho[\)
］оф \(\omega \delta о \iota \eta \sigma \times a[\)
\({ }^{5} 5\) ］u \(\lambda\) ík \(\alpha[. . .]_{!} \lambda \bar{\alpha}[\)
］．［

Fr． 62.
］．\(\sigma \ldots\) ．
［ \(\nu\) ］ ］\(\nu \eta \tau о \mu\) ． ］\(\eta \mu \nu \iota \alpha \iota \sigma о \delta \epsilon\)
］\(\xi \alpha \mu \mu \in \nu \alpha \nu\)
5 ］оvк \(\omega \lambda v \epsilon \iota\)
］ov \(\sigma \mu 0 \lambda \in \omega\)
］є！\(\alpha \sigma \tau \iota \nu 0 \sigma\)
］\(\alpha \nu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)
］\(\nu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha\)
］．ou \(\rho \rho \alpha[\) ＇］\(\theta 0\)［

Fr． 63.

> ] • [. .] • [.] \(] \iota \sigma[\)
> ] \(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \in \phi \nu \gamma \in!\cdot[\)
> ] \(\theta \epsilon \iota\) 文 \(\epsilon \sigma \tau เ \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha[.] \delta \epsilon\). [
> ]ov \(\sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \Theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \cdot \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma v \geqslant![\)
 àvaltia \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho^{-}\)roîs [


(Ev̉ \(\rho\).) \(\hat{\omega} \pi \alpha i\), тò \(\mu \in ́ v\) бoı \(\tau[\)
[. .] \(\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu[\)
\([\pi] \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s\) [Xрŋ̀ каi \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) бкотєîv
115 каì тàs \(\delta \iota \alpha i ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \bar{\omega}[\nu\) как \(\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \in \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu\),



Fr. 61.
( \(\Gamma \psi\). ?)
] \(\epsilon \pi \pi o c\)

\(\bar{\eta} \lambda] \theta \epsilon \quad \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i \alpha a s \quad \begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \sigma\end{gathered} \omega\) ] \(\sigma \delta^{\prime}\left[{ }^{\circ} \epsilon\right]\) Xots \(\nu \in \alpha \nu i[\)
5 ग̂] \(] \theta^{\prime}\) ó \(\mu\) о仑 \(\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \theta^{\prime}\) ö \(\mu[\omega s\)
\(\zeta \hat{\omega}] \sigma \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \quad \tau \in \theta \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \quad \delta\langle\grave{\eta}\)
\(] \lambda \lambda \alpha \quad \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \circ \hat{v} v[\tau\) ] \(\delta o u \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho[\grave{\alpha} \nu\) ]s ìvquv́rous \(\lambda o ́[\) [yous
\(10 \quad] \alpha v ́ o ~ o \mu \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \delta \omega[\)

\(\pi \rho o ́] \sigma \theta^{\prime} \quad\) '̇ \(\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu\).
] oos \(\epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \dot{v} \mu 0<\tau \in \rho[\) \(\sigma] 0 \phi \hat{̣}\) © \(\delta 0 i \not \eta s \chi^{\alpha}[\rho ı \nu\)
15 ]u入ika[. . .] \(\lambda \alpha a[\) ]. [

Fr. 63.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] } \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma \in \nu[\underline{u}-\cup- \\
& ] \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \text { є́ativ єis т } \alpha[.] \delta \epsilon \text {. [u- } \\
& \text { Jous }{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \in ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu^{*} \tau \grave{\alpha} s \quad \sigma v \nu_{1}^{r} u- \\
& \text { F } 2
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{ ］\(\sigma \alpha \mu \phi \iota \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega \sigma \cdot \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha![\)}
\(] \theta_{!} \sigma \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \llbracket\) a \(] \downarrow \omega \sigma\) ．［
\(] a[.] \beta \alpha \nu^{\prime} \omega[\)

Fr．64．Col．i．
26 lines lost．
］\(\delta \in \tau \epsilon\)
4 lines lost．
］\(\alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu\)
\(] \sigma\)
4 lines lost．
 к九ala ］ryatov opoo
 ］\(\alpha \sigma\) 3 lines lost．
］\(\sigma \hat{\eta} \nu\)
\(] \cdot]\left[\kappa^{\tau}\right]{ }^{\tau}{ }^{\top}\)
end of column．

Col．ii．

\(\alpha \nu \alpha \pi[\cdot] \lambda \iota \nu \in \tau \rho \circ \chi \alpha \sigma \in \nu\)
\(\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \dot{\circ} \beta \mathbf{\nu} \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon\)
\(\chi^{\alpha} \rho i \nu \in \lambda i \xi \alpha \sigma \sigma^{\circ} \chi \rho o \nu \omega\) \(\delta \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \in \nu \in\) váá \(^{\mu} \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma\)
\(\alpha \mu \phi \iota \alpha^{\rho} \tau \eta \nu \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta}[\cdot] \hat{\omega} \nu \omega \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \eta \chi^{\alpha} \rho \ell \nu\) \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \mu \circ \iota \pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta^{3 \prime}{ }_{\circ} \tau^{\prime} \eta \nu \quad\) иоот
\(6_{5} \alpha \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \gamma \omega ́ \sigma o \iota \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu \alpha \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon ' \sigma \dot{\sigma}\) ov \(\phi\) т \(\uparrow\) v \(\delta \epsilon\)
\(\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta[[\epsilon]] \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \alpha \cdot \sigma \omega \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha\)占
\(\kappa \alpha \iota \chi \alpha \iota \rho \in \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta \mu \epsilon[.] \sigma \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\omega} \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho о \rho \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \delta \eta\)


でo
\(70 \quad \epsilon \nu \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \circ \iota \eta \sigma \cdot \delta \eta \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu\)
\(\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \mu \eta \tau \in \rho \theta \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \iota \sigma \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \circ \sigma \eta\)

> 5 ] oủk 'ЄХХоvб८ \(\sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi o v s\) ]s 'A \(\mu \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \omega{ }^{\prime}\) ' \(\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota\) [ \(\cup-\) ] \(\boldsymbol{\iota}_{\iota} \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \quad \nu \epsilon \grave{\omega}\). [ \(\lambda] \alpha[\mu] \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega[\)

Fr. 64.
Col. i.
26 lines lost.
27
] \(\quad\) ou
\(15 t^{8}\)
] \(v\)
5 lines lost.
]s 1555
4 lines lost.
\(] \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \quad 1560\)
+ lines lost. \(] \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu\)
45 ]s
\[
\text { I }{ }^{2} 66
\]

Fr. 64.
Col. ii.
( \({ }^{\prime} T \psi\).) \(\quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \alpha \quad \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha} \mu i ́ \alpha \nu\) ó óòv

60 є́ \(\pi i\) фо́ßov \(\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \quad\{\tau \epsilon\}\)
\(\chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu\) € \(\lambda i \xi \xi \alpha s\), \(\chi \rho o ́ v \varphi \delta^{\circ}\) є́ \(\xi \in \in \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \in \nu\) єưá \(\mu \epsilon \rho \circ \varsigma\).



 \(\kappa \alpha i \quad \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \cdot \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon[i ̂] s \delta^{\prime}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \quad \delta \dot{\eta}\),




    \(\ddot{v} \psi^{\prime \pi}\) аı \(\alpha \iota \phi v \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu\llcorner\sigma \epsilon \phi v \gamma 0 \nu\)
        \(\omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha ́ \theta о \iota \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \nu 0 u \pi 0 \nu \tau \iota \alpha \sigma\)
        [ \(v\) ]

    \(\hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha \overline{\rho \sigma^{\prime}} \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \tau \in \rho \alpha \sigma 0 \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\)
    \(\phi \circ ß о \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \tau о \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \cdot \ddot{\omega} \omega\)

    є́к \(\alpha \nu 0 \nu \in \cup \nu\) ย́т \(\alpha \sigma\)
    \(\bar{\pi} \quad \sigma v \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \bar{\kappa} \epsilon \epsilon \psi \alpha \sigma \pi \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \pi o ́ \delta \alpha \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu\)
    \(\alpha \kappa \tau \bar{\alpha} \sigma \beta \alpha \rho v[[\delta]] \rho o \mu о v \sigma\)
    '!кó \(\mu \alpha \nu \epsilon \pi i \tau\) 'ol \(\delta \mu \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \iota o \nu 0 \rho \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu\)

    \(\kappa \alpha \kappa \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \sigma \delta \epsilon \nu \rho \circ \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \cdot \tau_{i}^{\prime} \nu \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \omega\)
    \(\nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ́ \pi \alpha \iota \sigma\)
    \(\nu \alpha \nu \pi \lambda \iota o \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \xi \epsilon \nu l[\omega \nu]] \pi o ́ \rho o \nu\)

        аर'ó \(\nu \mu \epsilon \delta o v \lambda \sigma \sigma[.] \nu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \in \pi \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \omega \tau \epsilon[\cdot] \nu 0 \nu\)
        \(\epsilon \nu \theta \alpha \delta \eta \llbracket \delta \eta \rrbracket \rrbracket \nu \alpha \omega \omega \nu \mu \in \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \in \mu \pi 0 \lambda \alpha \nu\)
    оцдоькак \(\omega \nu \sigma \omega \nu\)
    \(\mu \eta \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \in \pi \epsilon \cup \tau \tau \chi\) Х \(\alpha \downarrow \sigma \iota \nu\)
    \(\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma u \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \sigma \hat{o} \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \nu \iota\)
        \(\chi \in \iota \rho เ \tau \in \kappa \nu 0 \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \nu\)
        \(\epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \in \pi \epsilon \mu \alpha \tau \rho \iota \sigma \alpha\)
        \(\alpha \underline{\alpha} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \circ \nu \delta{ }^{\prime} \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \kappa \circ \lambda \chi \omega \nu \pi \circ \lambda \iota \nu\)
        \(\alpha \pi о \mu \alpha \sigma \tau i \delta \iota \nu \gamma ’ \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \omega \nu\)
            \(\sigma\)
        \(\in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime} \ddot{a}[\llbracket!] \omega \nu \epsilon \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \mu \circ \sigma \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho\)
        о८цоルк \(\alpha \kappa \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \alpha ́ \tau ' о \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\)
        ノтєк \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \mu о \iota \sigma \delta i \delta \omega \sigma\)

        \(\tau i \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \pi о \tau \epsilon \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu \alpha \theta \lambda i \omega[\llbracket \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma]]\)
        \(\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \in \nu 0 \sigma \in \nu \in \pi \epsilon \mu 0 \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \nu\)
        кı日a



```

            \pi0\lambda\iotaòv öт\iota \piат\epsiloń\rhoоs ov̉к धैт\tau\muо\nu ка́\rho\alpha.
    75 (Eư\nu.) \hat{\eta} \gamma\alphá\rho \sigma` \epsilonै\tau\alpha\xi\alpha\nu \pi\alpha\tau\epsiloń\rho\alpha \sigmaò\nu кат\alphaк\tau\alpha\nu\varepsilon\imath\nu ;

```

```

        \tau\epsilońк\nu\langleov\rangle, oíá \tau\epsilon Гop\gammaá\deltaєєs \epsiloṅ\nu \lambda'́кктро\iotas
        \epsiloňк\alpha\nuov \epsilon'vé\tau\alphas.
    ```


```

        \epsilon}\pii\mp@code{\tau' oî\delta\mu\alpha 0\alpha\lambda\alphá\sigma\sigmaıov, óp\nu\langlei0\rangle\omega\nu
        \epsilon}р\hat{\eta}\muо\nu коí\tau\alpha\nu
    ```

```

    (`\psi.) vav̂\tau\alpha\iota к\omegá\pi\alpha\iotas
    


``` \(\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \delta\langle\epsilon \Delta \alpha\rangle \nu \alpha i\langle\delta\rangle \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon o \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi 0 \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu\).
```

(Ev̌v.) ої $\mu \circ \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$.
(' $\Upsilon \psi$.) $\quad \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \nu$ ' $\epsilon \pi$ ' $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \nu \chi i ́ \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$.


${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \downarrow \varepsilon \in \pi \epsilon \mu \alpha \tau \rho i \quad \sigma \hat{q}$.








(Eưv.) $\mu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \kappa \iota \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho\langle a\rangle s$ ' $A \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \delta o s \quad \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha l$,

```
            \tauov\tau[.]\nu\mp@subsup{\delta}{}{\prime}\epsilon\sigma\alphá\rho\rho\epsilon\omega\sigma⿱亠⿱口小彡心
                \delta\iota\alphal\gamma\alphálov\delta\epsilonтlv\alpha\piо\rhoov
                \epsilon\mu[. .]\epsilon\tau' }\alpha\kappa\tau\overline{\alpha}\nu\lambda\eta\mu\nu\nu\alpha
105 Өо\alpha\sigma[., о\mu\iota\zeta\epsilon|\sigmaо\sigma\pi\alpha\tau\eta\rho\delta\nuо\iota\nu\tau\epsilonк\nu\omega
```



```
106 (b) \beta\alpha[. .]X[[.'. .]y\epsilon\mu\eta\chi\chi⿱\nu\alpha\iota\sigma
                            [. . . . . . ]\betaó[. . . .]óv\omegav
```



```
            [. . . . . . . . . .]\epsilon\muа\tau\rho\iota\pi\alphaî\delta\alpha\alpha\sigma\hat{\eta}
110
                        K\ini[. . . . . . . . . . . .]v\tauо\sigmao\iotav\omega\piovßот\rhov\nu
```

Fr． 64.
Col．iii．
31 lines lost．

|  | $\alpha[$ |  | $\sigma \underline{\sigma[ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma[$ |  | Soove o［ |
| 145 | ．［ |  | $\sigma[$ |
|  | ！ |  | ¢ |
|  | ［ | ${ }^{1} 55$ | $\theta[$ |
|  | $\underline{\sim}$ |  | $v[$ |
|  | ［ |  | ${ }_{\alpha}$ |
| ${ }^{1} 5^{\circ}$ | o［ |  | $\alpha$［ |

Fr． 65.
Fr． 66.
Fr． 67.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. } \alpha \sigma \epsilon \mu \alpha[ \\
& \text { ]торбо⿱ . [ } \\
& \text { ]кขı } \alpha \tau \eta[ \\
& \text { ] } v^{\prime \prime} \text { ó } \alpha \in \tau \text { o[ } \\
& 5] \alpha \iota \delta \in \delta \rho \alpha \kappa[ \\
& \text { ] } \alpha \iota \sigma{ }^{\rho} \chi^{\alpha \gamma} \alpha \rho \lambda \epsilon[
\end{aligned}
$$

] $\epsilon \varphi \pi$..$[$
J $\alpha \iota$
]ôi $\sigma$
]
$5] \phi ı \lambda \alpha$
].

```
            852. EURIPIDES, HYPSIPYLE
            \tau0\hat{v}\tau[0]\nu \delta' 's "A\rho\epsilon\omegas ö\pi\lambda' \epsilońко́\sigma\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu \mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\etas.
        ('T\psi.) \deltai Ai\gamma\alphaiov \deltà̀ tiva \pió\rhoov
                                \epsilon}\mu[ó\lambda]\epsilon\epsilon' \alphȧк\tau\grave{\alpha}\nu \Lambda\eta\mu\nuí\alpha\nu
105 (Ev̌v.) \Thetaó\alphas [к]o\mui\zeta\epsilon\iota \sigmaoेs \pi\alpháт\eta\rho \tau\epsilońк\nu\omega \deltaú\langle0\rangle.
    ('\Upsilon\psi.) \grave{\eta}\gamma\grave{\alpha}[\rho] \sigma\epsiloń\sigma[\omega]\sigma\tau[\alpha]\iota;
    (Eưv.) B\alpha[k]X[íov] \gamma\epsilon \mu\eta\chi\alpha\nu\alphaîs.
107 ('T\psi.) [. . . . . .]\betaó[. . . .]óv\omega\nu
                            [........... \pi\rho]o\sigma\deltaокí\alpha \beta\iotaо\tau\hat{\alpha}
                [............]\epsilon \mu\alpha\tau\rhoi \pi\alphaî\delta\alphas \eta
110
                            . [. . . . . . . . . . .] \muo\iota.
        (\Thetaó.) к\in{̂[\nuou . . . . . . . . .]\nu\tauos oiv\omega\piò\nu \betaót\rhov\nu
```

        Fr. 64.
                                Col. iii.
    \(3^{1}\) lines lost.
    | $\alpha[$ $\sigma[$ | 1665 | $\Delta$ tóvug (os). | ${ }_{\text {of }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $145 \cdot[$ |  |  | $\sigma[$ |
| ¢[ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {f }}$ |
| 1 |  | 155 | $\theta[$ |
| ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢ |
| [ | 1670 |  | $\dot{\alpha}[$ |
| 150 of |  |  | $\alpha[$ |

Fr. 65.
]סurou[
єiँo [
]. $\cdot[.] \nu \eta \nu[$
]s $\mu o ́ \nu o[$
] $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon[$

$\left.] \xi \alpha \theta^{\prime} \not \geqslant \kappa \omega \delta^{\prime}\right]$

Fr. 66. .
]. $\alpha s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\alpha}[s$
]rov oòv. [
$\tau \epsilon \in \mid \kappa \nu ’$ i $\alpha \tau \tau \eta[\rho$
$] \nu$ ’ oí $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \circ[$ [ $\mu(?)$
5 ] $\alpha \iota \delta \in \delta \rho \alpha \kappa$ [
] $\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \lambda \hat{\epsilon}[\gamma$

Fr. 67.
] $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \pi \circ \cdot[$
] $\alpha$
joís
]

5 ] фí $\lambda \alpha$ ].

```
    ]@<\sigma\alphaф\omega\sigma[ l_ <\alpha\alpha[\\lambda]\\lambda\alpha\lambda\epsilon\gamma[
    ']\sigma\tau\iotaval!
        ]\tau }\alpha0v\epsilon!v
    10 ]!. . [
l \(\alpha \kappa \alpha[\lambda] \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}[\)
lo. .
```

Fr. 68.

'jo $\sigma$
] $\mu \alpha$
] $\alpha \beta \eta$
you
?
]какоу
] $\delta \alpha \phi \rho \omega \nu$
7

Fr. 69.
] $\gamma \alpha \nu \epsilon[$
] $\epsilon$
$] \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \nu[$
]. $o v$
5 ] ${ }^{2} \alpha \underset{\eta}{ } \nu$ ]
] ${ }^{\circ}$

Fr. 72. .

Fr. 70.
] $0 \pi \tau 0 \lambda \iota \nu$
]! $\tau \cup \chi \propto \iota \sigma$
]
] $\rceil \eta \nu$
5 ] $\quad \gamma \alpha \delta \omega \nu$
] $\phi \alpha 0 \sigma$
] $\omega \xi^{s} v \gamma \omega \iota$
].
] rn
$10 \quad j \mu \in \nu$
] $\epsilon \mu \alpha \sigma$ ] $\mu о \nu \alpha$

Fr. 7 I.

] $\mathrm{D}[$
]. $\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu[$
]є $\mu о \iota \pi \alpha \iota \delta[$
$] \pi \alpha \sigma \eta \lambda \theta \in[$
$5] \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \epsilon[$
] ${ }^{\circ}$.
]anóoo [
] $\nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \pi[$

Fr. 73.
] • [.] $]$ [
] $\alpha \tau \alpha \pi \in[$ [
$] \pi \tau \in L \mathcal{\epsilon}[$
. ${ }^{7}$
] $\ell \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \pi \rho[$
5 ] $\sigma \beta \rho 0 \nu \tau_{\text {- }}$ ]..[.] ! p $\eta[$

```
    ] \(\alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \not \subset \hat{\omega}\) [ \(] \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} \lambda \in \gamma[\)
        光] \(] \sigma \tau \nu \quad \alpha \iota[\)
        ] \(\tau \alpha\) \(\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu\) [
10 ] .. [
```



Fr. 71.
(A) $\mu i[$
(B) $\kappa \lambda[$
(A) $\mu \eta[$
(B) $\kappa \alpha[$
(A) $\tau \iota[$
(B) $\mu[$
(A) o[
(B) o. [

Fr. 72. . . .
] $\delta[$
]. $\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu[$ ] $\epsilon \iota$ رо८ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta[$ $] \pi \alpha s \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \in[$
$5 \quad] \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \in[$ $] \lambda^{\prime} \alpha{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta o[$ $] \nu \delta^{\prime} \quad a \pi[$ ]'[

Fr. 73. . . .
]. [.] $] \tau[$
] $\alpha \tau \alpha \pi \in<[$ ] $\pi \tau \in \iota \mathcal{V} \in$ $]<\delta^{\prime} \underset{\epsilon i \nu}{\epsilon i} \pi \rho[$ 5 ]s $\beta$ povt[ ].. [.] $\nu \eta[$
Fr. 74.
]. $!\sigma \in \theta_{!}[$
] $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ [
] $\omega \gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \alpha[$
] $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha![$ 5 ]. . [
Fr. 75.
] $\varphi v \sigma \sigma \theta \theta[$
] $v \in \iota \nu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon i[$
] $\sigma o v \sigma \alpha \delta v[$
]лотєк[
] $\theta \alpha \nu \alpha \tau[$
]. [
Fr. 76.
] $\mathrm{X}[$
] $\gamma \mu \epsilon!\cdot{ }^{[ }$ $] \sigma \sigma$. ] $\alpha \phi<\alpha[$ $] \nu \in \chi \in \iota$
$5] \epsilon \theta \in \epsilon \omega$
Fr. 77.
]
]. $\alpha[\sigma \sigma \circ \rrbracket]$
]
] $\epsilon \mu \sigma$
]. $\eta \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma$
$5] \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
]

Fr. 78.

|  | ] [ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢! [! ] ${ }^{\text {c }}$ - [ |
|  | ] 2 |
|  | \} $\delta \alpha \nu \alpha[$ |
| 5 | ] $/ \sigma \delta \iota \sigma[$ |
|  | ] |

Fr. 79.
Fr. 80.
Fr. 8 I
Fr. 82.

| $] \omega \sigma[\cdot] \times$ [ | ] $\nu v \sim \delta[$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ] $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \eta \nu \tau[$ | ovt' $\alpha$ |
| ] ${ }_{\text {d }}$ ¢ $\delta \alpha \sigma \mu$ ! $[$ | $] \omega \xi \alpha \nu[$ |
| ] $\pi \alpha<\sigma$ [ | ${ }^{\top} \omega \sigma \tau \in \sigma[$ |
| - . . . | 5 ]. [ |

Fr. 85.

| $] \theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \rho[$ | $] \cdot \alpha \cdots[$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $] \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \phi[$ | $] \kappa \alpha \kappa \cdot[$ |
| $] \delta \alpha \theta \epsilon \omega \nu \cdot[$ | $] \cdot \alpha \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \sigma[$ |
| $] \cdot[\cdot \cdot] \sigma!\varphi[$ | $] \tau \epsilon$ |

]. [. . $] \sigma!\iota[$
] $\tau \epsilon$

Fr. 84.
${ }^{7} \mu \in \nu \in!$ [
]бтобо
$] \sigma \alpha \nu \theta_{\rho}[$

5 ]. [


Fr. 77.


Fr. 79. .
Fr. 80.
] $\omega \sigma[.] \alpha \delta[$
] $\rho \gamma \alpha$ ब $\sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \tau_{i}$
] $\lambda \iota \delta a s \mu l[$
] $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma$ [.
]ev
] $\delta \alpha \nu \alpha$
5 ]es $\delta \iota \sigma[$
] ]o[
] $\nu \hat{v} v \delta[$
] $\theta \in \alpha s \quad \rho[$
] . $\alpha \cdot$. [
]ov ' $\dot{\alpha}$. [
] $\nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \phi[$
]как. [
$] \omega \xi \alpha \nu[$

] $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma[$
] • [. .] $\sigma \iota \nu[$
] $\tau \epsilon$
5 ]. [

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Fr. 8.3. }] & \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \grave{\omega} \cdot[ \\
& ] \kappa \kappa \mu \iota \xi \epsilon[ \\
& ] \text { ồ } \chi \rho \eta \cdot[
\end{aligned}
$$

Fr. 84.
$] \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota[$
$] \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma[$
$] s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho[\omega \pi$ Fr. 85.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
] \cdot[ \\
] \cdot \epsilon l \sigma \epsilon[\cdot] \kappa[ \\
] \operatorname{ls} & \kappa \alpha \mu \cdot[ \\
& ] \cdot[\cdot] \omega[
\end{array}
$$




Fr. 89.
Fr. 90.
Fr. 91.
Fr. 92.


Fr. 93.
Fr. 94.
Fr. 95.
$] \epsilon \delta \in[$
$] \omega \nu \quad \zeta[$
$] \in \iota \nu[$
$] \ldots[$
Fr. 96.
] $\lambda \alpha \tau[$
]. $\mu[$
]. $\phi[$
] $\delta \in[$

Fr. 97.
Fr. 98. . . .
Fr. 99.
Fr. 100. .
$]^{0} \lambda[$
$o[$

$\tau[$
] $\eta \delta[$
]óvo' [
] $\xi \alpha[$
$\left.]^{\prime}\right] \Gamma \alpha \nu[$
] $\alpha \nu\{$
]
] $\alpha \tau \in$ ]. [

Fr. ion.
Fr. 102.

## ] $\leqslant 0[$ ] $\pi \rho o[$ <br> ]al

```
]\sigma\iota\nuo[
]a\iota\sigma.v.[
]
```

Fr. 103.
] $\omega \kappa \alpha[$
] $X \theta 0[$

Fr. 104. .
] $\gamma \alpha \nu[$
]ra[


We append here the previously known fragments of the Hypsipyle; the numbers are those of Nauck's Fragmenta Tragicorum, 1889.
752. Aristoph. Frogs 1211-3 and Schol. ad loc.:
$\Delta$ tóvvoos, òs $\theta$ v́pбolбı каì $\nu \epsilon \beta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ סopaîs


The first three lines of the play, spoken by Hypsipyle, or, less probably, one of her sons ; cf. introd. p. 23.
753. Didymus in Macrob, Sat. 5. 18. 12:

Hypsipyle accedes to Amphiaraus' request to show him a spring. The line is to be placed between Fr. 1. v. 35 and Fr. 6.
754. Plut. AMor. p. $93 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{p} .661 \mathrm{~F}$ :


тò $\nu \eta$ ítıov $̈ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ є́ $\chi \omega \nu$

This fragment, spoken by Hypsipyle and referring to Archemorus, probably belongs to the lyrical portion of the scene between her and the chorus immediately after the accident;

see introd. p. ${ }^{25}$, and note on Fr. 10, in the neighbourhood of which it is to be placed. Cf. Statius, Theb. iv. 786 sqq. at puer in gremio vernae, \&c.
755. Aristoph. Frogs 1328 and Schol. ad loc. :

$$
\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha} \text { тò } \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \neq \alpha \nu 0 \nu \text { ä } \nu \tau \rho o \nu
$$

This is usually supposed to refer to the lair of the $\delta \rho a{ }^{\prime} k \omega \nu$ (cf. Phoen. io о $\sigma \eta \kappa o ̀ \nu$ és $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \mu \beta a \theta \hat{\eta}$ סра́коитоs), and if so is to be connected with No. 754 and Frs. 10 sqq. $\delta \omega \delta є к а \mu \eta$ $\chi^{a \nu o v}$, however, is a very strange epithet of äעтpov. There is another reading üd $\quad$ pov, which has been taken to mean the sun or the moon; but this is also unsatisfactory.
756. Aristoph. Frogs 1322 and Schol. 1320 :

Spoken by Hypsipyle and probably from the scene of recognition between her and her sons ( $\mathrm{Fr} .64 . \mathrm{i}$ ), rather than addressed to the child Archemorus in the early part of the play.
757. $=$ Fr. 60. 89-96.
758. Stob. Flor. 10. 26 :

## 

Probably to be attributed to Eurydice, who is accusing Hypsipyle of corruption ; of. Fr. $60.35-6$. The line will then come from the vicinity of Frs. 22-32.

$$
759 .=\text { Fr. } 60.114-18
$$

760. Stob. Flor. 20. 31 and 20. 12 :

The speaker here is in all probability Hypsipyle, deprecating the anger of Eurydice ; cf. Fr. 22. 3 кai $\mu \eta{ }_{j} \delta{ }^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \rho[\gamma \hat{\eta} s .$. Hence this line is likely to come from the same scene as No. $75^{8}$ and Frs. 22-32.
761. Stob. Flor. ío. 16 :

Presumably spoken either by Amphiaraus to Hypsipyle or by Hypsipyle herself after her unexpected deliverance, and to be placed somewhere between Fr. 60.117 and Fr. ${ }^{64}$. ii.
762. Eust. ii. p. 959. 43 :
$\epsilon \cup ้ \phi \eta \mu \alpha$ каì $\sigma \hat{\alpha}$ каi катєбфрауıбرє́va
 supposes that the reference is to the $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ by which the recognition of Euneos and Thoas was effected. Wilamowitz would retain єư $\quad \eta \mu a$, supposing a reference to some secret which was to be preserved by silence; but the context cannot be recovered.

763 . Aristoph. Frogs 64 and Schol. ad loc.:

## خै ÉTє́ $\rho \underset{\varepsilon}{\alpha} \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega$;

The words give no indication of their context. Bothe supposed that the scholiast's
 тò $\sigma a \neq$ és.
764. Galenus, vol. 18, t p. 519:
iठov́, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha i \theta \epsilon ́ \rho ’ ~ \epsilon ' \xi \alpha \mu i \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \iota ~ к o ́ \rho \alpha s$

 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ Valckenaer, Diatr. p. ${ }_{2}{ }_{4}$ (the passage being quoted in connexion with $\dot{a} \epsilon \in \omega \mu a$ or à $\epsilon \tau$ ós), $\tau^{\prime}$. . . $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon \neq \nu$ Nauck.

The reference in these lines is obscure ; possibly they occurred in the conversation of Euneos and Thoas on their arrival outside the palace ; cf. introd. p. 23, and Fr. i. i. I-3, note.
765. Aristoph. Frogs 1320 and Schol. ad loc.:


This is connected by Welcker (Gr. Trag. ii. p. 559) with the $\chi \rho v \sigma \eta \ddot{\mu}^{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ as referred to in the Scholium on Anth. Pal. iii. 10 (introd. p. 28) as the symbol by which Euneos and Thoas established their identity. But the words might well come from a choral ode such as those to which Frs. 7 and 57-9 belong ; cf. also Fr. 64. in i.
766. Hesychius $\mathbf{1}$, p. 320 :

## à $\alpha \alpha \rho \rho \mu \alpha i$

Hesych. gives as synonyms av̉ $\eta_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ s, $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t$. An ode such as that in Frs. 57-9 would be a likely place for the word to occur.
${ }^{667}$. Harpocration, s. v. à $\rho k \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a t:$

## ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \rho к$ коS


 Brauronia, who was associated with Lemnian legend through the story told by Hdt. vi. 138 of the rape of Athenian women from Brauron. At what point an allusion to them came in the Hypsipyle is quite obscure.
768. $=$ Fr. I. iv. 15 ?
769. Cf. Fr. I. ii. 7 and introd. p. 24.
770. $=$ Fr. м. ii. I $_{3}$ ?
862. (fab. inc.) Bekker, Anecd. p. $3^{62}$ :

## ठрव́кортоs $\alpha i \mu \alpha \tau \omega \pi \grave{\partial} \nu$ ỏ $\mu \mu \alpha$

Cf. Fr. 60. 7 I-2, note.
Lydus, de mensibus iv. 7. p. 72, ed. Wünsch:

> 命 $\theta \nu \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \phi \rho \circ \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu, \mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$
> oí $\phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ єîval тŋ̀ $\nu$ тúX $\eta \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\theta \epsilon o u ́ s$.

Our attention was drawn by Wilamowitz to this citation, which is given with the name

 so stand in Nauck, Fr. adesp. 169; W-M would read $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ for $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ in 1. 3. The lines are likely to have occurred towards the end of the play, after Fr. 60.

Fr. 1. i. 2-Ir. Hypsipyle. '. . . toys to soothe thy mind from lamentation. Was it you, young sirs, who knocked at the gates? Oh happy woman your mother, whoe'er she was. What do ye come seeking from these halls?

Thoas. We desire to be taken within the house, woman, if it be possible for us to rest here a single night. We have with us all we need: wherein should we be any trouble to these halls? Thy duties will be undisturbed.

Hyps. It chances that the house is left without a man to rule it . . .'
r-3. Hypsipyle is apparently quieting the child, which had been crying, before addressing the strangers; possibly their appearance was the cause of the child's alarm. In l. 3 some
alteration of $\sigma \omega \nu$ seems almost necessary, and $\sigma$ ás, which W(ilamowitz)-M(öllendorff) suggests, is a simple remedy ; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ would be easier than $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$. The remains of the two preceding verses give little clue to their sense ; at the end of 1. I the letter before oos had a curved base, and
 tümovs, but the difference of termination seems to preclude any identification with that verse; $a \epsilon$ ]ros could not be read, and to suppose that rumos was written for $\tau u \pi \sigma$ is too bold. In 1. 2 the doubtful $\pi$ may be $\epsilon \sigma$ or $\omega$.
4. The accents of $\epsilon \kappa \rho o v \sigma a r$ and $\nu \epsilon a \nu \iota[\iota$ are wrongly placed.
7. Өóas: this was the name of the second son of Jason and Hypsipyle according also to Schol. Pind. Nem. Argum. ${ }^{2}$, Myth. Vat. 1. 133, 2. i41, Anth. Pal. iii. ro, and Statius, Theb. vi. 342; Apollod. i. 9.17 calls him Nebrophonus, Hyginus, c. 17 , Deipylus.

8. ${ }^{\prime \prime} v_{\perp}$ av ${ }^{\prime}(\sigma]$ at (Murray) suits the scanty traces sufficiently well, and is more euphonious after the preceding $\dot{d}] \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a u$ than another passive infinitive such as $\delta \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a u$. $\dot{\epsilon} v a v \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ occurs in Soph. Phil. 33.
9. The reading of the latter half of the line is doubtful. $\tau$ after $\delta \in t$ is only fairly satisfactory, and $\kappa$ or $\nu$ might well be substituted ; $[\tau \epsilon]$ hardly fills the lacuna after $\pi o$, but the scribe's spacing is irregular, and $\epsilon$ especially sometimes occupies a good deal of room.
 $\mu\left[\begin{array}{l}i v\end{array} 0\right] \bar{i}[0] s(\mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{M})$.

Fr. 2. r. The gap between this and the preceding fragment is evidently very slight, and Fr. 2. i may well be the next line to Fr. 1. i. ir. It is indeed just possible that the two lines should be combined into one, reading [å] \{o $\sigma \sigma$ oros к.т.ג., but the vestiges in Fr. 2. I though scanty are not in favour of $\sigma$. The purport of the passage clearly is that Lycurgus the king was away (cf. introd. p. 23), and that in his absence the queen Eurydice was at the head of affairs.

4 sqq. The remains of these verses suggest that the sense of Thoas's remark was 'Then we cannot find quarters here but must seek them elsewhere?' to which Hypsipyle replied, 'By no means; strangers are always made welcome here.' Ll. 4-5 may accordingly





Fr. 1. ii. 1-14. Hypsipyle is singing to the child Archemorus; cf. introd. p. 23. The metrical identity between $11.9-14$ here and $11.11-7$ in Col. iii, makes natural the supposition that the preceding verses of these two sets of lyrics were in strophic correspondence, though as they stand in the papyrus they do not at first sight appear to be so. But, as W-M points out to us, a sufficient correspondence can be obtained in 11. 5-8 with very slight manipulation, the verses being glyconics, in which a free responsion is permissible. Between 11. 8 and 9
 $\ddot{\sigma} \nu(\omega)$; cf. note on 11. S-10. By writing $\pi о т а \mu o i o ~ f o r ~ \pi о т а \mu о \hat{v}$ in iii. 6 , and in the following verse omitting the $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \tau \tau \kappa \dot{v} \nu$ in $\grave{\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu}$ and transposing the first syllable of $\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, the following correspondence is obtained :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ii. } 5 \cdot[-\simeq-]--\cup \cup-(\text { 3rd glyc. })=\text { iii. 6. } \cup--\cup \cup-\cup-\text { (2nd glyc.) } \\
& {[-\cup]---\cup \cup-\text { (3rd glyc.) }} \\
& \text { - - - v - - (pherecr.) } \\
& \cup-\cup \cup \cup-\cup \cup-\text { (3rd glyc.) } \\
& \cup \cup--\cup \cup-\cup-\text { (2nd glyc.) } \\
& \text {-v-vu-- (pherecr.) } \\
& \text { uuv uuuv - - - (2nd glyc.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Owing to the imperfect text it is hazardous to attempt to extend this process to the preceding lines; but it seems likely that in Col. iii. $3-5$ the scribe's division is at fault, and that the glyconic-pherecratic measure should be restored by writing $\sigma[\cup] \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$ ojovi|gas $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime}$
 likely belongs to the following verse; in l. 3 there seems to be a more serious dislocation or corruption.
3. Perhaps $i] \pi a ́ p x a v$, but the vestiges are too slight to give any confirmation.
 possibilities.

8-14. Hyps. 'Lo this rattle's sound! (. . .) No Lemnian strain as solace for the shuttle or for the comb pressed within the web, O Muse, is this which I have to utter, but whatever befits a young child, for his slumber or amusement or meet tending, of this I make my song' (i.e. I sing for the benefit of my nursling, not to beguile labour at the loom).

8-10. For кротá̀ $\omega \nu$ cf. Aristophanes, Frogs 1305-6, and the other references given in

 iototóvov here strongly supports ítótova in the Aristophanes passage where the Ravennas alone has icrónova, the reading preferred by recent editors.
${ }_{a}^{\prime \prime} \nu(\omega)$, written in a probably different hand at the end of 1.8 , and the critical signs in front of $11.8-9$ refer to an insertion in the lost upper margin supplying a textual omission which is also indicated by the metre; cf. note on 11. 1-1 4. Cf. also Fr. 64. 57, where кár( $\omega$ ) occurs in a similar position, and 223. 125, 700. 27.
II. W-M suggests кa入єi for $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, but, as Mr. E. C. Marchant observes, this is unnecessary if moĩaa be taken as a vocative. $\lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \ell \nu}$ has been altered (perhaps by the first hand) to $\kappa \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$; cf. I. 26, where $\Lambda \eta \mu$ ov has replaced $\nu \eta \sigma o v$. Murray remarks that these variations recall the double readings which are found in the Laurentian MS. in several of Euripides' plays, the Ion, I. A., I. T., and Rhesus, and which perhaps descended from the edition of Aristophanes of Byzantium ; cf. Wilamowitz, Heracles, I. pp. 147 sqq., 214 sq.
13. vєap $\hat{\varphi}$ : perhaps this is the passage referred to in Bekker, Antiatt. p. I09. I5 (=Nauck

14. Táde: this construction ad sensum of a plural substantive with a singular relative having a collective sense is common from Homer downwards. A good parallel to the


r5-37. Chorus. 'Why art thou, dear one, at the vestibule? Art thou sweeping the palace-entrance or sprinkling water-drops upon the ground in servile wise, or art thou hymning the fifty-oared Argo which is ever on thy lips or the sacred fleece of gold guarded upon oaken branches by a dragon's eye? Are thy thoughts with sea-girt Lemnos, echoing to the rolling billows of the Aegean, now, when hither up Nemea's meads in brazen panoply fleet Adrastus having passed the plain of Argos is bringing swift war against the lyre-built wall, the work of Amphion's hand? He has summoned the might (of Hellas) with divers scutcheons and gilded bows ...'

15 sqq. As with the lyrics of Hypsipyle (cf. note on ii. 1-14), so too in the two choral odes, strophic responsion was naturally observed, and ii. $\mathbf{1}_{5} \mathrm{sqq} .=$ iii. 18 sqq ., the metre being as before to a large extent glyconic, and the correspondence of a free character. A greater licence in the use of the polyschematic glyconic verse, as was remarked by G. Hermann, Elem. doctr. metr., is a characteristic of Euripides' later period. Hypsipyle's
third song, of which the conclusion remains at the top of Col. iv, served as an epode ; the general scheme thus is $a \beta$ a $\beta \gamma$.


18. oîá $\tau \epsilon$ : so again Fr. 64. 77 ; cf. Homer, $\gamma$ 73, Hdt. ii. 175.

19 sqq. Cf. Statius, Theb. v. 615-6 quotiens tibi Lemnon et Argo sueta loqui et longa somnum suadere querela.

 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma a \nu . .$. 'А $\rho \gamma \omega$.


28. ку ротитоs though unattested is quite a possible word, but кицокти́тоs (Simmias ap. Hephaest. p. 74 Gaisf. $\left.\kappa \nu \mu o к \tau \dot{v} \pi \omega \nu \eta \eta^{\prime \prime} \rho a \nu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda i \omega \nu \mu \nu \chi \tilde{\omega} \nu\right)$ is required by the metre.
 connexion of thought; 'Are you still harping,' the chorus asks, 'on the old themes when events of such importance are passing at our doors?' A comma-like mark just below the $a$ of $\lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu a$ seems to be meaningless.
30. $a \pi a y \epsilon t$ is not a quite satisfactory reading. The $\pi$ is represented only by the second of the two uprights, which is drawn so long as to be more like $\rho$ or $v$ with a space for an intervening letter after the $a$; there would also be room for a narrow letter between $\gamma$ and $\epsilon \iota$. But we can find no suitable alternative to ${ }^{a} \pi a \dot{\gamma} \epsilon$, and a $\pi$ of just this shape occurs in the next column in 1.20 matpoovs; cf. also mats in l. 2 I . The verse can be easily reduced to a third glyconic and brought into harmony with the remains of iii. 15 by reading $\chi$ a $\alpha \kappa$ коьo七

 would be expected to be visible between $a$ and $\rho$, and a mixture of dochmiacs ( - ov ktúnov к.т....) with glyconics does not seem very probable in a choral ode.

3I. ralpeis (W-M) suits the sense, and to a sufficient extent also the metre, though the corresponding line (iii. 34) is catalectic. Part of the tail of the $\rho$ would indeed be expected to be visible, but the scribe does not always make that letter very long (cf. e. g. $\epsilon \rho v \mu a$ in the next line), and it is not quite clear how far the accent on $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon \rho v \mu a$ extends, i. e. the upper exiremity of it might belong to a $\rho$ of the line above.
$3^{2-3}$. The wall raised by the lyre of Amphion is of course Thebes. Cf. Phoen. 823-4


 supplement at the end of the line aims at reproducing the metre of iii. 37 , but is of course
 $\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \tau \mu \circ \nu \delta^{\prime \prime \prime} A \rho \eta$ Tá申ьov $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \nu$. $A[\delta \rho] a \sigma[\tau 0] s$ is very doubtfully read, but his name can hardly be spared in this line, and the initial a is fairly certain.
 army was already on the march, and it would be more natural to describe the result than the process of Adrastus' preparations. The scanty vestiges between $\sigma$ and $\mu$ are consistent with either o or $\epsilon$, though an $\epsilon$ must have been written rather small.
36. Apparently $\sigma$ veдaтa was originally written, the $\nu$ being afterwards crossed through, but not the $\epsilon$; possibly, however, the second letter is a deleted i or $\gamma$, and the cross-bar of the supposed $\epsilon$ represents the stroke of deletion. Above the line is an a, and oápaza


 followed the $a$, for the papyrus is rubbed here.
37. The accentuation of tógá $\tau \epsilon$ is in accordance with the rules of ancient grammarians; cf. Fr. 64. ii. 1, 841. V. 44 є́ $v \theta$ á $\mu \epsilon$ and note ad loc.
38. $\mu$ оvoßá $\mu v=[s$ : the only other instance of this word is Anth. Pal. xv. 27, where it is applied to $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \circ \nu$ in the sense of having only one foot. Cf. тєт $\rho a \beta a \mu \omega \nu, E l .476, \& c$.
iii. 3-17. Hyps. '... speeding over the waves in the calm to make fast the cables, him whom the river-maiden Aegina bore, even Peleus; and by the mast amidships Orpheus' Thracian lyre of Asia sounded a dirge of invocation, playing a measure for the rowers of the long-shafted oars, now a swift stroke, now easying the blade of pine. This, this my soul longs to celebrate : let others hymn the toils of the Danai.'
$3-5$. In its present condition this is an obscure passage. On the question of the metre cf. note on ii. $\mathbf{r - r} 4$.
$6-7$. $\pi о \tau a \mu o \hat{\imath}$ and $\epsilon^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \in \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \Pi \eta \mid \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ are changes made on metrical grounds; cf. note on ii. 1-14. Peleus is introduced here as one of the Argonauts; cf. Apollod. i. 9. i6; but according to the usual mythology he was the son of Aeacus, and grandson, not son, of Aegina. The 'river' of course is Asopus.

8-Io. Cf. Statius, Theb. v. 342 sqq. vox media de putpe venit . . . Oeagrius illic acclinis malo mediis intersonat Orpheus remigiis. 'ॄ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu$ is a certain emendation of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$. The termination has been altered in the papyrus, but what was first written is doubtful ; possibly it was actually $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu$, with a very small o. The combination of 'Aбıás and Ө $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma a \\ & \text { as }\end{aligned}$ epithets of kiӨapts is harsh but excusable on account of the frequency of the conjunction 'Asian lyre'; cf. Fr. 64. ioi, Cyclops 443, \&c. Orpheus is enumerated among the Argonauts by Pindar, Pyth. iv. 3 I5, and according to later mythographers his musical art had much to do with the success of the expedition. Cf. Fr. 64. 98.

II sqq. We rearrange the division of the verses so as to correspond to that of ii. 9 sqq.
II. дакротólos is not found elsewhere, but may perhaps be defended here on the analogy of the Homeric $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ö $\rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ E 523, т 205. W-M's suggestion to read uпкротóo $\omega \nu$ (though that word too lacks classical support) is, however, very attractive ; cf. e. g. Timotheus, Persae ioi-2, where ópeiovs móoas vaós is a synonym for oars.

I5. vóeiv W-M : the earliest examples of this verb are in Alexandrian poets, but the ineptness of $i \delta \epsilon i \nu$ and the parallelism of ajvaßoá $\omega$ make the correction practically certain here ; cf. also ii. 19-2 I.

18-32. Chorus. 'From wise men have I heard the tale how of old the Tyrian maid Europa left the city and Phoenician home of her fathers, and journeyed on the waves to sacred Crete, nurse of Zeus and home of the Curetes; yet to a threefold birth of children she left sovranty and happy sway over the land. And another maiden, I hear, queenly Io of Argos, quitted her fatherland to take the horns of a cow and suffer a gadfly's torment. When the god calls this to thy mind . . .'

18 sqq. On the sequence of thought cf. introd. p. 24.
 following Bothe omits $\pi a \hat{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} s$ Tvpius, and $\pi a \hat{\imath}$ followed by tékvov can hardly be right, but a less drastic remedy would be to emend $\pi a i ̂ ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ t o ~ \pi a \iota o o ́ s ; ~ c f . ~ T v p i a ~ \pi a i s ~ h e r e . ~$
22. There does not seem much to choose between the alternative readings $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \beta a$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \beta a$, but $\dot{a} \pi о \beta a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ does not happen to occur with a direct accusative elsewhere in Euripides,
and the idea of departure is sufficiently expressed by $\lambda_{\iota \pi o v} \sigma a$. Whether the interlinear $\epsilon$ was added by the first or second hand is doubtful ; cf. introd. p. 2 I.

 compound.
26. т $\rho \iota \sigma \sigma o i ̂ s: ~ i . ~ e . ~ M i n o s, ~ R h a d a m a n t h y s, ~ a n d ~ S a r p e d o n ; ~ c f . ~ H e s i o d, ~ F r . ~ 39 ~(S c h o l . ~ I l . ~ . ~$ M 292), Apollod. iii. I. I, \&c.
27. Both a circumflex and an acute accent have been placed above the $\omega$ of $\chi \omega$ pas; the former of course is erroneous.
29. $\left[{ }^{\prime \prime \prime} \sigma\right] \tau \rho \omega:[\kappa \kappa \in \varphi]^{\prime} \rho \omega$ would remove the hiatus, but is both a less natural term (cf. however, Aesch. Prom. 596 sqq. vózov. . . â papaivєı $\mu \epsilon$ ұpiová кévzpoıs фouràéoıs) and less suited to the size of lacuna. The following word as originally written was a vox nihili; the first of the two deleted letters seems to be $\lambda$ rather than $a$.
30. [ $\pi$ át pas : the supplement is rather longer than would be expected on the analogy of the verses above, but the scribe tends to make the point of commencement of the lines advance slightly towards the left as the column proceeds; cf. l. 3 I where [ $\kappa \kappa \rho]$ ]avфópov is practically certain. [ $\chi \dot{\omega}$ ]pas is less appropriate, especially so soon after l. 27 .
a $\mu$ is, a word common in Homer and also used by Pindar, is not found elsewhere in tragedy, but that is not a sufficient reason for questioning its genuineness here.
 фápov. . .'Iov̂s, though a $a$ is not certain, and two letters would be enough for the lacuna if the column was kept straight; cf. the preceding note. Aeschylus, Prom. 588, calls Io râs及ои́кє $\rho \omega$ тар $\theta^{\prime} \nu 0 v$.
$3^{2}$ sqq. In this passage the chorus is with little doubt seeking to offer consolation and encouragement to Hypsipyle, and Wilamowitz suggests that ll. $3^{2-7}$ may have run somewhat


 restoration, which is made only exempli gratia, brilliantly satisfies the requirements of sense and metre, but in the last verse can only with difficulty be reconciled with the papyrus, where the lacuna at the beginning of $11.35-7$ is practically of the same size; one letter more than in 1.35 might be conceded in 1.37 on account of the slope of the column, but hardly three more. In l. 33 also [ovvl $\epsilon[1] s$ though just possible is unsatisfactory, since $\epsilon[t]$ would not normally fill up the space; moreover a future would be more apposite than a present tense. The letters $\sigma \delta$ are quite doubtful; the $\delta$ may well be $\theta$ or $\sigma$ and the $\sigma$ possibly $o$ or $\omega: \gamma] \nu \omega \sigma \eta$ might be read were it not for the difficulty of the apparent vestige of an accent above the place where the $\gamma$ would come ; the accent might, however, belong to the preceding letter. Perhaps $\dot{a} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i \psi \epsilon t$ is the apodosis of the sentence, and we should read [käv (?)]
 except that $\left[\kappa_{k \prime \nu} \nu\right]$ is a short supplement for the beginning of $\left.1.33 ; a_{\llcorner }\right]$would not be open to the objection brought above against $\epsilon[ \}$. The supposed acute accent cannot be a mark of elision or length. In ll. $36-7$ it is evident that the scribe's division of the verses was not the same as in ii. 33-4.
38. $\gamma \in \nu \in a$ fits in with the context as explained in the preceding note; the god will not forget his descendant.
iv. 2-9. Hyps. '. . . sang a lament for Procris the huntress whom her husband slew. Death is the meet end of these my woes. What wailing, what song, what music of the lyre with tearful lament, though Calliope inspired it, could come up to my suffering?'
2. The insidious corruption in this line was detected by Murray. The legend of Procris, daughter of Erechtheus, who was accidentally killed when hunting by her husband



3. This line at first omitted has been inserted by the original scribe ; cf. ii. 8, note.
5. In view of the imperfect context we have left this verse as it stands in the papyrus,





 suggests, than кiOapı[s as a variant for кıÓpas, but either of these would involve some alteration of $\mu \circ v \sigma^{3}$ à ${ }^{2} o \delta \nu \rho \rho \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu a$ in the following line; cf. the next note.
7. $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta a \kappa \rho v \sigma \iota$ was originally written, and then altered to $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta a \kappa \rho v \sigma \epsilon \iota, \epsilon \pi \iota \delta a \kappa \rho v \sigma \iota$ being added in the margin as a variant. The $\mu$ [following is presumably the initial letter of $\mu \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$ in some form, and possibly $\mu o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ was substituted for $\mu o v \sigma^{\prime} a ̀ \nu$-, which could not be constructed with the variant кiӨapıs (?) for кıӨápas. $\bar{\pi} \pi \delta a \kappa \rho \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon$, however, would neither scan nor construe with any of these readings.
9. $\pi$ óvous without a possessive or similar adjective is obscure, but perhaps admissible in
 an original $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$ oús, but if so the corruption has gone very deep.

The chorus now catches sight of the approaching strangers, whose advance is signalized by the usual anapaests, ll. 10-4.
iv. 10-42. Chor. 'O Zeus, Lord of our Nemea's grove, what is the quest of these strangers, marked by the Dorian fashion of their dress, whom I see approaching hard by, on their way towards these halls through the lonely grove?

Amphiaraus. How distasteful to a man is travel, and the sight of fields deserted or with lonely habitations when a wayfarer is overtaken by some need, unbefriended, with none to interpret his want, in doubt which way to turn. E'en upon me has this strait come, but with joy I saw yon house in the mead of Zeus in Nemea's land. And thee, strange woman, whether thou art a slave who watchest over the house, or no servile person, thee will I ask, what man is called the lord of this mansion where the sheep are tended in the land of Phlius?

Hyps. Lycurgus call men the master of these rich halls, who was chosen from out all Asopia to be the warden of Zeus, the country's god.

Amph. I desire to take some running water in our pitchers as a libation to the gods offered by us on our journey. For streams of stagnant water are impure, and they have all been defiled by the army's throng.

Hyps. Who are ye, and from what land do ye come?
$A m p h$. We are from Mycenae and of Argive race, and on crossing the border into another land we wish to offer sacrifice for the Danaid army ; for we have set forth against the gates of Cadmus-if haply the gods may speed us prospering on our way, woman.

Hyps. Why are ye marching, if I may learn this of thee?
$A m p h$. We would restore Polynices, an exile from his fatherland.
Hyps. And who art thou who seekest to take the troubles of others?
Amph. I am the seer Amphiaraus, son of Oecles.'
II. tov̂ $\sigma \delta^{\prime}$ : this abnormal accent was preferred by some grammarians; cf. Fr. 64. $66 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \delta^{\circ}$.
 to write a $\lambda$ in place of the first $\pi$ of $\pi \in \pi \lambda \omega \nu$.
 probably to the following $\theta$ ) is usual in $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} s$, \&c.
 Hypsipyle in Bekker, Antiatt. p. 93. 26 (Nauck Fr. 768), and ép $\quad$ нíat followed by àypoùs є $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu$ ous in 1.17 produces an awkward tautology.
18. a $a$ ou' was originally written, and the $c$ was subsequently converted into $\rho$ and $o$ written through the mark of elision, the correction being probably by a different hand; an
 and some other adjective must be substituted. It also seems likely that the nominative case in this and the next word has been replaced by the accusative, though the latter need not be wrong. äno入ıs, as Murray remarks, would be closer to the text of the papyrus than
 correction àve $\langle\mu\rangle \dot{\eta} \nu \in v \tau a$ in Ion 255 .
24. The compound $\mu \eta \lambda \circ \beta$ oorkós is not otherwise attested.
27. aipe日eis is a simple correction of $\epsilon v \rho \in \theta \in t s$, which is not a natural word here.
28. $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta o v \chi \chi o s$ 'priest', as in I. T. I3I óvias $\kappa \lambda \eta \eta \delta o u ́ \chi o v$.

 $\chi^{\prime} \epsilon_{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ ), whereas $\chi \rho \eta \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \theta a$ is indefensible with $\left.[\chi]\right]^{\prime} \rho \nu\langle a$; perhaps the scribe was influenced by xpińsoıc in the previous verse. Statius describes the country as suffering from a drought, and it was water for drink not a libation that Hypsipyle was begged to indicate ; cf. Theb. iv. 754 sqq.
31. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \omega \nu$ was an easy error with $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o v$ at the beginning of the next verse.
35. [8]pıa W-M.
37. $\dot{\omega} \mu \mu \eta_{\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a}$ appears likely here, but the supposed $\rho \mu$ are extremely doubtful; the vestiges would suit $v$ or $\xi$ better than $\rho$. A combination with Fr. 92, though the papyrus is very similar in appearance, does not seem practicable.
38. $\epsilon \delta \partial[$ in the margin at the end of this line is no doubt a variant like those in Col. iv, and we therefore infer that the verse began with $\epsilon i$ and some other particle than $\delta \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}$. $\pi \omega s$ or $\gamma$ áp. This opening combined with $\epsilon] \dot{u} \tau v \chi \bar{\omega} s$ renders the general sense sufficiently clear, and the line may be completed in various ways, of which we print an illustration. To suppose that $\epsilon \delta \eta$ [ is the commencement of a line originally omitted and subsequently supplied is inadmissible, for the margin between the columns is not nearly broad enough to contain a verse in a single line, while if the verse were divided into several lines, something of these should be visible below $\epsilon i \delta \eta$.
39. The restoration of the first half of the verse is the suggestion of Bury; but it is quite likely that the letters should be divided ]s où $\theta^{\prime} \mu[$ [s...;
 suitable. The position of Fr .3 , containing the beginnings of $11.4 \mathrm{I}-4$, is practically assured by the appearance of the papyrus and the appropriateness of its contents.
42. Both here and in Fr. 60. I5 the papyrus has the Homeric and Pindaric form 'Oik $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$, but Oik $\lambda \bar{\eta} s$ is preferred by editors of Aeschylus and Euripides. In Suppl. $9^{2} 5$, the only other passage is Eurip. where the name occurs, LP read 'Ioк $\lambda$ 'ous.
43. Hypsipyle evidently knew Amphiaraus by name; cf. e. g. Ion $260-3$ (Кр.) Кр́́ováa


44. ot $\boldsymbol{[}$ : or oot $[$ ?

Fr. 4. The precise position of this fragment is uncertain, but there are two reasons for
placing it above rather than below 11. r-I I of Col. v: (i) Amphiaraus after telling Hypsipyle his name would naturally proceed to ask hers before making any further disclosures, especially when he found that his name was familiar to her (cf. 1. 43, note), (2) a dark fibre in the papyrus in front of the lines is noticeable in Fr. 4 and also in the upper part of Col.v, but disappears lower in the column. Since the break along the top of Cols. iv and $v$ is horizontal and the number of lines in a column here is about 60 (cf. introd. p. 20), there is a loss of at least 15 lines between iv. 44 and v. r.
2. ${ }^{\hat{\eta}}$ : or ${ }^{\prime}$ or ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ?

3-4. We print a restoration suggested by Bury; the same sense can of course be represented in various other ways.

Fr. l. v. i-I i. Amph. ' My wife persuaded me...
Hyps. With righteous intent or (guilefully)?
Amph. She received a necklace...
Hyps. Whence (was it obtained)?
Amph. Famed Cadmus once married Harmonia, -
Hyps. He was one of those whose nuptials were attended by gods.
Amph. To her Aphrodite gave a lovely necklace.
Hyps. The gods to children of gods are ever kind.
Amph. Now their son was called Polydorus.
Hyps. If he was the son of a goddess, and received gods' gifts, 'twas a fit name.
Amph. His son was Labdacus...'
I-II. The subject of this passage, as was perceived by both W-M and Bury, is clearly the famous necklace of Harmonia with which Polynices bribed Eriphyle, the wife of Amphiaraus, to persuade her husband to join the expedition against Thebes; Amphiaraus had sworn that Eriphyle should be the arbiter in any question that might arise between himself and Adrastus, and so could not reject Eriphyle's request, although he was aware of her duplicity ; cf. Apollod. iii. 6. 2.

1. Only the bottoms of the first two letters remain, and their identity is extremely doubtful ; but the vestiges suit $\gamma v$, and if ö öla $\phi[\rho \rho \nu o v \sigma a$ is right in l. 2, Eriphyle must have
 बтратєย́єt
 probably indicates that the ö $\rho \mu o s$ in particular and not merely $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ in general had been mentioned, but it hardly follows that Polynices had also been specified.



2. Accounts differ as to who gave the necklace and to whom it was given ; according to some Harmonia received it from Cadmus. But that the giver in this line should be


$8-\mathrm{r} 0$. The restorations were suggested by W-M.
Fr. 5. The appearance of the papyrus suggests that this fragment goes closer to I. 12 than to 1.27 , and the first line of it may even coincide with l. 12. It is noticeable that on the lower edge of the recto there are two or three half obliterated letters in a small hand, whereas the recto of the rest of Cols. iv-v is blank. But these few letters run in the reverse
direction to the other writing on the recto, and their presence is not a valid reason against placing the fragment in Col. v, which is its most suitable position. Which of the speakers is Amphiaraus and which Hypsipyle is not clearly defined.
3. The letter after $\delta$ is more probably o than $\epsilon_{\text {。 }}$

Col. v. 27. A comparison with the preceding column indicates a gap of it lines after l. 12. If $\gamma \gamma_{[ }$[ in 1.28 is $\gamma i[v a c$ in the vocative the speaker there must be Amphiaraus, but that is far from certain.
29. The $\delta$ in the left margin marks the 400 th line of the play; cf. Fr. 25 , and introd. p. 20.

Frs. 6-9. We regard these fragments as forming part of the stasimon which followed the scene between Hypsipyle and Amphiaraus. That Frs. 6-7 and 9 belong to a single column is practically assured by a vertical crease in the papyrus, made, as the writing in the case of the two latter shows, after the recto but before the verso was inscribed. This crease has also served as a rough guide to the number of letters lost at the beginnings of lines in Frs. 6 and 7. The position of the three fragments relatively to each other is quite uncertain, and they may be arranged in any order; but it is likely on account of the difference of subject that Fr. 9 was separated by a considerable gap from the other two. The reference to $\chi \in \rho \iota \imath a[$ in Fr. 6. 1 affords a slight reason for placing that fragment first ; also Frs. 7 and 9 are alike in colour, while that of Fr. 6 is rather different. Fr. 8, containing the beginnings of nine lines from [. .] ]ev[ to $\sigma \phi$. [ is shown to belong to the same column by the appearance of the papyrus on both recto and verso (the line of junction between two selides accurately corresponds in Frs. 8 and 9), and its place has been determined on internal evidence, especially ll. $6-7$ and 9 .

Fr. 6. I. $\chi^{\epsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \nu \psi$ is usually accented, like other words in $\psi$, on the penultimate, but the accent $\chi \in \rho v i \not \beta o s, \& c$. , as in the papyrus, was usual mapà rois motqrais according to Suidas s.v.
3. The supposed interlinear $\nu$ is possibly only a circumflex accent, but the angle seems to be too acute.
 reminiscence of this passage.

Frs. 8-9. The chorus is here tracing the events which led to the expedition against Thebes. According to the well-known story Polynices of Thebes and Tydeus of Calydon, both fugitives from their homes, arrived simultaneously at Argos and began quarrelling in front of the palace of Adrastus about their quarters for the night ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma i a s ~ \pi[\epsilon \rho] i$ vvктé $\rho o v$, 1. 10). Adrastus roused by the noise separated the combatants; and, believing that they represented the lion and the boar which an oracle had foretold as the husbands of his
 and undertook to restore them each to his country. Cf. Suppl. I 3 I sqq., Phoen. 409 sqq., Apollod. iii. 6. I.
2. Pleuron was close to Calydon, the capital of Tydeus.

6-15. 'By night in lairs by the court-yard, exchanging frequent defiances, by oarage of iron and by slaughter they made proof with the spear, fugitives as they were, of the spirit of their noble fathers. And king Adrastus lay in his couch, having received the behests of Phoebus that he should wed his daughters to wild beasts . . .
$6-9$. The restoration, which proceeds on the assumption that $\theta v \mu{ }^{\circ} \nu$ in 1.12 is correct (cf. note ad loc.), is mainly due to Murray. For 1. 6 cf. Phoen. 4 I $5^{-6}$ (IIo.) vv̇ ${ }_{\eta} \nu$, 'A $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \tau o v$
 $\phi u$ os in 1.5 is masculine and not feminine it is probable, as Bury remarks, that l. 6 is a fresh clause and $\nu v]$ kròs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ should be restored. At the end of the line either aùdộ or
 the dative would perhaps be expected rather than the genitive in such a phrase, as e.g.
 seems certain, though we can find nothing quite parallel. In l. 9 the letter before ov may be $\chi$. $\sigma \phi$ aरậ is a somewhat strong expression, since nobody was killed or, for anything the story tells us, even hurt ; but the imperfect $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{ov} \nu$ serves to soften it.
12. $\theta v \mu o v$ : only very slight vestiges remain of the letters after $\mu$, and the first of them may also be $a$ or $\omega$; $\theta v \mu \omega \delta[$. .] could be read, but there is not room for $\theta v \mu \omega \dot{\delta}[\epsilon \epsilon s]$, even if that prosaic word could be admitted here, and $\delta o p i ̀ ̀ v \mu \omega \delta[\epsilon]]$ is an improbable combination.
 is known, nor are there obvious analogies upon which to coin one that would suit the papyrus.

 द̇voтаі.
15. $[\zeta] \in \in[\xi]$ ac is somewhat too cramped to be quite satisfactory, but is adopted in default of a better reading; ápoórac is excluded.




Fr. 10. As explained in introd. p. 25 we regard this and the three following fragments (the relative order of which is quite uncertain) as belonging to a lyrical dialogue between the chorus and Hypsipyle after the latter's return from her disastrous expedition with Amphiaraus. Much depends upon the correctness of the decipherment in l. 3 of Fr. io, where there is a broken letter of the name of the speaker. If the name is, as we believe, ' $\Upsilon \psi i] \pi(\dot{\nu} \lambda \eta)$, the view adopted of this fragment seems necessary. The doubtful $\pi$ may also be a letter with a round top like $\theta$ or o (hardly $\rho$ ), but the abbreviation $\chi]$ ( $\rho o s_{s}$ ) is unsuitable because something of the $\chi$ ought also to be visible. Murray proposed to make Fr. ro refer to a search for Hypsipyle and Fr. ir. 1-2 represent her cries when captured, while Bury thought that Fr. IO is a dialogue between the members of the chorus, who caught sight of the struggle with the serpent going on in the distance. But the name of Hypsipyle before 1. 3 would of course be inconsistent with either of these interpretations.
2. There is a speck of ink at the edge of the papyrus in front of this line, but the absence of a paragraphus below l. I is against referring l. 2 to a different speaker whose name might be given in the margin, as in 1. 3 .
3. $\mu a[$ крá $\nu$ Murray. There is no paragraphus below $\epsilon \gamma \gamma v s$.
4. If $\lambda_{\epsilon}$ Jú $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is right, this line projected by a letter further to the left than 11. 3 and $7-8$.
 фáv $\theta_{t}$ acis. Either two or three letters may be lost according as l. 4 or 1l. $7-8$ are taken as the standard (cf. note on 1. 4); yovajîces would be too long. At the end of this line some correction has been made; apparently a letter like $\gamma$ or $\tau$ has been crossed through and o or $\rho$ written above. Whether the next letter, which is rounded like $\epsilon, \theta$, or $\sigma$, was also altered cannot be determined ; єip $\quad$ кє is unsatisfactory as the remains stand.
6. If $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ is right the $\gamma$ has been corrected, perhaps from $\tau$ or because as first written the effect of $\tau$ was produced; cf. Fr. 1. iv. 2 and Fr. 64. 12, where there has been a confusion of $\gamma$ and $\tau$.

Fr. 13. r. The vestige in the margin may be part of an oblique dash (cf. Fr. 57. 16, Fr. $60.7^{2}, \& \%$.) or represent a letter, e. g. $x[0(\rho o ́ s)$ as in 1.4 below.

Frs. 14-7. These fragments may be connected either with Frs. 6-9 or $10-3$. Frs. 14 and 15 were found adhering together, face to face, and the worm-eaten edges follow the same pattern.

Frs. 18-9. On the position and interpretation of these two pieces cf. introd. p. 25. They were found with the main group of fragments, but are distinguished from them by the dark colour and semi-decayed condition of the papyrus.

Fr. 18. 1. The letters $\nu \delta$ are very doubtful: к $\rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \quad \sigma \kappa \kappa a \zeta[$ might be read; cf. I. T.

3. A mark like a grave accent has been placed above $\pi$ as well as the preceding $\omega$; probably the accent intended for the $\omega$ was first written too far to the right, and then repeated in its proper place. The acute accent on $\lambda_{\epsilon \tau \sigma \sigma \omega}$ [ seems to have been corrected from a circumflex.
4. $\pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta к a \sigma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ presumably refers to the $\delta \rho a \dot{k} \omega \nu$, though $\pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \xi$ is not used elsewhere of a serpent's crest. Cf. Statius, Theb. v. 510 auratae crudelis gloria frontis prominet, 572 perque iubas stantis capitisque insigne corusciemicat.
 The vestige of the letter after $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ is too minute to be recognized.
6. At the left edge of the papyrus opposite this line are two letters, in a smaller but perhaps not different hand, which may be read as $] \lambda a$ or $] a \lambda$. They probably belong to a marginal note on the preceding column (cf. Fr. 64. 50-1) rather than to an entry of the dramatis persona, since the paragraphus shows that a change of speaker does not occur till the line below. The commencement of the verse is difficult. The letter after the lacuna seems to be either $\delta$ or $a$, and rather the former than the latter. náv $[\tau a]$ dia $\delta \hat{\rho} a \mathrm{a} a \iota$ suggests itself, but the compound $\delta$ ta $\delta \rho a \hat{v}$ does not occur. On the other hand if the words are


7. The first letter of the line had a tall stroke and was with little doubt either $\phi$ or $\psi$. We suppose the verse to have begun with a hypermetrical $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ on account of the difficulty of filling up a foot with the remaining two letters ; but there is a rather similar problem in the next line.
8. The vestige supposed to represent the top of the $\epsilon$ in $\kappa \in \iota$ and the stop at the end of the word might together be taken as a diaeresis over the $\left.\iota, \kappa_{[ } \cdot\right] i$; but there would then be room only for a very narrow letter, another $t$ or $o$, in the lacuna. At the beginning of the line the space is so short that the foot and a half to be supplied there (if J $\sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon$ is right) must have consisted mainly of vowels.
9. Some insertion has been made over the line, but its nature is very uncertain. The $\epsilon$ after $\phi$ is on a small fragment which broke away when the papyrus was being flattened, and should perhaps be put closer to the $\rho . A \mu \phi[t a] \rho \in[\omega s$ cannot be read.

Fr. 19. This fragment is closely connected with Fr. 18 by the appearance of the papyrus. Possibly it joins on above $\delta$ oas $[$ in l. i of Fr. 18.

Frs. 20, 21. On the scene here see introd. p. 24. The position of Fr. 20, which con-
tains the beginnings of $11 . \mathbf{I}^{-4}$, is probable on internal evidence and confirmed by the correspondence of the fibres of the recto.

r-16. Hyps. 'Dear friends, I stand on the razor's edge, (in danger of) shameful treatment ; I am full of fear.<br>Chor. Hast thou no word of hope to tell thy friends?<br>Hyps. Flight I if only I had knowledge of these roads !<br>Chor. What then hast thou found that spurs thee to boldness?<br>Hyps. I am fearful of what I shall suffer because of the child's death.<br>Chor. Poor soul, thou hast some acquaintance with such ills!<br>Hyps. Yea, I know them, and I will be on my guard.<br>Chor. Where then wilt thou turn? What city will receive thee ?<br>Hyps. My feet and zeal will decide that.<br>Chor. The land is guarded round about by sentinel-posts.<br>Hyps. You are right: let that be ; but I go.<br>Chor. Consider, for thou hast friends in us to give thee counsel.<br>Hyps. What if I found some one to conduct me forth from this land ?<br>Chor. There is no one who is willing to conduct a slave.'

1. $\bar{\omega} \phi[i \lambda \tau a] \tau a u$, suggested by Bury, is suitable in itself but not a very satisfactory reading of the papyrus, as it makes the letters between $\phi$ and $\tau$ rather crowded, while on the other hand there is a slight space between the $\omega$ and the $\phi ; \omega[.] \rho$ could be read. $\bar{\omega}$ фi $\lambda$ tarat
 $\gamma$ here is quite doubtful. At the end of the line $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \xi v \rho o \hat{v}$ is only one of many possibilities:

 usual word, e. g. Fr. 64. 76, Orest. 1255 фóßos é $\chi є \iota \mu \epsilon$.
2. $\sigma \tau \epsilon\left[\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta^{\prime}\right.$, which could be read, is an obvious restoration, but the line is then
 סoкє̂̂, but $\tilde{\text { ëp }}$ pava, though a word used by Euripides as well as Aeschylus and Sophocles, occurs only in lyrics. Hence we adopt the restoration proposed by Murray, which is sufficiently consistent with the papyrus; something of the lost $\beta$ might have been expected to be visible, but would not necessarily be so.
3. W-M would restore at the end of this line как $\omega$, on the analogy of Androm. 28
 sense. Hypsipyle has just stated in the previous line what her $\dot{a} \lambda \kappa \grave{\eta} \kappa а \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, her defence or resource, was to be, namely flight ; and her reply in 1.7 shows clearly that the present question must be, what induced her to contemplate such a bold step. Our proposed restoration attempts to give this meaning. Whether the alteration of the original reading $\delta \eta \pi \% \tau$, for which $\delta \eta \tau a \gamma$ has apparently been substituted, is by the first hand, is doubtful.

 and Phoen. 1430 $\pi \rho 0 \theta v \mu i ́ a ~ \pi o \delta o ́ s . ~$

12-3. $\phi \rho \circ[\nu \rho i o]_{\epsilon \sigma \nu}$ and $[\nu] \kappa \bar{a}\left[s{ }^{s}\right]$ W-M ; for the latter cf. Suppl. 946-7 ( $\theta \eta$.) ri $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau a$

 the papyrus. In 1. I $2 \eta \delta \epsilon$ has been lightly crossed through with ink of the same colour as that of the overwritten $\epsilon \nu$.
 § $\rho a \pi$ étas] may be suggested as an alternative supplement.

Fr. 22. The speaker of $11 . \mathrm{r}-8$ is evidently pleading the cause of Hypsipyle, and we assign them to Hypsipyle herself for the reasons given in introd. p. 26.
2. The doubtful $\beta$ may be $\theta$.
7. $\delta t a \rho t \theta_{\mu}$ [ may be some part of the verb $\delta t a \rho \iota \theta_{\mu \epsilon i v}$ or $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ à $\rho \theta_{\mu}[\hat{\omega} \nu]$; for the former cf.
 aves $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$.
9. $\ddot{\epsilon}] \lambda \in[\xi a s$ Bury ; ] $] \wedge[$ or $] \lambda \omega[$ can also be read, or possibly $] a \sigma[$ though the first letter is more like $\lambda$ than $a$. There would not be room for $\epsilon \delta \rho$ ] ara as.
II. This was the last line of a column.

Frs. 23-36. The relative position of these pieces is mostly indeterminate, though there are grounds in certain cases for connecting two or more of them somewhat closely together; see the notes on the individual fragments.

Frs. 23-4. These two fragments are similar in appearance, and may well belong to the same dialogue ; if the speakers are, as we conjecture, Eurydice and Hypsipyle, (A), the questioner, would naturally be the former in both pieces.

Fr. 23. 3. Perhaps \& $\pi a v[$ кakior (cf. Hipp. 682), if the line is spoken by Eurydice to Hypsipyle ; cf. the previous note.

Fr. 25. $\zeta$ in the margin of Col. ii marks, we suppose, the 600 th, not the 700th line, the numeration being by the letters of the alphabet, not figures; thus $1000=\kappa$, not $\iota, 1100=\lambda$, not ta, and so on ; cf. Fr. 64. 79, 841. II. 25, VI. 7, and P. Brit. Mus. 732. Col. xvi (Journal of Phil. xxvi. No. ${ }^{5}$ 1, p. 43), where a $\zeta$ denotes the 600 th line of Iliad xiii. The same alphabetical system, in which $\varsigma$ is omitted and $\zeta=6$, is commonly used for the numeration of the books of a work, e. g. Homer and Herodotus. In P. Grenf. II. ir. ii. 4 (Pherecydes), where a 5 which is in all probability stichometrical is found, the scribe has confused the alphabetical and numerical systems or employed the latter.

Frs. 27-9. Fr. 28 was found adhering, face downwards, to the upper right-hand side of Fr. 27, and the worm-eaten edges have the same pattern. This indication that the two fragments are to be connected gains some confirmation from the recto, where part of an oblique dash denoting a total occurs on Fr. 27, and on Fr. 28 there is in the right position the end of a stroke which may be the continuation of the same oblique dash. If so, the
 $\delta]$ eikovar would be a suitable combination; but we have not succeeded in carrying out the restoration on this basis. That Fr. 29 belongs to the same column as Fr .27 is made probable by the presence of a pair of dark fibres in the left margin of both fragments; these fibres are rather closer to the commencement of the lines in $\mathrm{Fr} .{ }_{2} 7$ than in Fr .29 , which suggests that the latter preceded, but this inference is not certain. The speaker apparently is Hypsipyle, who is addressing the queen Eurydice (cf. Fr. 27. 2 and 6-7), as in Fr. 22, and perhaps Frs. 27-9 come from the upper part of the column of which Fr. 22 is the bottom; but the writing on them is of a distinctly smaller size than that of Fr. 22, so that in any case it is likely that there was an appreciable interval.

Fr. 27. I. Only the bottom of the stichometrical letter in the margin remains, and it may be read as $\epsilon$, but $\epsilon$ does not suit the supposed situation here ; cf. the previous note and introd. p. 26.
2. The accent of $\chi[\epsilon \rho]$ vi $\beta[$ does not prove that the termination was the genitive plural ; cf. Fr. 6. i, note.
3. There is not room for $\epsilon \gamma \omega$ at the beginning of this line, but $v \pi o$ would be just possible ; perhaps not more than a single letter is lost in the lacuna between $\epsilon$ and $v$. A paragraphus below this or the next line would probably be invisible, the papyrus being much rubbed.
4. A single broad letter would fill the space before $\delta \eta \tau a(?)$, but there would be room for e.g. ov or $\tau \iota$. Either $\gamma$ or $\pi$ could well be read in place of $\iota$ before the final lacuna.

Fr. 28. i. $] \delta \epsilon \iota \xi$ : the $\iota$ may be $v$, i.e. $] \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{i} \xi[$. For a possible combination with Fr. 27. 2 cf. note above on Frs. 27-9.
3. Only part of the $\nu$ remains, but there is enough of it, we think, to exclude $\mu$.

Fr. 29. See note on Frs. 27-9.
Fr. 32. The speaker here, evidently, is again Hypsipyle, who is divelling upon her love for her dead nursling, probably in repudiation of the accusations of Eurydice; cf. Fr. 60. 10. It is clear from the recto that the fragment is not from the same column as Fr. 22 or Fr. 27.
3. $v$ after $a v$ is fairly certain, but beyond this the remains of letters are very slight till ua is reached; the $\iota$ may be part of a $\mu$, and vua or $\iota \mu a \sigma$ could be read.
4. W-M suggests $\tilde{v} \pi /$ vov.
7. к] $] \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \sigma[s: ~ к \eta \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ is used in Troad. 893 of the charms of Helen.
 be e. g. $\kappa, \lambda$, or $\nu$.

I . $\chi$ is corrected, apparently from $\gamma$.
Fr. 33. The speaker and subject of this fragment are both problematical. Ooa in 1.7 naturally suggests Өóa[s, and perhaps this fragment belongs with Frs. 34-5 to a scene in which the sons of Hypsipyle again figured ; cf. introd. p. 29.

1. The supposed grave accent on $\omega$ is very doubtful; a circumflex or breathing, or an interlinear letter, is equally possible.

Frs. 34-5. The suggested combination of these two fragments is made probable by its suitability in $11.5^{-6}$, and some confirmatory evidence is supplied by the recto. But the situation remains very doubtful, and we abstain from attempts at reconstruction. That
 that she is confronted by Euneos and Thoas, but we are not convinced that the periphrasis used in speaking of Hypsipyle in l. 5 really involves this; cf. introd. p. 29, and the notes below. The number of letters to be supplied at the beginnings of the lines is uncertain ; they are estimated on the hypothesis that six are lost in 11. 4-6, but though there can hardly have been less, there may have been more. The worm-eaten pattern of Fr. 35 is identical with that of Frs. 14-5.
 Eurydice who had been away from the palace, and had now just returned. He thinks that the absence of the queen as well as the king when Amphiaraus arrived would be an advantage to the plot as helping to excuse Hypsipyle, who thus could not ask leave to grant his request. But the data seem scarcely sufficient to substantiate this view. The vestige before ove suits a $\theta$ only moderately well, and the proposed restoration of the preceding lacuna is somewhat overlong.
4. Perhaps фpov]riou[ ; the letter before $\imath$ (which is almost certain) may be $\gamma$. Bury
 referring to Hypsipyle.

but W-M objects to this (I) that $\tau^{\prime} \kappa v \omega$ would be expected, and (2) that Hypsipyle was a dry-nurse. No doubt the dative would be more natural, but the genitive hardly seems impossible ; and to the latter objection it may be aniswered that Hypsipyle would not be more than middle-aged (Statius, Theb. v. 466, makes her sons about twenty years old), and that her own language rather conveys the impression that she fulfilled all a mother's functions $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ ov̀ $\tau \epsilon \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma a$, especially if $\check{\epsilon} \dot{\varphi} \epsilon \rho \beta_{\circ \nu}$ be read in Fr. 60 . 12, and secondly that she was certainly imagined as a nurse in the fuller sense by Statius; cf. Theb. v. 6I7 ubera parvo iam materna dabam. It may also be questioned whether $\tau \rho 0 \phi$ às $\delta \iota \delta \delta o u$ a would necessarily imply


Frs. 37-56 are too small to give clear indications concerning their metre. They were found at the same time as Frs. 6 sqq. (cf. introd. p. 20), and are therefore grouped here with them.

Fr. 41. 1. $\mu$ íp]tuviv: cf. Fr. 60. 18.
Fr. 46. I. The deleted $a$ was originally unelided.
Fr. 49. 2. There was a horizontal stroke like a mark of length or a rough breathing above the letter preceding the first $a$.

Frs. 57-9 probably belong to the stasimon preceding the act partially preserved in Fr. 60 ; cf. introd. p. 27 , and note on 1. 17. We have not succeeded in finding a combination between them, but the texture of the papyrus and the character of the script, as well as similarities in subject and metre, serve to connect them. The praise of Dionysus is the main theme, and the metre had a large anapaestic element.

Fr. 57. r. This line is apparently the first of a column.
5. The supposed stop after io may well be one of two dots inclosing the interlinear variant, though such dots are not commonly used in this papyrus; cf. however, Fr. x. iv. $6-7$ and Fr. 73. 4.
10. Cf. Rhes. 12 тi tò $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu$ a $\theta$ pótı.
 $\delta^{\circ}$ ès $\lambda_{\imath}$ ßávov калиós.
17. The traces of the stichometrical figure are slight, but that it is such a figure is evident from the horizontal dashes above and below it, and this granted the only suitable reading is $\lambda$, i. e. 1100 ; the stroke seems to be too diagonal for the right-hand limb of a $\mu$.

20 sqq. The commencement of a new strophe or antistrophe is marked by the paragraphus and the projection of the lines to the left ; cf. e. g. Fr. I. iii. 18. Who is addressed in $\pi \dot{\tau} \tau \nu a \operatorname{\theta \epsilon } \hat{\omega} \nu$ is not clear.
22. Cf. I. T. 209 т $\rho \omega \tau$ óyovo Өádos.

Fr. 58. I. avpat: or $\lambda v \rho a u$.
 $\sigma \mu \dot{v} \nu \nu \eta s$ aitepias $\tau \epsilon$ катvóv.
3. Cf. Fr. 57. 7.
 I, where the MS. reading is kvaapitrotpó申ov. It is just possible that $\phi$ and not $\delta$ stood in the papyrus, but something of the vertical stroke of a $\phi$ ought certainly to appear. кıтарєббó $\rho \circ \delta o s$, as $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$ remarks, is a hardly possible compound.

Fr. 59. The colour of the papyrus suggests that this fragment is to be placed below rather than above Fr. 58 ; it does not seem likely that Fr. 58.12 and Fr. 59. 1 coincide.

Fr. 60. 5-62. $H_{y} / p s$. '. . . So seemest thou to indulge blind rage without staying to learn truly the events' course. Art thou silent, and answerest none of my complaints ? For of the child's death I am indeed the cause, but of killing him I am not justly accused,my nursling, whom I fed in my arms, and who to my love was as my own child in all save that I bare him not, my great comfort! O prow of Argo, and the sea's white foam! O my children, I perish miserably! O seer, son of Oecles, death is upon me! Help me, come, suffer me not to die on a shameful charge ; since for thy sake I am lost! Come, for thou knowest my case, and wouldst be received by this woman as the surest witness of my mishap.-Let us go, since I see no friend at hand to save me. Vain then was my compunction!

Amph. Stay, thou who art sending this woman to be slain, O queen of the palace; for from thy comeliness to my view I atribute to thee noble birth.

Hyps. O, by thy knees, Amphiaraus, from the ground I supplicate thee, by thy beard, by Apollo's sacred art, save me, for thou art come at the very moment in my extremity, and 'tis for thy sake that I perish. I am at the point of death, and in bonds thou seest me at thy knees who then went with the strangers. So thou, a holy man, wilt do a holy deed; but if thou desertest me thou wilt be a reproach to the Argives, yea, to the Hellene race. O thou who by the altar's sacred flame dost foresee the fortunes of the Danai, tell this woman of the child's disaster, for thou wert by and knowest. She says that of set purpose I killed her son and plotted against her house.

Amph. With knowledge am I come, having suspected the fate which the child's end would bring upon thee; and I am here to aid thine cvil case, armed not with might, but right. For it were shame to know well how to receive bencfits from thee, and having received them, how to do nought in return. First then, stranger lady, show thy face; for the discreetness of my eye is much noised abroad among the Hellenes, and it is my nature, lady, to restrain myself and to discern qualities. Next listen and relax this hastiness. In all else error needs must be, but error against the life of a man or woman is a foul thing.

Euryd. Stranger, native of the neighbouring land by Argos, I have learned of all men of thy discretion, else hadst thou never stood by and looked upon this face. And now if thou desirest, I am willing to listen and to instruct thee ; for thou art not unworthy.

Amph. Lady, I would soften thy bitterness at this poor creature's injury, not so much out of regard for her as for justice; and I am shamed before Phoebus whose art I practise by sacrificial fire if I speak any falsehood. 'Twas I who persuaded this woman to show a spring of water running with a pure stream that therefrom I might take an offering for the army in crossing the bounds of Argos . . .'

4 sqq. Hypsipyle on her way to death is making a last effort to move Eurydice; cf. introd. p. 26.
5. $\delta o k][$ is $\sigma v$ : or $\delta o \kappa[E \hat{i} \sigma o u$, and the sentence is perhaps interrogative. For $\chi a p i s \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$

II. $\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ : $\delta^{\prime}$ Pap., but $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$ as $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$ remarks, is superfluous; $\tau \not \approx \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \pi \omega s$, which he suggests, is a rather larger alteration.


 àүкá入ats. W-MI suggests ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \rho о \nu\langle\dot{\epsilon} \pi\rangle \omega \phi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \mu^{\prime}$. Cf. for the language here Fr. 32, and for $\dot{\omega}^{\prime} \notin \lambda \mu \mu^{\prime}$ Statius, Theb. v. 608 sqq. O mihi desertae natorum dulcis imago, Archemore, o rerum et patriae solamen ademptae servitiique decus.
 кд $u$ óa $\lambda$ єuкaivovaav.
14. The dot which is placed directly over $\sigma$ of $\pi a \delta \delta \sigma \sigma$ was perhaps intended to cancel that superfluous letter, but it may be a carelessly written stop.
16. äp $p \xi_{0}\left[\nu,{ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta^{\prime}\right.$ : so Herc. F. 494 .
 required sense.
20. "iy $y \tau \epsilon$ is addressed by Hypsipyle to her guards.

21 . On the significance of the words $\kappa \in \nu \grave{a} \delta^{\prime}\{\hat{\epsilon} \mid \pi \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ äpa see introd. p. 25 . It was suggested by Murray that $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ might possibly be here used in a passive sense, 'I was reverenced,' i. e. spared, in which case Hypsipyle would mean that she might as well have been slain at once ; but there seems to be no parallel for such a use.
22. o of $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi$ ovaa has been corrected apparently from $\epsilon$, and probably $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma$ was first written. The left margin is broken away close to the beginnings of the lines throughout this column, and the entries of the speakers' names, if they occurred, are lost.
23. $\epsilon v \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon s$ was first written, the $\iota$ being a later insertion though possibly by the original

 sort, was to follow.
 familiarity of the formula $\pi \rho \rho_{s} \sigma \epsilon \gamma^{\circ} v a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \mathcal{\&} c$., which is sometimes used with an entire ellipse


29. Since the second sentence expands the first and does not stand in any sort of opposition to it, $\tau \epsilon$ is more appropriate than $\delta \epsilon$. Perhaps the particles should be transposed, $\mu \notin \lambda \lambda \omega \delta_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu i a \nu \tau \epsilon$.
30. $\theta$ of $\tau 0 \theta$ is corrected from $\tau$. The mistaken $v$ in $\xi \in v o u s$ has not been crossed out.
$3^{1-2}$. Some or even all of the corrections may be in another hand; the $\eta$ above oc in 1. 32 looks as if it had been enlarged after it was first inserted.
35. [oic $] \theta a$ (Murray) is more likely than $[\hat{j} \sigma] \theta a$.
43. Eurydice had veiled herself on the sudden intrusion of a strange man. Cf. the

 that Eurydice's husband was absent from the palace. A more subtle interpretation of her attitude has been proposed by Murray, who thinks that shame at being surprised by a good man in an act of blind vindictiveness led to an outburst of tears. There is, however, no real hint of this in the Greek, and 11. $5^{1-2}$ are hardly consistent with it. For the turn of the


44-5. There seems to be no similar instance of this use of $\delta i \eta$ ќc $\omega \nu$, which inverts
 But the locution may be defended on the analogy of $\delta u$ évau, $\delta \iota \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a u$, $\mathbb{E c}$. ., and there is no need to suspect a corruption. к of кat has been corrected; the scribe apparently began to write $\sigma$.
 vóqous. By тò סıaф́́pove' ópầ Amphiaraus apparently means that he regarded essential qualities, not allowing himself to be distracted by vanities.
47. Perhaps the interlinear $\delta$ as well as the $\epsilon$ and $\sigma$ is by a later hand.

52. Sense and metre both demand the insertion of $\ddot{\mu}_{\nu} \nu$ after ${ }_{0} \mu \mu a$.
53. Boviєt here Pap., but $-\eta$ is the regular form elsewhere.

60 . The circumflex accent on $\epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega}$, influenced apparently by the prodelision, is curious; but the accentuation is not seldom at fault; cf. Fr. i. i. 4, iv. ir. крqpaiov quivos occurs in Aesch. Pers. 483.
$6 \mathbf{1}$. [ön $\omega$ s $\lambda a ́ \beta \not \omega$ Murray.
62. What was originally written in place of 'Apyєiov $\dot{\omega}$ s is obscure; perhaps the a of $\pi \rho_{0} \theta v \mu a$ was also deleted. The mark above $\omega$ of $\omega s$ was presumably intended as a rough breathing but it consists of a single horizontal stroke. $\delta_{L} \downarrow \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, followed by some such word as ópıб $\mu a, ~ W-M$.
67. In the initial lacuna W-M suggests $\chi \grave{\omega}$, which might be written kat o, Bury "avє.
68. $\mu \in \nu[$ : or $\mu \epsilon \iota$. [, in which case $\mu \epsilon[\nu$ probably followed $\pi a \hat{s}$ in the preceding line. ]as $a \mu \epsilon \tau \psi[$ could be read.

 p. 362 , and has been referred to this play by Hartung, Eurip. Rest. ii. p. 436. The subject
 The breathings in 1.72 are both not quite certain.
77. We adopt the restoration proposed by Bury; the line of course easily admits


81. The letters after $\mu \eta$ are represented by exiguous vestiges and are all very doubtful. Above the second of them there is a faint vertical mark which may represent an inserted iota; that it is the top of a $\phi$ or $\psi$ is not probable.
82. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú $\chi$ [ or ä $\lambda \lambda$ ov $\chi$ [.
84. Káó $\mu$ ov: sc. $\pi o ́ \lambda(\iota s)$ or some equivalent expression.

86. $\iota \xi \in \tau \pi p\left[\right.$, as Murray suggests, seems to be a crasis of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \in \tau a \iota$ ipa. Our restorations in this and the next two lines only attempt to give the sense.

89-96 $=$ Nauck Fr. 757. 1-8. Lines $89-92$ and 95 end-96 are quoted by Clement Alex. Strom. iv. p. 587 , ll. 89-96, by Plutarch, Mor. p. I 10 F, and Stobaeus (who gives the name of the play), Flor. 1o8. i i, ll. 94-5 $\mu \dot{\eta}$, by Marcus Antoninus 7. 40, and 1.94 again at 11. 6. Lines 90-4 are translated by Cicero, Tusc. 3. 25. 59.
89. $\delta^{\prime}$ av̉: yoûv Clem. ; $\delta^{\prime}$ aủ is clearly right.
 Krit. Stud. ii. p. 487, on the ground that Cicero has quem non attingit dolor.
91. There is considerable variation in this line in the authorities; Stob. has Gít $\pi \in \iota \nu$. . .
 ขє́a. We follow Nauck's text.
 Stob., who also has катà $\delta^{\prime}\left(=\kappa \frac{1}{3} \tau a \delta^{3}\right.$ ? $)$ ) for ка̀ тád'.
 translation reddenda terrae est terra.

94-5. Biov M. Ant. I I. 6, and tò . . . тó for rò̀ . . . тóv 7. 40.
96. $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu . . . \delta \delta \iota \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ \nu$ : $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu . . . \delta \epsilon \iota ̂ \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath} \nu$ Clem.

After this line Plut. and Clem. give another, which Nauck edits as $\delta \in \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ov $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$
 has been an omission in the papyrus; cf. Fr. i. ii. 8 and Fr. 64. 57. On the other hand
 as Evjurißou simply, without the name of the play, in another place, Flor. 29. 56. Stobaeus' testimony, therefore, tends to corroborate the papyrus, and as the line is easily spared we do not insert it.
97. The letter before the lacuna seems to be o rather than $\epsilon$; i. e. "A $\rho \gamma \sigma\left[v s\right.$ or 'A ${ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\circ} \theta \epsilon \nu$.
 indicated.

99-101. Cf. Statius, Theb. v. 536-7 ut inde sacer per saecula Grais gentibus et tanto dignus morereve sepulcro, and 74 I mansuris donandus honoribus infans.

102-3. Cf. the words of the scholiast on Clement quoted in introd. p. $22 \begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \pi\end{gathered}$ aủt
 $\sigma \in \lambda i \nu \omega \nu$.
106. The line may be completed e. g. 'А $\rho \chi є \mu$ ópov $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa$ ótos, as Bury suggests.
inf. eis tò 入omóv Murray.

if 3. $\dot{\eta}$ after $\eta \sigma \sigma o \nu$ is naturally interpreted as $\eta$; cf. Fr. I. ii. 19 and 22 , where $\eta$ is written in the same way. But $\mu \eta \nu$ is obscure.
${ }_{114-7}=$ Nauck Fr. 759 , quoted from the Hypsipyle in Orion, Flor. 7. 5, p. 51, 10 ; 1. II 4 also appears, without statement of the source, in Flor. Monac. 100.
114. фúvets: so correctly Flor. Monac.; xpíjets Orion.
117. oưó́: oưס́év Orion, corr. Schneidewin. Wecklein, Rhein. Mus. xxxiii. p. 121 proposes to read $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ in place of $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega}$.

Frs. 61-3. These fragments, as W-MI suggests, may be assigned with probability to the columns intervening between Fr. 60 . ii and Fr. 64. i ; the allusions to Hypsipyle's sons in Fr. 61. 4-6, to Lemnos in Fr. 62. 3, and to Amphiaraus in Fr. 63.6 suit that position. But though all three give ends of lines they appear to come from different columns. Fr. 63 is distinguished by a selis rather to the right of the centre; and the other two are quite dissimilar, Fr. 61 being light-coloured and well preserved, whereas Fr. 62 is dark and rubbed. It is likely enough that some of the other pieces among Frs. 65-73 also belong to this part of the play, but in the absence of definite indications we do not attempt to assign their position.

Fr. 61. Hypsipyle is the speaker in part of this fragment at any rate, perhaps throughout. In 1. 6 she is probably expressing her ignorance whether her sons survive or not, and 11.8 and 12 contain allusions to her servitude. A reference to the strange young men precedes in 1. 4; W-M may well be right in thinking that Hypsipyle is addressing one of the latter, and asking him to obtain her liberty. If so the fragment would be preliminary to their recognition.
 $\kappa u[k \dot{i}$ is objectionable owing to the neglect of caesura: perhaps $\hat{\imath} \zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega}$.

$5 . \mu$ of opov is corrected from $\lambda$, probably by a later hand. The words may also be divided ón $\mu^{\prime}$ ȯ̀ $\pi a \rho \dot{\partial} \nu \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu[\omega s$ (?) as Murray suggests, which would imply a masculine speaker for this line.
15. Apparently not ìvixa.

Fr. 62. 2. The $v$ above the line seems to have been inserted by the first hand, and was perhaps deleted by the second.
5. The short $v$ in $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon$, if the reading is right, is remarkable. The $v$ is similarly scanned e. g. in Aristophanes' h'uights 723 , 972 , but is long elsewhere in tragedy wherever the quantity is determinable, Ion 391, Phocn. 990. Murray notes the parallel of $\mu \eta \nu i \omega \nu$ in Rhes. 494.
7. Twós: or tivos; the fragment may be stichomuthic.

Fr. 63. The speaker is probably Hypsipyle, who after her rescue by Amphiaraus seems in 11. 5-8 to be asking for further assistance ; cf. note on 11. 7-8.
3. An acute accent on ectiv has been substituted for a barytone ; cf. 841. VI. 88.
4. $v$ of ova was originally omitted.
 as representing the sense of these two verses; $\zeta a \dot{a} \eta$ however could not be read, though $\sigma^{\prime} a \dot{ } \lambda \omega$ would suit.

Fr. 64. i. avayv'िıots between Hypsipyle and her sons; cf. introd. p. 26. It is tempting to place Fr. 70 at the top of this column. The recto is blank save for the tip of an oblique dash, and in the margin of Fr. 64. i recto there are two incomplete oblique dashes, to one of which the tip in Fr. 70 might well belong. On the other hand the strongly marked fibres of the papyrus do not correspond in the two pieces as they should do, and the combination cannot therefore be regarded as satisfactory.
$5^{0-1}$. These explanatory glosses are in a small hand resembling that of the text, though perhaps distinct from it. The words 'Hôwió and Házरaıv of course occurred in the text.
57. $\operatorname{ki\tau }(\omega)$ refers to an entry in the (lost) margin below, replacing a deletion (apparently) in the text ; cf. Fr. i. ii. 8. кár( $\omega$ ) has been written twice, perhaps through mere inadvertence, or possibly the corrector thought that the word was placed too near the end of the verse, and so rubbed it out and rewrote it further off.

58-106. Hyps. '. . . (the wheel of the god) . . . me and my children has run back again along a single road, rolling us now towards terror, now delight ; and at last he has shone forth serene.

Amph. This is the guerdon, lady, that thou receivest from me; since thou wert zealous towards my entreaty, I in my turn have shown my zeal towards thy sons. God keep thee now, and keep ye this your mother, and fare ye well; while we will go on with our army to Thebes, even as we have set forth to do.

The sons of Hyps. Blessings on thee, friend, for thou dost merit them; yea, blessings on thee. Hapless mother, how insatiate of thy woes was one among the gods!

Hyps. Ah, if thou shouldst learn of my banishment, my son, my banishment from sea-washed Lemnos, because I cut not off the grey head of my father !

Eun. Can they have ordered thee to slay thy father?
Hyps. I am full of terror at those bygone woes. Oh, my son, like Gorgons they slaughtered their husbands in their beds.

Eun. And thou, how didst thou steal away from death ?
Hyps. I reached the resounding shore and the sea-wave where the birds make their lonely nests.

Eun. And how camest thou thence, what convoy brought thee hither?
Hyps. Sailors carried me by ship to Nauplia's haven, the place of travellers' passage, and brought me to servitude here, my son, a sorry merchandise of Danaid maidens.

Eun. Alas for thy woes!
Hyps. Lament not in our good fortune. But how wert thou and thy brother here brought up, and by whose hand, O my son? Tell me, tell thy mother.

Eun. The Argo brought me and him to the city of Iolcus.
Hyps. Yea, the nursling of my breast!
Eun. But when my father Jason died, mother,-
Hyps. Alas! thou speakest of my afflictions, my son, and bringest the tears to my eyes.
Eun. - Then Orpheus brought him and me to the land of Thrace.
Hyps. What kindness was he doing to thy hapless father? Tell me, my son.
Eun. He taught me the music of the Asian lyre, and my brother he schooled in Ares' art of arms.

Hyps. And by what way went ye over the Aegean to the shore of Lemnos?

Eun. Thy father Thoas conveyed thy two children.
Hyps. Is he then safe?
Eiun. Yea, by the contrivance of Bacchus.'

 as they stand in the papyrus may also be regarded as resolved dochmiacs, but it is perhaps better, as $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$ suggests, to regard those verses as iambic on account of enigas. In either


64. $\eta \nu \tau o \not o \eta \nu$ is a somewhat strong expression, but we can find no more suitable correction for the meaningless ${ }^{3} \nu$ тóre of the papyrus, and it is well to suppose that Hypsipyle was not easily persuaded.
65. A slightly curved stroke in which we can see no meaning stands above $\epsilon$ of $\pi a \delta \epsilon$; it might be meant for an iota.
66. The line as left by the first hand though grammatically correct will not scan, since it
 vi. I I), which was inserted at a different time and probably by a different hand, is an easy remedy, but the construction then becomes more difficult, since a transitive $\left.\sigma \varphi^{\varphi}\right\} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ or $\left.\sigma \not \phi^{\prime}\right\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ has to be supplied out of the passive $\sigma \omega_{\rho} \xi \sigma$.
$69-7$ I. The marginal annotation assigns these lines to both sons, which implies a fourth actor ; cf. introd. p. 30. Perhaps one of them spoke l. 69, the other ll. 70-1 ; this adds point
 $\left.219 \lambda a \beta o \hat{v}, \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \delta \tau^{\prime}\right)$. W-MI reminds us of the parallel in Med. 1271 sqq., where the MSS.
 1. 70 should have been placed after $\delta \eta \tau a$ instead of before it.
$72-3 . \tau$ which follows $\phi$ voas in the papyrus might be regarded as an error for $\gamma^{\prime}$ (cf. Fr. 60. 12), but is better omitted altogether. The metre of these two verses is iambic monometer, dochmiac monometer, dochmiac dimeter.
74. The deleted $v$, which was written by the first hand over $\nu$ of $\epsilon \mu \nu$, implies the
 altered to ört. The transposition of $\pi$ òtóv is suggested by W-M in order to produce a dochmiac dimeter.

75 sqq. Since Euneos is the speaker in l. ror (cf. introd. p. 28), it is best to regard him as sustaining the whole of this conversation.
77. The correction of $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a$ to $\tau \epsilon \kappa{ }^{\prime} \circ \nu$, proposed by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$, is probable since one son is addressed throughout this passage ; cf. 11. 73, 86, 9I, \&c. An anapaestic dimeter is here interposed between a dochmiac dim. and a dochmiac monom. For oíá $\tau \in \mathrm{cf}$. Fr. i. ii. i 8.


 is glossed by Hesychius, who cites it (1 p. 85 I ) from Sophocles' Dacdalus, as áduí $\omega \nu$; cf.

79. On the marginal $\pi=\mathrm{l} .1600 \mathrm{cf}$. Fr. 25 , note.

So-82. opvetwv (sic) Pap,, but opreov though a good word does not occur elsewhere in tragedy and $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{M}$ 's correction opvi' $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is also metrically preferable. Transposing iкó $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ to l. 81 we then get here an iambic dimeter, an anapaestic dimeter, and a dochmiac with irrational penultimate. The papyrus shows both the old Attic (properispome) and the later


$8_{4}-6=$ spond. dip., dactyl. tetrap., 2 dactylo-epitrit. dims., with catalexis in the second.
87. We adopt W-M's conjecture ė̀ $\downarrow$ dáde $\Delta a \nu a i ̂ \partial \omega \nu$, which produces a dochmiac dimeter,
 is closer to the papyrus but makes the construction of $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu$ more difficult, besides being less satisfactory metrically. The o of $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon o \nu$ is more like $\omega$, and perhaps $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ was written owing to confusion with valer.

89-92. Dactylo-epitrit. dim. ( $\pi$ робoótaкóv), dactyl. tetrap., 2 cretic dims. (apparently). ote $\delta$ was written for o $\delta \in \tau$ : cf. Fr. 60. 29, note ; the partial correction is by the first hand,
93. We substilute '́s 'I $\omega \lambda$ रкóv for $\epsilon$ 'is Kód $\chi \omega{ }^{\prime}$, the incongruity of which had already struck us and was further emphasized by Dr. Mahaffy. According to Ovid, Heroid. 6. 56, Jason stayed two years at Lemnos, but his children were not yet born when he sailed for Colchis: at any rate it is improbable that he could have wished to take two infants on that dangerous expedition ; moreover there would be a strange hiatus in Euneos' story if he said nothing of going to Thessaly. Euripides apparently imagined Jason as calling again at Lemnos on his return from Colchis (cf. Pindar, Pyth. 4. 25 r), and on finding Hypsipyle gone-she had in the meantime been banished-his natural course would be to carry his young children away with him to his own home; according to Statius, Theb, v. $4^{67}$, Hypsipyle on going into exile left them in the charge of a person named Lycaste, who is unknown from other sources.

 however, in spite of the foregoing considerations, would retain cis Koj $\lambda \chi \omega \nu$ on the ground that this is required by Hypsipyle's interjection in the next line, iamouactiotov к.т.入.

The interlinear $\epsilon$ is written through a mark of elision.
$94=$ Anapaestic monom. (equivalent to dochmiac) + catalectic dochmiac.
95. The letters $\sigma \sigma$ of $\epsilon \mu \sigma \sigma$ are converted from an $\omega$.

96 -7. кака́ for какшл Murray, restoring the dochmiac trimeter.
98. For Orpheus cf. note on Fr. i. iii. 8-ı.


101. This verse which shows that Euneos is the speaker alludes to the Attic clan of Eùveîou: cf. introd. p. 28. The first hand perhaps wrote maкapıoas, but the vestige of the letter after $\mu$ is too slight to show whether it was corrected.


 though broken, are practically certain.
${ }^{10} 3^{-4}=$ Dochmiac trim., the first member catalectic, the third with an irrational first syllable.
105. The papyrus has $\delta$ vot $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega$, which is obviously wrong. W-MI believes that there is a serious corruption, first on account of the form $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \omega$, and secondly because the words would naturally mean 'his children' not 'your children'. But although dual neuters

 фpoupio; and though the expression is not clear, no doubt could arise concerning the intended meaning. It would be easy to complete the line differently, e. g. т $\operatorname{mai} \hat{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o v$, or $\dot{\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon} \nu \dot{\omega}$, but not easy to account for the corruption. We therefore leave the text as nearly as possible in the form in which it stands, while quite admitting its questionable authenticity.
 but the collocation does not seem quite satisfactory.
106. $\left.\mathrm{Ba}{ }_{[ } \kappa\right]\{[i c v]$ suits the space better than $\mathrm{Ba}[\kappa] \chi[$ iass], and, as Murray remarks, is more
probable in itself in view of the extremely common use in Euripides of Báкхos = Báкхos. In Statius, Theb. v. 283-4, Dionysus in aiding Thoas to escape from Lemnos promises to watch over his fortunes: tu lato patren committe profundo. Succedam curis.
 $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega})$ is excluded by the accent on $o$.
109. $\pi$ aîoas $\eta$ ": for the circumflex on $\eta$ cf. Fr. i. ii. 17 ; $\pi$ aî̀a $\sigma \hat{\eta}$ is less likely.
III. Possibly Bpotoía סójuros, as Murray suggests: but the sense of the passage remains too obscure for a restoration.
$\mathbf{1 5}^{2}$. On this appearance of Dionysus and the purport of his speech cf. introd. p. 28.
Fr. 65. $\sigma$ трare in l. 4 and $\theta_{v} \in \iota$ in l. 9 are doubtless references to the Argive army (cf. Frs. I. iv. $3^{6}$ and 60.62 ), and the speaker is perhaps Amphiaraus, in which case the fragment should probably be placed with Frs. $6 x-3$ in the gap between Frs. 60 and 64 .

Fr. 67. The rubbed papyrus is very similar in appearance to the bottom of Fr. i. iii ; it is quite likely to be lyrical, but does not seem to join on there directly.

Frs. 68-9. Fr. 68 cannot be placed in Col. i of Fr. 64, nor is it at all likely that Fr. 69 belongs there.

Fr. 70. Possibly this fragment belongs to the top of Fr. 64. i ; cf. note ad loc. It does not come from the same column as Fr. 77.
2. ]. túxaus: or $\pi$ тvरaĭs.
5. This may be a lyric verse.

Fr. 71. Since the recto contains beginnings of lines, this fragment does not belong to Fr. 1. v, where the recto is blank.

Fr. 72. This piece approximates in condition to Frs. 18-9, but not closely enough to be definitely grouped with them.

Fr. 73. 4. $\eta_{\nu}\left(\right.$ not $\left.\eta_{\nu} \nu\right)$ is inserted above the line apparently as a variant on $\epsilon i$ : in the absence of the context it is of course impossible to give either the preference.

Fr. 76. 3. The insertion above the line is puzzling : the two sigmas are clear, and at a short distance from them is a vestige of what seems to be another letter.

Fr. 77. 4. The slight vestige of the first letter would suit $\chi$.
Fr. 79. This fragment looks as if it belonged to Fr. I. ii, but we cannot find a place for it there.

Fr. 88. 3. A vestige on the edge of the papyrus above the top of the $\theta$ may represent a breathing or belong to another inserted letter.

Fr. 90. 4. This is probably the last line of a column.
Fr. 98. 4. The supposed $\epsilon$ has been corrected apparently from $v$; but perhaps the first letter is $a$ and the $v$ was merely crossed out, being followed by a $\tau$.

Fr. 97. In the margin slightly above 1. r is what appears to be a small $\theta$ with two horizontal strokes below it. The remains do not well suit either one of the dramatis personac or a stichometrical figure, though $\xi=1400$ is just possible.

Fr. 115. Judged by the manner of writing, Өóa [ is more probably part of the text than a marginal dramatis persona, though the blank space below would suit the latter hypothesis.

Fr. 118. This is perhaps part of a marginal note ; cf. Fr. 64. i. 50-I. The stroke like an accent is some little way above the $\xi$.

## 853. Commentary on Thucydides II.

Height $20.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ Late second century.

Plate IV (Cols. xvi-xvii).

These considerable portions of a commentary upon the second book of Thucydides belong to the large find of literary papyri which produced 841-4 and 852 , and consisted originally of about a hundred fragments of varying sizes, two-thirds of which have been pieced together. Excluding the small unplaced fragments, 19 columns (about 600 lines) are preserved, divided into cight separate sections which we have called $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}$, and covering the first 45 chapters of the book, though with large gaps at certain points. Like 842, which was written on the verso of a long official document from the Arsinoite nome (918), this commentary is on the back of a series of non-literary documents from that district. A detailed description of these texts is given under 986 ; here it is necessary to state that the writing proceeds in the opposite direction to that of the scholia, and that at least three originally different papyri have been joined together to form a roll of sufficient length for the literary text. Cols. $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{iv}$ of the recto ( $=$ Cols. xix-xiv of the verso) belong to a survey-list of confiscated house property ; Cols. v-viii of the recto ( $=$ Cols. xiii-viii of the verso) are in the same hand and of a similar character, but are concerned with property in land, the writer, a comogrammateus of the village of Oxyrhyncha in the 16 th year of Hadrian, making a fresh start. Col, viii of the recto was cut down the middle and joined to another second-century document, Col. ix ( $=$ Col. vii of the verso), containing a return by sitologi which has itself had the beginnings of lines cut off; the line of junction corresponds to the margin between Cols. viii and vii of the verso. Cols. $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{xv}$ of the recto ( $=$ Cols. vi-i of the verso) belong to a third document, a second-century account concerning loans of seed-corn to cultivators of Crown lands.

The script of the commentary is a small and neat informal uncial, with a tendency to lapse into cursive forms, especially in the letters $\epsilon$ and $\kappa$, and presents much similarity to the hand of the Oxyrhynchus scholia on Iliad xxi (221). The circumstance that one of the documents on the recto is dated in A.D. I3 ${ }^{1-2}$ provides a terminus a quo for the date of the text on the verso, which on palaeographical grounds is not likely to be later than A. D. 200. Probably 842, 852 , and 853 were all written about the same time, somewhat later than 221. Iota adscript is rarely (e. g. x. ${ }^{5} 5,3 \mathrm{I}$, xv. 34) omitted. There are no stops, and accents, breathings, and elision-marks are used sparingly; but paragraphi
occur frequently to separate the notes, and the lemmata project into the left margin by the width of one letter, as in the Berlin Didymus papyrus, and are separated from the notes referring to them by a short blank space. With each new quotation the scribe begins a fresh line. The common angular sign (sometimes doubled) is employed to fill up short lines. $\iota$ and $v$ occasionally have the diaeresis. The concluding word of a note is four times (v. I5, vii. 28, xv. 4, xvi. 11) abbreviated, even though in the first two cases there was plenty of room to write the word out in full ; but of the conventional abbreviations often found in commentaries of this period (cf. e.g. 856) there is no trace. The columns contain from 35 to $3^{8}$ lines, the beginnings of which tend to slope away to the left as the column proceeds. There are a few corrections, all due to the original scribe, who was not a very careful copyist, so that several minor alterations in the text, chiefly due to omissions, are necessary ; cf. i. 22, ii. 19, 28, vii. 24 , ix. 13, x. 27 , $\mathrm{xv} .4,38$.

Of the eight sections into which the papyrus falls, A contains Cols. i -iii in a very fair condition, and the beginnings of lines of Col. iv. So far as the external evidence is concerned, there is no special indication that Col. i is the original beginning of the writing on the verso, but since the first note refers to the opening words of Book II, it is probable that in Col. i we have the actual commencement of the work, and that the roll did not contain our author's commentary on Book I if he wrote one. i. 7 -iv. 9 is taken up by a long discussion of the criticisms directed against Thucydides' method of writing history by Dionysius of Halicarnassus in his extant work $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ Єovкvóióov, so that by the end of Col. iv our author has only reached c. 2. 4. B, comprising the two wellpreserved columns $v$ and vi, follows immediately after $A$ and covers cc. 2.4-8.2, after which there is a gap. Since the writing on the recto of B has no connexion with that on the recto of C , it does not help to decide the width of the lacuna between these two sections, but the internal evidence of the scholia shows that at least one column and probably not more than two are missing between Cols. vi and vii. C, which contains the two damaged columns vii and viii, begins at c. II. 4 and reaches c. I3. 6. D, containing the upper half of Col. ix, follows C without an interval, and down to 1 . 18 covers c. 13. 6-7. Fr. I, however, apparently refers to c. I4. 1 and probably belongs to the lower part of Col. ix, which no doubt covered all c. 14; for E begins at c. I5. I, and though, as far as the verso is concerned, there might be a column or two missing between D and E , the writing on the recto makes it practically certain that Col. x follows immediatcly after Col. ix. Whilc Col. i of $\mathrm{E}(=$ Col. x ), which covers cc. 15. I17. 1 is in moderate preservation, Col. ii ( $=$ Col. xi) is represented only by three small detached fragments. The exact position of that containing parts of
11. $1-3$ is obvious from internal evidence, while that containing the beginnings of $11.5^{-7}$ is fixed not only by its suitability to this context, but by the writing on the recto, and the accuracy of the position assigned to the third fragment, containing parts of $11.14-21$ (Fr. 2), is hardly open to question. The next section, F , consists of the ends of lines of Col. xii and three quarters of Col. xiii, covering ce. 17. 4-24. I. That anything is lost between Cols. xi and xii is most unlikely, but after Col. xiii there is a long gap, since $G$ begins at c. 34.5 . In this section we have the ends of lines of Col. xiv, then three wellpreserved columns (xv-xvii) and the beginnings of lines of another (xviii) covering cc. 34. 5-4r. 3. The beginning of the funeral oration of Pericles (cc. 35-45) is noted in xiv. 3. After Col. xviii there is another considerable lacuna in which probably 3 or 4 columns are lost, and H (Col. xix) has only the ends of 18 lines on a fragment dealing with c. 45.2 , near the conclusion of the funeral oration.

The date at which these scholia were composed can be fixed within tolerably narrow limits. Dionysius of Halicarnassus came to Rome in 30 B.C. and issued his great work on Roman Archaeology in 7 B.C. (Ant. i. 7. 2), while Q. Aelins Tubero, to whom the treatise on Thucydides was addressed, is probably identical with the consul of II B. C., so that our commentary which discusses that treatise cannot be earlier than 30 B. C. and is not likely to be earlier than 10 B. C. On the other hand, since the MS. itself is not later than A. D. 200 , the composition of the commentary can hardly have taken place later than Hadrian's time, and it is more likely that it was written soon after the beginning of the Christian era.

The extant scholia on Thucydides, derived from the Byzantine MSS. and of varying dates, are fairly full, but do not display much learning, and are rarely of great value either for the elucidation of the text or for quotations from other writers ; and in spite of the greater antiquity of our commentary it is but little superior to them in point of quality. Our author's interest in Thucydides was mainly grammatical, and most of the notes are devoted to the explanation of words, phrases, or constructions, with frequent paraphrases of clauses or even whole sentences which were difficult, especially in the funeral oration. Questions of spelling and accentuation are discussed in v. 12-5 and vi. 25-8. In exegesis our author displays more intelligence than the extant scholia (e.g. v. 1-3) ; and though many of his remarks are trivial enough, his opinions on several wellknown and much disputed passages have some importance, as supporting now one, now another of the modern commentators, or suggesting something new; e.g. x. ${ }^{2} 5-30$, xiv. $6-11$, xv. $16-24$, xvii. $16-9,23-9$, and $31-3$. But his authority cannot be ranked high, for in several places his interpretation is certainly wide of the mark ; cf. v. 22-9 (two explanations of the infinitive $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta}$ €ेкфvүєiv,
both of which are unsatisfactory), ix. 4-6 (an impossible explanation of imó as equivalent to $\dot{a} \pi \sigma^{\prime}$ ), xix. 4 sqq. (a hopelessly wrong interpretation of $\hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{a}} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ '
 notes on the text. The variant $\omega_{\rho} \rho \mu \eta \tau o$ for $\ddot{\omega}^{\rho} \rho \gamma \eta \tau \circ$ recorded in xiii. 13-5 was already known, but neither $\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \mathcal{e}^{\prime} \omega v$ (vii. 29), which occurred in our author's text of Thucydides II. 12. 2, nor the alternative reading in the note $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \cup o ́ v \tau \omega v$ (vii. 30) have found their way into the existing MSS., which all have $\grave{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \omega v^{\prime}$, a reading ignored by our author. Of real value is the note on $\Pi_{\epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \infty \iota ~(x i i i . ~ 20-3), ~ w h i c h ~ e x p l a i n s ~ t h e ~ o r i g i n ~ o f ~ a ~ l o n g ~ f e l t ~ c o r r u p t i o n ~ i n ~ t h e ~ t e x t ~}^{\text {t }}$ of c. 22.3. In the rare cases where the commentary deals with historical or geographical rather than with grammatical or textual questions, it is singularly disappointing. The brief indication of the position of Phrygia in xiii. 16 slightly modifies the current view of the site of that unimportant village, and the note on the temple of Dionysus at Limnac (x. 7-14) might have been of some value if more complete, but that on the Anthesteria (x. 16-8) merely confirms what was already known to us from other sources, and such annotations as vi. 16-24 and xiii. $25^{-8}$ are elementary. Our author, indeed, exhibits a very limited acquaintance with Greek literature. There is not a single quotation from other Greek historians, and apart from the discussion of the criticisms of Dionysius, the only prose writer of any kind who is referred to is . . . 0 (apparently an earlier commentator on Thucydides) mentioned in X. 1 I. A well-known quotation from Pindar, which in its later proverbial form is also quoted by the extant scholia on Thucydides, occurs in vi. 34-5, and there is a passing allusion to the Erecktheus of Euripides in x. 3 ; but the only other writers with whom our author shows familiarity are Homer and Callimachus. The former is quoted by way of illustration not less than ten times (iv. 6, 17, vi. 9-10 (?), 14-5, vii. 10-1, 27-8, ix. 5-6, xiii. 17-9, 20-1, xvii. 18-9, xix. 6-7), the interpretation in the last instance being singularly perverse, though in accordance with that of the earlier Alexandrian commentators, while the citation in ix. $5^{-6}$ is quite inapposite (cf. vi. $9-10$, notc). The text is uniformly the vulgate cxcept in xvii. 18-9, where our author probably relied on his memory and quoted inaccurately. Callimachus is cited twice, the first quotation (x. 7-10, from the Hecalc) being partly extant, the second ( $\mathrm{x} .37-8$ ) new.

In view of the gencral similarity in mode of treatment between this commentary and the extant scholia it is surprising that the points of actual agreement are so few. The most noteworthy is the Pindar quotation alluded to above (vi. 3t-5), but even here the scholia quote the saying as a mapouria and in a slightly different form. Elsewhere there are occasional verbal similarities, such as would be expected from any commentators covering the same ground (cf. e.g. notes
on v. 33, viii. 7-9, ix. 10, x. 19-20, xii. 10, xiii. 17, xv. 16, xvi. 19-24), but amid innumerable divergencies no striking coincidences are found anywhere, and there is no reason to think that our author is one of the direct sources of the extant scholia, while even an indirect influence upon them seems unlikely.

The somewhat unfavourable impression which our author makes as a commentator on the text of Thucydides is improved when we turn to his discussion of the views of Dionysius about Thucydides' methods as a historian. As a literary critic he exhibits himself to greater advantage than as a grammarian, and his defence of Thucydides is both just and sensible. Dionysius, whose whole treatment of Thucydides though not wanting in learning and acumen is marked by a lack of appreciation of his real merits, in cc. 9-20 of his $D \mathcal{c}$ Thucyd. Iudic. censures the historian's mode of dealing with his subject-matter, the following chapters (cc. 21-55) being concerned with his style. Dionysius' criticisms on the former topic are represented as coming not from himself but from $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \in s$, i. e. his predecessors, and his objections fall under the three heads of $\delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s, \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota s$, and $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \gamma a \sigma i \alpha$ (c. 9). Our author replies to the criticisms under the first two heads, briefly summarizing $\mathrm{cc} .9-12$ in i. 7-33. To Dionysius' strictures with regard to $\delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ on firstly Thucydides' choice of a division according to summers and winters in preference to the years of the archons or Olympiads or the geographical arrangement adopted by Herodotus, and secondly on the consequent want of connexion and abrupt transitions in his narrative, our author justly retorts that there was no reason why Thucydides should have chosen to reckon by archons or Olympiads (ii. 6 sqq.), and that the Herodotean method of narrating events according to localities was quite inapplicable to a history of the Peloponnesian war (ii. 15-27), concluding with an effective argunentum ad hominem against Dionysius (ii. 33-iii. 1), whose own theory of what system of chronology ought to have been followed is shown to be open to the objection concerning abrupt transitions which he had brought against Thucydides. A system of dating by the years of the archons or Olympiads which began in the summer would in fact disturb the sequence of the narrative far more than Thucydides' division of the year into summer and winter, which in describing military operations is the most natural one. In iii. 2-17, a passage which is much mutilated, our author deals with the supposed want of connexion in Thucydides' narrative, and shows that this charge is exaggerated. In iii. 18 -iv. 9 he contradicts Dionysius' criticism directed against the $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota s$, that in his account of the origin of the war Thucydides ought to have begun by describing the true cause of it, the rise of Athens, instead of postponing this to his description of the commonly alleged causes, the Corcyrean and Potidaean incidents. The point at issue between our author and Dionysius is here more debateable. No doubt a modern historian
of the Peloponnesian war would in agreement with Dionysius prefer to begin with a sketch of the rise of Athens rather than to introduce this subsequently as a digression. But looking at Book I from the point of view of Thucydides' aims as expressed in his preface, the arrangement adopted by him is quite defensible. As our author points out (iii. 22-30), Dionysius was wrong in thinking that Thucydides was under an obligation to give an elaborate account of events preceding the Peloponnesian war. Probably his desire to avoid becoming involved in this so serious an undertaking was one of the chief reasons for the postponement of the sketch of the rise of Athens. Further, our author's dictum in iii. $30-\mathrm{iv}$. I about the duty of a historian to relate the obvious before the remoter causes of events is at least as true as Dionysius' opposing aphorism in c. II that true causes ought to precede false ones, the fact being that no a priori rule can be laid down on the subject, which has to be settled with regard to expediency. Whatever his demerits as an annotator, our author must on the points in dispute be credited with a fairer appreciation of Thucydides than his adversary, one of the ablest critics of the day.

Can our author be identified with any of the known commentators upon Thucydides? The answer, is, we think, in the negative. The extant scholia mention three of their sources, Antyllus, Asclepius (or Asclepiades), and Phoebammon. Of these Phoebammon, who lived in the fourth century, is out of the question. The dates of Antyllus and Asclepius, who is generally thought to have been a rhetorician rather than a grammarian, are quite uncertain, and might therefore fall within the period (about 10 B. C.-A.D. I40) in which the author of our commentary wrote ; but the slightness of the connexion between it and the extant scholia (cf. p. IIO) excludes the likelihood of an identification with writers utilized in them. Nor is much more to be said in favour of identifying our author with any of the other rhetoricians or grammarians who composed commentaries upon Thucydides; cf. E. Schwabe, Lcipz. Stud. iv. pp. 8i sqq., Doberentz, Dc Scholiis in Thuc., Halle, 1876. Numenius, who wrote $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$
 probably lived in the time of Hadrian, which barely falls within the right period, and to judge by the title his work scems to have consisted of short arguments, not a detailed commentary. Julius Vestinus, who also lived under Hadrian, and
 commentator upon Thucydides. The title of Claudius Didymus' work, composed
 indicates that it was quite different from our commentary, as were the $\xi_{\eta} \eta \eta j \sigma \epsilon \iota$
 Evagoras of Lindus, also probably in the first century. Didymus $\chi$ a $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \ell^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \rho$,
though a contemporary of Dionysius, is also, we think, out of the question, for it is very doubtful whether he wrote on Thucydides (cf. Pauly-Wissowa, Real-encycl.v. p. 460), and his recently discovered commentary on Demosthenes is almost entircly historical, not grammatical, and abounds in quotations, being thus far removed in character from our papyrus. Caecilius Calactinus, who was also coeval with Dionysius, has no stronger claims than Didymus to be identified with our author. He discussed and quoted Thucydides (cf. pp. 57-8 and 193-6 of Ofenloch's edition), and though Dionysius (Ep.ad Cn. Pomp. 3.20) calls Caecilius фídtatos, the two critics seem to have had controversies (cf. Ofenloch, p. xiii). But Caecilius was primarily a rhetorician, and that he wrote a grammatical commentary on Thucydides is improbable. Sabinus (time of Hadrian), Tiberius, and Heron son of Cotys (dates unknown) wrote $\dot{i \pi} \pi \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ upon Thucydides about which nothing further has been recorded, and since our commentary is technically a $\dot{v} \pi o ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a$, it is possible that one of these writers is identical with our author; but it is more likely that he was some obscure Alexandrian grammarian whose works were not long preserved, and whose name even is lost. Of his influence on later grammarians (apart from the Thucydides scholia already discussed) we have not discovered any clear trace, though cf. x. 36-7, note.

It remains to examine our author's text of Thucydides, in so far as this can be ascertained from the lemmata. The chief MSS. fall into two main families, CG and ABEFM, of which the former is now generally considered to be superior. As usual, the text of the papyrus is of an eclectic character and does not consistently agree with either family; but it supports the ABEFM group seven times (cf. notes on i. 6-7, xiii. 13, xiv. 4, xv. 15, xvii. 20, 30, xviii. 24) against only four agreements with the other (cf. notes on vii. 37, xiv. 25, xvi. 29, 31). Several new readings occur, of which we append a list.
(I) i. 7 (c. I. І) v.l. $\theta \in \rho \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \chi \in \iota \mu \omega v a s$ above the line for $\theta \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \chi є \iota \mu \omega \nu \alpha$.
(2) v. 5 (c. 2. 4) $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ for $\chi \rho \eta \quad \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.
(3) v. 21 (c. 4. 2) $\epsilon \kappa \phi v \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v$ ( $̇ \kappa \kappa \phi \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ only in a late Paris MS.).
(4) v. 30 (с. 4. 3) бтขракь for $\sigma т$ рракị́.
(5) vii. I 5 (c. II 9) $v \mu \nu v$ for $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v$.
(6) vii. 29 (c. 12. 2) $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, with v.1. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v o \nu \tau \omega \nu$, for $\xi \xi \in \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
(7) ix. 3 (с. 13.7) vio for à ${ }^{\text {( }}$.
(8) x. I5 (с. I 5. 4) apХaьotata for à $\rho$ Хаьóтє $\rho a$.


(10) xv. 34 (c. 37.2) $\delta \rho a \tau \iota$ for $\tau \iota \delta \rho a ̣$.
(II) xvi. 25 (c. 39. I) $\delta \iota a \iota \tau \mu \epsilon \theta a$ for $\delta \iota a \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \in \imath^{\circ} \circ$.
(I2) xvii. 35 (c. 40.3 ) avtol for oi aủtoí.
Of these (5), which confirms a conjecture of Hude, and (9), where the note shows that חapá $\sigma \circ$ is an interpolation, are undoubtedly better than the readings of the MSS. On the other hand ( 7 ) is certainly wrong and ( 1 ), ( I ), and ( 12 ) may be merely due to mistakes on the part of the copyist of the papyrus (cf. his omission in ix. 3) and in any case are not likely to be right. In respect to the other new readings there is little to choose between them and the MSS., the sense being hardly if at all affected by any of them. As regards the passages in Thucydides which have been suspected of being corrupt, the explanation of Mapá⿱宀бь supports the conclusions of modern editors, and there is some reason to believe that the formidable anacoluthon in the MSS. reading at c. 7.2 did not occur in our author's text (cf. vi. 16, note) ; but elsewhere the papyrus, like other Thucydides papyri (cf. 878-880), tends to confirm the ordinary text even where alterations have generally been accepted. Thus in c. 15.4 (x. 15) the words Tî $1 \beta^{\prime}$, usually regarded as a gloss, are found, and neither Cobet's insertion of $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ in c. 15.4 (x. 7, note) nor Lipsius' transference of mavoiknoía in c. 16. I ( $\mathrm{x} .3^{1}$ ) nor the proposals to omit words in c. 4.2 (v. 2I-2, note) and c. 16. I (x. 25, note) are confirmed. On the whole our author's text, though not on a level with the first-century fragments of Book IV (16 and 696), and perhaps affected to some extent by errors of the copyist, is a good one, and its early date gives it considerable value.

In the restoration of the very imperfect text of this papyrus, we have received much assistance from Professors U. von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff and J. B. Bury ; some suggestions are also due to Dr. C. Hude and Mr. H. Stuart Jones. We give the text and reconstruction in parallel columns, the lemmata being distinguished in the latter by thick type. In the notes Schol. = the extant scholia on Thucydides.

Col. i (=A col. i$)$.
[ 1

[. . . . .]. $\rho$ [
$[. . ..] \cdot \rho[$
$[. . ..] \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v o[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~]<.\tau o \epsilon \varphi \theta \alpha$

[. . . .] $] \lambda \lambda \lambda 0 u[. . . . . .]. v \pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta$

[ $\lambda$ ous] $\sigma v \nu \tilde{\prime}[\theta \in \iota \quad \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon]$ c.
5
[. . . . . .] $\left.\alpha \alpha \delta \epsilon \epsilon_{\cdot}^{\cdot}\right] \eta \sigma \omega[. ~.] \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \epsilon \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon$

[.] $] к к \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \in \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \chi \in[.] \mu \omega \nu \alpha \delta เ \circ v v$
$[\tau] 0$ катà $\theta$ épos кaì $X \in[i\urcorner] \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a \cdot \Delta \iota o v u ́-$
$\sigma \iota \sigma[.] \alpha \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha \rho \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ Өоикиסьठо［．］бvขтау $\mu a \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota о v$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu[\cdot] \mu \phi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau о \nu \theta о v к v \delta \iota$ $\delta \eta \nu \tau \alpha \delta \alpha \nu[.] \tau \alpha \tau \omega \iota \tau \rho \iota \alpha \kappa \in \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \rho[. .] \tau \epsilon о \nu к \alpha \rho Х о \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota}$ олv $\mu \pi \iota \alpha \delta \alpha[$ ．．．］$] \sigma \iota \lambda o \iota \pi o \iota \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon$ $\theta \epsilon \iota \kappa \in \tau \omega \nu \chi[. . . ..] \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} i{ }^{\circ} \iota \omega \sigma$ $\theta \in p \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \chi \in \iota[$ ．．．］$\alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota о \tau \iota \delta \iota \in \sigma \pi \alpha$ $\kappa \epsilon к \alpha ı \delta \iota \eta[. . ..] \epsilon \tau \eta \nu і ̈ \sigma \tau о \rho \iota \alpha \nu>$ каьбvvко［．．．．］$] \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha 0 v$ $\kappa \alpha \pi \alpha[.] \tau \iota\} \omega[..] \alpha[.] \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ $\delta_{\iota} \eta \gamma[\cdot] \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha[. \quad.] \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma \pi \rho[\cdot] \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota>$ от८т $\eta \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta \tau о \cup \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о v \alpha เ \tau[\cdot]$ $\alpha \nu \epsilon \pi t \omega \nu \omega \sigma \sigma \phi \circ \delta \rho \alpha \alpha \nu \tau 0 \sigma \epsilon \xi \eta$ $\tau \alpha \kappa \omega \sigma о \tau \iota \delta \iota \epsilon \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \ddot{\sigma} \chi^{\nu}{ }^{\nu \sigma}$ $\tau \omega \nu \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha v$ $\tau о \iota \sigma о \iota \lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota о \nu \iota о \iota о \nu \mu \delta \iota \alpha$ ঠıатакоркираїк $\eta \eta \pi о т є \iota \delta \alpha \iota \alpha \tau \iota$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau о \iota \sigma \pi о \lambda \lambda о \iota \sigma \lambda \in \gamma 0>$ $\mu \in \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \sigma о \mu \omega \sigma$ оик $\alpha \pi о \tau о v>$ $\tau \omega \nu \omega \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \nu \in \nu \alpha \cup \tau 0 \sigma \delta \iota \eta \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ $\epsilon \kappa[\cdot] \iota \theta \in \nu \alpha \rho \xi \alpha \mu \in \nu 0 \sigma \alpha \phi о \iota \nu \pi \rho \alpha$ $\gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \eta v \xi \eta$ $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \circ \iota \alpha \eta \eta \nu \iota \circ \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \iota$ $\tau \alpha \sigma \kappa о \iota \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \in \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ то८ $\alpha v>$ $\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \delta \iota 0 \nu \nu \sigma \iota \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \kappa 0 \tau \omega \sigma \delta \alpha \nu$ тi$\sigma \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha v \tau 0 \nu \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \omega \sigma 0 v \tau \omega \sigma>$

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu[\hat{\epsilon}] \mu \phi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ тòv Єоикvסí－ $\delta \eta \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu[\omega] \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ т $i^{\prime} \alpha$ кєфа́入 $\alpha \iota \alpha$

 $\theta \in \iota \kappa \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi[\rho o ́ v \omega] \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda$＇$i \delta^{\delta} i \omega s$

 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \sigma v \gamma \kappa o ́[\pi \tau \epsilon i]$ т̀̀ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ oú－ $\kappa \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha[\rho] \tau i ́ \zeta \omega[\nu \quad \tau] \dot{\alpha}[s] \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$
 $\tau \rho \in \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \varsigma \pi \rho[i] \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ，каi öт८ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \alpha i \tau[i-]$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$＇่ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha u$－ тoîs oi $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ \nu l o l$ ，ov $\mu \grave{\alpha}$ Дía
 к㐅̀ ка⿱亠 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ т $\alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \lambda \in \gamma o-~$ $\mu^{\prime} \iota^{\prime} \alpha s$ aitías，ő $\mu \omega s$ oủk ảmò тoú－
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa[\epsilon] i \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ 人 $\dot{\phi} \phi$ oil $\omega \nu \pi \rho \alpha$－ $\gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} ~ \eta \dot{v} \xi \grave{\eta}-}$ $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ oi＇A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} o l, \alpha \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$＇̇ $\pi \grave{\imath}$
 $\tau \alpha \mu$ èv ó $\Delta$ lovv́бlos．єiкóт $\omega$ s $\delta^{\prime}$ ă $\nu$ Tis $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ́ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} S$ ou゙ $\tau \omega \mathrm{S}$

Col．ii（＝A col．ii）．

| ．］$\sigma \in \in[.] \in \nu[\cdot] ?$ ！ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ［．．．．．．．．．．．］．тобо［．．．．．］．$\epsilon$ |
| $\tau[. . . . . . . . . . ..] \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ | $\tau[. . . . . . . . . .]. ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\alpha[. . . . . . . . . . ..] \nu 0 \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \in \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu>$ |
|  | $\epsilon[. . . . . . . . . ..] \alpha \nu \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \rho>$ |
|  | X［．．．．．．．．．．．］каıкато入v $\mu \pi \iota \alpha$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 10 |  |
|  | т［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］votoıkı |
|  | $\lambda o \nu[. . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~] ~] o. v \gamma \rho \alpha ~$ |
|  | $\phi \omega\left[\right.$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 0 otovt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ！ |
|  |  |
| 15 | $\alpha[. . . . . . . . . . . . . ~.] \pi \lambda \alpha[.] \alpha і ̈ к \alpha \alpha \pi о$ |
|  | ［．．．．．．．．$] \mu \mu \in \chi \rho \iota \tau \omega \nu \ddot{\sim} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ． |
|  |  |
|  | $\tau \alpha \sigma[.] \beta 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta[$ ． |
|  | $\omega \nu[.] \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ovo $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \nu[..] \kappa[.$. |
|  | кขраїк $\alpha \in \phi \epsilon \xi \eta \sigma \delta \iota \alpha \phi \in \rho о \nu \tau[$. |
|  | $\tau 0 \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ \nu 0 \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \sigma v \nu[$ ． |
|  | $\chi \in \epsilon \nu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau 0 v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \sigma \chi$［ |
|  | $\nu$ טvo $\alpha \nu \epsilon \tau \rho \in \chi \in \nu \alpha \pi \rho \in \pi \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \alpha[$. |
|  | $\alpha \lambda о \gamma \omega \sigma о \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \mu \iota \alpha \ddot{\pi} \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \sigma \eta \nu[$ |
|  |  |
|  | $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi$ оик $\alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ |
|  | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 v \sigma \chi \rho \circ \nu 0 v \sigma$ к $\alpha \iota \mu \nu>$ |
|  | $\overline{\epsilon \iota K} \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \rho \chi{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \alpha \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \nu \alpha \gg$ |
|  | $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha$ |
| 30 | $\gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ |
|  | $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha \rho \chi$ оข $\omega \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$ |
|  | от $\alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \kappa \in \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \circ \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \eta \iota$ |
|  |  |
|  | $\epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota 0 \delta \iota 0 \nu \vee \sigma \iota \sigma \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho>$ |
|  | $\epsilon \iota K \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \chi{ }^{\circ \nu \tau} \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \sigma$ |
|  | $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu 0 \mu \circ \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha$ |

5 a［．．．．．．．．．．］$] \nu \rho \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu>$
$\epsilon[. . . . . . . . . . ..] \alpha \nu \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \rho>$
X［．．．．．．．．．．．．．］каıкатодv $\mu \pi \iota \alpha$
$\delta[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~] ~.] \nu \pi \lambda \lambda a ́ t є ı к \alpha ı o v ~$
к［．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$]$ vovס $\omega \sigma \eta p o \delta o$
○ $\tau[$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．］$]$ т
т［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$]$ бтогкь
$\lambda o \nu[$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．$] \mu о \nu \gamma \rho \alpha$
$\phi \omega[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]. o \iota o v \tau \eta!$
тоソ［．．．．．．．．．．］o［．．］$] a \sigma \tau o v \sigma$
$\alpha[. . . . . . . . . . . . ..] \pi \lambda \alpha[.] \alpha i ̈ к \alpha \alpha \pi о$
．］$\mu \epsilon \chi \rho เ \tau \omega \nu \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu$.
$\ldots . \cdot \cdot] \tau \alpha \in \iota \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \sigma$
$\tau \alpha \sigma[..] \beta 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta[$.
$\omega \nu[\cdot] \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o v \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \nu[..] \kappa[.$.
кขраїк $\alpha \in \phi \epsilon \xi \eta \sigma \delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau[$ ．
тоוбХроขоь $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \sigma \nu \nu[$ ．
$\chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \circ v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о v \sigma \chi[$ ．
$\nu 0 v \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \tau \rho \in \chi \in \nu \alpha \pi \rho \in \pi \omega \sigma \kappa \alpha[$ ．
$\alpha \lambda о \gamma \omega \sigma о v \gamma \alpha \rho \mu \iota \alpha \ddot{\pi} \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \sigma \eta \nu[$
ov $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \in \nu \iota \chi \rho \circ \nu \omega \iota \eta \tau 0 \pi \omega \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha[$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi 0 \cup \kappa \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$

кає $p$
$\pi о \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \chi \rho 0 \nu 0 v \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \mu \eta \nu>$
 $\nu \alpha \kappa \eta \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \eta \nu \delta \iota \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \tau \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha$
$\gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$ $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha \rho \chi 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$ от $\alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \kappa є \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \circ \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \eta \iota$ $\mu 0 \nu 0 \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \omega \iota \circ v \nu$ $\epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \circ \delta \iota 0 \nu v \sigma \iota \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho>$

実

$\alpha[$ ．．．．．．．．．．$] \nu o s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta \omega к є \nu ~$
$\epsilon[. . . . . . . . . ..] \alpha \nu . \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ кат $\alpha{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho-$ $\chi\left[0 \nu \tau \alpha s \delta_{l \alpha ́} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s\right] \kappa \alpha i \quad \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$＇$O \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota \alpha ́-$

 $\tau[0 s . . . . . . . . .]. u \tau o v ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} s$
л［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$]$ тог tкí－
入ov［．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$\mu_{\nu \nu \nu ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́-~}^{\text {－}}$
$\phi \omega[\nu$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．］oiov $\tau \hat{\eta}$
тô̂［．．．．．．．．．．］o［．．］a
$\alpha\left[\ldots . . . . . . . \tau_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right] \Pi \lambda \alpha[\tau] \alpha \ddot{\kappa} \alpha{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$
$[\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu] \mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vo $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
$[\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta o ́ v] \tau \alpha$ ，єî $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s[\hat{\epsilon} \sigma] \beta o \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta[\sigma$ í－ $\omega \nu[\dot{\epsilon}] \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda o v s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \epsilon \nu,[\tau \grave{\alpha}]\langle\delta \grave{\epsilon}\rangle K[o \rho-$

 $\chi \in \epsilon \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda l \nu$ €̇ $\pi i$ roùs aủroùs X［pó－ vous $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in ́ \tau \rho \in \chi \in \nu$ ả $\pi \rho \in \pi \bar{\omega} s \kappa \alpha[i$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega s$ ．ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu i ́ \alpha$ v́ $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma i s$ रिv
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha i ̀ ~ к \alpha \grave{~} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$
$\pi о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ к \alpha \iota \rho o u ́ s . ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu \eta ̀ \nu$


 $\tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \alpha ’ \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma v \nu \in ́ \beta \alpha \iota \nu \in \nu$ ．

 $\epsilon \in\langle\nu\rangle \alpha \nu \tau i ́ \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ o ̀ ~ D \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma l o s \cdot ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$



Col. iii ( $=$ A col. iii).

|  | $\pi[.] \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha<к о \lambda$ оvө[. . . . . . . .]ovoıv |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | [.] $\alpha \nu \gamma \epsilon \tau о \iota \sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \rho \eta[. . . . . . . . . ~.] \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 5 |  |
|  |  |
|  | [. . . . . . . .]роккато[. .] |
|  | [. . . . . . . . .]ıкакк[.]оıкı[. |
|  | [. . . . . . . . . $] \pi 0 \lambda \lambda[.] \sigma \kappa є \phi \alpha[. ~$ |
| 10 |  |
|  | [. . . . . . . $] \leqslant \xi \leqslant \theta \in \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha[$. |
|  | [. . . . . . .] $] \mu \tau \tau \alpha \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi[$. |
|  | [. . . . . . . .] $]$ ข |
|  | [. . . . . . $] \nu \pi \pi \rho о к є \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \bar{\iota}$. |
| 15 | [. . .] ${ }_{0} \cdot[. . . ..] \tau \alpha \alpha \iota \gamma[\cdot] \pi \tau \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \lambda u \delta[$. |
|  | $\pi \cdot[\cdot] \delta \in \oplus[. . .$. $\alpha \kappa \rho \epsilon \iota \beta \omega \sigma[. . . . . .]. a \chi[. . . . . . . ..] \alpha$ |
|  | $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \sigma[. . . . . . ..] \nu \tau \eta[. . . . ..] \alpha \sigma$ |
|  | $\mu \eta \alpha \pi о \tau \eta \sigma \tau \omega[. ..] \eta \nu \alpha[.] \omega \nu \alpha v \xi \eta$ |
|  | $\sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau 0 \nu[\cdot] 0 v \kappa v \delta \delta \delta \eta \nu$ |
|  | $\eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \alpha \iota \tau \iota\rangle$ |
|  | $\alpha \nu \in L \nu \alpha \iota \tau 0 v \pi 0 \lambda \in \mu \circ v \pi \rho \omega \tau 0 \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ |
|  | $\rho \eta \tau \epsilon 0 \nu \omega \sigma$ оvк $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda о$ |
|  | $\pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \pi \rho \circ \theta[\cdot] \mu \in \nu 0 \sigma \sigma v \nu$ |
| 25 |  |
|  | $\mu о v \sigma \alpha \pi о \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \kappa \omega \nu \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ |
|  | $\sigma \chi \in \delta o \nu \alpha \phi \omega \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \eta v \xi \eta \theta \eta$ |
|  | $\sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \circ \iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma$ |
|  | $\theta \eta \kappa \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \xi \in \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \tau \eta \sigma$ |
|  | $\ddot{\nu} \pi 0 \theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma \epsilon \gamma \iota \nu \in \tau 0 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \nu \theta v>$ |
|  | $\left.\mu \eta \tau \in \circ \nu 0 \tau \iota \llbracket \llbracket_{\tau}^{\pi}\right] \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon v \sigma 0 \phi \in \iota$ |
|  | $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha<\theta \rho \nu \lambda[.] \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \sigma$ |




 [...].. [...] $\tau \hat{\eta} \zeta^{\prime} \sigma v v[\epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} s$ [. . . . . . . .] $\tau \alpha \iota$. oú ${ }^{\prime} \in i \tau[$
[. . . . . . . . .]роккато[. .] . . . [. . . . . .

[. . . . . . . . . .] $\pi о \lambda \lambda[\grave{\alpha}] s ~ к \epsilon \phi \alpha[\lambda \alpha ̀ s$.

[ $\sigma$ tos . . . . .] ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha[. .$.
[........] $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota S ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi[\grave{v} \tau \omega ิ \nu$

[ $\tau \omega . . . ..] \nu$ т $\pi о к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \iota^{\prime} \cdot[.$.
[...] . .[....] $\tau \grave{\alpha} A i \gamma[v] \pi \tau \iota \alpha$ каі̀ $\Lambda v \delta[\iota \alpha \kappa \alpha ́$,

д́крı$\beta \hat{\omega} s[. . . . . ..] \alpha \chi[. . . . . . ..] \alpha$.


$\sigma \epsilon \omega s \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \circ \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ रò $\nu$ [ $]$ ]оvкvסí̊ $\eta \nu$
$\eta \eta^{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \phi \eta \sigma i ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \alpha \nu$ аiтí-
$\alpha \nu$ єîval тov̂ $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v, \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$

$\pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \kappa o ̀ \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \theta[\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}] \mu \in \nu 0 s \quad \sigma v \gamma-$
$\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o u s \pi o \lambda \epsilon$ -

$\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi ’ \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta v \dot{\xi} \eta{ }^{\eta} \theta \eta-$
$\sigma \alpha \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \hat{\circ} \circ \iota$ '่ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ '่ $\nu \pi \rho o \sigma-$



$\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \quad \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \alpha ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \theta \rho v \lambda[0] v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha s$

```
\alpha\iotaт\iota\alpha\sigma\tau\omega\nu\pi\rho\alphay\mu\alpha\tau\omega\nu\epsilon\nu\pi\rho\omega>
\tauо\iota\sigma\alphaк\rho\iota\beta\omega\sigma\alphaф\eta\gamma\in\iota\sigma0\alpha\iota\epsilon\iota\delta\in\tau\iota>
```



```
aitías \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu\)＇̇ \(\nu \pi \rho \hat{\omega}\)－ тоıs \(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} s\) á \(\phi \eta \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha l\) ，єi \(\delta \epsilon \in \tau \iota-\) \(\nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \dot{v} \pi \sigma \nu 0 \in \hat{\imath}\) тov̂－
```

Col．iv（＝A col．iv）．


```
\tau0 \epsiloń\pi\iota[
\sigma0al ò }\Delta[\iotaovv́\sigmalo
\tauol кат[
к\alphaì \pi\epsilon[\quad\dot{\alpha}
\nu\grave{\alpha}\mu\epsiloń\sigma[ov
`'O\mu\eta\rho\iotaк[\omega人s
X\omega\nu a.[ \epsilon'-
\pi\iota\epsilon\iotaк\hat{\eta} [
\sigmavкоф[\alpha\nu\tau
2. 1. ai \tauplak[0v\tauov́\tau\epsilonts \sigma\piov\deltaai. a\hat{v}-
т\alpha\iota ката[ тр\iotaако\nuтоú-
\tau\epsilon\iotaS \kappa\alpha[
кov \grave{s [}
\delta\etas к.[
```

és П入áta[lav тฑ̂s Bolwtias• خ̀ $\pi o ́-$


$[\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi] \epsilon \in[\mu \pi \tau \omega$ каi $\delta \epsilon к а ́ \tau \varphi$ है-


i $\in \rho\left[\omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta{ }^{\circ}\right.$.
$\tau i[$
$\kappa$ [
$\pi \rho[$
$\tau \eta \cdot[$
т $\alpha$ oủk $\epsilon$. [
$\phi a \sigma i{ }^{\prime} \tau \iota \nu[s$
каi кат' 'O入[uرтıádas (?)
ópícal тov[

| 30 | ovסокı $\mu$ a тотробт $\alpha[$ | ov ठокı $\mu \alpha[$ <br> $\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha[$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\theta \in \mu \in \nu \circ \iota \delta[$ |  |
|  | $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \operatorname{cov}$［ |  |
|  | $\theta \in \mu \in \nu$ os［ | $\theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \operatorname{sos}$［ |
| 35 | $\kappa \alpha \iota \theta \rho \in \psi \alpha \mu[$ |  |

Col．v（ $=\mathrm{B}$ col． i ）．
$\tau 0 \delta \epsilon \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \alpha \nu[$ ．．$] \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \alpha \pi \sigma[.] \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ ขоוкаıбтратот［．．．］$] \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \epsilon \nu$

$\gamma^{\nu} \omega \mu \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \sigma[$ ．．］$\nu \nu \tau о к \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$
$\tau \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon[$.$] \quad ] \quad \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \nu>$
$\delta \epsilon \phi \iota \lambda \iota к о \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \rho v \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \nu v \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma 0 v \sigma \iota$
$\gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \iota$ ov $\sigma \tau 0 v \sigma \phi \iota[$.]ov $\sigma$
$\epsilon \delta о \kappa \in \iota 0 v \nu \in \pi \iota \chi \in \iota \rho \eta \tau \epsilon \alpha \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \iota \tau \omega \iota$
$\sigma v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \tau \iota$
$\tau 0 v \epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \epsilon 0 \nu$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma \eta \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \chi{ }^{\circ \sigma} \delta_{\iota}>$
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \in \circ \nu \hat{\eta} \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \circ$ ด
$\mu \in \nu \gamma \alpha \rho i ̈ \omega \nu є \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \iota 0 \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho \circ \nu$
$\sigma \iota \nu 0 u \tau 0 \iota \delta \epsilon \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho \in \tau^{\omega}$
$0 \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota 0 v \sigma \epsilon \nu \sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \eta \lambda \omega \iota>$
$\tau \omega \nu \delta \iota o ́ \delta \omega[\cdot] \eta \iota \chi \rho \eta \sigma[\cdot] \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \kappa 0$
$\tau \omega \iota \alpha \nu \omega \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma \chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu \iota \tau \epsilon \omega \sigma$
$\alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \iota k \omega l \epsilon \nu \iota 0 \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \iota$
$\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho 0 \nu \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi$ Х $\nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau 0 v \sigma \delta \iota \omega \kappa \circ \nu$
$\tau \alpha \sigma \tau o v \mu \eta \epsilon \kappa \phi v \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \iota$
роутооוто入入о८ $\eta$ тоוоит $\omega \sigma \rho \eta \tau \in о \nu$
$\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu[.] \delta \epsilon \chi$ Х $\nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau 0 \cup \sigma \delta \iota \omega \kappa о \nu$
$\tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau о \mu \eta \epsilon \kappa \phi \nu \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \iota \in \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu$
$\tau 00 \iota \pi \circ \lambda \lambda о \iota \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \in \lambda \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau 0$
$\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \eta \tau \sigma \alpha \rho \theta \rho \circ \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \alpha \sigma \epsilon$ เァ० >.

$\nu 0 \iota$ каi $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau о \pi[\epsilon \delta \epsilon] v \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ ย่ $\nu$
$\tau \hat{\eta}$ ả yo $\alpha \hat{\alpha}$.


ס̀̀ фı入ıкоîs кךрú $\gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta ิ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
каì єis фı入íà vimaүаүє́ $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \cdot ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota ~$


$\sigma v \nu \eta \eta_{\epsilon \epsilon \iota} \sigma \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \iota \quad$ кє́ $\chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$


$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$. oi
$\mu$ èv $\gamma \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho{ }^{2} I \omega \nu \in s$ кai Aionєîs sıalpov̂-
$\sigma \iota \nu$, oûtol ס̀̀ à áı $\alpha \iota \rho \in ́ \tau \omega(s)$.
4. 2. ol $\pi \lambda$ eíous $\mathfrak{\epsilon} v$ бкót $\omega$ кail $\pi \eta \lambda \widehat{\omega}$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta L o ́ \delta \omega[\nu] \hat{\mathfrak{y}}$ X $\mathrm{P} \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma[\omega] \theta \hat{\eta} v a l \cdot \sigma \kappa o ́-$




роито oi $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda o i \cdot ~ \eta ้ \tau о \iota ~ o u ́ \tau \omega s ~ \rho ं \eta \tau \epsilon о \nu, ~$


то oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda о i ́, ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho E ́ \lambda \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~$

$\tau o v \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ v \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon[.] \tau 0 v \sigma \gg$
$\delta \iota \omega \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \epsilon \kappa \phi \cup \gamma \in \iota \nu$ $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \in \iota \rho \circ \nu \tau о \circ \iota \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \iota$
отขракıакоעтıи т $\omega \iota \sigma \alpha \cup \rho \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \cup \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \in \tau 0 \in \sigma \chi \alpha \tau о \nu$ тоиборатоб
$\xi v \nu \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \circ \iota \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \in \nu \sigma \iota \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon$ $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0 \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \eta \lambda \theta о \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ форıкшбалотоขєьбтаขто $\beta \alpha \iota \nu[..] \nu$ $\tau 0 v \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \in \iota \delta \iota \in \sigma \tau \omega \tau \alpha \sigma>$ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu$
$\tau 0 \hat{v}, \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon i ́ \rho o u s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in X o \nu \tau \epsilon[s]$ tov̀s
 $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \in i ́ p o \nu \tau o$ oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ́$.
4．3．бти́ракь ảкоvтív．т $\hat{\iota} \sigma \alpha \nu \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \iota$
 тоиิ סópatos．
 $\theta \in \nu \tau o$ ，єis $\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \sigma \in t s ~ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \nu, \mu \in \tau \alpha-$ форıкิิs átò то仑 єis raútò $\beta \alpha i v[\epsilon l] \nu$ roùs є́v $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \xi \in l ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha s$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ．

Col．vi（＝B col．ii）．
$\pi \alpha \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha!\omega[$.
，$\pi \alpha \nu \sigma v \delta \iota \eta \iota \pi \alpha \sigma[$
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\imath} \alpha \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \eta \tau о v[$ ． т $\omega \iota{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \in \alpha \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o[$ ．
5
丂［．］$] \pi \div[. . ..] \alpha \nu \tau \in \sigma[$ ．
$\pi \tau \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \kappa[$.
$\tau \iota \nu \in \sigma \lambda \epsilon \gamma 0 v \sigma \iota \quad$［
［．］$] \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \iota \sigma[$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \omega 0 \mu \circ \iota[$ ．
$1 \circ \delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \in \tau[$ ．
$\beta о v \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \alpha v \tau$ ．
т $\omega \iota \tau$ оוоит $\omega \iota \lambda \in \gamma \in \iota \delta$
$\sigma \iota \bar{\nu} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ \nu$ ． $\kappa \alpha \nu о \mu \eta \rho о \sigma \nu \eta \epsilon \sigma[$.
${ } 55 \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu[. .]. o \nu \alpha[$ $\epsilon \xi \iota \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha[. ..] \kappa \epsilon \lambda \iota \alpha \sigma \quad \epsilon[$. $\left.\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \circ \theta_{[.]}\right] v \kappa v \delta \iota \delta \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha[$. $\lambda \iota \omega \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha[.] \tau 0 \iota \sigma \alpha \pi о \sigma \iota \kappa[$
$\lambda \alpha к \in \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \iota \circ \iota \alpha v \sigma \pi[$ ．
$\epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi \iota \alpha \nu 0 v \delta$［． $\kappa \epsilon \iota \theta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \in \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu \pi \epsilon \epsilon_{[ }^{-}$．

5．I．Tav $\quad$ т $\rho a \tau 1 a ̨ \cdot ~ \omega \in[s$
$\pi \alpha \nu \sigma v \delta i ́ n \pi \alpha[$ ．
5．4．oîa ảтроббокท́точ［какой．íनоv

 $\pi \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ к $\alpha i$ к［ тוvès 入є́yovol．
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\omega \nu \quad$＇$\epsilon \xi \omega$ ，ó $\mu o i[\omega s . . . .$. $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ s . \quad \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \in \tau[\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon ̀$
6．2．$\beta$ ou $\lambda \in v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \pi \in \rho i ̀$ av่ $[\hat{\omega} v \cdot$


 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu[o \hat{l} \nu] o \nu \alpha^{\alpha}[\gamma o v \sigma \alpha \iota$.
 $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu$ ó $\Theta[о] \cup к v \delta i ́ \delta \eta s, \kappa \alpha[i$ тоís＇$I \tau \alpha-$入ı́́таıs ка［i］тоîs áтò $\sum \iota \kappa[\epsilon \lambda i ́ a s ~ o i ~$

 $\kappa \in i \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \in ́ \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu \quad \pi \epsilon[\mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$
$\nu \alpha v \sigma \eta \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \times \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma[$.
$\sigma \chi \alpha \tau[.] \sigma \alpha \pi о \sigma v р \alpha к о v \sigma \sigma \omega_{[ }$
$\ddot{\omega} \omega \nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \in \theta[\cdot] \rho \mu о к \rho \alpha \tau$.
${ }_{2} 5$ оршขтєбєíवф८б८ єук入ıтєо[.
oбovolovt $\in \delta \in I \sigma \omega \varsigma \in \epsilon \nu$ [.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \delta \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \nu \tau!0 v \tau \alpha[$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \delta[$.
$\alpha \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \gamma[.] \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \circ \xi v \tau[$.
$30 \tau \backslash \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ б $\eta \lambda o \nu \omega \sigma$.
$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \in \nu 0 \circ O \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa$.
$\omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \circ \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \phi \in \rho \epsilon \iota \gamma \circ v[$
$\nu \in о \tau \eta \sigma о \cup к \alpha к о \nu \sigma \iota \omega \sigma v \pi[$. $\eta \pi \tau \epsilon \tau о \tau 0 v \pi \pi\rangle \lambda \mu \circ v \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa[$.
$35 \lambda \epsilon \mu о \sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \phi \eta[$
$\pi 0 \overline{\lambda \lambda} \alpha \delta \in \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \circ \lambda 0 \gamma \circ \stackrel{\imath}{\eta} \delta \delta 0_{0}^{\circ}$.
$\nu \alpha \hat{s}$ ท̂ $\sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha s,[\epsilon i \mu \eta$ '่ $\pi$ ' $\epsilon-$ $\sigma \chi \alpha ́ \tau[o l] s$ ánò $\Sigma v \rho \alpha к о v \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega}[\nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'I $\omega \nu i ́ \alpha \nu$ т $\alpha s ~ \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ['E] $E \mu о к \rho \alpha ́ \tau[o v s . ~$

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s \delta^{\prime}$ '̇ $\nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota o v ̂ \tau \alpha[\iota$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \dot{\varrho} s \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota S$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta[$.

 $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \iota$ oủ $\delta \grave{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \alpha \kappa[\omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 \iota$

 ท̋ $\pi \tau \epsilon \tau \circ$ то仑 $\pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v \cdot ~ \gamma \lambda v \kappa[\nu े s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi o ́$. $\lambda \in \mu \circ s$ aं $\pi \epsilon i \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ढ̈s $\phi \eta[\sigma \iota$ Пív $\delta \alpha \rho o s$.
 One or more columns lost.

## Col. vii (=C col. i).



[. . . . . . . . .] $\nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota o \iota \sigma$
[........ .] $\mu \omega \iota \epsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota>$

5
[. . . . . . . .]oyt[. . .] $] \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma[. . . . . .$.
[. . . . . . . .] $] \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \pi[$
[. . . . . . . . . .]veєбтои . . [.] . [. . . . .
[.] ] . [. . . . . .] $] \nu \delta є \tau о \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \underset{\sim}{\varphi}$
[.] $][. . . . . ..] \epsilon \nu \theta \alpha \kappa \in \nu о v \kappa \in \tau L[.] \rho[. ~.] \nu$
[.] $] \nu[\cdot . . . ..] \sigma \alpha \iota \tau о \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu о v \kappa \epsilon$
$\tau \iota \pi \rho \circ \nu[.$. .]бьтоьоитоцкат $\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon$
[.]ov $0 \cdot \mu \mu[. ~.] \epsilon \xi о \rho \mu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$
[.] $] \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \delta 0 \xi \alpha \nu 0 \iota \sigma 0 \mu \in \nu 0 \iota>$

[.] $] \pi \mu \phi о \tau \in р \alpha \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \alpha \pi о \beta \alpha \iota \nu 0 \nu>$
 [ $\lambda o \nu$ тoís ${ }^{\text {é] }} \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ o s$.

 [Tal• oi $\alpha \lambda] o \gamma \iota[\sigma \tau o] \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ [ $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \rho o ́-$

[. . . . . . . . .] $]$ єis tov . . [.].[. . . . .


[ả] $\rangle[\eta े \rho$ ỏvó] $] \alpha \iota \tau 0 \quad \mu \in \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega ́ \nu$. oủкє́-
$\tau \iota \pi \rho \circ v[00 \hat{v}] \sigma \iota$ тоloûтol, кат̀̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon-$
$[\mu] o \nu \quad \theta v \mu[\widehat{\iota}] \quad$ є́ $\xi \circ \rho \mu \omega \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$.
II. 9. [k]ai $\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ סógav oíó́ $\mu \in \nu 0 L$ [то]îs tє mpoүóvols kai ípîv aủtoîs

［．］$] \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \iota \eta \pi \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau о \tau 0 \in \pi \alpha \mu \phi \circ>$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \eta \varphi[. . ..] \cdot[..] \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta \nu \delta o$ $\xi \alpha \nu \in \pi[. . . . . ..] \xi \iota \alpha \sigma \nu v \nu \delta \in \delta \epsilon$
 ［．．．．．．］$] \pi[. . . . ..] \epsilon \xi \sigma \nu \tau \in \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota$ ［．．．．］каıоוтроуо⿱оь $\eta \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ［．．．］$\alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \alpha \pi о \beta \alpha \iota \nu о \nu$ ［．］$] \nu 0 \pi \sigma \circ \circ \iota \epsilon \nu \alpha \pi о \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu>$ $[\cdot] \phi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \circ \phi \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$
1．．］$] \lambda$ доv $\sigma о \nu \tau \alpha[.] \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa о \sigma \mu \omega \iota ~ к о \sigma \mu о \sigma$ ［．］$] \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \iota \sigma \omega \sigma \tau[. ..] \alpha \rho \in \pi \in \iota \kappa 0 \sigma \mu \eta$ ［．］$\in \nu \alpha \mu \eta \gamma \in \mu[.$. ．］
［．．．．］$] \alpha \iota \mu \nu \nu \omega[.] \epsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$
［．．．．］$\epsilon \tau \alpha[.] \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma[..] \alpha \tau \epsilon v[.] \nu \tau[.] \nu o v$
［．．．］$] \delta \epsilon \chi \propto \nu[. ..] \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \alpha[.] \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon$ ［．．．］$] \tau \omega \nu \pi[.$. ．］$\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \iota \tau о \sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ ［．．．．．．］$\epsilon \pi[]<.\kappa[\cdot] v \alpha \nu \alpha \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$ ［．．．．．］$] \in \iota$
［．．．．．．．$] \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \iota \sigma v \nu$ ［．．．．．．］$\eta \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta \iota$
［．．．．．］$] \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \iota \nu \epsilon$ ［．．．．］$\omega \rho \iota \leqslant ̣ \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
 $\tau \in \rho \alpha$ 方 $\nu[\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \hat{v}] \sigma[\alpha \iota]$ о̀̀ $\mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ סó－ $\xi \alpha \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi[i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S \epsilon \dot{v} \delta 0] \xi i \alpha \alpha, \nu \hat{v} \nu \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon$－
 ［ $\alpha$ út $\eta \nu \dot{v}] \pi[0 ́ \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu]$ є＇$\xi 0 \nu \tau \in S$ к $\alpha i ̀$ ［ $\dot{u} \mu \in i \bar{s}]$ каi oi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu 0 九 ~ \eta ̈ ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma t \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $[\tau] \omega \nu$ ò $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \circ \iota\langle\dot{\alpha}\rangle \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \bar{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$


 $[\theta] \epsilon \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu\left[\begin{array}{l}o \\ o \\ (\nu \in \sigma \sigma \iota) .]\end{array}\right.$
 $[\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi] \epsilon \tau \alpha[l] \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \sigma[\tau \rho] \alpha \tau \epsilon v[o ́] \nu \tau[\omega] \nu$ ．ov
 $[\mu 0 u ́] \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi[\rho i \nu] \delta_{\iota} \alpha \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ тò $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́-$ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\tau \epsilon v \mu \alpha & \vec{\eta}\end{array}\right] \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}[0]^{\prime} \kappa[0] v \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ $[\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \chi \eta] \tau \epsilon i ́$.
 $[\mu i \sigma \gamma \eta \quad \mu] \eta \delta^{\prime}$ єis $\delta \mu i \lambda i ́ \alpha \nu \quad{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta$ ．
12．3．$[\ddot{\epsilon} \mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon] \delta \iota a \lambda v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ．$\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho i ́ \nu \epsilon-$ $[\sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \chi] \omega \rho i \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

Col．viii（ $=\mathrm{C}$ col．ii）．
［．］$] \pi \in \rho \kappa \alpha \iota[. \cdot] \rho[$.
［．］$] \in \nu \in \kappa \alpha \in \kappa[$ ．
$\kappa \in \nu 0 \tau!\varphi[\cdot] \mu \iota \leqslant($ ．
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \mu[$ ．

$\hat{\eta}[\cdot] \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma \chi v o ̣ \cdot[\sigma \tau i.] \alpha \theta$ oï $\chi$ vovo［．．．．
［．．．］$] є \iota \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \nu[. . .$.
［．］$] \tau \alpha \chi \in \iota \rho t \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta[$ ．
［．］$v \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma$
10［．．］$\omega \mu \eta!\kappa \alpha \iota \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \pi[. . . .]. v \sigma![$.

13．2．$[\ddot{a}] \pi \in \rho$ каi $[\pi \rho] \overline{0}[\tau \in \rho о \nu$.
$[.] \nu \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \alpha \quad \epsilon \kappa[$ ．
$\kappa \in \nu$ őть $\nu[0] \mu \iota \delta\left[. . . . . . . . . . . \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \iota}\right.$
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha \quad \kappa \alpha i \quad \alpha \mu[$ ．



$[\mu] \epsilon \tau \alpha X \in \iota \rho i ́\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta}[s \quad \alpha \in i \quad \delta \epsilon-$
［0］ú $\sigma \eta s$＇̇ $\pi i \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s$.

［．．．．］$\sigma \theta\left[\cdot[]_{\imath} \pi \rho о \nu о \iota \alpha \iota \kappa[. . ..] \eta \mu \alpha \tau[\right.$. ［．．．．．．．．］］тоג［．．．］каторӨоч［．．
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$] \sigma к \alpha \iota \delta \eta \mu[. ~$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］．［．］$\nu \omega \tau[$ ．
［кратє $\hat{i}] \sigma \theta[\alpha]$ • $\pi \rho o \nu o i ́ a ~ к[\alpha i \quad \chi \rho] \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau[\omega \nu$ ［ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \hat{\eta}] \pi о \lambda[\lambda \omega \nu]$ к $\alpha \tau о \rho \theta 0 \hat{v}[\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
13．4．［ढैv $\tau \in$ ảva日 $\eta \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$［ $\delta$ íol］s кal $\delta \eta \mu[0-$ ［бiols ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］．［．］$] \omega \omega \tau[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~$ 7 lines lost．
$\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \alpha \iota \rho[$
[. . . .] $\nu$
［．．．．．．．］$\pi \pi \epsilon$
［．．．．．．．］$][$
［．．］．．．．．［
$\rho o \nu \in \lambda \theta \eta[]$
отаука $\theta$［
[...........................].[.
[. . . . $] \delta \iota 0 \pi[\ldots . . . . . . ..] \cdot[.] . \alpha \iota .[$.
$\alpha \nu \in \theta \in \sigma \alpha \nu[. . . . . . . . ..] \nu \delta \eta \mu 0 v$
[. . . .] $] \iota[$. . . . . . . . . $] \mu \propto \sigma \iota o \nu>$
$\alpha[$ ．．．．$] \in[\cdot] \epsilon \epsilon \chi \circ[. .$. ．$] \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma$
$\sigma\left[\right.$ ．．．］$\tau_{\tau} \alpha \tau \lambda \alpha \nu[$ ．．．．$] \theta \mu o \nu[$ ．．］ ［．．．．．．］ Oоукац［．．．．．．］$] \in \tau о\left[. ~ . ~ . ~ . ~_{\text {．}}\right.$

］
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . [.
[. . . .] $\delta \iota 0 \pi[$. . . . . . . . . .] . [.] . at . [.

[. . . .] $] \iota[$. . . . . . . $\delta \eta] \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~$
[. . . .] $\nu$.
］．$\cdot$
］．［．］．al．［．
．］$\geq \delta \eta \mu 0 v$
］$\mu \sigma \sigma \iota \circ>$
 $\sigma[a \rho a ́ k o v] \tau a$ тá入av［тa $\sigma \tau \alpha] \theta \mu \grave{v}$［Xp］u－ ［бíou à $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi] \theta$ ou kai $[\pi \epsilon \rho l a l] \rho \in \tau \grave{\partial}[v$ єî－ ［vaı äтav•］¿ $\Pi \in[\rho \iota \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$
［．．．．．．．］$][$
［ ］
［．．］．．．．．［
pov ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta \eta$［
öт $\alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \theta[$
${ }^{1} 3.6$ ．kal $\pi \in \rho l a l p[\epsilon \tau o ́ v$.

Col．ix（ $=\mathrm{D}$ with Fr． 1 ）．
［．］${ }^{\alpha} \iota \tau \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \xi \iota \nu \quad \tau \omega \nu \phi \nu \lambda \alpha$
［．．］$] \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \eta[..] \omega \nu \alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \xi \epsilon!. \sigma$
［．．．］оито九 $\alpha \rho \epsilon \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma о \nu \ddot{\pi} \pi о т \epsilon \tau \omega \nu$
［．．．］$] \beta$ ит $\alpha \tau \omega \nu$ аעтเтоvaтот $\omega \nu$
5 ［．］$] \in \sigma \beta v \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \omega \sigma \delta \alpha i ̈ \delta \omega \nu v \pi 0>$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon[.] \alpha \omega \nu$
$\tau о и \tau \epsilon[\cdot] \alpha \rho \phi \alpha \lambda \eta \rho \iota к о \cup \tau \epsilon \iota \chi$ оиб $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota$
 ［．］$] \nu \nu[$ ．．．．］$\nu \tau[] v. a \sigma \tau \epsilon[. . . ..] \nu \tau \tau[] o v$. ［．．］$] \sigma \tau о u \kappa v[.] \lambda$ оu $\eta \nu[. .$. ．$] \times \eta \tau о$
 $\epsilon \omega[.] \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \iota \theta[.] \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon[$ ．．］$\sigma 0 \varphi[$［．．］$\nu$

13．6．［k］aì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ €̈ $\pi \alpha \lambda \xi เ v \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi u \lambda \alpha-$ $[\sigma \sigma] o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \eta\left[\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}\right] \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{i} \epsilon \in \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \xi \epsilon \epsilon s$.
13．7．［тоб］ov̂тol रà $\rho$＇̇фú $\lambda a \sigma \sigma o v$ vimó $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} v$ $[\pi p \epsilon] \sigma \beta \nu \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \grave{\iota}$ тov̂ ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $[\pi] \rho \in \sigma \beta \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，$\dot{\omega}$ ठаïठ $\omega \nu$ űто $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi о \mu \epsilon[\nu] \alpha ́ \omega \nu$. то̂̂ $\tau \in[\gamma]$ d̀ $\rho$ Фa入ךpıкô̂ $\tau \in i ́ X o u s ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \delta \iota-~$ ol $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu[\pi] \epsilon \in \nu \tau[\epsilon]$ каi $\tau \rho[\{\hat{\alpha}] \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ трòs $[\tau] \dot{\partial} \nu[\kappa v ์ k \lambda 0] v \quad \tau[0] \hat{v}$ ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon\left[\omega S^{\cdot} \quad \alpha\right] \nu \tau i[\tau] o v$
 $\mu[\hat{\epsilon}] \nu \quad \Phi \alpha \lambda \eta ́ p[0 v \tau] o ̀ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o[\hat{v}] \Pi[\epsilon \iota \rho \alpha] \iota-$

$\tau 0 \delta \iota \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha[\cdot] \pi о \tau о \cup \phi \alpha \lambda \eta \rho![\cdot]$.


［．］$] \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \nu о \pi о \sigma о \nu \eta \nu \epsilon[. \cdot] \epsilon[[$.
［．．．］хрьточкขклоv
［．．．．．］］

тò סıá $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha[\alpha ̉] \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \Phi \alpha \lambda \eta ́ \rho\{i[\kappa\} 0] v$



［ $\omega$ s á］Xpı то仑̂ кúк入оv．
 About 3 lines lost．

Fr． r ．
22
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mathcal{\beta} \circ$ o
$\alpha \lambda \lambda[$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha \rho[$
$\xi[\cdot] x \not \sigma \nu 0[$
［．］єєヶọ
$0![$
$\eta \tau[$
14．1．Eüßo［lav．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda[$
$\kappa \alpha i \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho[$
$\xi[\cdot] x \iota s$ vo［
［．］$] \iota \tau \circ[$
oct

Col． $\mathrm{x}(=\mathrm{E}$ col． i$)$ ．

$5 \stackrel{\vdash}{\eta} \alpha[. . . . ..] \eta \delta \eta \xi \vartheta v \nu \tau[$
$\epsilon \sigma \alpha \underline{\sim}[. . . ..] \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda о u \nu \tau \omega[$
$\overline{\tau о \epsilon \nu \lambda[. ~ . ~ . ~ .] ~} \iota \sigma$ s८ovvao［．
$\mu \in \nu \phi \eta \sigma[..] \in \nu \delta \in \delta \iota o \nu v[$.
［．］$] \eta \tau \sigma \nu[..] \tau \epsilon \lambda \in v \theta \eta \rho \in \iota[$

［．．．］o $\sigma \delta є о \nu \tau[.] \sigma \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu[$
［．．］aтоєк $\lambda \epsilon \lambda[.] \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \kappa[$
［．．］$] \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu[$ ．］$\eta \iota \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \iota[$ ．
［．．］ov $\lambda \iota \mu \nu \alpha \tau[.] \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \alpha \rho \tau[. . .$.

［．．．．］$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon[.] \in \sigma[..] \nu \epsilon \rho \rho \tau \eta \eta \mu \epsilon$

[. . . . . . . .]



$\mu^{\prime} \nu \nu \eta \sigma[\iota \nu] \epsilon \hat{v}$ ठ̀̀ $\Delta \iota \omega \nu v[\sigma$


[. . .]os סغ̀ oúr $[\omega] s$ ф $\eta \sigma i \nu[\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$





［．．．］$] \bar{\alpha} \iota \bar{\beta} \iota \bar{\gamma} \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma[$ ．．．．．$] \tau \iota \delta \in \eta \iota \bar{\beta}$ ［．．］k $\alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \alpha v[. ~ . ~ . ~]$.
［．．．］${ }^{[ }[\cdot] \iota \sigma \tau o v a \xi[$［．．］$\epsilon \chi \rho \omega \nu \tau[.] \quad \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ［．．．．．］$][$ ．．］$] \stackrel{\xi}{ }$
［．．．．］$\epsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \iota \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha[.] \omega \nu \ddot{\epsilon} \epsilon$
［．．．］$\nu о \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \omega \iota \ddot{v} \delta \alpha \tau \iota \backslash 1[. ~.] \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ［．．］$] \iota \xi \in \tau \alpha \iota \nu о \mu \iota \mu о \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \cdot[. . . ..] \cdot[$.
［．．．．］$\in о \nu \nu \in \pi \iota \pi о \lambda \cup к \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta[$
${ }^{2} 5$［．］$] \tau о \nu о \mu \omega \iota \circ \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau$［．］．
］оьаӨ $\eta \nu \alpha \iota o \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu к \alpha \tau \alpha[$

$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho \alpha \nu[.] \nu \tau о \nu о \mu[$
$\sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \cup \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \tau \omega[$
$\xi \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota \pi 0 \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \times$ ㄱo $\iota \alpha[$
［．．］$][. \quad.] \kappa \eta \sigma i ́ \alpha \gamma \in \nu о \mu \in \nu o \iota \quad$ oג．
$\mu[$ ．．］$] \alpha \nu о \iota \kappa \iota \alpha \iota \epsilon y \tau \alpha \nu \tau[$ ．
$\tau 0[. \quad . \quad$ ］kouv $\tau \epsilon \sigma$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \tau[\cdot] \eta \rho \omega \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \quad \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu[$ ．
$\omega[$ ．．．］$] \epsilon \nu \eta \alpha \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \iota \omega \nu \kappa[$ ． $\phi[$［．．］$\alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon \eta \rho \omega \omega \nu \mu \epsilon$ $\sigma \eta \kappa o v \sigma \theta \epsilon \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \alpha 0 v \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda[$ ．



15．5．［ $\tau$ d̀ $\pi] \lambda[\epsilon]$ íotou ả $\xi[\iota a]$ є́Xpêvt［0•］єis $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $[\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma] \tau[0 v] \not{ }^{\prime} \xi \iota \alpha$.



 ［a］v̉тоvó $\mu \varphi$ оi̋кŋ́бєเ $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \tau \sigma[\hat{v} \quad \mu \in \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} X o \nu$

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi^{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu[\alpha] \dot{\tau} \tau \nu 0$ ó $\mu[0 v$ oikク́－

 $[\pi \alpha] v[01] \kappa \eta \sigma i a ́ ~ \gamma \in v o ́ \mu \in v o l \cdot ~ i \lambda \lambda[. . . .$.
 $\tau o[. ~ o i] k o \hat{v} \nu \tau \in s$.
 $\omega\left[\begin{array}{llllll}\nu & \tau \epsilon] \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta & \alpha \\ \alpha\end{array}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu i ́ \omega \nu \quad k[\alpha \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota\right.$
 $\sigma \eta \kappa o u ́ s, \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ठ̀̀ vaoús．Ka入入［ípaХos


Col．xi（＝E col．ii．with Fr．2）．
$\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \lambda \lambda 0 \beta \epsilon[$
 ［．．．．］к $\alpha \iota \phi u \lambda \alpha[$

кai єĭ $\tau \iota$ ă $\lambda \lambda 0 \quad \beta \in[\beta a i \omega s$ к $\kappa \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \hat{\eta} v$.
 ［．．．．］каi фи入 $\alpha[\sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$
10 lines lost．

Fr． 2.
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$] \pi \alpha[$ ．


òvyap［．．．．．．．．．．．］$]$ ои［．
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］${ }^{\text {］}}$ 。
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$\pi \alpha ́[~ \sigma \eta S ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~$
 $\epsilon \xi \omega[\kappa \dot{\xi} \theta \eta \cdot$ ．．．．$] \omega \kappa \tilde{\iota} \eta \theta \eta$ ．
 ［ $\sigma \iota v \cdot$ ．．．．．．$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha] \nu o ́ \mu \omega[$ ．

| . $] \sigma \in \chi \rho$ | [. . . . . . . . . . . . .]s éx $\chi$ ¢ $[$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| [. . . . . . . . . . . . . $] \lambda \lambda \eta \kappa[$. | [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . $] \lambda \eta \kappa \kappa[$. |
| [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] or. | [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] . o[. |

## Col. xii (=F col. i).



［．．．．．］тото ．．．［．］ккоттө日［．

［．．．．．．．］］ттойp
［．．．．．．．．］k $\alpha!\mu![-$

［тоs ．．．］тото ．．［．］．＇кои $\tau t \theta[$ ．
［．．．．．$] \in[\cdot$ ．］．$\sigma \cdot[. . .$. ．］．o［．．．．．．．．．．
［．．．．．．．．．］s $\tau$ ò ท́p $\rho$ ．
［．．．．．．．］каi $\mu \epsilon[$ ．

Col．xiii（ $=$ F col．ii）．
$\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \pi \alpha!\nu \in \sigma[\cdot] \sigma \theta \alpha \iota a \nu \tau \iota \tau 0 v \in \pi \alpha[$. $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \nu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau о \sigma \alpha \tau \tau ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十$


$\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \tau о \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon к о \sigma \tau \eta \sigma[.] \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \nu[$ ．
$\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \quad v \pi \in \rho \beta a \tau о \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota \tau \circ \gamma \alpha \rho \in[$ ．



 ката $\mu$ ¢ $\eta$
$\omega \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \sigma \omega \rho \gamma \eta \tau \circ \omega \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о[\cdot] \omega[.$.
$\gamma \epsilon \tau \sigma \in \pi[.] \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \in \nu 101 \sigma \delta \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi[$ ．
таเต́p $\mu \eta$ то

 $\mu \epsilon \nu \delta o \rho \pi \sigma \nu \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha[\cdot] \rho \nu_{1} \cdot$.
$\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$

$\epsilon \nu \pi \eta \rho \epsilon i \eta \iota \theta \rho \in \psi \alpha \rho \gamma[$ ．

$\rho[\cdot] \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa[$
а́ $\rho \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \quad \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma[. . .].\} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma$
${ }_{25} \overline{\pi \alpha} \rho \iota \nu \tau \tau \in[] \delta \epsilon \omega \rho \omega \pi \sigma[$ ．．．．］p $\rho \iota o \sigma$

 $\sigma \epsilon l \nu$ oúv $\theta \in \epsilon$ тoîs＇Avtıkoîs．
 $\sigma \iota \nu \nu \epsilon\{\iota\} \delta i o v, \sigma v v \dot{\eta} \theta[\omega]$ s．$\eta$ код ои́ $[\theta \epsilon$



 ठıà $\mu$ ́́́cov．
21．3． $\operatorname{ka\tau à~\xi u\sigma \tau á\sigma \epsilon [l]s~} \tau[\epsilon] \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu 0[$－
бvขı $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 l$ ，$\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0[t$
катд̀ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} p \eta$ ．

 $\tau \alpha \iota$ ॐ̈ $\rho \eta \eta$ то．


 ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ ．

 $\tau \alpha ́ v o v \sigma \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oi $\gamma \rho \alpha ́[\phi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ Парáб九－


 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ Bot $\omega[\tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ к $\alpha{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu[a i \omega \nu \quad \xi] \sigma \tau i \nu$,


Some columns lost．
Col． $\operatorname{xiv}(=G \operatorname{col} . \mathrm{i})$.

|  | ．．．．．．．．．．］${ }^{2} \tau \eta к о \tau \omega \nu \alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon$ <br> ．．．．．．．．．．］$\sigma \iota \tau о \nu \mu \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \omega \nu \alpha$ <br> ［．．．．．．．．．．］фıоб | 34．5．［．．．．．$\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon] \cup \tau \eta \kappa о ́ \tau \omega \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon-$ <br>  ［ $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha ́] \phi \iota \circ$ ． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ．．．．．．．．．］$\delta \rho \iota \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma$ |  |
| 5 | ${ }^{7} \in \cup$ ¢ $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \chi \in \iota$ ¢ |  |
|  | ．．．．．．．．$] \eta \nu \alpha \iota$ каı $\mu \eta \epsilon \nu \in \nu \iota$ |  |
|  | ［ ．．．．．．．］． $\operatorname{\sigma ov}[$［ ．．．．．．．$] \alpha \pi \%$ |  |
|  | ［．．．．．．．］$] \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \rho \in \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa เ \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon[$. |  |
|  | ［．．．．．．］тоขтıкаıкакшбтоıо⿱ |  |
| 10 | ．．．．．．$\sigma \pi \pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \nu>$ | ［ $\tau о \tau$ مómov＇s $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ف̀s ${ }^{\text {a }} \nu$ |
|  | ［．．．．］．］ |  |
|  | ［．．．．．．．．．］$\mu \in \tau \rho \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \nu>$ |  |
|  | ［．．．．．．．．．］каикальбо | ．．．．．．］к $\alpha i$ iк $\alpha \nu \omega \hat{s}$ ． |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} 5$ | ．．．．．．$]$ ］бко入оขєбт兀レ |  |
|  |  | O［．．．．．．．．．．．．］$\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\text {a }} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
|  | ．．．．．．．．．］a⿱⿰㇒一乂⿱一𫝀口то入 $\eta>$ | ．．］as $\dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda \eta$－ |
|  | ．．．．．．］aıбvбко入о⿱ | ［ $4 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~] a \iota ~ \delta \dot{v} \sigma к о \lambda о \nu ~$ |
|  |  |  |
| 20 |  | ［．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\tau$ ］ov̂ $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \mu \alpha-$ |
|  | ．．．．．．．．．．．．．］סo乡акає | ［ros ．．．．．．．．．．．］סóga каi |
|  | ．］tov－［．］． | ［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］rov ．［．］． |
|  |  | ［．．．．．．．．．．．．．］ |
|  | ．$\lambda \lambda \in o \nu \alpha \xi \epsilon$ |  |
| ${ }^{2} 5$ | ．．．］$\tau \eta \nu a v>$ |  |
|  | ［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］oovvt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | ［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$] \mu / \sigma \epsilon \iota$ |  |



Col．$x v(=$ G col．ii）．
［．．．］alov $\alpha$ р $\alpha$ ư［． ［．．］каıо $\pi[.]!.\varphi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa[.] \nu$ ．［．．．．］$] \pi$ ．［． $\alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau о \cup \pi[\cdot]$ обŋ̣кор
$\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \nu \iota \alpha[.] \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \cdot \eta \iota \tau \alpha v^{\tau}$

5 каıоขо $\mu \alpha \mu \in \nu \delta \iota \alpha \tau о \mu \eta \epsilon \sigma о \lambda \iota$ Үоv $\sigma$ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon[\cdot] \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \circ \nu \alpha \sigma о \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \eta \mu$ ок $\rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha$ $\kappa \in \kappa \lambda[..] \alpha \iota$ оит $\alpha \rho \alpha \tau о \pi о \lambda \lambda$ оvбоוкє८้ $\gamma \iota \nu \epsilon[$ ．．］ıסŋ $\mu о к р а т \iota \alpha \alpha[.] \lambda \alpha \tau о \iota о и \tau о \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau о \mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \circ[\cdot] \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \alpha \nu>$ $\delta_{\iota \circ \iota к \in \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \in \iota \sigma}$ ［．．］$] о ⿱ \pi \lambda \lambda \eta \theta o v \sigma \sigma v \mu \phi \in \rho о \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon[\cdot] \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \tau о v \sigma \nu 0 \mu \circ v \sigma$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha t \delta \iota \alpha \delta \iota \alpha ́ \phi о \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \tau 0 \iota \sigma о \nu к \alpha$ $\tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \alpha \xi \iota \omega \sigma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\omega} \iota$
 $\delta_{\iota \alpha ф о р а \nu v \nu \tau \alpha \delta ı \alpha \phi \in \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \mu[.}$ $\tau \epsilon \chi$ Хvб८ $\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \tau 0 v \sigma$ $\nu 0 \mu о v \sigma \in \nu \tau o \iota \sigma i ̈ \delta \iota o \iota \sigma \sigma v \mu \beta$ о $\lambda \alpha[$ ．．］$\sigma$ ї $\eta \gamma о \rho \iota \alpha \sigma к \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \alpha \xi \iota \alpha \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon!$ $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \epsilon \kappa[\cdot] \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \nu 0 \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\epsilon \nu \tau \sigma[\cdot] \sigma \kappa о \iota \nu 0 \iota \sigma о \cup \cup \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau о \mu \epsilon \rho о \sigma \tau о$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o v i ̈ \sigma o \nu \alpha v \tau \omega \iota \tau \eta \sigma \pi[. ~.] \iota \tau \in \iota$ $\alpha \sigma \pi \rho о \sigma \tau о к о \iota \nu о \nu \tau[.] \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha>$

36．1．［סík］alov $\gamma$ àp av̉［roîs kal $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \pi o v ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \cdot$ $[\delta i] \kappa \alpha \iota \circ \nu \pi[\alpha ́ \lambda]<\nu \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \kappa[\epsilon] \nu \cdot[\ldots ..] \pi \cdot[$. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \grave{\imath} \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi[\rho] о \sigma \hat{\kappa} \kappa о \nu$.
 $\tau \alpha \dot{\tau}(\eta)$ ．
 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$＇$\in[s] \pi \lambda \epsilon$ íovas oikєîv $\delta \eta \mu о к р а т i ́ a$ кє́к $\lambda[\eta \tau] a l \cdot$ ov̉ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ а $\tau$ ò то入入oùs oikєîv
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ סí̀ $\tau o ̀ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́[\lambda] \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi i ́ \alpha \nu$ סıоккєîб $\theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ єis ［ $\tau \grave{0}] ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta ́ \theta o u s ~ \sigma v \mu \phi є ́ \rho o \nu$.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon[\sigma] \tau L$ סè кaтà $\mu$ èv tov̀s vó oovs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \imath ̋ \delta \iota a ~ \delta l a ́ \phi o p a ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma ı ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \imath ̉ \sigma o v, ~ к a-~$
 $\epsilon$ v̉ठoкı $\mu \in \mathfrak{\imath}$ oủk ảmò $\mu \epsilon ́ p o u s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o[\nu$.

 vópous é $\nu$ тoîs idioos $\sigma v \mu \beta$ ohaî $0 九]$ s

 є́v $\tau \circ[\hat{\imath}] s$ кolvoîs，ou $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \mu ́ \epsilon \rho o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~$
 as $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к o \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \tau[\iota] \mu \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
$\delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \alpha \rho \in \tau \eta[. . . ..] \cdot \nu[\cdot] \rho \epsilon \tau \omega \nu 0 \iota$
［．］．к［．．．．］．．［．．．．．．．．．．］$\nu \tau \alpha \xi!\nu$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］єкабтоб
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$] \nu \nu о \mu \omega \nu ~$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$] \alpha \rho \in \tau \eta>$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$\sigma$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$]$ токоьдо⿱
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$] \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma>$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．］$\kappa \alpha \theta[.$. ．］p $\alpha \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \eta$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．］$\psi_{\iota} \alpha \nu[. ..] \iota o \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \gg$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．$] \delta o \nu \eta[$.$] ］． \rho \alpha \tau \iota \epsilon \chi^{\circ \nu}$
］$\sigma \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi о \lambda[.] \tau \in v \circ \mu \in \theta a$
［．．．．．．．．．．．］${ }^{2}$
［．．．．．．．．．］$] \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \gg$
［．．．．．．．．．．］хข
Col．$x v i(=G$ col．iii）．Plate IV．
$\tau o[. . .]. a \sigma o v \delta o \rho \gamma[$.
$\pi \rho[$ ．．．$] 0 \nu \eta \nu \tau[$ ．


ov $\lambda v \pi o v \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．［．．］．．．［．．．．．．．．］$\sigma$

§ $\eta \mu \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha[\cdot] \kappa \in \iota \nu[. . .] \quad.] \nu \kappa \alpha$
$\tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho[\cdot.] \cdot[]!.\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \iota \mu о v[\cdot \cdot] \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega s$
$\delta \eta \nu \in[. ..] \rho \epsilon \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa$
точ ．．［．．．］${ }^{\alpha} \chi^{\theta о \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota к \alpha \iota \beta \alpha \sigma к \alpha \iota \nu о \nu ~}$ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \pi[\ldots] \quad ..] \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \eta \delta o \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \delta \iota \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda o v{ }^{\mu}$
$\alpha \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha[. ..] \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \ddot{\circ} \delta \iota \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma о \mu \iota \lambda o v \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \delta[$ ．．．$] o \sigma \iota \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \delta \in o \sigma \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha o v$

$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu[$ ．．．］］$\lambda о \iota \sigma \sigma v \nu о и \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau о \iota \sigma$
коьขoเ［．．．］$\alpha \beta \omega \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \mu \iota \mu \omega \sigma \pi о$
$\delta_{1} \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}[\nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \tau] \bar{\omega} \nu[\dot{\alpha}] \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ol－
［．］．$\kappa[\ldots . ..] \epsilon \cdot[. . . . . . . . . ..] \nu \tau \alpha \xi \iota \nu$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］є́ккбтоя
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\tau \hat{\omega}] \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ ［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$]$ ］$\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$
［．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．］s．


 $[\delta \in u \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$ vimo］$\psi i a v$［oủ $\delta] \mathfrak{l}$ ò $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$
 $\left[\tau \in s^{\cdot} \quad\right.$＇̀ $\left.\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega\right] s^{\prime} \phi \eta \sigma i \nu \pi о \lambda[l] \tau \in v o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ［ $\epsilon ้ \nu \quad \tau \in \tau o i ̂ s ~ k o 九] \nu o i ̂ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi[\rho o ̀] s ~ \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \eta ́-$ ［ $\lambda$ ous ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs к］$\alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$＇่ $\pi \iota \tau \eta$－ ［ $\delta \in \dot{v} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̀］X $\dot{v} \pi \circ \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{v}\{\sigma\} \circ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$
 $\pi \rho[o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \delta] o \nu \eta \nu \tau[\iota \delta \rho \hat{\alpha}$ ．
ov́ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}[\mathfrak{a}] \zeta \eta \eta \mu i o v[s \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \lambda u \pi \eta p a ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau] \hat{n}$ ő $\psi[\epsilon \iota]$ ảX $\theta \eta \delta o ́ v a[s \quad \pi p o \sigma \tau i \theta \in ́ \mu \in v o l \cdot]$ ov่ $\lambda v \pi o v ̂ \mu \in \nu$ ．［．．］v ．．［．．．．．．．］s
 $\zeta \eta \mu i \alpha a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}[\hat{\epsilon}] \kappa \epsilon i v[\omega \nu, o i ̂] o \nu \kappa \alpha-$

 то仑 ．．［．．．］${ }^{\alpha} \chi$ Өó $\mu \in \nu о \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \beta \alpha \sigma к \alpha i ́ \nu о \nu-~$
 $\lambda o v \hat{\mu}(\epsilon \nu)$ ．
 $\tau \in \mathrm{S}$ тà $\delta[\eta \mu] o ́ \sigma \iota a$ $\delta ı a ̀ ~ \delta e ́ o s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau a ~ o u ̉ ~$ $\pi a p a \nu o \mu[0 \hat{]}] \mu \in \nu \cdot$＇่ $\nu$ тoîs ióiols $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda[o v ́-$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu[\alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda] \mathfrak{\eta} \lambda o \iota s ~ \sigma v \nu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$＇̇ $\nu$ тoîs

$\lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o[..] \theta \alpha$
$\tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \alpha[\cdot] \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \alpha \rho \chi \eta \iota \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota$
$\tau \omega \iota \kappa \alpha \tau[.] \kappa о v \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha[.] \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \circ \iota \sigma$
$20 \quad \alpha \rho \chi o v[..] \nu$

$\tau 0 \lambda v \pi \eta \rho \circ \nu[..] \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \xi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \iota$
$\tau \eta \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho[$. .] $] \iota \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \in \chi 0>$
$\mu \in \nu \quad \alpha \kappa[\ldots] \lambda \iota\} \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \kappa \in \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \iota o v \sigma$
${ }_{2} 5 \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu\left[\right.$. .] ${ }^{2} \iota \alpha \iota \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ оук $\alpha \nu \tau \iota$
$\tau o v \alpha \rho \gamma[. \cdot] \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \delta \epsilon \omega \sigma$
каเтоเє[[. . .] $v_{\mu \iota \alpha \iota \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda о \nu \eta \pi о \nu \omega \nu}$
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta![\cdot . \cdot] \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu о \mu \omega \nu \tau о \pi \lambda \epsilon$
ov $\eta \tau \rho \circ \pi[..] \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda о \mu \in \nu>$
30 к८v $\delta \nu \nu \in[. . ..] \pi \in \rho \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \eta \mu \iota \nu$
$\tau о \iota \sigma \tau \in \mu[. . .]. v \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \iota \sigma \mu \eta>$
$\pi \rho о к \alpha \mu \nu[$. . . $] \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \in \lambda \theta о v \sigma \iota \nu$
$\mu \eta \alpha \tau о \lambda \mu[.$. .]ov $\sigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \mu о$ Хоиv
$\tau \omega \nu \phi \alpha \iota \nu[. . . ..] \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \tau о \iota \epsilon[.] \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota$
$\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu[. . ..] \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu \eta[.] \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$
$\mu \eta \kappa \alpha \kappa[. ..] \theta о \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$
$\mu \eta \delta \nu \pi о \nu \quad \mu \omega \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \oint о \mu \epsilon$
$\nu o \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda . \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \mu \phi \nu \tau \circ[\cdot] \alpha \nu \delta \rho \in \iota$
$\lambda \iota \tau \in v^{\prime}[\mu \epsilon] \theta \alpha$.

 ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}[\sigma l] \nu$.
 є́tous.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$.
 $\mu \in \nu \cdot \alpha<\alpha \kappa[\rho o \beta o] \lambda i ́\} \epsilon \iota \quad \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu o v i ́ o v s$.
 $\tau o \hat{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma[\hat{\omega} s] \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \hat{\omega} s$.
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \eta$ [кaì] $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ тò $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ -

 тoîs $\tau \in \mu[\epsilon \in \lambda \lambda o] u \sigma เ v a ̉ \lambda \gamma \epsilon L v o i ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ̀$ $\pi \rho о к а ́ \mu v[\epsilon เ v]$ кaì є̇s av̉тà લ̀ $\lambda \theta 0$ v̂бเv $\mu \grave{\eta}$ àтo入 $\mu[0 \tau \epsilon ́ \rho] o v s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$ aíci $\mu 0 \times$ Өov́v-
 $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu\left[\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \rho \rho_{\imath}\right] \sigma \tau \omega ́ \nu \eta$ § $\bar{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \kappa[0 \pi \alpha] \theta o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \iota$
 $\nu 0 \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta^{\alpha} \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \phi \nu \tau 0[\nu] \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i-$

> Col. xvii (=G col. iv). Plate IV.
$\alpha \nu v \pi \sigma[. . . . . . .] r o. v \sigma \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu 0 v \sigma$
[. . .] $] \epsilon \sigma \tau[$ [. . . . . . . $] o \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu>$

[. . . . . . . . . . . .] $] \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \mu \eta \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \delta[]$.
5 T[.] $] \rho о v \sigma \tau \omega \nu \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota к \alpha к о \pi \alpha$ Өоv $\tau \tau \nu$ $\phi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota$
$\pi о \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \nu \pi о т \omega \nu \nu о \mu \omega \nu \eta \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha$

$\alpha \nu$ úmo[ $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ Toùs kıvסט́vovs, $[\pi \epsilon \rho] i \epsilon \sigma \tau[\iota \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \quad \pi \rho] o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ [ $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta] \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha i$ és тоѝs кıv[ס́v́vous $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \sigma \sigma] \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \delta[\rho] o-$ $\tau[$ '́]povs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ аiєi какот $\alpha$ Өои́vт $\omega$



§vขоvбєтоขоบขто

10 јоико $\mu \pi \omega \iota \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ от $\lambda$ оитоб $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \nu \epsilon[..] \omega \nu \in \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \iota \iota \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota>$ ov $\lambda о \gamma \omega \nu[. .]\}. o \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda o v \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$

${ }^{1} 5 \sigma \chi \rho \circ \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha[.$. . $] \delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon v \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \iota \alpha \iota \sigma \chi^{\epsilon \iota \circ \nu}$ ov $\chi \omega \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota[.] \pi \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \alpha \iota \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \iota$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \in \nu о v a \lambda[. . ..] \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau \iota к о \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota>$ $\alpha \pi \lambda о v \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \kappa[. . ..] \sigma о \mu \eta \rho o \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon$ $\nu \in \omega \tau \in \rho \circ \iota \alpha \phi \rho[. . . .]. v \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\tau \iota \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota a[$. . . $]$ ? $\epsilon \rho \circ \sigma \pi \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma[$ [. . . . $] \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon$
 $\epsilon \nu \tau o \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o \iota \sigma[. . . ..] \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau[. . . ..] \iota \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha>$ $\tau \eta \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \nu \eta \epsilon[$. . . . $] \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ $\rho \circ \iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon[. \cdots] \rho \mu \eta \kappa о \sigma \iota \tau \alpha \tau \eta \sigma$ $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \pi[. . ..] \iota \kappa \alpha \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu>$ $\eta \tau \tau о \nu \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \iota \nu[. . ..] \epsilon \iota \nu$
30 каıаvто८ $\eta \tau о \iota \kappa \rho \iota[$. . . . $] \nu \gamma \in \eta \epsilon \nu \theta v$ $\mu о \nu \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \rho \theta \omega[. . . ..] \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha к \rho \iota \nu о$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ oוov $\epsilon \pi \iota<\rho \iota[. . . ..] \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \cup \rho о \nu \tau \omega \nu$
$\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \omega \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho[\ldots] \delta \epsilon \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \in \nu$
$35 \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \alpha\left[. . .{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha[. . ..] \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota\right.$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \nu \in \pi \iota \chi \epsilon![. . . . . . . . . .]. l \zeta \epsilon$
$\sigma \theta \alpha$ отоו $\sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda[$.

 үou ко́ $\mu \pi \omega$ Хра́ $\mu \in \theta a$. ò $\pi \lambda o \hat{v} \tau o s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ov $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu[a ́ \lambda \alpha] \xi o \nu \epsilon i ́ a, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda o v \tau \epsilon i \nu$. kai тò $\pi \in ่ v[\epsilon \sigma \theta a] \iota$ oủX ó $\mu 0 \lambda 0 \gamma \in i v$ tıvı aỉ-

 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda[\lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma v] \gamma к \rho \iota \tau \iota к o ̀ \nu \quad \alpha, \nu \tau i$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o \hat{v} \quad \tau \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \kappa[\epsilon \nu, \dot{\omega}] s{ }^{\prime \prime} O \mu \eta \rho o s \alpha i \epsilon i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \quad \alpha \quad \phi \rho\left[\alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon{ }^{\prime}\right] v \sigma \iota \nu$.
40. 2. Є'v $\tau \in[\tau] 0[\hat{\imath} s]$ av̉ $\tau 0 \hat{i}[s$ oike $] i(\omega v$ ä $\mu a$ кaì $\pi o \lambda l-$

 $\omega \mathrm{s} \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a l \cdot \hat{\epsilon} \lambda[\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon ̀ s]$ тò vi $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota$, oîo $\nu$
 $\tau \epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \quad[\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa 0] \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu$ кат $\alpha$

 $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ a s$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi[0 \lambda \iota \tau] \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \gamma \iota \nu[\omega \sigma \kappa] \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\mu \circ \cup ́ \mu \in \theta a$ óp $\theta \hat{\omega}[s$ т̀̀ $\pi \rho] a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a \cdot$ крі́vo-
 $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon a[v ่ \tau o \grave{l}] \mu \dot{\alpha}[\lambda \iota \sigma \tau] a$ каì



Col. xviii ( $=$ G col. v).

| $\lambda o[$ | $\lambda 0[\gamma \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ öкvov $\phi \in ́ p \in \iota$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $o[$ | $o[$ |
| $\tau[$ | $\tau[$ |


| $\mu \in \tau[$ | $\mu \in \tau[$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5 \mu \omega \mu[$ | $\mu \omega \mu$［ |
| $\alpha \nu \theta \rho[$ | $\stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \nu \theta_{\rho}[\omega \pi$ |
| $\alpha \mu \alpha \lambda$［ | $\alpha \mu \alpha \lambda[$ |
| $\mu \in \nu 0$［ | $\mu \in \nu$［ |
| $\sigma \iota \nu \alpha \phi[$ | $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi[$ |
|  | ơvtes［ |
| $\alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \rho!$［ | $\alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho[$ |
| $\alpha \bar{\delta} \bar{\omega} \sigma \pi \tau[$ |  |
| $\overline{\kappa \alpha} \iota \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \kappa[$ |  |
| $\alpha v \tau 0 \nu \alpha \nu[$ |  |
| ${ }_{15}$ \％$\sigma \alpha \nu \in i \delta$［ |  |
| $\sigma \tau \alpha \nu \in \nu \tau \rho[$ |  |
| $\kappa \in \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi$［ | $k \in S$ Tapé $\chi$［ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. |
| $\alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota 0[$ |  |
| $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \epsilon[$ |  |
| $20 \quad \tau \omega \sigma \mu \alpha \lambda_{l}[$ | $\tau \omega s$ 的 $\lambda<[\sigma \tau \alpha$ |
| єаvтоva［ |  |
| $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \times 0<[$ |  |
| тоvєขко入［ | той єن̉кó入［ $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ． |
|  | 41．3．$\mu o ́ v \eta$ रà $\rho \tau[\omega \nu \nu v$ viv ảkoท̂s крeíб－ |
| ${ }^{2} 5 \sigma \omega \nu \in \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho[$ |  |
| $\kappa \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$［ | крєі́ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$［ $\mu^{\prime}$－ |
| $\nu \eta \gamma \alpha \rho \pi \epsilon[$ | $\nu \eta \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \epsilon[. . . . . . . . . . . . ~ T \omega ̂ \nu \nu$ 入ó |
| $\gamma \omega \nu \in \nu \tau 0 ¢[$ |  |
|  | $\kappa \alpha i \quad \mu o ́ \nu \eta ~ \tau[\omega ิ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu$ |
|  |  |
| $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega[$ |  |
| $\tau \omega \nu v \pi \eta[$ |  |
| $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \sigma o v[$ |  |
| out $\epsilon \tau \omega \iota \nu \pi[$ |  |
| 35 атоконо［ | ảmò ко८้o［v ．．．．．．．．$\lambda \eta \pi \tau$＇́ov |
|  |  |
| $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \mu \psi[$ | $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \mu \psi\left[\iota \nu \quad{ }^{\prime} \chi\right.$ ¢ $\chi$ ¢ |

Some columns lost．

## Col．xix（＝H）．


[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$.


[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] $\nu v v ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu о \nu ~ . ~[. ~ . ~$
[. . . . . . . . . . . $\tau$ ò 垪] $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ к \alpha i ́ ~ \tau e ́ ~[~ \theta \epsilon t-~$

$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\epsilon & \mu & \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota \\ \eta & \alpha \\ & \pi o\end{array}\right] \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. $\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ к о \iota \nu[0 \hat{v}$

$[\sigma \epsilon \omega s . . . . . . ..] \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ є̀ $\lambda \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau o[\nu$
[. . . . . . . . . . .] $\mu \grave{\eta}$ 廿óरov $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$


$[\tau \hat{\eta} s . . . . . . . . \psi] o ́ y o v ~ \epsilon ่ v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \alpha ́ v[\delta] \rho \alpha ́-~$

[. . . . . . . . . . .] $\alpha$ то̂̂ $\nu о \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau о[s] \pi \alpha \rho[\alpha$
[. . . . . . . . . . .] $\delta o ́ \xi \alpha ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \gamma v v[\alpha \iota \xi i$
[. . . . . . . . . .] ф ${ }^{2} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta[$.
[. . . . . . . . . . .] каі̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha[. ~$

Unplaced Fragments．
（a）To Cols．i－vi．

Fr． 3.
］$\kappa$ ．$\rho$［
］$\epsilon \delta \nu \nu \alpha[$
$] \epsilon \xi \in \rho \chi \in[$
］к人ıा or
］．．［

Fr． 3.
$] \kappa \rho[$
$] \in \delta v v \alpha[$
$] \begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ \epsilon\end{gathered} \boldsymbol{\chi} \in[$
$] \kappa \alpha i \pi o[$
$] \ldots[$
(b) To Cols. viii-xiii.


Fr. 6.
Fr. 7. Fr. 8.
Fr. 6.
Fr. 7. Fr. 8.

| $] \epsilon \nu o[$. | $] \alpha$ | $] \iota \nu \epsilon[$ | $] \epsilon \nu \sigma[$. | $] \alpha$ | $] \omega \nu \epsilon[$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $] \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon[$. | $]>$ | $] \cdot o \nu[$ | $] \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon[$. | $]$ | $] \cdot o v[$ |
| $] \nu \delta \iota \alpha$ | $] \alpha \iota$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $] \nu \delta \iota \alpha$ | $] \alpha \iota$ |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

(c) To Cols. viii-xix.

Fr. 9.

| ]. [ | ]. $\epsilon$ [ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $] \pi \in \rho \cdot[$ | ] $\mu \sim \widehat{\text { S }}$ |
| ] $\epsilon \sigma \theta$. . [ | ] $\eta \tau \omega \sigma \tau \uparrow[$ |
| ] y тov[ | ]vтoŋ́d[ |
| ]. $\alpha \underline{[ }$ | ] $\nu \tau \in \sigma$ [ |

Fr. 9.
Fr. 10.
]. [ $] \cdot \epsilon[$
] $\pi \epsilon \rho \cdot[\quad] \mu \alpha \zeta[$
$] \epsilon \sigma \theta \ldots[\quad] \tau \omega \omega \tau L[$

]. $\alpha<[$.] $[\tau \in s$ [

Fr. $11 . \quad$ Fr. $12 . \quad$ Fr. 13.
Fr. 11 Fr. 12.
Fr. 13.

| $][$ | $[\cdot] \cdot[$ | $] \cdot[$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $] \tau o \mu \alpha \nu \tau[$ | $\mu[$ | $] \phi o[$ |
| $] \rho \circ[\cdot] \in \pi[$ | $\eta \cdot[$ | $] \cdot[$ |
| $] \tau[$ | $[\cdot] \eta[$ | $\cdot$. |

] [ [.] . [ ].[
] $]=\mu \alpha \nu \tau[\quad \mu[\quad] \phi 0[$
] $\rho 0[$.$] є́ \pi[\quad \eta \cdot[\quad] \cdot[$ $] \tau[\quad[.] \eta[$
(d) To Cols. xiv-xix.


Fr. 18. Fr. 19. Fr. 20.
Fr. 18. Fr. $19 . \quad$ Fr. 20.

| $] \alpha \lambda \lambda \rho[$ | $\sigma \iota \alpha[$ | $] \alpha[$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $] \cdot \epsilon \pi[$ | $\sigma \alpha[$ | $] \rho \cdot[$ |

$] \alpha \lambda o[$
$] \cdot \in \pi[$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. }[\text { ] к } \alpha \lambda \lambda[ \\
& \text { ] } \mu \alpha \tau[\text { ].vтоo[ } \\
& \text { ] } \rho \in \xi[\text { ]. } \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta[ \\
& \mu \alpha ́] \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha[\quad] \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha[ \\
& \text { ]є к каi [ ] } \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i[ \\
& \text { ] } \mathrm{v} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{\pi[ }] \text { ].[ } \\
& \text { ] } 80 \text { [ } \\
& \text { ]. [ }
\end{aligned}
$$

] $\epsilon$ rove[
] $\tau \alpha \iota$. [

Fr. 16.
 ] $\mu \alpha \tau$ ] $\rho \in \xi$ [
,
] $v \nu \pi[$
] $\delta 0 \div[$

Fr. 21.
Fr. 22.
Fr. 23.
Fr 21
Fr. 22.
Fr. 23.


| Fr. 24. | Fr. 25. | Fr. 24. | Fr 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - . | - . | - - | - - |
| ] $¢ \rho[$ | ] . $\epsilon \pi[$ | $] \in \rho[$ | ]. $\epsilon \pi[$ |
| ] $\tau$ Lv[ | ]. [ | $] \tau \iota \nu[$ | ]. [ |
| ] $\mu$ [ | . . . | ] $\mu$ [ | . . |



 interpreting $e^{f} \nu \theta^{\prime} v \delta \epsilon$ in a temporal sense, thus avoided the wrong explanation of it given by Schol., à $\pi \dot{o} \tau \eta \bar{\eta} \delta \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ aitias.
 alternative reading $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \eta \ldots \chi \epsilon \mu \bar{\omega} \nu a s$ there is no MS. authority, and it may be merely due to $\theta \in ́ \rho \eta$ каì $\chi \epsilon \mu \omega \bar{\nu} a s$ in l. г5.
i. 7-iv. r. 'Dionysius of Halicarnassus in his treatise on Thucydides blames Thucydides on a few grounds, and discusses three chief points, first that he has not fixed his dates by archons and Olympiads, like other historians, but according to a system of his own by summers and winters; secondly that he has disturbed and divided the narrative and breaks up the events, not completing his accounts of the several incidents, but turning from one subject to another before he has finished with it ; and thirdly that although he declares, as the result of his own elaborate examination, the true cause of the war to be this, that it was precaution against the power of the Athenians which induced the Lacedaemonians to make war on them, not really the Corcyrean or Potidaean affairs or the causes generally alleged, nevertheless he does not begin at the point which he has chosen and start with the events which led to the growth of Athens after the Persian war, but reverts to the commonly accepted causes. Such is Dionysius' view ; but in opposition to this rash criticism one might reasonably retort that . . . For the system of dating by archons and Olympiads had not yet come into common use . . . (it was impossible) to relate Plataean affairs from first to last, and then go back to describe all the invasions of the Peloponnesians one after the other, and Corcyrean affairs continuously, differing as they did in date; for he would have thrown everything into confusion, or turned back again to periods which he had treated, in a fashion both unsuitable and unreasonable. For he was not dealing with a single subject or events at one time or one place, but with many subjects in many places and at many periods. Moreover, even if he had dated by archons, he would still have been obliged to divide the events, for these occurred some under one archon, some under another; it is when a person is only writing about a single subject that his narrative is continuous throughout. Hence Dionysius contradicts himself; for even if Thucydides ought to have dated by the archons, as he asserts, he would have been equally obliged to divide events according to the archons. If, however, the events are connected and the chronology offers no obstacle, Thucydides' narrative is continuous, as for instance . . . in the seventh book . . . As for the charge that Thucydides has not made the beginning of his history start with the growth of the Athenians, which he asserts was the truer cause of the war, in the first place it must be remarked that it was not his intention, after setting out to write a history of the Peloponnesian war, to introduce by way of a supplement several other wars since the Persian war itself, which may almost be regarded as the origin of the growth of Athens; for that would have lain altogether outside
his subject. Secondly it must be remembered that it is the duty of every historian to describe accurately first of all the obvious and commonly alleged causes of events, and if he suspects the existence of any more obscure reasons (to add these afterwards . . .).'
 has no title, the other has ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ Өovкvoiòov $\pi \lambda a \tau i \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$, this book following upon the Ep. ad Cn. Pomp.
ri-34. The passage of Dionysius here summarized is De Thucyd. Iud. ed. Radermacher, pp. 335 sqq. (cc. 9-1 2). Of Dionysius' three objections, the first, relating to the division into summers and winters (ll. 12-15), corresponds to $335.20-336.12$, the second, concerning the want of connexion (11. г5-20), to $336.12-338.3$, and the third, concerning the causes of the war (Il. 21-33), to 338.4-343.4. On the first two points similar criticisms are also made, but more briefly, in the same author's Ep. ad Cn. Pomp.



22. For the correction of $\epsilon \pi \omega \omega \nu$ to $\epsilon \tau \pi \omega \nu$, suggested by W-M, cf. Dionys. op. cit. c. 1o



10. Jutov is very likely a jưov̂, referring to Herodotus. Bury suggests $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \iota \beta \dot{\jmath} \beta \lambda \omega \iota$ as the preceding words.


15. The construction of 11 . $15-7$ is not certain. W-M, who proposed [ $\delta 1 \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta_{0} \nu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ Ta in

 The vestiges of writing before [. . .]actovs, however, do not suit $\epsilon$ : if not $o$ or $\omega$, they are probably parts of two letters, e. g. ai or $\lambda \eta$.
19. A conjunction, i.e. $\delta \epsilon$ or $\tau \epsilon$, seems to have been omitted through $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ being wrongly connected with what follows.
31. тaî̃a: or $\tau a \grave{\tau}$ á, i.e. affairs belonging to the same series, which is preferred by Bury.
iii. 3-5. 'ं $\phi \epsilon \xi \xi^{\prime} \hat{\eta} s$ and $\sigma v \nu^{\Gamma} \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} s$ were suggested by $W-M$ and Bury. $\tau \hat{\eta} \zeta^{\prime}$ presumably refers to the seventh, not the sixth, book of Thucydides. That in reckoning the eight books our author's notation followed the letters of the alphabet, as in the books of Homer, rather than the numerals is unlikely, though cf. iii. 10-5, note. The existing division of Thucydides' work into eight books was already known to Dionysius, who mentions the eighth in op. cit. c. 16 , and though there were other ancient divisions of the work into nine or thirteen books, our author no doubt agreed with Dionysius in employing the system which Marcellinus (Vit. Thuc. 58), quoting Asclepius, calls $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta$ каì $\dot{\eta}$ кош $\eta$ '.

8. The absence of a diaeresis above jıкa makes it probable that the preceding letter was a consonant, e. g. 乏ıкє $\iota k i ́$ rather than חлaтalıká. It does not seem possible to find a suitable second adjective ending in $\kappa \kappa\left[\kappa \pi\right.$, for $\left.\theta_{\rho}\right]$ auc $[k i ́ l$ cannot be read, although the supposed $o$ is very uncertain. кa[r]oкii[av or some part of катокi $\xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ is more probable, especially as кaт $[0 \kappa \kappa]$ u. [ could be read in 1. 7. ]oou there seems to be an optative, possibly
 likely.

10-5. The restorations in 11. 12-3 are due to Bury. It is tempting to read id[topiav in 1. I4, but the stroke above $\iota$ must then be ignored, for it is not a rough breathing. Since Herodotus' history contained only nine books, $\iota^{\prime}$ in this context seems to mean the ninth book, the notation following the letters of the alphabet, while $\pi \rho о к \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ indicates that it had just been mentioned, possibly in l. 12. But the narrative in the ninth book is particularly free from $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon t s$, and we should expect the ninth book to be called $\theta^{\prime}$ (cf. iii. 3-5, note), so that the suggested explanation is not satisfactory. The passage in Dionysius which our author seems to have had in his mind is in op. cit. c. 9 (p. 336) oíre yàp roîs rórous èv ois ai
 the praise of Herodotus in c. 5 .
iv. 4-5. á] $]$ à $\mu \epsilon \in[0 \nu$ : there is probably a reference, as Dr. J. E. Sandys suggests, to what Quintilian (V. I2. 14) calls the Homerica dispositio (cf. 1. $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \mu \eta \rho \kappa \kappa[\hat{\omega} s$ ), i. e. placing the weakest part of one's rhetorical forces in the middle (Il. iv. 297-300); cf. Cic. Orator 50, Cornificius, Rhet. iii. ro, r8, Quintil. vii. 1. 10.

15-7. The restorations in ll. $16-7$ are by W-M. The Homeric quotation is from B 504.

18-3 I. This note is out of place and should have preceded that in ll. 15-7. In 1.27 $\tau \tau \nu \in[s$ is possible, but the doubtful letter is more like o.

33-5. The first part of this note on $\theta^{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0$, as was perceived by W-M and Bury, refers to the use of the middle for the active, $\theta_{\rho \epsilon \psi} \neq \mu \epsilon \nu=s$ being adduced as a parallel.






7-8. Cf. Schol. émıтךঠ̀ious' $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi ı \lambda i a v . ~$
 place of the more correct $\eta_{\text {ıorav ( }}$ (i. e. ${ }^{3}, \sigma a \nu$ ) found in our author's text. Cf. the first century Thucydides papyrus from Oxyrhynchus (16), which in iii. 7 has a $a \eta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ with the variant $a \pi \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \nu$. The object of the note is to distinguish the Attic $\eta \iota \sigma a \nu$ with iota adscript from $\eta \iota \sigma a \nu$ as a trisyllable, the form found in Homer, \&c.

17-9. This is the only place where Thucydides uses the masculine form of $\sigma$ кóros; the neuter occurs in Thuc. iii. 23 and viii. 42. The Clarendonianus and Aeneas Tact. 2 have бко́тє in the present passage, but the papyrus supports the overwhelming majority of the MSS.
 with most MSS. in reading oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$ in place of $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$, the reading of A, which is preferred by many recent editors, but not by Stuart Jones. The construction of $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \in \phi \phi \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is difficult, and has been explained in several ways. Classen connects the words with $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon i \rho o v s$, which is the most satisfactory view, while Poppo constructs them with $\delta$ óкovтas as an infinitive of purpose 'in order that they might not escape', and Krüger regarded the phrase as expressing the effect 'so that they could not escape', an explanation which produces
 would omit rov̂ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ढ̇к $\phi \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ altogether. Our author's criticism is not very illuminating. He remarks that either $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ is redundant (ll. 22-6) or else $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ éx $\bar{\phi} v \gamma \in \hat{i} \nu$ in the other, both his interpretations approximate to that of Krüger rather than the rival explanations (unless eis ró means 'in respect of', in which case our author's first
explanation agrees with Classen's), but both seem to rest upon a misapprehension of the construction of the whole sentence. For the omission of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ or the transference of it to the place occupied by tov would have the effect of leaving $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau o$ without any construction, unless indeed in our author's text a fresh sentence began where the MSS. have äтєєрaє $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ä öves connected with what precedes. No variant, however, upon $\mu$ év in that passage is known, and it is more likely that our author simply misunderstood the sentence.
30. $\sigma \tau$ v́ракь: $\sigma \tau v \rho a к i \varphi$ MSS. ; but there is possibly a reference to the reading of the

 ó бavpఉтìp $\tau a \hat{v}$ סópatos, and the similar explanations in other lexicographers.

vi. i-2. The lacuna at the end of l. i may have contained another parallel for $\pi a \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau(\hat{a}$,
 in 1.2 is right, $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma[\eta \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a \hat{\imath}$ is a natural restoration, but this is rather long, and the reading $\pi a v[$ (e. g. $\pi a v[\delta \eta \mu \epsilon i$ or $\pi a v[r i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \iota)$ is not excluded. The meaning, if any, of the stroke in the margin against 1.2 is obscure. There is in the top margin another stroke /, which seems to be accidental.
3. It is of course doubtful whether какой (or той какоѝ as conjectured by Bredow and Baumeister) occurred in the lemma, which may have ended with ímpoaסoкírov.
 $\dot{\text { v}} \mathbf{\pi o r a \pi \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$ was not confined to Attic. To the doubtful $\kappa$ the only alternative is $\iota$.
$9-\mathrm{Io}$. A note on the dative in place of the genitive after $\pi \epsilon \rho \boldsymbol{i}^{2}$. $\delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \epsilon i$ must belong to a quotation, which would be expected to be from Homer; and though neither of the two

 'Ax$\lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}$, and supposing that the latter passage was referred to. Schol. A had noted that the
 $i \pi o ́$ with the dative was used in place of $\dot{i \pi o}$ with the genitive, but it is difficult to see whence this is to be obtained without altering $\delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is. $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \tau[a \iota$ (cf. vii. 30 ) points to

 more likely to be $a, \epsilon$, or o than .

14-5. The Homeric quotation is from H 467 .
 ${ }_{o}^{0}$ might be inserted. It is unfortunate that the text of this passage, in which a well-known


 Poppo and Stuart Jones read é $\pi \epsilon \tau a ́ x \theta \eta$ (with apparently one late MS.); Classen preferred the

 paraphrase in ll. $16-20$ back to his text of Thucydides at this point; but seeing that he ignores any grammatical difficulty, it is improbable that such an anacoluthon as лaкєסoupoviots
 phrase does not favour $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ in place of vav̂s or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau a \dot{x} \theta \eta \sigma^{\prime}$, and with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau a \dot{x} \theta \eta$ simply a note on the dative of the agent $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \mu o v i o s s$ would be expected. On the other hand Cobet's
 construction of the sentence would then be quite easy, and no grammatical note would be
necessary．But the great difficulty would still remain of accounting for the origin of the corruption．

2I．$\pi \epsilon[\mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ W－M．The expedition of Hermocrates to Ionia is described in Thuc．viii． 26.
$25-8$ ．The rules for the accentuation of $\sigma \phi_{i} \sigma_{t}$ and similar pronouns are given by

 ópӨото⿱亠䒑口ѝтає к．т．，．；cf．the rules quoted in the notes ad loc．from the Homeric scholia．The general sense of the passage seems to be＇$\sigma \phi i \sigma$ here is enclitic，for although one ought to keep its accent（ròv tóvò $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}$ ）as far as possible，the rule concerning $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$（i．e．the reference of a pronoun to another person than the subject of the sentence）often prevents this＇．But the lacunae make the whole passage obscure．Modern editors accentuate $\sigma$ 位 $\sigma$ here．


 satisfactory in view of the uncertainty of the metre and the agreement of our author with Stobaeus．The precise restoration of the lacuna at the end of 1.34 is uncertain．$\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa[\dot{v} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi o-\mid$ is hardly long enough，but $\gamma \lambda v \kappa[$ iेs $\gamma$ àp $\delta \pi o-\mid$ is possible，if our author was not aiming at an exact quotation．
vii．r．The extent of the gap between Cols．vi and vii cannot be determined by the


$6-9$ ．The restorations are due to W－M．
10．For $\left.{ }^{\circ} 0\right\rangle \mu[\eta \rho \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} s]$ cf．iv．6．The quotation is from $\Delta 539$ ．
12－3．$\pi \rho 0 \nu_{[ }[$oov $] \sigma \iota$ is far from certain；the supposed $\sigma$ is more like $\gamma$ or $\tau$ ，but with $\left.\hat{\delta}\right] \tau \iota$ it is difficult to find anything suitable for the previous word．W－M proposes oukétィ
 тoooṽot and there is just room for［ vooal］and［wiò in the two lacunae，but ］ot，though not impossible，is less suitable than ］$\sigma \iota . \quad$ à $\lambda \lambda a ́$ might be read in place of $\kappa a \tau a ́$, but it is not satisfactory to make $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \sigma \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega \nu$ transitive．

15．$\dot{v} \mu i v:$ the papyrus confirms the conjecture of Hude ；$\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ MSS．，Stuart Jones．
 read in place of $\nu$ ，and the vestige which we regard as the tip of an $\sigma$ might belong to $\epsilon$ or $\nu$ or several other letters $[a \kappa o v] \sigma[a \iota$ suits the space better，and the author of the commentary does not elsewhere employ the first person plural．With this lengthy note on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ à $\mu \phi$ а́тє $\rho a$


27－8．The Homeric quotation（identified by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{MI}$ ）is from $\mathrm{\Gamma}$ r．
 was known previously，the MISS．all having $\mathfrak{e} \xi \in \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ ．The perfect middle of this verb is not found elsewhere in Thucydides，and the present is quite defensible．

34．［äaaxŋ］$] \epsilon i$ was suggested by Bury．
37．$\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ ：$\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \mid \in \sigma \theta a \iota$（sic）C，$\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ other MSS．，but cf．Schol．$\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota^{\circ}$ áфívzar $\theta a \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \grave{\lambda} \gamma \omega \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ ．Thucydides employs the future infinitive after $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ somewhat more often than the present，and where the MSS．are divided on the point，e．g．here and in i．107． 3 and viii．6．5，editors prefer the future．
viii．4．Hude proposes to restore the line $\tilde{a}^{\top} \mu_{a} a$ av̉rต̂t rov̀s évóxous．
5．The word following äzєt is probably some part of $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda a v \nu \omega$ ；cf．Thuc．i．127．I тò ＂そos ．．．è $\lambda$ aivectv，to which ll．4－5 refer．
 by Bury.

Ir. Probably the scribe wrote [kpariogat, for the lacuna is hardly sufficient for six letters.
12. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \iota$ ] and [ $\pi$ opl $\mu \hat{\omega} t]$ are both too short for the lacuna, which requires 9 or io letters. Perhaps karop $\theta$ oi $y$ should be restored in place of katop $\theta o i[\sigma \theta a$, , which makes this line rather long.

33-4. Perhaps кata pòv $\AA \lambda \epsilon_{\eta}$ with $\kappa a \in[a \neq o ́ v$ in 1. 35, as Hude suggests.
36. каì тєрıaррєтóv has already been quoted in the lemma in 1.29.

 . . Géảadotev in the lemma is probably a mere accident, and does not imply that the words were wanting in our author's text, though this seems to have gone astray at this point. The


 $\lambda_{a \mu \pi о \mu ะ v a ́ \omega \nu ~(~}^{\text { }} 492$ ), is irrelevant, since ino there has its not uncommon sense 'to the accompaniment of '.
 The insertion of $\beta^{\prime}$ was suggested by Bury.



 rewritten.

I8. Morvi ia : so MSS: Morvía Hude, Stuart Jones.
22-8. The position assigned by us to Fr. I is not certain. On the one hand the colour and general appearance of the fragment suggest that it belongs to this column, and when placed where it is $\lambda_{l}$ abs at the beginning of a line giving a new entry of the landsurvey on the recto of Fr. I will come just underneath $\lambda_{\text {, } 3 \text { os }}$ at the beginning of another entry which is on the recto of the upper part of Col. ix, while the lines on the recto of the fragment containing the ends of riii. 22-9 (the position of which is fired) mar be the continuation of the lines on the recto of Fr. r, though there is no certain connesion. The chief objection to the position assigned to Fr. I is that on the recto of the upper part of Col. ix there seems to be a junction between two selides, which would be expected to appear also on $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. I, but does not. We have, however, been unable to find any suitable place for the lemma in 1. 23 commencing $\epsilon \ell \beta 0[$ except Eỉa $k a \nu$ in I4. I, and if that restoration is accepted, the position
 is a very unsatisfactory combination of letters, and probably there is some corruption. The $\xi$ projects somewhat to the left, but not enough to jusify the inference that it belongs to a lemma.

6. The word following $\left.\xi_{2}\right]$ ]redoivtc $[\nu$ may, as Bury remarks, have been xprimara or єì форо́s.
 scribe has left a blank space after $A_{i \mu \nu a}$ is as if the lemma ended there, but probably this is a mistake ; cf. x. 25. The remains of 1. 10, as was perceived by W-M and Bury, belong to a quotation from the Hecale of Callimachus (Fr. 66 a ed. Schneider); see Schol. Ar. Frogs


 with $\epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon$ in 1.8 and containing two complete hexameters，but this view is open to some objections．The restoration Ka入入íazoos at the end of l． 7 implies that in letters are lost after $\delta \iota o v v o \rho[$ ，whereas elsewhere in this column the corresponding space contains only $5-8$ letters．This difficulty can be got over by supposing that Ka入入ina $\chi^{\circ}$ s was abbreviated，but in 1.9 a similar and more serious obstacle arises；for $\Lambda \iota \mu \nu a i \omega \iota$（which is certain）is sufficient by itself to fill the lacuna at the end of the line，and since＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon \theta$ Өíp will be the conclusion of the first hexameter，the first foot of the second hexameter seems to be reduced to $\epsilon$ ．$\quad \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{M}$ proposes eil［aazo，which makes excellent sense，but involves a supplement of 12 letters in the lacuna．The $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon[$ has been corrected from a straight stroke（probably $\imath$ ）but the reading is practically certain，$\eta$ being the only alternative for $\epsilon \iota$ and less satisfactory．Bury on the other hand would restore a shorter name than Kaлдiцaұos in 1.7 （ $\Delta i \delta \nu \mu o s$ ？），and regard the Callimachus quotation as beginning with［ $1 \mu \nu \Delta a, \omega t$ in 1.9 ，reading the preceding word as ＇Eגєv $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \rho \epsilon i, ~ i . ~ e . ~ ' E \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \epsilon i . ~ B u t ~ t h a t ~ C a l l i m a c h u s ' ~ n a m e ~ w a s ~ m e n t i o n e d ~ i n ~ l . ~ \\ & 7\end{aligned}$（cf．x．37），and that 1l．8－9 belong to the quotation，seem to us more probable．On Eleuther，the eponymous． hero of Eleutherae，who is said to have made the first image of Dionysus subsequently brought by Pegasus to the temple év पípuats at Athens，cf．Pauly－Wissowa，Real－encycl．s．vv． Dionysos，Eleuther，Eleuthereus．

II－2．Apparently the point of the contrast between this statement and the Callimachus quotation is that according to the latter the temple at Limuae was called after Dionysus as god of marshes in general，while according to the other explanation Limnae was merely a local name．With ouv $[\omega]$ s in 1. I ］ os is the termination of a proper name，e．g．$\Delta i\left[\delta \nu \mu^{\prime}\right.$ os ； but it is possible to read $] \omega s \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ oviv $[0] s$ ，$] \omega s$ being the termination of an adverb or a substantive in the genitive with e．g．$\delta t a ́$ ．oĩ［0］＇s would however then have to mean Thucydides，which is not satisfactory．
 is in itself defensible；for accepting Boeckh＇s view that there were four distinct Dionysiac festivals at Athens，the Greater and Lesser Dionysia，the Anthesteria，and Lenaea，the Anthesteria might be called the＇most ancient＇instead of the＇more ancient＇，i．e．than the Greater Dionysia．Thucydides＇statement that the Anthesteria was a general Ionic festival

$\tau \bar{\eta} \iota \beta$ ：so MSS ；most modern editors follow Torstrik in regarding the words as a gloss．With a mention of the day $\mu \eta \nu o \delta^{\prime}$ ，not $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \mu \eta \nu^{\prime}$ ，would be expected．The papyrus shows，however，that the interpolation，if it be such，is very early．Our author＇s note con－ cerning the date of the festival is in accord with the extant evidence on the subject ； cf．Pauly－Wissowa，Real－encycl．i．p． $237^{2}$.




25．There can hardly be any doubt that the lemma ends at oikj$\sigma \epsilon$ ，although the scribe fails to leave a blank space；cf．x． 7 ，note．The following words in Thuc．are $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \bar{i}$ रov oi
 some recent editors，including Hude，would omit the latter word．That our author＇s text had $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\chi}$ ov is clear from 1．30，and the difficulty of connecting it with oikijoєt is discussed by him in ll． $\mathbf{2}^{25}$－9，but the nature of his explanation is somewhat obscure．Apparently
 thus approximating to the view of Herbst，who explained the dative as instrumental and
 struction．If $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \tau o\left[\hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \bar{\chi}{ }^{\circ} \nu\right.$ is rightly restored in 1.25 ，the beginning of the note seems

 кат̀̀ к．т．入．，$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \sigma[\hat{v}$ must be abandoned：$\epsilon$ or $\omega$ might be read in place of the doubtful $o$ ．

 nected with $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi^{\nu}$ ．rò $\mathfrak{\epsilon \xi \eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} s$（restored by W－MI）means＇the grammatical sequence is＇； cf．xiii． 7 ，note．
 Lipsius in placing $\pi$ avork $\sigma$ ia after oủ padicos．

33．The of following $\tau$ is almost certain，$v$ being the only alternative．［ou］does not fill up the lacuna，so that ro is not the termination of e．g．$\left.\delta \iota \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \operatorname{c} v \nu \tau o . ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \pi a v \mid r o ́ s\right]$ is possible．


35－6．к［a入oùvaaı｜$\left.\phi^{\prime} \nu \lambda\right]$ ］í was suggested by Bury and Hude．
${ }_{3} 6-7$ ．This distinction between $\sigma \eta \kappa o ́ s ~ a n d ~ \nu u o ́ s ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ s t a t e d ~ b y ~ A m m o n i u s: ~ v a o ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \sigma \eta k o ̀ s ~$
 Liddell and Scott，s．v．$\sigma$ ๆkós．The quotation from Callimachus（from the Hecale？；cf．x．7， note）is new．
xi．14－5．The accent of a $\rho[$ gos points，as W－M perceived，to the restoration of these lines as a quotation of the well－known oracle，which occurs e．g．in Schol．Theocr．xiv． 48. The beginning of the line is commonly cited as $\gamma$ ains $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ ááns，but here qains and $\pi$ áons have changed places．A difficulty arises in connexion with the reading［ra］in［s，that，since it belongs to the note，not the lemma，there ought to be only one letter lost，but the scribe sometimes begins his lines unevenly（e．g．in ix．26）and occasionally treats words belonging to the note as if they were part of the lemma（e．g．in xvii．31）．Possibly，however，he wrote $[a]^{*} \eta_{1} \eta^{\prime}$ s．

17．òv is given the barytone accent in order to distinguish it from ỗ．The note probably
 Stuart Jones suggests．
xii．2－3．The restorations are due to W－M．
5．єivaı may have been added in the lemma after $\mu$ a入aкós．The occurrence of $\dot{d} \theta \rho o i \sigma \in \iota$
 of the allies at Sparta not to the conduct of the war，thus agreeing with Herbst against the ordinary view；cf．Classen，ad loc．

6．］．$\epsilon$ ：the vestige of the first letter would suit $\delta$ or $\lambda$ best．
7．Stuart Jones suggests $\left[{ }^{[ } \mathrm{O} \mu \eta \rho o s{ }^{2} \mu \lambda \theta a \kappa o ̀ s ~ a i \chi \mu \eta\right]$ riss（ ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} 588$ ）．

12．The word before $\mu \epsilon\rceil$ Taфopıк $\hat{\rho} s$ was probably an equivalent of à $\nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \chi \in \nu$ ，perhaps $\check{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \in \nu$



 If $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon]$ Xє $\rho$ tat is nominative plural this may be accounted for by the plural use of àvoxai ；if it
 （W－M）would have been more satisfactory．
 before $s$ ．Possibly $\mathrm{P} \epsilon$ ］iror $\tau[.$. ．$s$ should be read，but the letter following to is more like $\pi$ than $\iota$ and there is not room for $\tau$ óno＇s．

19．Lines 19－32 are on a detached fragment．The writing on the recto confirms the
internal evidence of $11.24-7$ that these lines belong to the lower portion of Col．xii，but the extent of the gap，if any，between ll． 18 and 19 is uncertain．

23．The accent of áde suggests，as W－M remarks，a form like＇Eגevg＇ivíde，but though the letter before a $\delta \epsilon$ might be $\nu$ ，the letter before that is more like $\epsilon, a$ ，or $v$ than $\iota$ ．

 is practically certain．To the form ${ }_{j} / \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu$ there is no objection，but the word does not seem very suitable in this context．The doubtful $\delta$ might be read as $a, \lambda$ ，or $\mu$ ．

27－9．The restoration of the beginning of the note is due to W－M，who further


xiii．1．This line，restored by Hude，and the next clearly belong to a note on the use of the middle in place of the active in $\chi \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$（c．20．4），$\epsilon \pi \pi a v \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ being adduced as an illustration；cf．iv． $3^{2-5}$ ．The first two letters of $\epsilon \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma[\epsilon] \sigma \theta a l$ have a stroke through them，but this is to be regarded as accidental，not as implying deletion．
 interval．The reading $\mathfrak{\epsilon}[\xi \bar{\eta} s$ is not very satisfactory，for the traces of ink suit $o, \sigma$ ，or $\tau$ better than $\epsilon$ ，but $\tau \dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} \bar{s}$ is the technical phrase required here ；cf．the close parallel in x．29－30．
 ing to our author（1．14）was found＇in some copies＇，and must have been a very early variant．Editors also differ；Hude and Stuart Jones prefer $\omega_{\rho \mu \eta \tau o . ~}^{\text {．}}$

16．Apart from the present passage in Thuc．Phrygia in Attica is only mentioned

 ＇Atтккฑ̄s．Bursian（Geogr．i．p．334）conjecturally placed it in the neighbourhood of Acharnae at the north－east foot of Mount Aegaleus．Since the site of Athmonon is fixed（ibid．p．343） at the modern village of Marusi，which is 7 kilometres west of Acharnae，the statement of our author that Phrygia belonged to the Athmonian deme does not accord with the position assigned to the village by Bursian，although Athmonon being an important deme may have stretched some way to the west．Our author is likely to be right on the point，in spite of Steph．Byz．＇s assertion that Phrygia was＇between Boeotia and Attica＇，which suggests quite a different position．



 a confusion with the Пappáधoo in Arcadia，who are out of place here，while the form Пєєpárıo is generally altered to חvpáator in accordance with Strabo ix．p．435，and Steph．Byz．s．v． Пúparos．The reading of the lemma proves that Пaрáotot did not stand after Фироá̀ıot in our author＇s text of Thuc．，while his note shows that he knew of Пaаíaıo（or Пaррá⿱ıo七） as a variant on Пєєрáбıo，but rightly rejected it．That Парáधıo was originally a marginal variant which found its way into the text，causing the transposition of Пєєpiotot，is now clear， and the hypothesis of an interpolation is confirmed．As regards the form Пєьáoto the lemma supports the traditional spelling of the MISS．against חvpá⿱宀⿻三丨口儿，and in view of the fact that Steph．Byz．mentions a certain Пєєрaбia đódıs Mayıqбias，the alteration to Пvpácoo seems
 tioned in B 766 is however very doubtful，for the reading Ппреi $\eta$ is there somewhat uncertain
 Өєбба入ias $\chi \omega$ piò from Пєєpa

22-3. The restoration of these two lines was proposed by W-M, Stuart Jones, and

29. The restoration of this line is far from certain, especially as [ $\tau \omega \nu$ ] does not fill the lacuna after av, unless those letters were unusually spread out. Perhaps the lemma ended
 the note.
 Mapa $\begin{gathered}\omega \\ \nu\end{gathered}$. The restoration in 1.2 is due to $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$, who is no doubt right in regarding énıról $\phi$ oos in 1.3 as a title.
4. That before evi the papyrus had ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu$, which is omitted by CG, is certain not only from the size of the lacuna but from $\hat{\epsilon}^{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\prime}$ in the paraphrase, 1. 6.
$6-11$. The proposed restoration of the paraphrase is very doultful in several respects.
 with $\epsilon \hat{i v a c} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ in 1.7 ; tooov totpómov's is not very satisfactory, but there is not room for
 is possible, with another word in place of rivopi. The doubtful $\sigma$ may be $\pi$, but neither
 Poppo and Classen, as epexegetic of кıvסvvev́ध $\sigma \theta a \imath$ and not as the subject of it (ró being
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \in v \theta \eta \nu a u)$ and now advocated by Steup ; cf. Classen's Thucydides, ed. iv. p. 22 I.
 [ $\grave{\pi} \pi r \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon} i \omega s$ ] (Bury).



22. The letter (beginning with a vertical stroke) following rou has a horizontal line above it, indicating either a numeral or word cited like кai in xix. 5 .
25. aù|rov̂ : so CG; '̇avtov ABEFM. It is of course possible, but less likely, that our author meant auvov̂.


$3^{2-3}$. Bury is probably right in assigning these lines to a fresh lemma, not to the preceding note, although 11. 30-I paraphrase words not included in 11. 24-6.
xv. 2. $\left.\pi^{-} \alpha \lambda\right][\nu$, which can hardly be evaded, may be explained, as $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{M}$ suggests, as a reference back to סíkaıov yà $\eta_{\mu \mu a ̆ s ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ i n ~ c . ~ i r . ~ 2 . ~ O u r ~ a u t h o r ' s ~ n o t e ~ o n ~ t h a t ~ p a s s a g e, ~}^{\text {, }}$ if he had one, is lost in the gap between Cols. vi and vii. The word after $\epsilon\left[p \eta \kappa_{[ } \epsilon\right] \nu$ is probably an adverb.
4. ка $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \eta к v i a[ \}]$ : the papyrus follows the ordinary spelling of the MSS.; каөєбтクкúa Hude. After $\tau \hat{\eta}$ it is difficult to see what other word than $\pi a \rho a k \mu \hat{\eta}$ can have been meant, but that was certainly not written; the letter following $\pi a \rho a$ is conceivably $\kappa$, but is much more like $\gamma$ or $\tau$, and $\mu$ is out of the question.
6. oiкєiv: so most MSS., Hude, Stuart Jones ; ク̈кєє C (second hand) and superscr. G.
$7-1$ I. In regarding oikeî as equivalent to dookeío $\theta a t$ our author is quite correct, but in paraphrasing is as 'for the advantage of' he conflicts with modern editors, who practically
 is supported both by the variant $\eta$ jкeı for oikeiv and by several parallels for this use of $\epsilon$ (especially Thuc. viii. 53), and suits the context much better. The interpretation which our author rejects in $11.7-8$ seems to be right in its interpretation of $\epsilon$ 's, but is wrong with regard
to the meaning of oiketv, which cannot mean in this context 'inhabit', as seems to be implied by the contrast between oikeiv in l. 7 and dioikeí $\theta a t$ in l. ıо.
14. $\tau \grave{\omega} \iota$ has the barytone accent to distinguish it from $\tau \hat{\omega} \iota$.
15. $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \epsilon \in[\nu$ : so ABEFM ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \neq \nu$ ), Hude, Stuart Jones; $\tau \grave{a} \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$ CG.

21-2. This explanation of the obscure phrase oux inò $\mu$ f́pous is novel. Schol. remark

 kings the phrase has generally been interpreted ' not because he is sprung from a particular class', while Classen thinks that the meaning is ' not because he is supported by a political party', and Herwerden wished to read $\gamma^{\prime}$ vovs for $\mu$ épous. Our author on the other hand interprets it 'not according to the equal share to which he is entitled as a member of a democratic state', i.e. honours are distributed not in equal shares but in accordance with merit. In 1. ${ }_{2} 5$ Bury suggests oi $[\delta \delta]$ (which is possible) followed by a participle or infinitive meaning 'will be assigned' ( ( $[a \tau \sim \nu] \epsilon \epsilon\left[\eta \theta \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu\right.$ Tústv is too long, but

33. v́ool廿iav: or possibly àvvoo] భiav; cf. note on 1. 38.
 occurs in the paraphrase (xvi. z).
 his paraphrase is not very accurate at this point. Thucydides' phrase 's $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu . . . v i \pi o \psi i a v ~ d o e s ~$ not harmonize well with the following words ov $\delta_{\imath}{ }^{\prime}$ ó $\rho \gamma \bar{\eta} s \kappa_{.} \tau_{.} \lambda_{\text {. }}$, and Madvig conjectured
 read avvoolyiav in l. 33 is possible, for though it would produce $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ mostly broad letters in the lacuna as against only 1 I in 1.32 , there are 14 letters in the corresponding lacuna in l. 34 , and in the lower part of this column the beginnings of lines seems to have sloped away to the left. But it is more probable that our author read $\dot{i \pi o \psi i a \nu}$ and in ov̉] $\dot{i} \pi о-$ $\pi \tau \epsilon \dot{v} o u \tau \epsilon s$ was merely giving the general sense, obtaining his negative from ov $\delta i \imath^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \gamma \gamma \hat{\eta} s$;

xvi. 5. The vestige of a letter following $\lambda v \pi o v \mu \epsilon \nu$ would suit e. g. $\tau$, but hardly $o$, so that
 (Bury) is unsuitable, but ]s may well be the end of a participle.
 the first letter seems to be $a, \kappa$, or $\lambda$, the second to be a round letter, e.g. $o$; or possibly $\mu[$ might be read.
18. $a[i] \in i$ : so Hude with E; $a \in i$ other MSS.; cf. l. 33.

 $\mu$ âs $\dot{\text { í }}$ ќpas.
 frequent in Schol. on cc. 37-9; cf. also xvii. 6-9.
 an inadvertence, or implies a different arrangement of this sentence in our author's text (e.g. $\delta \iota a \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \theta a \cdots \chi$ бройvтєs) is uncertain.
29. ${ }^{2} \theta \in \lambda o \mu \in \nu$ : so CG, Hude, Stuart Jones; ${ }^{\prime} \theta \in \lambda o \mu \mu \in \nu$ other MSS. and Dion. Hal.

3I. roîs $\tau \epsilon$ : so BCG, Hude, Stuart Jones; $\tau \epsilon$ toîs other MSS.
 corr. f, and Dion. Hal.
aiei : so E, Hude, Stuart Jones ; àєi other MSS. ; cf. I. 18.
xvii. $1-2 . \quad a \nu v \pi o\left[\right.$ and $i_{\epsilon \sigma \sigma[ }$ are on a separate fragment, and the margin is broken away immediately to the left of $a \nu v \pi o$; but the position assigned to the fragment admits of practically no doubt, especially as it belongs to the top of a column.
3. тa入aum $\rho \rho \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \theta$ ]at: so Bury and Hude ; кататoveí $\theta] a \iota$ W-MI.
 $\kappa a \iota \hat{\varphi}$ is a predicate of $\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \varphi, \dot{\omega}$ s being omitted (so Poppo and Steup), or is a kind of adverbial dative (so Classen, 3rd ed.) : our author's paraphrase in spite of the use of ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ $\kappa \pi \iota \varphi \bar{\varphi}$ is compatible with either view.

16-8. This explanation of ailoxiov as a comparative used in place of the simple adjective agrees with that of the ancient grammarian quoted by Poppo (who practically accepts this
 greater significance to the comparative.

18-9. The quotation is from $\eta$ 294. A slight error has crept in, for the MSS. have aiti $\gamma a ́ \rho ~ \tau \epsilon$, not aiei $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, which will not scan.
20. $\vec{\epsilon} \nu$ : so ABEF ; ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu L \mathrm{CGf}_{3}$, Hude, Stuart Jones, With $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ it is necessary to supply the verb, as is remarked in 1.23 ; and $\nLeftarrow \nu$ is no doubt preferable.
 following Richards. The traditional reading is defended by Poppo on the view that $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ refers to the poorer classes of Athenians who were too busy to take part in the administration of public affairs, but able to form a judgement on them, and that the persons meant by тoîs à̇zoîs are the richer classes, an interpretation which is rather arbitrary. With $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ or
 emphasizing the same idea as that expressed by the first. Our author does not explain
 he seems to agree with Poppo's view that étefot refers to the poorer classes.
30. à̉тoí: so ABEF, Poppo, Classen ; oi av̀roi CG, Hude, Stuart Jones. Cf. 1. 35 , note.
31. The scribe has by mistake included крivouev in the lemma. The note explains крivoبєע as meaning 'decide upon proposals invented by others', implying a contrast with 'originate new ones ourselves' ( $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta v \mu \circ \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a\right)$. Our author's interpretation thus supports Poppo's translation aut iudicamus certe (ab aliis proposita) aut excogitamus (nova) recte, against Classen's 'entweder bringen wir die Sachen zur Entscheidung, oder suchen über sie richtige Einsicht zu gewinnen'.
 may have had [кaì тódठє.
 right there, but here oi aviroi is distinctly better.
 $\mu \in \gamma u ́ \lambda \omega s$ may have occurred here, or, as IW-MI suggests, á $\phi \theta \dot{o} v \omega$ s.
14. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{i}] \pi \tau$ ': so most MSS., Hude, Stuart Jones; $\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma t o \nu \mathrm{AB}$.
 Stuart Jones, the restoration of 1. 2I by Bury, who proposes 'A $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { quaio }[s ~ t i v \eta ́ p ~ i n ~ \\ 1.18\end{array}\right)$ and


24. крєíc]owv: so most MSS., Hude, Stuart Jones; крєî $\sigma \sigma o \nu$ C.
 and Bury.

29-33. These lines paraphrase the sentence of Thucydides following the lemma. The




35-7. A note (restored in part by Bury) to the effect that ${ }_{\epsilon} \chi \chi \in t$ governs $\kappa a \tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \mu \psi \iota \nu$ as well as à $\gamma a v i ́ k \tau \eta \sigma \omega$.
xix. 1-3. There is a blank space after $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ before the lacuna, and if $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ was the end of the line, l. 1 probably belongs to a note on $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \gamma \grave{a} \rho \dot{j} \pi a \rho \chi o v ं \sigma \eta s \quad \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ and $11.2-3$ are a lemma. It is possible, however, that a couple of letters are lost in the lacuna after фivews, in which case that word belongs to the lemma and 11. 2-3 to the note. That all three lines belong to a note is less likely, for l. I would then be too short; and the same objection applies to regarding all three as a lemma, while in addition it would then be necessary to suppose the omission of a whole line $\left\langle\mu \dot{\eta} \chi \chi^{\epsilon i \rho o \sigma \iota ~} \gamma \in \nu \in \epsilon \theta a \iota \dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta\right\rangle$.

4-7. The Homeric quotation (from A 117 ) is cited in order to illustrate the use of $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ for кai, and if our author considered that Thucydides also employed $\eta$ " for $\kappa a i$ his comment must apply to $\ddot{\eta} \psi$ ózov, though in reality there is no justification for interpreting $\ddot{\eta}$ there as каi. It is possible, however, as W-M points out, that the quotation is intended to illustrate the converse of 'Thucydides' use; in that case our author's remark applies to кai ins, which in his opinion was for $\dot{\eta} \hat{\eta} s$; i. e. he thought that the construction was $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\sim} \pi a \rho \chi o v i \sigma \eta s$ фí $\sigma \epsilon \omega$
 ever view we credit him with, our author seems to have completely misunderstood the meaning of the sentence, and the Homeric parallel makes matters worse; for $\eta$ is not there used for кas, though on this point he is only following the singularly perverse interpretation

 Our author's lack of judgement in explaining Thucydides' meaning is made still clearer by

 кoıvov̂ are $\mu \in \mathcal{y} \dot{\lambda} \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{o} \xi a$. It is impossible to acquit him of having committed a series of errors in his attempt to elucidate this badly constructed, but not particularly difficult sentence.

## 854. ArChilochus, 'E $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i ̂ a$.

$3.7 \times 3.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second century. Plate I.
The extreme smallness of this fragment is very unfortunate, since the coincidence of the last four lines with a quotation in Athenaeus proves the author to have been Archilochus; cf. Athen. $483 \mathrm{~d} \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon$ aủroû (sc. rov̂
 Bergk ${ }^{4}$ ). An addition to the 22 lines which, including these four cited by Athenaeus, are all that survive of the ' $E \lambda \in \gamma \in \hat{i} a$, would have been very welcome ; but in its present mutilated state the fragment is practically worthless. It seems to have come from an extensive roll (cf. note on 1.2), the recto of which was occupied by a cursive document dating probably from about the middle of the second century ; the seventh year of an emperor (Antoninus ?) is mentioned. The literary text on the verso, written in rather small round uncials, need not be
referred to a much later period, and may well fall within the same century. Two accents occur, besides some marginal marks of uncertain significance.

```
[.]\phi.[
    ]覀 }\overline{\phi\rho}a
    \xi\in\iota\nuol. [
    \delta\epsilon\iota\pi\nu0\nu \deltaov[
5 - ovt \epsilon\muol ws \alpha![
    \alpha\lambda\lambda a\gamma\epsilon \sigmav\nu к\omega}0\omega\nul 0o\etas \deltal\alpha \sigma\epsilon\lambda\mua\tau\alpha \nu\etao
    фо\iota\tau\alpha к\alpha\iota кої\lambda\omega[\nu }\pi\omega\mu\alpha\tau \alphaфє\lambdaк\epsilon ка\delta\omega
    \alphá\gamma\rho\epsilon\ell \delta olvov [\epsilon\rhov0\rhoov a\pio т\rhov\gammaos ov\delta\epsilon \gamma\alpha\rho \eta\mu\epsilon\iotas
    \nu\eta\phi\epsilon![l]\nu \epsilon, [\phiu\lambda\alphaк\eta \tau\eta\delta\epsilon \deltav\nu\eta\eta\sigmao\mu\epsilon0\alpha
```

2. The marginal $\theta$ is most naturally explained as marking the 8ooth line of the manuscript ; cf. e.g. 852. The papyrus is broken immediately above the $\theta$, but a slight vestige is left which we suppose to represent a stroke over the letter. Of the marks below $\theta$ the second horizontal line and the vertical one beneath should perhaps be combined as a critical sign referring to 1.3 , to which they are really opposite; cf. the dash opposite 1.5 .
3. $a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \epsilon: ~ a ̀ \lambda a ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~A}$, corrected by Musurus.
4. коі̀ $\omega[\nu$ : коì $\omega \nu \mathrm{A}$ and editors, but кої $\lambda \omega \nu$, an Aeolic form found in Anacreon 9. 2, may well be right here.
 one letter between $\phi$ and $\nu$ would be better than two, and the traces after the second $\epsilon$, if not absolutely inconsistent with $\nu$, suggest a round letter like $\sigma$. Noreover the accent is wrong. But we can find no suitable alternative ; the fourth letter can hardly be $o$, and therefore $\nu i ́ \phi o \nu \in s$ does not suit ; $\nu \eta \phi \dot{\varphi} \mu \in \nu a \iota$ (conj. Bergk) is inadmissible.

## 855. Menander ?

$$
13 \times 16.3 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Third century.
This fragment of an unidentified New Attic comedy, though inconsiderable in sizc, is of more than usual interest, bringing before us with much vividness a scene to which we think there is no exact parallel in the extant remains of either Greek or Roman comedy. A slave Daus has been detected and caught by an indulgent (1. 13) master, Laches, in some act of villainy connected with an inheritance (1. 18), and Laches proposes to have him burnt alive. Daus is perhaps bound to a stake; his fellow-slaves, to whom the victim appeals
vainly for mercy, bring out faggots and pile them round him ; and Laches himself carries the lighted torch. Wilamowitz, to whom we are much indebted in the reconstruction of this text, supposes that the slave had taken refuge at an altar, where however the right of asylum would not protect him from being burnt. At any rate the language plainly implies that it was not his master's object merely to dislodge him from a place of sanctuary. Of course the grim scene was not acted out, and no doubt Daus eventually escaped; but that it should be carried so far is a significant indication of the Athenian attitude towards slavery at this period, and the passage may be placed in contrast to some others where a more
 סov́dovs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi o ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \epsilon \xi \mathfrak{\xi} \dot{a}$ (Kock, Fr. 95). It is said that the position of slaves was peculiarly favourable at Athens, and though a master had the power of punishment he might not legally put them to death ; of. Antiphon,

 perhaps the law was stricter in theory on this point than in practice. For the burning of slaves Wilamowitz cites the fragment from Euripides' Sylcus (Nauck Fr. 687) in which Heracles in a servile position says $\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta$, ка́таı $\theta \epsilon$ бápкаs к.т.入. Murray suggests that Laches only wished to frighten Daus, and was playing a big practical joke. That is a quite tenable hypothesis, but perhaps not much is gained by it so far as the rights of Athenian slaves are concerned. Daus certainly thought that he was to be burned, and seems rather to take it for granted; he makes no protest against the illegality or the unheard of barbarity of the act. There is a general similarity between the scene in the papyrus and that in Aristophanes' Thesmoph. 726 sqq., with the essential difference that Mnesilochus, for whose burning preparations are there made, is a free man.

The identity of the play to which the fragment belongs and of its author is quite uncertain. Wilamowitz would refer it to some other poet than Menander on the ground of the occurrence of the article at the end of a verse at 1. 23, to which there is no parallel in the Cairo papyrus. But this is not a very conclusive argument, and it seems to us to be more than outweighed by a remarkable linguistic coincidence between 11. 13-4 and a citation from the Perinthia; cf. note ad loc.

There are remains of two columns, the second of which is in fair preservation. The text is written in medium-sized sloping uncials of the common third-century type. Double dots and paragraphi are employed to denote the alternations of the dialogue, and, as in 211, 852, and the Cairo Menander, the names of the speakers are sometimes inserted, in a more cursive but perhaps not different hand. Stops, mostly a high point (one in the middle
position occurs at the end of ii. 5), are freely used, though not always with discrimination, and marks of elision are also frequent; two accents occur (one misplaced) and a mark of long quantity ( $\kappa \bar{a} \nu=\kappa \alpha i \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ). All these lection signs seem to be due to the original scribe.

Col. ii.

Col. i.
]xo้
[. . . . . . . . . . . . .] $\sigma v \delta \alpha к о \lambda o v \theta \epsilon i[$
[. . . . . . . . ] $\alpha \sigma \epsilon \xi \in \iota \sigma \iota \nu \phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau о \pi v \rho[$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \pi v \rho \cdot \pi \rho \circ \delta \eta \lambda o \nu \cdot \omega \tau \iota \beta \epsilon \iota \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma \in \tau \alpha$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha v \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu^{\prime} \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \eta \tau^{\prime} \alpha y \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha$

[. . .] $\alpha \nu \mu^{\prime} \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \eta \tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon$.
[...]. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \sigma v \sigma \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \cdot \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
[....] $\rho \iota \alpha \sigma \cdot \circ \sigma o \nu \gamma \epsilon \phi \circ \rho \tau \iota \circ \nu \phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$
[. . .] $\lambda \omega \lambda \alpha \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \iota \delta \alpha v \tau о \sigma \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \chi{ }^{\omega} \nu$

|  <br> [. . . . . $]_{\llcorner } \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \pi \alpha \nu 0 v \rho \gamma \iota \alpha \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \phi \nu \gamma \omega \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \omega$ : $\nu \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \tau о \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu о \nu \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \kappa о \nu \phi о \nu \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \nu$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

${ }^{15} \phi \lambda \nu \alpha \rho \circ \sigma: \eta \eta \nu: \epsilon \ell \delta \epsilon \tau / \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \phi \rho \in \nu \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \eta \nu: \epsilon \kappa \nu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma: o v \chi \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \sigma o v \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha$ $\overline{o \mu} \epsilon \nu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \circ \sigma \cdot{ }^{\circ} \theta_{\rho} \alpha \sigma v \sigma \epsilon \nu \theta_{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega \sigma$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu \sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \iota \alpha \nu \phi![\cdot] \tau \alpha \tau 0[$
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]o $\delta \omega \nu \cdot \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \chi \chi \rho \iota \nu$
$20[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ..] \sigma v \phi \eta \mu \omega \nu: \kappa \alpha \epsilon \tau[$. ].[.]. $\iota a \sigma$
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . ] . $\omega \sigma \alpha \phi ı к є \tau о ~$
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] $] \epsilon \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu о \sigma \gamma \alpha \rho \kappa \bar{\alpha} \nu \kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega[$
[. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .] ${ }^{2} \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau о$
Unplaced fragment $] \tau \uparrow \beta[$

## Col. ii.

```
    [. . ...........] \sigmav̀ \delta' \alpháко\lambdaои́0\epsilon\iota [\muо\iota, Г'́\tau\alpha.
```



```
        к\alphaì \pi\hat{v}\rho \pi\rhoо́\deltaŋ\eta\lambdaо\nu
```




```
        [\nuv̂\nu] \ddot{\alpha}\nu \mu'\alphäф\epsilon'i\eta\tau'. \dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha}}\pi\epsilon\rho\iotaó\psi\epsilon\sigma0\epsilon' \mu\epsilon
        [\tauí \delta]\̀\eta \pi\rhoòs \alpha}\lambda\lambda\lambda\etá\lambdaovs 'ढ'Xо\mu\epsilon\nu; \pi\rhoо\sigma\epsiloń\rhoX\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota
        [o` Mup]\rhoías ö\sigmaov \gamma\epsilon фортiov ф\epsiloń\rho\omega\nu.
```







```
        к\alphai кои̂фо\nu \epsiloń\xi\alpha\pi\alpha<\tau\hat{\alpha}\nu \gamma\alphá\rho \epsiloń\sigma\tau\iota \delta\epsilon\sigma\piо́т\eta\nu
```



```
        \sigma\tau\alphaкт\grave{\nu}\nu-\epsilońк\nuí\sigma0\etas; (\Delta\alpha.) ou`\chiì \pi\rhoòs \sigmaov̂, \delta\epsiloń\sigma\piо\tau\alpha.
```




```
        [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \pi]o\delta\omega\nu. (\Delta\alpha.) \epsiloń\xiєєi\nu X\alphá\rhoi\nu
        20 [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]s v̇ф' ' \eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu. \\alphá\chi(H\varsigma). к\alphá\epsilon\tau[\epsilon
        [. . . . . . . . . . . . . .] M]v[p]pí\alpha\varsigma. . ws áфíк\in\tauо
        [. . . . ...........] фєро́\mu\epsilon\nuоs \gamma\alphà\rho к\alphă\nu ки́к\lambda@
        [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .]\rho\tau\omega\nu \tau' \epsiloń\sigma\tauì \tauò
```

'Tibius (?) . . ., and do you, Getes, follow me.
Daus. He is coming out with faggots; there is the fuel and the fire. O Tibius and Getes, would you then leave me to be burnt, Getes, me your fellow slave, and your preserver ? Surely you will not desert me now! Will you disregard me? What have we against each other? Here comes Pyrrhias, with what a load on his back! I am undone! Laches himself is following with a lighted torch.

Laches. Put the logs quickly all round him. Give an exhibition, Daus, of your cunning by finding some device and escaping me here.

Da. J find a device?
La. Yes, Daus ; for to deceive an easygoing and careless master is mere foolery.
Da. Oh!
La. But if one feels his brains turning to ashes-were you hurt?
$D a$. Not by you, master.
La. This rascal, this rogue, has lately in a cowardly manner (made away) here with the inheritance of my dearest . . .'

Col. i. $7 \beta \omega \sigma($ ) is in the same hand as the interlinear dramatis personae in the next column. In the Cairo Menander papyrus the names of speakers are frequently added in the right-hand margin of the column to which they refer, and that might be the case here, though $\rceil \beta \omega \sigma$ ( ) suggests no likely name. Perhaps $\sigma \omega \sigma$ ( ), e. g. E $\omega \sigma$ (ias), a name frequent $^{2}$ in comedy, may be read, though there would then remain an unexplained mark below the first $\sigma$; cf. note on l. 2I. But of course the word may not be a name at all.

Col. ii. 2. The supplements were suggested by Wilamowitz. For [ $\kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \operatorname{ti} \delta] a s$ of. Aristoph. Thesmoph. 728 and, for $\pi \dot{v} p \delta a v o v, 661 . ~ 19$.
3. Tíßios and ए'́t $\eta \mathrm{s}$ were common names of slaves. In the line cited from Menander's
 тißıs к.т. $\lambda$,, but as the second syllable of the name is now shown to be long, the rot is superfluous.
4. катакаvбєь is quite clearly written, and there is no necessity to emend to катакайбa, though that might have been expected.
 The only objection to it is the stop after $\pi a v v$, but as the scribe's pointing is not always accurate (cf. e. g. l. 3) this is not a fatal obstacle. If the presence of the stop is to be pressed,

7. The letter before $\pi$ pos, of which only a very slight vestige remains, may be $\omega$, but there does not seem to be room for $[$ out $] \omega$.
8. [ò חup ppias Wilamowitz. Cf. Aristoph. Frogs 730 חvppiats and Schol. övopa yà $\rho$ Súvגov ó Пuppias.
9. The $\iota$ of $\delta a \delta \delta^{\circ}$ was inserted after the second $\delta$ had been written.
II. Restored by Wilamowitz. In l. ro after taxu an indistinct spot on the edge of the papyrus may represent a stop, but it is further away from the final letter than is the case e.g. in 11. 5 and 6 and, since an object for $\pi \epsilon \rho i \theta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ is desirable, it is better disregarded. Even if the stop were certain, this would not necessarily preclude the suggested supplement ; cf. note on 1. 6.

13-4. Cf. for the language Menander, Perinthia (Kock, Fr. 393) ${ }^{\text {ºgrts }}$ пapàaß̀̀ $\nu$

 Menander as the author of our fragment; cf. introd.
${ }^{1} 5 . \bar{\eta} \eta \nu$ appears to be an exclamation not otherwise attested. Wilamowitz compares Euripides, Herc. Fur. 906 誁 ( $\tilde{\eta}_{\eta}^{\eta}$ ).
16. The sentence $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \sigma \tau a \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ is not completed, a wince on the part of the slave at the idea of his brains' ashes leading Laches to break off with the question exviöns. A single stop instead of double dots should have been placed between $\sigma \tau a \kappa \tau \eta \nu$ and $\epsilon \kappa \nu \sigma \theta \eta s$ : the latter word is also wrongly accented. This passage seems to be much the carliest instance of the use of $\sigma \tau a k \tau \eta$ in the sense of $\tau \dot{\prime} \phi \rho a$, for which cf. c. $g$. Demetrius Constantinop. Hieracos. 2. $18 \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{u} \sigma \tau a k \tau \grave{\eta} s$ à $\pi \grave{̀} \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \tau i ̂ \partial \omega \nu$.

 suit the present passage remains uncertain owing to the mutilation of the context. $\epsilon \in \pi]$ ]o $\dot{\omega} \nu \nu$ (?) in l. i 9 would be consistent with it.
19. There may have been two dots, not one, after ]oiov, the papyrus being damaged in the place where the lower dot would be placed. Since $\kappa a \epsilon \tau[\epsilon]$ in 1.20 is attributed to Laches, a change of speaker must have intervened in ll. 19-20. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi] 0 \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ is probably to be restored rather than $\pi$ ]o $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu$.

2r. Perhaps ] $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ áфiкєто, but the vestige before $\omega \boldsymbol{s}$ might also represent double dots, and is should then be read. With regard to the name of the speaker inserted above the line, we read $\Pi]$ ] [ $\rho$ ]oias on the strength of 1.8 , but the traces preceding the termination as are extremely slight, and though not inconsistent with $] v[.] \rho$ they do not suggest those letters. $\Sigma\left[\omega^{\prime}\right.$ oias, a name possibly to be recognized in the first column (cf. note ad loc.), would in some ways be more suitable.
23. The article ró at the end of a verse is noticeable; cf. introd. This line was apparently the last of the column.
24. We have failed to fix the place of this small fragment. The letters suggest Tiß[ıоя.

## 856. Scholia on Aristophanes' Acharnians.

$$
\text { Fr. (a) } 11.9 \times 5.9, \text { Fr. (b) } 10.2 \times 5.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
$$

These scholia are contained in two fragments, preserving parts of two successive columns. The long interval between the subjects of the last line of Col. i and the first remaining line of Col. ii shows that the columns were tall, the probable height of the papyrus being over 30 cm . They were also proportionately broad, and the compact writing combined with extensive abbreviation enables the scribe to economize greatly in space. On the same scale another column would have brought him to the end of the play, and the commentary was thus completed in three columns. It may well have belonged to a series of similar commentaries, and is evidently not to be classed as a collection of school-notes. It is written in rather small sloping uncials, apparently of the third century; the several notes are divided off from each other by double dots, accompanied by paragraphi ; a single high dot usually follows the lemmata, but is also occasionally used as an ordinary stop; accents and breathings are sparingly added. The system of abbreviation resembles that of the Berlin commentary of Didymus on Demosthenes and of the 'AӨŋvaí $\omega v$ Подıтєia; besides words shortened by the ordinary method of omitting the termination and writing a letter above the line, the following more conventional abbreviations occur: $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma a^{\prime} \rho, \delta^{\prime}=\delta \epsilon^{\prime}, \kappa^{\prime}=\kappa a i, \mu^{\prime}=\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$, $\pi^{\prime}=\pi a \rho a ́, ~ \pi=\pi o \imath \eta \tau \eta s, \frac{尺}{\pi=}=\pi \rho o ́ s, \tau^{\prime}=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \phi \int=\phi \eta \sigma i$ or $\phi a \sigma i,==\epsilon i \sigma i$.

As will be seen from the excerpts quoted below, the scholia stand in no close relation to the extant scholia, of which the principal source for the

Acharnians is the Codex Ravennas. The papyrus notes are usually not only far shorter but also less frequent ; vv. 392-444, for instance, are covered in five lines whereas in Dindorf's edition they occupy four pages. On the other hand words or phrases are sometimes here selected for comment which in the extant scholia are passed over (cf. Il. $9,29,35,37,3^{8,} 44,68$ ), and the notes are occasionally quite full, e. g. those on vv. $614-7$; similarly a more precise explanation than that of the scholia is noticcable in 1.55 . Verbal agreements occur here and there, but they are nowhere striking and scarcely amount to more than is natural in a treatment of the same subject. If, indeed, there be any historical connexion between the annotations of the papyrus and those represented in the mediaeval MSS., it is of a very slight and distant character.

In the commentary below Schol. means the extant scholia, which we cite from the edition of Dindorf, with some modification from Rutherford's transcript of the Ravennas.

Fr. (a)
Col. i.

]ọ ( $\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota)$ :
]av $\mu \nu \tau \quad 17+$

Fr. (b)

 $[\sigma \iota$ к $\omega \mu \omega]!\delta \iota a v \cdot \epsilon \iota s$ tous $B a \beta u \lambda \omega \nu \iota o[u s$
[. . . . .] $]$ ous $\tau(\omega \nu) A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \quad \kappa(\alpha \iota) \pi \epsilon \delta_{!}[$


[.. $\pi \alpha \rho] \stackrel{\iota \mu \iota \alpha \nu ~ \sigma \kappa \eta \psi \iota \nu ~ \alpha \gamma \omega \nu ~ o v т o ̣ ̣ ~ . ~[~}{392}$

[ $\epsilon \kappa \quad \tau \eta \rho \quad \beta \alpha] \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha s \quad \kappa(\alpha \iota) \pi \tau \omega \chi 0 s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu[0 \sigma \tau \omega \nu$
[. . . . .] ] $\alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho ~ \alpha v \tau \omega \iota ~ v \pi о ~ \delta(\epsilon) ~ \chi \in \iota \rho \omega \nu ~[$
[. . $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha] \kappa \eta \kappa(\alpha \iota) \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha: \sigma \kappa \iota \mu \alpha[\lambda \iota \sigma \omega$.








$[\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \pi \alpha] \rho \circ \iota \nu \iota \alpha: \Sigma \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \iota \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu A \theta[\eta \nu \alpha \iota \quad \pi a \lambda \lambda a \delta \iota \omega \nu$. 542, 547
[.... $\tau \alpha] \pi(\epsilon \rho \iota) \tau \alpha s$ $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \epsilon \iota s$ ov $\tau \alpha$ П $\Pi \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta[0 s ~ \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$

[............]al: $\operatorname{\tau ov} \delta[\epsilon]$ T $\eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \circ v[$
[. . . . . . . . .] . . . . . . [. . .] $v \kappa \alpha \nu ~ \epsilon \pi[$
[ 20 letters ]. avt $\omega \nu$ [
$[\quad " \quad \alpha] \gamma \omega \nu \iota \xi O(\mu \in \nu \omega \nu) \tau \omega[\nu$
2 I " ] outos $\delta \in \iota[\theta$
$5^{\circ}$
$\phi u \lambda \in \tau a \cdot] \alpha \pi o \quad \tau \eta s \quad \alpha(u \tau \eta s) \phi \underline{[\lambda \eta s}$

Fr．（a）Col．ii．
［．．．．．．．．．．］к ${ }^{2} \sigma t[$
［．．．．］$\tau^{\prime}(\omega \nu) \alpha[..] . \tau \omega[$
［．］$] \kappa \alpha \lambda()$ ol $\in \tau \in p o l ~ \tau 0 \cup[s$
$\delta_{\epsilon \iota \nu} \omega s \phi \alpha(\sigma \iota) k(\alpha l)$ ol $\eta \theta\left[\quad \pi \tau \in \rho \circ \nu \quad \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \quad 5 S_{4}\right.$



$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \nu$ єф o！！$\alpha \nu \pi[$ коккиүєऽ• є 598


a入入 $\stackrel{\text { b }}{\circ}$ Koloupas．o $M \epsilon \gamma \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta s^{\cdot} \tau\left[\quad{ }^{6} 1_{4}\right.$
$\delta(\epsilon) \kappa(\alpha \iota)$ oбol $\pi \rho \circ \delta 0 \tau \alpha \iota(\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota)$ ol $\mu(\epsilon \nu) \alpha[$
$\epsilon \kappa \in \iota \sigma \epsilon \quad \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \pi v \nu \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \tau(\alpha \iota) \quad \lambda]$
$\tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \xi \iota \nu \quad \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta \in \mu[$
$6_{5}$ тol $\phi \eta(\sigma \iota)$ o Kolovpas $\kappa(\alpha \iota) \Lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \chi[0 s$ 616－7
$\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu \in \xi i \sigma \tau \omega^{\cdot}$ то $\delta(\epsilon) \alpha \pi o[\nu \iota \pi \tau \rho o \nu$
$\pi \rho \circ \phi \omega \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \ddot{\nu} \nu[\alpha$
$\overline{\Lambda а \mu а х о s \cdot ~ \epsilon \iota \theta ~ о ~ \triangle \iota к а \iota о \pi(o \lambda \iota s) ~ o v \delta[~ 619 ? ~}$

кає
$70 \pi \epsilon \rho$ єavtov $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ oт $(\epsilon) \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon[u s$
$\pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu \quad \mu(\epsilon \nu) \pi о \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \alpha \iota s \nu \alpha v[\sigma \iota$ к $\rho \alpha \tau 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о ф \rho о \sigma v \nu \eta \nu$ єаuтоv［
$\delta \iota \alpha \delta(\epsilon) \tau \alpha v \tau \alpha \phi \eta(\sigma \iota) \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu 0(\nu l o v s)[\quad 652$
$\pi 0^{\prime}(\eta \tau \eta \nu) \phi \alpha(\sigma \iota) \gamma(\alpha \rho)$ ot $\mu(\epsilon \nu) \alpha \nu \tau 0 \nu \in \kappa \in \iota$ ．［ 654

$\kappa(\alpha) \pi \rho o s \quad \chi \alpha \rho \nu \nu \quad \lambda \in \gamma о \nu \tau \cdot[$
$\epsilon \iota \theta$ оут $\omega$ катєтратто⿱［




 aủrùv cikáset．
9. There is nothing in the extant scholia corresponding to $\pi \dot{\omega} \gamma] \omega \nu a \dot{\epsilon} \chi \circ(\nu \tau)$; the $\omega$ is very uncertain, but $\pi \omega \gamma \omega \nu a$ is strongly suggested by $\epsilon \chi \circ(\nu \tau)$. The overwritten letter is plainly o not $\omega$.


 $\theta_{\epsilon}$ jopos indicate that the name is the end of the note, not of the lemma.
12. The note in Schol. is similarly worded; oîtos ó Ө́́oyus т $\rho a \gamma \omega \delta i ́ a s ~ \pi o ı \eta \tau \grave{\eta} s ~ \psi u \chi \rho o ́ s . ~$
 $\mu$ ии̃гта.
16. The note perhaps relates to $\sigma \omega \sigma i \pi \lambda_{t s}$ in 1.163 ; but $\sigma \omega \sigma^{\top} \iota \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \nu$ cannot be read.
$\mathbf{r}-20$. The remains of these lines give no clear clue to their subjects. In the extant scholia there are notes on 162 ó Apavíns $\lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega}$, 163 about Dicaeopolis and the $\sigma$ кópoóa,
 language. סoor $\mu \boldsymbol{i}$ a cannot be read in l. 17; the first letter is certainly $\eta$. ]evos in l. 19 might be ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu o u s$ referring to ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta \nu$, but is more likely to be the termination of a participle, or $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ois.
 тupồ каі̀ бкорóóov каі đ̣ov̀.
22. $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \iota$ is probably a gloss on $\pi \rho^{\prime}$ iveor in 180 or diтepípoves in 18 I . Cf. Schol.

23. The letter before $\nu$ can hardly be $\eta$, so $\epsilon \pi \kappa \xi\} \eta$ inov (cf. $11.355,359,365-6$ ) is un-


 roùs 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaious } \kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta \epsilon i .\end{aligned}$


 seems strange.

 in connexion with him by Aristophanes in Clouds 348. «voıкovojuir $\eta s$ ( ( $\rho a \gamma \varphi \delta i a s$ ) would be a much less likely restoration.


29. There is no comment in Schol. on this verse beyond the Victorian gloss $\sigma \kappa \hat{i} \psi \iota \nu$ :

 $\pi \epsilon \rho y_{j}^{\prime} \epsilon \tau$ татєєขós . . . l. $3^{2}$ seems to be a continuation of the same note, and l. 33
 on 423 入axioas or 431 бтápyava, or go back to $\tau \rho \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$ in 4 r8. Cf. Schol. in the note on


 the same note.
34. Schol. have only a note to the effect that the verse is a parody of a line in Eurip.

35. $\pi \rho(o s)$. . . $\lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota}$ : there is nothing corresponding to this in Schol. On 457 Schol.

 $\lambda a \chi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$. There was apparently no stop after סos.
 рєvт́єa, a reading in which the papyrus supports R and other MSS. єìmopєut'́a A, éклореvтéa Bentley.
38. There is no corresponding comment in Schol.

 роцє $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. . .
40. This line is obscure; $\sigma \iota \kappa v \omega \iota$ indicates that the reference is to verse 520 , and we therefore restore $\left.\sigma \iota \kappa(v o \nu) \delta_{0}\right\}_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}$, though it is noticeable that there is no stop after $\delta_{0} \delta_{\ell \nu \nu}$; cf.,
 Tıt $\omega$ vóv occurs in Acharn. 688.



The note here on $\pi$ opva $\delta v o$ apparently had no relation to Schol. $\pi$ ópva is the accepted reading; $\pi \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$ as R and Athenaeus.
42. $\pi a$ ]poiva: Schol. have no explanation of the term $\sigma \kappa$ ódıov in the present passage,

 $\nu \eta \sigma o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \dot{u ̛ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ t \eta ~} \pi \rho$ òs $\uparrow \eta ̀ \nu ~ Ө \rho a ̆ k \eta \nu . ~$





48. This line appears to be part of a description of the quarrel between the two halves




49-52. Perhaps 1. $5^{1}$ or $1.5^{2}$ should be combined with 1 . 50 , but we have failed to make out any connexion. If 1.50 is rightly explained as a gloss on 568 филе́тa it is not possible to put 1. 5 I higher up than 1. 49. There is no note on фuत'́ta in Schol.
53. The first letter may be $\lambda$ or $\chi$ instead of $k$; the letter above the line seems to be $\lambda$ or $\chi$.


 is où $\sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \dot{\alpha} \hat{\zeta} \omega \nu \nu \pi \epsilon \grave{\imath}$ à $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$.

57-8. Schol. are similar, the glosses being, on $\sigma \tau \rho a t \omega ́ \nu i o ̄ n s, ~ a ̀ \nu \tau i ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ a \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s, ~$



 ดैขт $\omega$.




61-4. In Schol. ó Kotớpas is similarly interpreted as Megacles, but here the resemblance ceases. What follows apparently corresponds to the explanation of the allusion to $\dot{\delta}$ Kotripas

кai ^ápaxos quoted in the next note, but it is quite differently worded. In 1.62 the supposed $\delta$ of $\delta(\epsilon)$ may be meant for an $a$, but the abbreviation $a$ here would be more difficult to explain.




 aто $\delta$ ouvat. In $1.66 \tau$ of $\tau o$ is corrected.
68. The paragraphus above this line indicates a new lemma, and the stop after $\Lambda a \mu a \chi o s$ suggests (though it does not prove) that that name formed part of it; hence we refer the note to 619 . There is nothing corresponding in Schol.



 rive $\ell$ at $\beta \epsilon \lambda$ tious. In 1.72 the first $v$ of $\epsilon a v \tau o v$ is written as a curved stroke above $a$, as if the word was to be abbreviated, and there has been some correction of the $\tau$; possibly єav( $\operatorname{tou}$ ) $\operatorname{\tau ov}$ [ should be read.
73. $\delta \iota a \delta(\epsilon)$ к.т.ג. seems to have been tacked on to the previous note without a new
 agrees with R in reading rave: rove' $\mathrm{A}^{2}$.

 $\kappa[\omega \mu \omega \iota \delta \partial a s$ might be read after $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota$.

75-7. These lines seem to give a paraphrase of $656-8$; cf. Schol. 657 oüd' inoteivav:
 es фurá.




79. Perhaps after $\theta a \sigma a y$ a high point was written which has coalesced with the cross-bar of the following $\tau$.

## 857. Epitome of Herodotus.

$$
10.7 \times 7.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
$$

The lower portion of a leaf from a vellum codex, containing in all 28 lines, most of which are incomplete, from a historical work. The script is a mediumsized upright uncial of the biblical type, and probably of the fourth century, without lection-marks. The MS. is far from accurate, serious mistakes (probably due to omissions) occurring in 11. 3 and 17. The verso is concerned with the dispatch of Cadmus the Coan by Gelon to watch the struggle between Xerxes and the Greeks, and is nothing but an abstract of Herodotus vii. 163. The subject of the recto, the refusal of the Argives to join in the defence of Greece,
corresponds to that of $\mathrm{cc} . \mathrm{I}_{4} 8-52$ of the same book, though the verbal resemblance to Herodotus is here less marked. In the absence of external evidence to show which side of the leaf came first, we suppose that the order of the narrative in our fragment agreed with Herodotus, and therefore the recto precedes the rerso. A mention in 1. 2 of the battle of Thermopylae, which is not described by Herodotus until cc. 201 sqq., causes some difficulty (cf. 11. I-4, note), but it is clear that this is a forward reference and not part of our author's description of the engagement. The chapters intervening between 152 and 163 are occupied by; first, a digression on Gelon, and secondly his colloquy with the ambassadors who came to ask for help. and the lacuna between the end of the recto and the beginning of the verso no doubt contained a briei account of the unsuccessful embassy ; cf. note on 11. I 5 sqq. Probably our fragment belongs to an epitome of Herodotus as such, rather than to a historical work closely based upon him. This being granted, the first name that suggests itself for the authorship is Theopompus, who began his historical researches by writing an epitome of Herodotus of which only a few isolated words survive. The fragment is too short to enable us to obtain much idea of the writer's style, but the occurrence of at least two examples of hiatus (11. 20 and $2 I-2$ ), which is very rare in the extant quotations from Theopompus, does not favour the view that he was the author, though his earliest literary efforts may hare shown less care in this respect.

The fragment is in two pieces which do not actually join, but the position of the smaller one, which contains the last line of each page and parts of the two preceding ones, is made practically certain by the combination xpr $\mu$ a a a in 1. 27, that word being required by the context ; cf. note on 11 . I 5 sqq.


```
\Pi[\epsilon\rho\sigma\alphas \sigmav\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilont?
a[\nu..]. [..........
ov[.]!\pi\rho[. . . . . . . . 
\eta\mu\epsilon\rhoas v\pi\epsilon\epsilon\rho!\delta[. .
```

```
25 тo[. . . . . . . . . . .] . \(\omega[\).
```

25 тo[. . . . . . . . . . .] . $\omega[$.
[. . . . . . . . ]. $\beta$. $[\alpha \rho] \beta \alpha \rho[$.
[. . . . . . . . ]. $\beta$. $[\alpha \rho] \beta \alpha \rho[$.
[. . . . .]. $\alpha \times \rho \eta[\mu] \alpha \tau \alpha[$.
[. . . . .]. $\alpha \times \rho \eta[\mu] \alpha \tau \alpha[$.
[. .] кає $\gamma \eta \nu$ кає $v \delta \omega \rho$

```
[. .] кає \(\gamma \eta \nu\) кає \(v \delta \omega \rho\)
```

'. . . attacked Thermopylae, the (Lacedaemonians) fought to the number of three hundred, except the Argives. These remaining at home provided neither men nor ships, and allied themselves with neither side on account of their pretended relationship to the Persians (?) . . . (The ambassadors) . . . departed. Gelon, taking precautions that if the Greeks were defeated he should himself suffer no harm at the hands of the barbarians, sent Cadmus, son of Scythes, a man of Cos, in command of three fifty-oared vessels to Delphi (with instructions to offer to the barbarians, if victorious), money, earth, and water . . .'

1-4. $\pi \rho o] \sigma \epsilon \beta a a^{\prime} \lambda$ may be imperfect or aorist. The subject is in any case the Persians or Xerxes, but the construction of $11.1-4$ is obscure. After ot in 1.3 a word has dropped
 homoioteleuton, but then $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ A $\rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ must be connected, not with the words immediately preceding, but with something lost before 1. I. 〈E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma\rangle$ or $\langle\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma \omega o\rangle$ would suit $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ A $\rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ very well, but involve a difficulty with regard to the figure, since 300 applies to the Lacedaemonian contingent. The reference to the battle of Thermopylae is in any case somewhat remarkable, since Herodotus first mentions that place in c. 175 and describes the battle in cc. 201 sqq., whereas our fragment corresponds to cc. 148-63; cf. introd.
5. The neutrality of Argos is discussed in detail by Herodotus, who opposes the Argive version of their action (cc. 148-9) to that current elsewhere (cc. $150-1$ ) and then gives his own intentionally confused view (c. 152 ). If our restoration of $11.9-12$ is on the right lines, the epitomizer explained the action of the Argives in the light of c. 150 (the letter of Xerxes claiming relationship between the Persians and Argives), thus interpreting correctly the real opinion of Herodotus, who no doubt believed in the medism of the Argives, though unwilling to accuse them openly.
14. $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a s v \pi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \delta[(-\epsilon$ or $-\boldsymbol{~} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ?) : the subject here seems to have changed, and we have been unable to recover the connexion with Herodotus.









17-9. The construction in $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ тov $\mu \eta \ldots a \pi v \chi \eta \sigma \eta$ has become confused. Either $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ тov must be omitted or $a \tau v \chi \eta \sigma \eta$ altered to $a \tau v \chi \eta \sigma a t$ or, what is perhaps more likely, a word like $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o s$ is to be supplied after $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau o v$.
22. $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ : the vestige of the letter after the lacuna is extremely slight, but there is not room for $\mu \epsilon \tau]$. For $\epsilon \pi i$ with the dative in connexion with $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ cf. Thuc. vi. $29 \pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$

 certain that any letter is lost at the end of $1.24 ; \pi o \lambda \mid \lambda o[v$ is unsatisfactory.
28. Perhaps $[\tau \epsilon]$ кat. $\chi \rho \eta[\mu] a \tau a[$ may end 1.27 ; cf. Hdt. l.c.

## 858. Oration against Demosthenes.

Fr. (b) $18 \times 7.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second or early third century.
Two fragments of an oration attacking Demosthenes, written on the verso of a second-century cursive document of which only a few letters from the ends and beginnings of lines are preserved. The exact position of Fr. (a), containing parts of six lines from the top of a column, in relation to Fr. (b) is not certain, but that the two fragments belong to the same column is most likely. If so Fr. (a) must on account of the recto be placed above the right side of Fr. (b) and comes from near the ends of the lines, but there is nothing to indicate how near 1. I of Fr . (b) is to the top of the column. The script of the oration is a sloping uncial bearing a strong resemblance to the hand of 853 , with which it may be regarded as contemporary: The ends of lines are lost throughout, and the margin is also broken at the beginnings, being only visible at 1.29 , where rat seems to be the beginning of a line, though even that is not quite certain; in 11. 26-36 however, where the restorations hardly admit of doubt, it is clear that the interval between the end of one line and the beginning of the next does not exceed four or five letters. No lection-marks occur except a doubtful accent in l. 4, but there are several corrections (some due to the original scribe, others in a second hand), the text being very faulty.

Where the fragment first becomes intelligible at l. 13, an unfavourable comparison is being instituted between Demosthenes and another orator, whose identity is uncertain, the point of the contrast being that Demosthenes had never himself taken part in active service. In 1.25 the subject changes, and the speaker criticizes Demosthenes for his behaviour when the news of the capture of Elatea reached Athens; this passage is clearly borrowed from the famous description of that crisis in De Cor. 169 sqq., several of the plurases which Demosthenes there used being here actually placed in his mouth (11. 25-9). The oration to which the fragment belonged therefore presupposes the existence of the De Corona which was composed after B. C. 330 ; but on the other laand the general situation implied by our author seems to be the period between the capture of Elatea in 339 and the battle of Chaeronea in September 338, for since Demosthenes took part in that engagement the reproaches addressed to him in $11.24-5$ and $29-30$ would be inapplicable at a later date. This inconsistency at once gives rise to the suspicion that our fragment belongs to a rhetorical exercise, not to a genuine oration whether of Demades or another philo-Macedonian orator, and several other considerations combine to leave no room for doubt as to the real character of the composition. The florid, jerky
style, the use of $\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \quad \rho \rho o s$, a term foreign to Attic oratory, the exaggerated description of Demosthenes in 1. I9 as holding a shield in one hand and a psephisma in the other, and still more the serious blunder with regard to Attic law which has crept into a passage (11. $34-5$ ) borrowed from the De Corona, are all quite incompatible with a contemporary of Demosthenes, and indicate that the oration is, like 216, a work of the Alexandrian school of rhetoric, and probably not earlier than the Christian era.

We are indebted to Prof. U. von Wilamowitz-Möllendorff for several suggestions in the restoration and interpretation of this fragment.

Fr. (b)
$[$
$[$
5
[. . . . . .]. [. .]. $\tau[$
[. . . . . .] . . [. . .] . [. .] . . $\varphi$ [
$[\ldots] \quad \eta \sigma[v] X![\alpha \nu] \quad \alpha \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \ldots[$
[. . . .] . . $\pi o \lambda[. ~.] . ~ o u \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \tau[]. ~ . ~[~[~$
${ }^{\lambda}$ [
ı [. . . .]є! $\sigma$ тор
[. . . .] $\omega \underset{\varphi}{v} \tau \rho \mu[\cdot]$. . [

$[\ldots \pi \alpha] \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon I \nu \quad \alpha \xi \iota \rho[\nu \quad \alpha] \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ ототє $\pi \alpha[\rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda о \iota$
[Tov]tous $\epsilon \iota s$ $\Theta \eta \beta[\alpha s] \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov tous $\mu \in \nu \alpha[\lambda \lambda$ ous

[. . .] $\alpha \nu \alpha \theta \epsilon i s ~ \tau o v \tau[..] . . \pi o \lambda_{!} \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \alpha$ o $\pi \lambda[\alpha$. .



20 [ $\epsilon \tau \omega]$ © $\Theta \mu[\imath] \sigma \tau о к \lambda \epsilon$ ous $\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma$ ороидтоs $\epsilon \mu[\beta \eta$

[ $\mu \alpha \iota] \alpha \kappa о \lambda о v \theta \eta \sigma \omega$ To $\lambda \mu \delta \eta \delta_{\iota} \alpha \Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda о \pi о \varphi[\nu \eta \sigma o v \in \iota$

$\omega_{\mathrm{Q}} \gamma \epsilon$ ov $\theta \omega \rho \alpha \xi$ ov $\delta$ opv ov $\xi \iota \phi$ os ou $\delta \epsilon$ то $\pi[\alpha \rho \alpha$ тои



```
    \([\alpha] \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa\) т \(\eta \mathrm{s}\) ayopas ol \(\tau \alpha \mathrm{s} \sigma \kappa[\eta \nu a s\)
```





```
    \(\mu a s \in \xi \in \phi \circ \beta \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu\) каl \(\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega[\nu\) o
```






```
                    tos ousevos
    \([\rho] u \kappa[0] s \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu\) voب̣ovs . \(\alpha \rho[. . .\).
            \(v\).[
```



```
    \([\tau l] \nu \alpha\) кає \(\pi \alpha \rho \eta \kappa о \lambda о \nu \theta \eta к о \tau \alpha\) то[!s \(\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota\)
                                    \({ }^{\omega \nu}\)
```





```
    [. . . . \(\}\)
    [. . . . ] Oopuß[o]uvios of
    [. . . . . . . . .] \(\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \alpha[\)
45 [. . . . . . . . \(] \in \odot[.] \delta \epsilon \cdot[\)
    [. . . . . . . . . . ] \(] \pi\) [
```

13-38. ' Yet when he exhorted them to come to Thebes, he did not dispatch the rest and himself remain at home, but . . . he was the first to go out to fight. Let the same man be both orator and general, and let Demosthenes harangue with a shield in his hands as well as a decree. If Themistocles is the orator I will embark; let Pericles lead an expedition to Samos and I will sail; I will follow Tolmides across the Peloponnese, if he marches through it ; but how can I listen to Demosthenes, who has no breastplate, no spear, no sword, not even one inherited from his father? "Elatea has been captured," he said, "the prytaneis have broken off their meal ; the owner of tents have left the marketplace ; some one is fetching the trumpeter." That was what we heard him say. Although Demosthenes had never yet heard the sound of a trumpet he was nevertheless terrifying you by these words and this description. The demos was seated on the hill, the boule had not yet deliberated about the crisis, and although the boule had not yet decided that Demosthenes
should speak, when the herald made the proclamation and no one came forward he nevertheless (in violation of?) the laws said: "Do you not think that a loyal and a careful follower of events (is needed)?"'

I3-4. The identity of this commander who marched to Thebes is obscure; there is no need for him to have been a contemporary of Demosthenes, for $11.20-3$ are quite general. Timotheus, as Wilamowitz remarks, would be a most suitable person to mention in this context, but he did not command at Thebes in B. c. 378 , though as he was strategus at the time he may have been credited with having done so by the author of this oration. $\theta$ of $\theta \eta \beta^{〔}[a s]$ has been corrected from $\beta$.
14. rov] ${ }^{\text {rous: }}$ : or perhaps [av]rovs, in which case $[\epsilon \pi] \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$ must be read in 1 . $\boldsymbol{I}_{5}$. The initial lacuna throughout $11.14-22$ would be expected to extend to three letters.

18-21. The restorations are chiefly due to Wilamowitz, who also suggested $\pi[\epsilon \epsilon \sigma о \mu и$ in 1.23 and $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ in 1.25 .

 points out, well be the source of the present passage. The statement is of course a rhetorical exaggeration.

24-5. тo $\pi[a \rho a$ tov] $\pi a \tau \rho o s: ~ D e m o s t h e n e s ' ~ f a t h e r ~ w a s ~ a ~ s w o r d-m a n u f a c t u r e r ; ~ c f . ~$ Dem. xxvii. 9.


 каì tòv балликті̀̀ ékর́̀ovv.



 $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̀̇eís.
33. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ is corrected from $\pi a \rho a$.
34. The dots above $\mu \in \nu$ indicate that the word was to be omitted ; cf. 1. 37. The implication that the speakers at the $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ were fixed by the $\beta$ ou入 $\dot{\eta}$ betrays ignorance of Attic law on the subject; cf. introd.
$3^{6}$. vopovs $\pi a \rho[a \beta a v \nu \omega \nu$, as Wilamowitz suggests, is the natural restoration, but there is hardly room for so broad a letter as $\pi$, and it is not even certain that any letter stood between vouovs and ap[.






 corrected, and what exactly was written is very uncertain.

## 859-864. Poetical Fragments.

The following six small pieces in verse, which do not seem to be extant, may be conveniently grouped together.

859 contains the latter parts of a few hexameter lines from the end of a column, written in bold and well-formed uncials of the sloping type common in the third century. Some variac lectiones and corrections have been inserted apparently by a second hand, to whom the occasional accents and breathings may also be due; a high point, placed slightly above the line, occurs once. There are mentions of Stymphelus and Talaus king of $\operatorname{Argos}$ (1. 2) ; and the very rare word ả̉aß $\beta$ wóns, otherwise known only from Hesychius, is found in 1. 5 . On the verso are some blots and flourishes.

860, consisting of three fragments from a column of lyrics, is more valuable. The good-sized, upright hand is evidently early in date and probably falls within the first century, or at any rate is not later than the beginning of the second. An insertion in 1.3 and a variant, enclosed as commonly within two dots, at 1.5 are due to the original scribe, who seems also to be responsible for the occasional accents and punctuation (a point in the middle position in 1. 7). The subject and authorship of the poem are alike obscure; the vocabulary is suggestive of Bacchylides: Fr. (a) 3 тaj入аки́ $\rho \delta \iota o s, ~ c f . ~ B a c c h . ~ 5 . ~ 157, ~ 15 . ~ 26 ~ \tau а \lambda а \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta ́ s ; ~ 5 ~ \epsilon ̀ ~ \rho \epsilon \mu-~$
 pound otherwise only found in Bacch. 7. 49 ; Fr. (b) $7 \mu \in \nu \in \pi] r o \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$ (?), cf. Bacch. 5. 126, 170, 16.73 . With regard to the position of the two main fragments, (b) is probably to be placed below ( $a$ ) so that the right edges of the papyrus make a more or less straight line, the extent of the gap between ( $a$ ) i 8 and ( $b$ ) i being uncertain. This arrangement is indicated by some strongly marked fibres on the verso, which is inscribed with part of an account of some kind, written towards the end of the second century.

861 is a narrow strip containing very scanty remains of two columns of iambics, the language pointing to tragedy rather than comedy. The squarely formed upright uncials belong to what is commonly called the biblical type, and may be assigned to the third century. A broad margin was left at the top of the columns.

862 and 863 are fragments of comedics. 862 belongs to a dialogue mentioning a person called Phidias, a name no doubt frequent in the later Attic comedy (cf. Antiphanes ap. Athon. ii. $3^{8} b$, Menander $\Delta_{\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta a i \mu \omega \nu ~ F r . ~ s) . ~ T h e ~}^{\text {) }}$ hand, which is probably of the third century, is a better and perhaps rather earlier example of the style exemplified by 861 . Change of speaker is denoted by the usual double dots. Two marks of clision are perhaps later additions.

863, written in well-formed sloping uncials of the third century, is in rather better preservation. The verses perhaps belong to a single speaker, who seems to be bewailing his misfortunes; but they are too broken for reconstruction. Two instances of the rough breathing and a high point at the end of 1.8 may well be by the original scribe.

864, containing the ends of lines from an entire column, comes apparently from an anthology. At the top are five hexameter lines, in which the dion 'AXato' figure, written in a semicursive hand ; the letters of the last three lines, which seem to have been put in at a different time, are markedly larger and coarser than those of the two preceding. Below, in a more regular and probably distinct hand, is a series of iambic verses in tragic style, written continuously like prose. The column is divided off into three paragraphs, of which the third is separated by a broad blank space from the second, while a rather narrower interval is left between the second and the hexameters. It is likely that the names of the authors stood in these spaces. The occurrence in 1.22 of the unattested word $\mu v \kappa \eta \delta o ́ v$, followed two lines later by $\theta \rho \eta \nu^{\circ} \varphi \delta \delta o ́ v$, is noticeable. The papyrus probably dates from the third century. In the transcription given below we have tentatively marked off by horizontal lines the successive verses; in the last paragraph the point of division is sometimes indicated by short intervals left between the words.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 859. } 5.5 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm} \text {. } \\
& \text { '].[.]. } \alpha \cdot[. .] \alpha \lambda \cdot[.] \cdot[
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Sigma_{\tau} \tau\right] \dot{\mu} \mu \phi \eta \lambda o v \text { a } \alpha \text { отро入. }[ \\
& \text { ] } \alpha \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cdot \pi \alpha \rho \circ \iota \theta \epsilon \delta \epsilon{ }^{\circ} \text { ó! . . [ } \\
& 5 \text { ] } \sigma \omega \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma s \in \nu \delta 0[ \\
& \mu \\
& ]^{\mu} \eta[[\rho] \tau \eta \rho \quad \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu o \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \circ[\lambda o v \sigma \alpha \\
& \text { ] } 7 \tau!\text { ! катаס } \rho \alpha \theta \text { ot } \omega s \text { то } \pi a[\rho o s \pi \in \rho \\
& \text { ] } \alpha!\sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in \tau \circ \text { єруov ov[ }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The form Tadâo is also found in a citation from Antimachus in Pausan. 8. 25.9 ;


3. Erim $\eta^{2}$ os was the name of several mythological personages, as well as of the city,
river, and mountain in Arcadia. The following word is perhaps $\dot{i} \pi \sigma \pi \rho o \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i \nu$ in some form ; but the vestige of the letter after $\lambda$ is too slight to give any indication.
 of $\dot{a} \lambda а \beta_{\prime}^{\prime}=$ ä $\partial$ ракєs.
4. $\pi є \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma к є о$ occurs in Iliad X 433.
5. Fr. (a) $9.2 \times 5 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}$.


Fr. (a) 3. The meaning of the insertion (probably by the first hand) is not evident. There are some traces of ink after $\epsilon \rho$, but whether another letter or letters followed is very doubtful.
6. No doubt $a] \leqslant \lambda \lambda a s$ or $\theta u] \epsilon \lambda \lambda a t s$, to which $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \mu \nu a \iota[s$ in 1.5 probably refers.

Fr. (b) 4. The first letter is more like $\rho$ than $\phi$.
861. $12.6 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.

| Col. i. | Col. ii. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ] $\mu$ [ | $\kappa$ [ |
| ] $\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{T}] \mathrm{\nu}$. [.] | $\omega[$ |
| $] \omega[$. | $\pi[$ |
| $] \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ | $20 . \theta[$ |
| $] \omega \gamma \in \mu \circ v$ | $\pi$ |
| ] $¢$ | ¢ |
| ] $\lambda 10 \nu$ | $\mu$ [ |
| ¢]votvx ${ }^{\omega}$ | $\sigma[$ |
| ] $\kappa \alpha \lambda \in \iota$ | ${ }_{2} 5 \beta[$ |
| 0 ]s $\epsilon \rho \omega$ | $\mu$ [ |
| ] $\sigma \pi$ отп $\nu$ | $\lambda[$ |
|  | $\alpha[$ |
| ] $0 \sim \alpha \downarrow$ | $\tau[$ |
| ]o¢ | $3012[$ |
|  | $\lambda \epsilon[$ |
| ]oф $\omega^{\prime} \omega$ |  |

4. $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a s$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ ̣$.

I I. $\delta \epsilon]_{\sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta \nu}$ or $]_{\varsigma} \pi o r^{\circ}{ }^{\eta} \nu$ 。
862. $13.2 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.

| ] . [. .] . . [.] | $\epsilon \lambda] \eta \lambda v \theta^{\prime} v[\sigma] \tau \epsilon[\rho \rho s$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ]. $\alpha[..] \epsilon \in \nu$ | ]. ooov $\in \pi!$ [ |
| ov]root | ] $\sigma[$ [. . $\tau$ ]ous $\theta \epsilon$ ous |
| $\pi] \times 1810 \mathrm{~V}$ | ]s: $\pi \underset{\sim}{\lambda}[\eta \nu] \alpha \nu \omega$ : |
| 5 ]. ous ovov | $15] \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$ ¢ $¢ \sigma \tau \iota \mu 0 \iota$ |
| ]movia toutove [ |  |
|  | $\tau] \eta \nu$ кор $\quad \nu \quad \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon[$ |

```
    ]oкos \epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\nu y o\mu\omega[s ] ouk. \alpha\xi[l]\omega[.]
    \tau]0 \pi\alpha\iota\delta\iotao\nu ] . . \sigma\epsilon\iota\sigma[. .]
```


7. The correction may be due to the first hand.

## 863. $6.8 \times 10.8 \mathrm{~cm}$.

```
            ] . [
    ]\delta\eta \muov [. . . . . .]\eta\lambdav\sigma[
    ]. jots \epsilon\nuO. . [. .]pols }0\inOt
    ]. K\omegas ovk \alpha\nu \epsilon\betat\omega\nu ov\delta \alphá\pi\alpha\alpha\xi
5 ]\eta \muo\iota \tau\etaS \piо\lambda\epsilon\omegaS \pi\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\tauо\nu mo\lambdav
    ]a\muol \deltala\phi0\epsilonl\rhoov\sigma\iota \nuvv
            ]\oint\rhoo\iota \tau\epsilon ка\iota \Pi\ар\iota\delta\epsilons \stackrel{ }{\circ}\muov
                ] }\tau\omega\nu\epsilon\nu0a\delta\epsilon
                ] \pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\pio\nu \pi\rhoo \tau[o]v
                ].\epsilonls \alpha\nu\alphaк\rho\iota\sigma\iota\nu [
                    ]. \omegas \mu\alpha Xov[\mu\epsilon
                    ]\tau\alpha![.]\epsilon\sigma\omega[
```

3. The doubtful o may be $\epsilon$ and the next letter had a long tail like $\rho$ or $v$ : ]. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ $\nu \epsilon p[\tau \epsilon]$ pors might read. But the supposed $\rho$ may also be $v$ or $\tau$.
4. Hápıés occurs in the sense of $\mu \circ \ell$ дoi in Anth. Pal. xi. 278 and Chariton 5. 2. Perhaps $\Lambda \lambda \epsilon \xi(u)\}_{\delta \rho o t}$ preceded.
5. $\epsilon \sigma \omega[$ : or $\theta \in \nu[$ or $\theta \epsilon \mu[$.
6. $15.8 \times 6.8 \mathrm{~cm}$.
] $\mu$ ovas $\epsilon \nu \quad \phi p[\epsilon] \sigma \iota \mu \nu$ Oovs
]€ фa!! $\quad$ ยтal єival aplatov
]. ọva $\delta \in$ © $\delta \iota o l$ AXaloı
]§ $\alpha \lambda \lambda o l \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ apl $(\sigma) \tau o l$
5
] $\phi \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \tau \iota \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \in S$
]
$\pi] \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \rho \in \iota \quad \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \mid \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \sigma \alpha$
```
]o\nu\tau\iota\omega\nu
\mu] <}о\nu o\\epsilonv\alphals | ко\pi\tauоv\sigma\alpha
10 ]us Xopous o\pirov 0\epsilonous \epsilon\delta\alpha\iota
]
]
]s
```

]s | коi入 $\alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \nu$ ] $\alpha \delta \epsilon s$ : $\mu v \kappa \eta \delta о \nu$ єкрото[ $\nu \nu$
 ]. $\omega \nu \mid \theta \rho \eta \nu \omega \delta o \nu$ [. . .
$\left.\left.2_{5}^{5} \quad\right] \leqslant \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \in 0 \nu \mid \phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\right\} \epsilon[\ldots$
o]us $\beta$ роит $\eta$ s ктитто[иs

1. $a$ of Juovas has been corrected from $\epsilon$.

2. The end of the verse may equally well be after omov. є $\delta a \iota$ suggests only $\epsilon \delta a \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ : є $\delta \epsilon \iota$ cannot be read.
3. If the text is right àeverat and $\epsilon \nu \theta a$ form a crasis. The epic word $\begin{gathered}\text { } \lambda \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta a t ~ i s ~ n o t ~\end{gathered}$ found in the tragedians, though $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \epsilon \\ \dot{v} \epsilon \ell \nu\end{gathered}$ occurs in lyric passages.
$2^{2}$. $\theta$ in $\theta_{p} \eta \nu \omega \delta o \nu$ is corrected apparently from $\chi$.

865-870. Prose Fragments.
Plate I (867).
The following six small prose fragments remain unidentified, and except in the case of $\mathbf{8 6 6}$ there is good reason for believing them to belong to works which are not extant. The first three seem to be historical, the fourth is perhaps from a commentary, the fifth is philosophical, and the sixth geographical.

865 consists of the beginnings of the last eight lines of a column, written in a medium-sized uncial hand of the third century. The fragment belongs to a description of a war in which Greeks were apparently fighting foreigners, and the leader of one of the armies was the illegitimate son of a person whose name probably ended in - $\epsilon v s$ (1.5), this general being subsequently recalled, perhaps in consequence of an oracle (11. 6-7). 'Yôpov̂s, presumably the town in Calabria, is mentioned in 1.3. A ppoúptov of that name occurred in Book xxxix of Theopompus' Philippica (Fr. 210), which was concerned with Sicilian history, though whether the фpoúpoov was identical with 'Yôpồs in Calabria is not certain. Possibly our fragment too belongs to a lost work dealing with Sicilian history. Apart from the Theopompus passage, there seems to be no mention of 'rôpous in Greek historians before the Roman period.

866 contains a few letters from the first seven lines of a column. The script is a neat uncial of a distinctly early type, and may be ascribed with confidence to the first century. A mention of the Carthaginians in 1.5 suggests that this fragment also is historical, but the context is quite uncertain.

867 (Plate I) has six nearly complete lines from the top of a column, in
a rather large and handsome square uncial, resembling the hand of 661 (Part IV, Plate V). That papyrus (late second century) provides an exceptionally early example of the type of hand to which the great Biblical codices belong. The present specimen is probably somewhat later than 661 , and is likely to have been written in the third century. Two kinds of stops (high and middle points) occur. An iota adscript has been inserted in one place by the original scribe. The fragment refers to the capture of Ephesus, and may belong to a historical work. For $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta \iota a \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with the dative, which occurs in 1.4, the only example quoted in the lexica is Diod. xx. 39 .

868 consists of parts of twelve lines, apparently from the top of a column, written on the verso, the recto being blank except in one corner where there are one or two broken letters. The script is a medium-sized rather irregular uncial, probably of the first century. The nature of the fragment is very obscure ; the second person singular occurs in 11.5 and 9 , but it is difficult to believe that the lines belong to a connected oration or dialogue, and we are disposed to regard the fragment as a piece of a commentary, the blank spaces after apıroots and aкoveıs in 11.6 and 9 in that case marking the division between the text and the scholia ; cf. 853. The rare word áпо́каи $\mu$ а (1.4) is not found in writers of the classical period.

869 contains the ends of twenty-two lines from the upper part of a column, written in a sloping uncial hand of probably the latter half of the third century. The subject is clearly of a philosophical character, and perhaps has reference to religion.

870 is part of a leaf from a papyrus codex containing a geographical work. The recto gives a list of tribes in Thrace, Macedonia, and Asia Minor, apparently in two columns, the successive names being numbered. Of the verso only a few letters from the ends of lines are preserved; the last seven lines also seem to be a list of names, but the upper portion of the page is different. Which side of the leaf came first is uncertain. The script is a good-sized oval uncial of the sixth or seventh century.
865. $8 \times 6.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.


```
\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\mu\pi\tauos \epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu[\epsilon\tauO
\tau\etaS к\alpha\tau\alpha \mu\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon\iota\alpha[\nu
]\rho\epsilon\nu \epsilon\ellS \pi! [
    ]\rho\in![
\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu \tau\omega\nu E\lambda\lambda\eta[\nu\omega\nu
```

865. 3. The supposed $\lambda$ after $k \in$ might be $\chi$.
1. $\omega$ s is probably the termination of the genitive of a proper name ending in - $\epsilon \boldsymbol{s}$. The


2. 2. $\pi v \theta o \mu \in[$ may be the end of a line.

1. I. ]. . $\eta$ : the first letter is probably $a, \delta, \kappa, \lambda$, or $\chi$, while the vestiges of the second suggest $\gamma, \eta, t, \pi$, or $\tau$. It is not certain that a letter is lost at the end of the line.
2. I. $] \epsilon \mu \epsilon[\nu]$ o is possible, though the $\nu$ would be rather cramped. But there may have been a blank space before o ; cf. ll. 6 and 9 .

3. 3. Some form of áфayvi\}єル is presumably to be restored, if the $\gamma$ is right ; but the vestiges after aфa may represent the angular mark for filling up a line.
1. Perhaps $\eta$ оик $\in \sigma \tau \tau$.
2. Possibly то $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma[\sigma$.
3. Or ]eva $\mu a \tau \eta \nu$.
4. $14.5 \times 5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Verso.
Col. i.

## Recto.

Col. ii.


[^1]54. Perluaps I'p[ukoi.

Plate V (871).
We have not been able to identify the two following fragments in Latin, and print them here in the hope that some of our readers may be more successful.

871, a papyrus, has a considerable palaeographical interest, since part of a document in Greek cursive on the verso, which is most probably of the fifth century, provides a fairly secure terminus ante quem. On the other hand it is unlikely that the writing on the recto was separated from that on the verso by a very wide interval of time, and consequently that the literary text is to be put earlier than the fourth century, while it may be as late as the commencement of the fifth. It is written in rather heavy rustic capitals, of a less formal and epigraphic type than e.g. those of the Palatine Virgil, though not dissimilar in formation. The tail of the $Q$ is a conspicuous feature ; $I$ is made rather tall in $q u i$ in 1. 5 and iis in 1. 6. Words are divided off by dots after the manner of inscriptions, as in the Herculaneum fragments on Actium and in 30, a manuscript which in Part I we perhaps dated rather too early. Somebody is addressed in the second person in 1.3, and the treatise seems to have been of a philosophical character, and not extant, if the references for the rather rare word astutio, which occurs in 1. 2, are complete in the new Latin Thesaurus.

872 is a small piece from a vellum leaf of a book, containing on one side the beginnings and on the other the ends of a few lines, written in good-sized and rather ornate uncials which may be referred to the sixth century. $S$ at the beginning of a line is made rather tall; the same letter is combined with a $U$ at the end of 1.6 in order to save space. Whether the fragment is to be classed as prose or verse is doubtful. The scanty remains, so far as they go, would suit hexameters, and the lines differ considerably in length, but that is not seldom the case in Latin prose MSS. It does not seem to be Virgil ; but no good word occurs to provide a clue.
871. $12.3 \times 12.9 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Plate V.

```
    inertia . m[agis . .] . it . quam virtutse .
    et • astuti[ae • mag]is • convenit • qua[m .
    sapientia[e \cdot me]mineris • autem de \cdot [
    iis • me • loq[ui no]n . qui . mumeros • a[.
5 tium . suo . [. . . . .cunt . sed • qui . inc[.
iis • partib[us • in \cdot] quibus • mullus • ne[ .
```



```
tius • quam[.
```

```
    id \(\cdot q u o d \cdot c \cdot[\)
เo [n'egante]
    [pe]rfora \([\)
```

1. The vestiges before it suggest $c, t$, or $s$; $x$ would probably also be suitable, but no example of that letter occurs in the papyrus.
2. The letter at the end of the line if not $a$ can only be $m$ or possibly $n$, and judging by the preceding and following lines, not more than one or two letters should follow. $a[r] t i u m$ is the obvious word, and this would involve suos, not suorum (the slight vestiges after suo would be consistent with either $r$ or $s$ ) in 1.5 ; but numeros artium suos, whatever the mutilated verb in Jcunt may be (discunt, dicunt ?), seems an awkward collocation. The use of the plural numeros is noticeable ; it should mean not 'numbers' but 'parts', 'members', or 'office', a sense in which the word is often accompanied by suus.

6-7. nullus ne minimits quidem: cf. e. g. Cicero, Tusc. 5. 6. 16 nulla ne minima quidem aura; but $n \in[c$ without quidem would also be possible. At the end of 1.7 liben $]$ tius suggests itself.
ir. [pe'rfora[: the final letter may be $m$ or $n$, but performare or performidolosus are improbable, and the absence of a stop between $r$ and $f$ makes per form [ inadmissible.
872. $5.9 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$.

5. Or possibly ]. eri, but the appearance of $i$ is probably due to the penetration of ink from the other side, the vellum being thin.
II. The letter after $s$ may also be $e$ or $o$.

## III. EXTANT CLASSICAL AUTHORS

873. Hesiod, Theogonia.
$5.9 \times 6.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Third century.

The beginnings and ends of a few lines from the Theogonia of Hesiod, preserved on a fragment of a leaf from a papyrus book. The character of the handwriting, a rather small and informal round uncial, points to a date not very late in the third century, in which the codex form is somewhat uncommon except for theological works. A mark of elision is used in 1.999, and in one or two other places a similar sign may have been obliterated, the surface of the papyrus being damaged. The columns of writing were remarkably tall, there being an interval of 63 lines between the corresponding points of the recto and verso. The text agrees, so far as it goes, with that of Rzach.

Verso.

$T \rho[l] \tau \omega \nu \in[\nu \rho \nu \beta ı \eta S \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \tau о \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha S$ os $\tau \epsilon \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta S$ $\pi v \theta \mu \epsilon \nu$ [ $\epsilon \chi \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \quad \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota$ ф $\quad \lambda \eta$ ка८ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \iota$
 $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu о \tau о \rho \omega K \nu \theta \epsilon\left[\rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \Phi_{0 \beta o \nu} \kappa \alpha \iota \Delta \epsilon \iota \mu о \nu \quad \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \tau \epsilon\right.$



Z $\eta \nu \iota \delta$ а $\rho$.
$\kappa[\eta \rho] u \kappa \quad \alpha \theta[\alpha] \nu \alpha \tau[\omega \nu$ ८єpov $\lambda \epsilon \chi \circ S$ єו $\sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha$

Recto.
$[\eta \gamma \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho$ Aı $\eta \tau \epsilon \omega \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha s$ $\sigma \tau 0 \nu 0 \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha s \quad \alpha \epsilon] \theta \lambda_{\text {ous }}$ 995 [rous mod入ous $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha s$ ß $\alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v$ ]s $v \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \nu \omega \rho$

997. єs $\mathrm{I} \omega \lambda_{\kappa o \nu}$ : we print the reading of the MSS. 'I $a \omega \lambda \kappa{ }^{\prime} \nu$ Rzach. 1004. $\delta a a$ : or $\delta \epsilon_{[ }^{\prime} l^{i} a$.

## 874. ApOlloniUs Rhodius, Argonautica III.

$$
6 \times 4.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early third century }
$$

Oxyrhynchus papyri of Apollonius Rhodius have been remarkably productive of valuable readings (cf. 690-1), and it is to be regretted that the remains of the present MS. are not more extensive, since judging by the small fragment which survives it would have been of much importance for critical purposes. Only the ends of nine lines from the bottom of a column are preserved ; but in this narrow compass occurs an apparent confirmation of a generally accepted emendation of Brunck ( 1.263 ), besides marginal references to unknown variants in two other lines. The text is written in a small sloping hand on the verso of a second-century list of persons, and probably dates from the end of that century or the earlier part of the third. There is one instance of an acute accent which may be by the original scribe, but no clear case of punctuation (cf. 1. 268 , note). Our references to the MSS. L(aurentianus) and G(uelferbytanus) are derived from Merkel's edition.


```
[\pi\alpha\tau\rhoos o \mu\epsilon\nu 0\nu\eta\sigmaK\omega]}\nu\quad\sigma\tauv\gamma\epsilon\rho\alphas \epsilon\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\tau \alpha\nu[la
265 [\eta\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho\eta к\rhoа\delta\iota\eta \tau\iota] \delta\epsiloń к\epsilon\nu \piо\lambda\iota\nu O\rhoХо\mu\epsilon\nuо\iota[0
[o\sigma\tau\iotas o\delta O\rho\chiо\mu\epsilon\nuOS к]\tau\epsilon\alpha\nu\omega\nu A0\alpha\mu\alpha\nu\tauоS \epsilonк\eta\tau\iota
[\mu\eta\tau\epsilonр є\eta\nu а\chi\epsilonо\nu\sigma\alpha\nu \alpha]\piо\pi\rhoо\lambda\imath\piо\nu\tau\epsilonS \iotaкоו\sigma0\epsilon
[\omegas \epsilonфа\tau A\imath\eta\tau\etas \delta\epsilon \pi\alpha]\nuv\sigma\tau\alpha\tauоs \omegaрто 0v\rho\alphaऽ\epsilon
```

```
        874. APOLLONIUS RHODIUS, ARGONAUTICA III
    [\epsilonк \delta \alphav\tau\eta E\iota\deltav\iota\alpha \delta\alpha\mu\alpha\rho к]\iota\epsilon\nu A\iota\eta\tau\alphaо
270 [X\alpha\lambdaк\iotaо\pi\etaS \alpha\iotaov\sigma\alpha \tau0] \delta \alphav\tau\iotaк\alpha \pi\alpha\nu о\mu\alpha\deltaоוo \pi\eta\nu \mu[
[\epsilon\rhoкоS \epsilon\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\lambda\eta0\epsilon\iota \tauol \mu\epsilon\nu] \mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\nu \alpha\mu\phi\epsilon\pi\epsilon\nuо\nu\tauо .[
```



G. The reading in the papyrus is unfortunately not certain, but at any rate does not agree with that of LG, while on the other hand the broken letters are quite consistent with Brunck's conjecture.

265. кєข $\pi о \lambda \iota v$ : so L ; кє $\pi \tau о \grave{\lambda} \iota v$ G.
268. At some little distance from the end of the line there is an ink-spot which perhaps represents a stop (in the middle position).
269. This line is rewritten at the bottom of the column with a note concerning an alternative version found in some MSS. Whether the ordinary reading of the verse stood in the text is of course uncertain. No variant is cited by editors beyond the trivial iovia ( L ) for Eiovia. The abbreviation of oũ $(\tau \omega s)$ is written in the usual way with a semicircle above o, and cannot be naturally interpreted as the negative ov ; moreover the omission of l. 269 would necessitate the alteration of the feminine participle and the following to $\delta^{\prime}$ in 1.270 . There was indeed a considerable variation in that verse (cf. the next note); but there is no need to suppose that it affected the general construction of the passage. The letters preceding $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau a r$ in the second line of the adscript are very doubtful; before the papyrus breaks off after фefetal, there is a short blank space, but not enough to show that the note ended here.

270 . $\pi \eta \nu \mu[$ in the margin at the end of the line seems to be a variant on ( $\mathrm{X} a \lambda \kappa$ кó) $\pi \eta \mathrm{s}$ aiovoa, but no other reading is attested here. The letter after $\pi \eta \nu$ is almost certainly $\mu$, not $a$; it is unlikely that another letter has disappeared in the space between $\nu$ and $\mu$.
271. $\boldsymbol{\mu} \phi \phi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \nu \tau o$ : so LG; ${ }^{\mu} \mu \phi \iota \pi$. Brunck with four late Paris MISS. On the extreme edge of the papyrus opposite this line are signs of ink which would suit e.g. $\tau$ or $\phi$ : but they may be accidental.
875. Sophocles, Antigonc.

$$
5.5 \times 5.7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Early second century }
$$

A fragment from the top of a column, inscribed with the ends of five lines from the Antigone. The hand is a good-sized uncial, round and upright, but not calligraphic ; it probably dates from the first half of the second century. A different writer seems to have made at least one alteration (1.243), but the mark of elision in l. 244 is apparently original. The antiquity of L's $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \omega \nu$ in 1. 242, where the variant $\sigma \eta \mu a v \omega \nu$ is commonly preferred, is the one small item of any value to be gleaned from the text.
242. $\sigma \eta \mu a \nu \nu \omega \nu$ : so LA ; $\sigma \eta \mu a \nu \overline{\omega ิ}$ Ven. 472 and several other late MSS., and this was apparently also the reading of Didymus; cf. Schol. Ajax 1225.
243. The correction of the graphical error ox ${ }^{2}$ ov seems to be due to a diorthotes; whether he or the original scribe was responsible for the alteration of the preceding $\kappa$ to $\sigma$ is more doubtful. The method of the change is different, the $\kappa$ being crossed through, while the $\chi^{\lambda}$ are cancelled by dots placed above them. Presumably $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa$ was first written.
$244 \pi$ of $a \pi a \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \epsilon i s$ has been converted from a $\gamma$.
876. Euripides, Hecuba.

$$
2.9 \times 8.4 . \quad \text { Fifth century }
$$

A small fragment of a leaf from a papyrus book containing the Hecuba of Euripides. The somewhat negligent uncial writing, which is upright and of good size, seems to belong to the earlier Byzantine period, and may date from the fifth century; the ink is of the common brown colour. Marks of elision were used, but no accent occurs. The paragraphus after l. $73^{8}$ and elision mark in 1.740 are in blacker ink and seem to be due to a corrector, who is perhaps responsible also for $\epsilon \mu \omega \nu$ in 1.703 . A variant found in Parisinus 2713 (thirteenth century) alone of the better MSS. appears in 1. 740.

Verso.

```
700 [\epsilon\nu \psi\alpha\mu\alpha0\omega \lambda\epsilon\nu\rho\alpha ]
    [\pio\nu\tauov \nul\nu €\xi\eta\nu\epsilon\epsilonк]\epsilon \pi\epsilon\lambdaa\gammalos к\lambda\nu\delta\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{-}
    [\omega\muo\iota \alpha\iota\alpha\iota ]
    [\epsilon\mua0ov \epsilonvv\pi\nulo\nu o]\mu\mua\tau\omega\nu \epsilon\mu\omega\nu [
```

Recto.

$$
E[\kappa \alpha \beta \eta \tau l] \delta[\rho \alpha \sigma \omega \text { тотєра } \pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \text { yovv }
$$

```
    A\gamma\alpha\mu\epsilon\mu\nu\mp@code{vos \tauov\delta' \eta [фє\rho\omega \sigma\iota\gamma\eta как\alpha}
    \tau\iota \muо\iota \pi\rhoо\sigma\omega\pi\omega\omega \nu\omega[\tauо\nu є\gammaк\lambda\iota\nu\alpha\sigma\alpha \sigmaov
740 [\deltav\rho\eta] то к\rho\alpha0\epsilon\nu \delta' ov \lambda\epsilon\gamma[\epsilon\iotas \tauוS \epsilon\sigma0 o\delta\epsilon
```

703. The space suits $\epsilon v v \pi \nu$ ov (MSS.) better than $\epsilon \nu v \pi v o \nu$ (Murray with Hermann). The division of the verse at ata is also found in A.
704. A dot above the line between $\omega$ and $\nu$ is apparently meaningless.
705. кpatev: so the first hand in Cod. Par. 2713 , the reading having been subsequently altered to $\pi \rho a \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu$, as in other MSS., by correctors. крa $\theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ of course gives no sense, and

706. Euripides, Hecuba.

$$
\text { Fr. (a) } 1 \mathrm{I} .8 \times 4.3 \mathrm{~cm} \text {. Third century. }
$$

These two fragments from the upper part of a column also come from a copy of the Hecuba. The text, which is on the verso of the papyrus, the recto being blank, is in a slightly sloping uncial hand of the oval type, and was probably written in the third century. No lectional sign occurs other than the paragraphus. A variant at 1.1272 is of some small interest.



${ }^{1255}$ ol $\mu 0[\iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta$ о $\mu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha S$
$\alpha \lambda \gamma \epsilon i[s \quad \tau \iota \delta \quad \eta \mu \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta o s$ ovk $\alpha \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ סокєוs
$\bar{\chi} \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon[\iota s \quad v \beta \rho \iota \zeta 0 v \sigma \quad \epsilon \iota S \epsilon \mu \omega \pi \alpha \nu 0 v \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma v$
ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \mu[\epsilon$ Х $\alpha เ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta$ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$
$\alpha \bar{\alpha} \lambda$ ov $\tau[\alpha \chi \eta \nu \iota k$ a $\sigma \epsilon$ тоvtıa votls
$1260 \overline{\mu \omega \nu} \nu[\alpha \nu \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \quad \gamma \eta s$ opous E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i \delta o s$
$\overline{\kappa \rho[v]} \psi \eta[\mu \epsilon \nu$ ouv $\pi \epsilon \sigma o v \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota \omega \nu$
троs $\tau[0 v \beta \iota \alpha \iota \omega \nu \tau v \gamma X \alpha \nu 0 v \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$
$\alpha \nu \tau \eta \pi[\rho o s ~ \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ v \alpha o s ~ \alpha \mu \beta \eta \sigma \eta ~ \pi o \delta \iota ~$

1265 кv $\omega \nu$ [ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \eta \pi \nu \rho \sigma$ єХоvба $\delta \epsilon р \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$
$\overline{\pi \omega} s$ [ $\delta$ oו $\sigma \theta \alpha$ $\mu о \rho \phi \eta s ~ \tau \eta S$ є $\mu \eta S$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$
o- $\Theta \rho \eta \xi[l$ $\mu \alpha \nu \tau i s ~ \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon ~ \Delta l o \nu v \sigma o s ~ \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon ~$

```
\sigmaol \delta o[vк \epsilon\chi\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu ov\delta\epsilon\nu \omega\nu \epsilon\chi\epsilonlS к\alphaк\omega\nu
```

ov $\gamma \alpha[\rho \pi$ тот $\alpha \nu \sigma u \mu \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon S ~ \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma v \nu \delta o \lambda \omega$
1271 [ $\theta \alpha \nu 0 v] \sigma \alpha ~ \tau v \mu \beta[\omega \delta ~ o \nu 0] \mu \alpha \quad \sigma \omega\left[\begin{array}{lll} & \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\end{array}\right.$
[ $\mu \circ \rho \phi \eta] s \in \pi \omega \delta \circ \nu \quad \mu[\eta] \tau \iota \tau \eta S \quad \epsilon \mu[\eta S$ є $\epsilon \epsilon \iota S$
[кv $\nu 0 s] \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \eta s \quad \sigma \eta \mu \alpha$ $\nu \alpha v \tau[i \lambda o l s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \alpha \rho$
$[$ [ov $\delta \in \nu \mu] \in \lambda \in \iota \quad \mu о \iota$ бov $\gamma \in \mu о \iota$ סоv[тos $\delta \iota к \eta \nu$
$1275 \overline{[\kappa \alpha \iota} \sigma \eta \nu] \gamma \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha K \alpha \sigma \alpha[\nu \delta \rho \alpha \nu \quad \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$
$[\bar{\alpha} \pi] \epsilon \pi \tau v \sigma \quad \alpha v \tau \omega \iota[\sigma 0 \iota] \quad \delta, \delta \omega \mu \quad \epsilon[\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$

$[\overline{[\mu} \pi \omega] \mu \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \eta$ Tv[ $\nu] \delta \alpha \rho \iota[s$ $\tau \sigma \sigma o \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota S$


1256. ti $\delta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon$ MSS., corr. Bothe.
1272. The vestiges after $\epsilon \pi \omega \delta o \nu$ are inconsistent with $\eta$ and suit $\mu$, and there is space for another letter between this and $\left.\tau \iota . \mu^{[ } \dot{\eta}\right] \quad \tau \iota$ gives a sense, but would be a doubtful improvement on the MSS. reading $\hat{\eta} \tau i . \quad$ Nauck proposed $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o ́ v \tau$.
 The line may have been completed by e.g. táof, but a graphical error is more likely.
1279. $\gamma \epsilon$ : so L ; but the vestige of the first letter is too slight to be decisive against the variants $\delta \epsilon$ and $\sigma \epsilon$.

## 878. Thucydides II.

$$
27.4 \times 16.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late first century }
$$

These remains of three consecutive columns, containing portions of chapters $22-4$ of the second book of Thucydides, were found not at Oxyrhynchus itself but in a small very shallow mound lying about a mile beyond the site to the north, where some experimental work (without other result) was done one day in January, 1906. The text is written in a round ornamental hand which we should refer to the latter part of the first century. Upright strokes are commonly finished off with apices, $A$ is of the capital shape, M shallow-topped, I of the archaic form. No breathings, accents, or stops occur ; a short blank space marks a pause in 1.23 , paragraphi are sometimes employed, and the ordinary angular sign (cf. e. g. 853), which is here usually accompanied by a dot above and below it, like a $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \pi \in \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon \cdot \eta$, is used to fill up short lines. But though early in
date the MS．is inferior in quality，having several erroneous readings；it is however of some interest on account of its support，in two doubtful passages，of the traditional text．Our collations in 878－880 are with the text of Hude．

Col．i．
$[\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \eta \quad \delta \epsilon \beta o \eta] \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$ avtך 22． 3 $[\tau \omega \nu \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \omega \nu] \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ то $\pi \alpha$ ［ $\lambda \alpha \iota \circ \nu \quad \xi v \mu \mu \alpha \chi \iota \kappa] o \nu \quad \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \in \tau 0$

5 ［то тар avtous $\Lambda \alpha \rho]$ l $\sigma \sigma \alpha$ וol $\Phi \alpha \rho$ ［ $\sigma \alpha \lambda \iota \circ \iota$ П $\alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \circ \iota]$ K $\rho \alpha \nu \nu \omega>$


## Col．ii．

${ }^{15} \alpha \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha$ Boı $\omega \tau \omega \nu$ oux $\eta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o \nu \pi \alpha \rho \iota o \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \delta \in O \rho \omega \pi o \nu \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}$
Пєוрак $\nu \nu к \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \quad \eta \nu$ $\nu \epsilon \mu о \nu \tau \alpha \iota \Omega \rho \omega \pi о \iota$ A ® $^{\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota}$
$20 \omega \nu v \pi \eta[\lfloor\iota] \kappa \llbracket[\omega]] \rho \iota \epsilon \delta \eta \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \alpha \phi \iota$ ко $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota \delta \epsilon \in s$ Пєлото⿱亠䒑 $\eta$ $\sigma o \nu \delta_{\ell \in \lambda \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi 0>$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota s$ єкабто८ $\alpha \nu \alpha \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\tau \omega \nu \delta \alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ of $A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota o l \phi v$
${ }_{25} \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha s$ катєбт $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о$ ката $\gamma \eta \nu$ кає кат $\alpha$ $\theta \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu \omega \sigma$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \eta \quad \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau o s$ ［ $\tau 0 v] \pi 0 \lambda \in \mu o v \quad \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \xi \in l \nu$ к $\alpha l$ $[X \wedge \lambda \iota \alpha] \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha \pi \sigma \quad \tau \omega \nu \in \nu$ 30 ［ $\tau \eta \iota]$ акрото入єו $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$［ $\epsilon]$
 $[\eta \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu o \iota s \quad \chi] \omega \rho \iota\} \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha \iota$ ［ $\mu \eta \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda o v \nu] \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha \pi o ~ \tau \omega \nu$ $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu & \pi o \lambda \epsilon\end{array}\right] \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \eta \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \pi \iota S$

Col．iii．
23． 3

45 ムакшขıкךs［ $\alpha \pi о \beta \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\tau \omega \iota \quad \tau \in \ell \chi \in \iota \quad \pi \rho[0 \sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \lambda o \nu$ ov $\tau \iota \quad \alpha \sigma \theta \in \nu \in l \quad \kappa[\alpha \iota \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \nu$ ouk $\epsilon \nu 0 \nu \tau \omega \nu[\epsilon \tau \nu \chi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \quad 25.2$
24．I 50 pl tous $\chi \omega \rho o v[s$ toutous $B \rho \alpha$ $\sigma \iota \delta \alpha s$ o $T \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota \delta$ ios $\alpha \nu \eta \rho \Sigma^{\Sigma} \pi \alpha \rho$ $\tau \iota \alpha \tau \eta s$ ф $\rho o \nu \rho[\alpha \nu \in X \omega \nu$ ка८ $\alpha \iota$ $\sigma \theta 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \in \beta[0 \eta \theta \epsilon l$ rols $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \omega \iota \quad \chi \omega \rho[\iota] \omega \iota \quad \mu \in[\tau \alpha \quad \circ \pi \lambda \iota \tau \omega \nu$ 55 єкатоע $\delta_{-}[\iota \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \tau о$ $\tau \omega \nu$ A $\eta \eta \nu[\alpha \iota \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu$ $\epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \sigma\left[\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \quad \tau \eta \nu \quad \chi^{\omega}\right.$
 $[\mu \epsilon] \nu 0 \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma[\pi \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \in s \quad \tau \eta \nu M \epsilon$ $60[\theta \omega] \nu \eta \nu$ к［al o入ıyous $\tau \iota \nu \alpha s$ $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta \iota \quad \epsilon \kappa[\delta \rho \circ \mu \eta \iota \quad \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha S$

```
35 [ \(\epsilon \iota \pi \eta \iota \quad \eta \quad \epsilon \pi \iota \psi] \eta \phi \iota \sigma \eta \iota \kappa \iota \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu\)
    \([\tau \alpha\) Х \(\rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \quad \tau] \alpha v \tau \alpha \in S \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda о\) ть
    \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\eta \nu & \mu \eta \text { ol } \pi о \lambda \epsilon] \mu \iota o \iota ~ \nu \eta \iota \tau \eta \iota\end{array}\right.\)
    \([\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \omega \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega] \sigma \iota[\tau] \eta \iota \pi \circ\)
    \([\lambda \in \iota\) ка८ \(\delta \in \eta \iota \quad \alpha \mu v \nu \alpha \sigma] \theta \alpha \iota \quad \theta \alpha\)
\(40[\nu a \tau o \nu\) § \(\eta \mu \iota \alpha \nu \in \pi \epsilon \theta] \in \nu \tau 0\)
```

5. Aap]loraıol: so AB ; Aapıraiol H(ude) with FM.
6. The papyrus evidently agreed with the MSS. in inserting a name (חapáour ACEFM,

 as indicated by the new Thucydides commentary ; cf. 853. xiii. 20, note.
 Steph. Byz.

10-3. The remains of letters are scanty and the decipherment is doubful. To (?) in 1. 13 and apxoytes in I. I4 are on a detached fragment.
17. $1 . \Omega \rho \omega \pi o v$ : the initial letter is correctly written in 1.19.
18. Пєєраккข: so MSS.; Граїі́v Steph. Byz., H. The interlinear ı may have been inserted by the first hand. It is not clear whether the two dots merely enclose the added letter as is often the case, or represent a diaeresis ; the former alternative is more likely.
19. I. $\Omega \rho \omega \pi \iota \iota$.
20. The correction is perhajs by a diorthotes.

44. The paragraphus is misplaced ; perhaps the scribe took $a \lambda \lambda a$ for the conjunction.
61. $\epsilon \kappa\left[\delta \rho o \mu \eta \iota: \quad \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \delta \rho \rho \mu \hat{\eta}\right.$ MSS., more appropriately.
62. a[utov: so E, H. ; énurồ ABFM.


## 879. Thucydides III.

$$
12.1 \times 8.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
$$

Part of one column, with the beginnings of a few lines of the column adjoining, written in third-century sloping uncials of a common type. The portion preserved, from the fifty-eighth and fifty-ninth chapters of Thucydides, Book III, shows a correct text, supporting a traditional but suspected reading (l. 23). Two kinds of stop, the high and low (1. I3), are used, besides paragraphi ; these, like the interlinear insertions in 11.8 and 11 , may be by the original scribe.

Col. i.

```
[\rho\alphas \xiv\mu\mu\alpha\chi][0[l \delta\epsilon о\mu\alpha\iota 58.4
X\muols \piot[\epsilon] \gamma\in\nuo\mu\epsilon\nuOLS
```

```
    \omega\nu v\mu\epsilon\iota\S \tauo \epsilonva\nu\taulov
    \alpha\nu \delta\rho\alpha\sigma\alpha\iota\tau\epsilon \mu\eta op0\omegas
    5 \gamma\nuO\nu\tau\epsilonS. \sigmaK\epsilon\psiа\sigma0\epsilon \delta\epsilon.
        58.5
    \Piav\sigma\sigma\nulas }\mu\in\nu \gamma\alpha\rho \epsilon0
    \pi\tau\epsilon\nu avtovs \nuo\mu\iota}\omega\nu
    [\epsilon]\nu \gamma\eta\mp@subsup{\eta}{}{l}\tau\epsilon \phi\iota\lambda[l]\alpha\iota \tau\iota0\epsilon\nu\alphal.
    к\alpha\iota \pi\alpha\rho \alpha\nu\delta\rho\alpha\sigma\iota \tauolovтоls*
10 v\mu\epsilon\iotas \delta\epsilon \epsilon\iota кт\epsilon\nu\epsilon\iotaT\epsilon \eta
    \mu[\alpha]s к\alpha\iota [X]\omega\rho\alpha\nu \tau\eta\nu \Pi\alpha
    \tau\alphatio̊\alpha \Theta\eta\beta\alphaï%\alpha \piо\iota\eta\sigma\epsilon
    \tau\epsilon. \tau\iota \alpha\lambda\lambdao \eta \epsilon\nu \pi0\lambda\epsilon\mul\alpha\iota
    \tau\epsilon к\alpha\iota \pi\alpha\rho\alpha \tauols \alpha\nu}0\epsilon
15 \tau\alphals \pi\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\alphas \tauovs \ddot{v}\mu\epsilon
    \tau\epsilon\rhoovs к\alpha`l \xi{v]\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilonlS a
    \tau\iota\muovs \gamma\epsilon\rho\omega\nu \omega\nu \nuv\nu
    [\iota]\sigma\chiov\sigma\iota ка\tau\alpha\lambda\epsilon\iota\psi\epsilon\tau\epsilon* \pi\rhoos
    \delta\epsilon к\alphal \gamma\eta\nu \epsilon\nu \etal \eta\lambda\epsilonv
20 [0]\epsilon\rho\omega0\eta\sigma\alpha\nu ol E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\epsilons
    \deltaov\lambda\omega\sigma\epsilon\tau\epsilon ï ра \tau\epsilon }|\epsilon\omega
    [o\iotas] \epsilonv\xi\alpha\mu\epsilon\nuO\iota M\eta\delta\omega\nu
    [\epsilonк\rho]\alpha\tau\eta\sigma\alpha\nu
    [к\alpha\iota 0]v\sigma\iotaas [\tau\alpha]s [\pi]a\tau\rho\iotaous
25[\tau\omega\nu \epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\omega]\nu к\alpha\iota к\tau\iota
    [\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\omega\nu \alpha\phi\alpha\iota\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon\sigma]|\epsilon
    5. \delta\epsilon: so ABEFGM ; \tau\epsilon C, H(ude).
```



```
with an obelus.
```

880. Thucydides V.

$$
\text { Fr. (b) } 18.1 \times 13.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late second century. }
$$

The following nine fragments from the fifth book of Thucydides fall into two groups, which were discovered on different occasions and come from quite different parts of the MS. Frs. $(a)-(d)$, containing portions of chapters $32-4$ and 40 , were
found together, and the remainder, covering chapters $96-105$ and part of 111 , made their appearance some little distance away ten days afterwards. The rather broad columns are written in a clear and upright semicursive hand, dating apparently from the later decades of the second century. High stops and paragraphi are used, double dots as usual denoting a change of speaker in the report of the debate at Melos. There are two instances of the rough breathing ; a final $v$ is occasionally represented by a horizontal dash over the preceding vowel ; iota adscript and $\xi$ in $\xi v v$ are commonly but not consistently written. The text is not of a high class and shows several errors which are absent from the better mediaeval MSS.; it supports tradition in two passages where emendations are accepted by Hude, but confirms Krüger's conjecture rô каí for кaì rô in c. 97, which Hude does not adopt.

Fr. (a). .

5

> Tov] $\alpha \underset{[ }{[T o u s}$
> 32. I
> ] $\mu \in \nu$ A $\theta$ [ $\eta \nu a \iota o l$
> $\alpha \pi \epsilon \kappa] \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu$ [ yvv]a<kas [
> $\Pi \lambda \alpha \tau] \alpha \iota \epsilon \cup \sigma \iota \nu$ [ $\kappa \alpha] \tau \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ[\nu$
> ] $\tau \alpha[s \tau \epsilon$

Fr. (b). .
$[\alpha \delta v] \nu \alpha[\tau 0 \ell \delta$ o $\quad \nu \tau \epsilon S \delta \iota] a[\sigma \omega \sigma a l$ $\tau 0 \tau \epsilon] \in \nu[K \nu$
 10 $\alpha \pi \eta \lambda\left[\theta_{0}\right] \nu \quad \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha[\iota \mu] \rho[\nu l o l ~ \delta] \epsilon$ tous $\tau \in \Pi[\alpha \rho$

 34. I

$[\alpha] \pi \circ$ Өраıкทs $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ Bpaбا $\delta o v ~ \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta o \nu \tau \omega \nu$
${ }^{15}[\sigma \tau] \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \tau \omega \nu$ ous o $K \lambda \epsilon \alpha \rho i \delta \alpha[s] \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ $\tau \alpha[s \quad \sigma \pi o \nu$ $[\delta \alpha s \quad \epsilon \kappa] o \mu l \sigma \epsilon$ ol $\Lambda \alpha \kappa[\epsilon \delta \alpha l] \mu\left[0_{j}^{\top} \nu \iota o l \in \psi \eta[\phi \iota \sigma \alpha \nu\right.$ [то тous $\mu] \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ Bpar $\sigma \iota \delta o v]$ Eı $\lambda \omega \tau \alpha s \mu[\alpha \chi \in \sigma \alpha$
 $[\alpha \nu \beta o v \lambda] \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ка८ v $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho[0] \nu$ ov $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \alpha[v \tau o u s$ $20[\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau] \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \delta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \delta \omega \nu \in S \quad \Lambda \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \nu$ [катє
$[\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu] \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \in \nu 0 \nu \in \pi \iota \tau \eta \iota \Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \iota \kappa[\eta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\tau \eta \iota & H \lambda\end{array}\right] \epsilon \iota \alpha!$ ov $\tau \in s \quad \eta \delta \eta$ $\delta \iota \alpha \phi 0 \rho o \iota ~ H[\lambda] \epsilon \iota[0 \iota s$ tous
$[\delta \epsilon \kappa \quad \tau] \eta s \quad \nu \eta \sigma o u \quad \lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha[s \quad \sigma \phi \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \alpha$
$[0 \pi \lambda \alpha] \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta o \nu \tau \alpha s \quad \delta \in[\iota \sigma] \alpha \nu \tau \in S[\mu \eta \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \tau \eta \nu$
${ }^{2} 5[\xi \cup \mu \phi] \circ \rho \alpha \nu \nu \nu \mu[\iota \sigma] \alpha \nu[\tau] \in[s] \in \lambda \alpha[\sigma \sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\kappa \alpha \iota & o \nu\end{array}\right] \tau \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{lll}S & \epsilon \pi\end{array}\right] \iota \tau \iota[\mu \circ \iota] \nu[\epsilon \omega \tau] \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma[\omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \eta \delta \eta \quad \kappa \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \rho$

$[\mu \iota \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \tau о \iota \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon] \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \alpha[\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \iota$ [ $\alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ous $\tau \iota \eta \pi \omega \lambda o u] \nu \tau \alpha s$ к[uplous $\epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \iota$

Fr. (c).

बus $\tau[0 v \in \pi \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0 u \quad \theta \epsilon \rho o u s$ ol $A \rho \gamma \in \iota o l$ ws or $\tau \epsilon \pi[\rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota$ 㧒 $\tau \omega \nu$ Boi $\omega \tau \omega \nu$ ous $\epsilon \phi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \in \iota \nu$ ${ }^{c v \chi} \eta[\kappa о \nu$ то тє Паעактоע $\eta \iota \sigma \theta о \nu \tau о$ каӨаıрои $\mu \in \nu[0 \nu$ к $\alpha \iota ~ \xi v \mu \mu \alpha X \iota \alpha \nu$ เ $\delta \iota \alpha \nu \quad \gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in \nu \eta \nu$
35 tols [Bolwtols

Fr. (d) . .

| $\pi[$ | $40 \xi[$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\tau[$ | $\sigma[$ |
| $\theta[$ | $\gamma \alpha[$ |
| $\tau \odot[$ | $\pi[$ |

Frs. $(e),(f),(g)$.

45 [ $\tau \in S$ ol $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 \iota$ ка८ $\alpha] \pi 0 \sigma[\tau \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon S$ кєХ $\epsilon \iota \rho \omega \nu$ $\left[\begin{array}{lllll}\tau \alpha \iota & \epsilon & \tau о & \alpha v \tau 0 & \tau \iota] \theta[\epsilon] \alpha \sigma \iota[: ~ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \\ & \gamma \alpha \rho & \text { ov } \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon \quad 97\end{array}\right.$ [pous $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \iota]$ y $\eta \gamma 0 u \nu[\tau \alpha \iota$ кат $\alpha$ סuva $\mu \iota \nu$ סє tous

 $50[\sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \varsigma \quad \eta \mu \iota \nu \delta \iota \alpha$ то $\kappa \alpha] \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha[\phi \eta \nu \alpha \iota \quad \alpha] . \nu \pi[\alpha \rho \alpha$ $[\sigma \chi \circ \iota \tau \epsilon \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s \quad \tau \epsilon$ кає $\nu \eta] \sigma \iota \omega \tau \alpha \iota[\nu \alpha \nu \kappa \rho] \alpha \tau \circ \rho[\omega \nu$ $[\kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \quad \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ov $\tau \epsilon s \in \iota \mu \eta \pi] \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon$
$[\nu 0 \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon: \quad \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon เ \nu \omega \iota$ ov $\left.\nu 0 \mu \iota \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \quad \alpha \sigma] \phi \alpha^{\top} \lambda\right] \epsilon \iota$
$[\alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha v \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha v \theta \alpha \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad v \mu \epsilon \iota s \tau \omega \nu] \delta_{\iota}$
[ $\tau \epsilon \rho \omega \iota \xi v \mu \phi о \rho \omega \iota \quad v \pi \alpha к о v \epsilon \iota \nu] \pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \tau[\epsilon$ ка८ $\eta$
[каı $v \mu \iota \nu$ то $\alpha \cup \tau о ~ \xi v \mu \beta \alpha \iota \nu o \nu] ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \sigma[\theta \alpha \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \bar{\iota}$

Fr. ( $/ 2$ ).

103. 2 $[\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \sigma] \theta \epsilon \pi \alpha \theta[\epsilon \epsilon] \nu . \mu[\eta \delta \quad o] \mu \cdot 0[\omega \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \quad \tau o \iota s] \pi[0 \lambda$ [ $\lambda 0 \iota s$ o] $\iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho o \nu \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \iota \omega[S \quad \epsilon \tau \iota \sigma \omega]\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ $[\delta \alpha \nu] \pi t \epsilon$ Soupevous $\alpha v \tau 0[v S ~ \epsilon \pi i \lambda l] \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ $\alpha l ~ \phi \alpha$ $[\nu \epsilon] \rho[\alpha] \iota \quad \epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta \epsilon S$ є $\pi \iota$ tas $\alpha \phi a[\nu \epsilon \iota S$ к $\alpha] \in \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota$

[ $\tau] \in \lambda \pi \iota \delta \omega \nu \quad \lambda \nu \mu \alpha เ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota: \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o \nu} \mu \in \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \eta$
$\overline{\mu \epsilon \iota S} \epsilon v$ เбтє $\nu о \mu \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon \nu$ троs $\delta v \nu \alpha \mu \iota \nu \tau \epsilon$
$\tau \eta \nu \quad v \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \tau v \chi \eta \nu \in \iota \mu \eta$ ато $\tau 0 v$ ï $\sigma 0 v$


 [ $\delta \nu \nu \alpha] \mu[\epsilon \omega s] \tau \omega \quad \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \sigma \nu \tau \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad \Lambda \alpha к \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu \circ$ $[\nu]_{2}, \omega \nu \quad \eta \mu \iota \nu \quad \xi v \nu \mu \alpha \chi \iota \alpha \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma$

 $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota$ out $\omega s$ $\alpha \lambda o \gamma \omega s$ $\theta \rho \alpha[\sigma \nu \nu] o \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha[:]$ T $\eta S \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$ 105. I
 ${ }_{\rho} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \quad \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ov $\delta \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \alpha \rho \in \xi \omega \tau \eta S \quad \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \alpha S \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \in S$ то $\theta \epsilon \iota O \nu \nu 0 \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \omega S$ T $\omega \nu \delta \epsilon$
 $\sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \gamma о \cup \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ रap то $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \circ \nu$ סоछŋ८ то $\alpha \nu$ $\theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon เ \circ \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \alpha \phi \omega S$ $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 S ~ \alpha \pi \circ \quad \phi \nu \sigma[\epsilon \omega S]$ $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha l \alpha s$ ov $\alpha \nu$ крат $\eta \iota$ a $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каl $\eta \mu \epsilon \iota$ s ovтє $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тоע עо
$85 \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \ell$ ov $\tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu \tau \epsilon S$ к $\alpha \ell \in \sigma o \mu \in \nu \bar{o}$ $\epsilon s \quad \alpha \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon[s] \quad \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ $\alpha \cup \tau \omega \iota \epsilon \iota \delta O$



$90[\mu \epsilon] \theta \alpha \quad \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma[\omega] \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \tau[\eta S \quad \delta \epsilon \in S \quad \Lambda] \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu[0 \nu \iota$ [ous $\delta 0 \xi \eta s$ $\eta \nu$ $\delta \iota \alpha$ то $\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \rho 0 \nu \delta \eta$ ßоך] $\theta \eta \sigma[\epsilon \iota \nu$

Fr. (i).
$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon\left[X_{\epsilon}\right] \tau \epsilon \quad \epsilon \iota[\mu \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \quad$ III. 2
$\alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \iota[\tau] \omega \nu \delta \epsilon[\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$
ov $\gamma \alpha \rho \delta \eta \in \pi \iota \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta[\nu \in \nu$ тols alбXpois каl $\pi \rho 01$ III. 3
95 ттоıs $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu 0 \iota[s \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho o v \sigma \alpha \nu$
$[\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega] \pi[0] \cup s[\alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \eta \nu \quad \tau \rho \in \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o l s$
[ $\gamma \alpha \rho \pi \rho o o \rho] \omega[\mu \in \nu 0 \iota s$

1-2. The papyrus seems to have differed here from the ordinary text which would give 40 letters between the $s$ of $\tau 00]_{\mathrm{s}}$ in 1 . I and $\nu$ of $\mu \in \nu$ in 1.2 , whereas the usual length of a line is about $34-5$ letters. Perhaps toutov was omitted; that there was an agreement with Dion. Hal. De Thuc. Iud. 845. 12, who has $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\text { i }}$ סè toùs aủroùs $\chi$ póvous toútous 乏ıkvavious 'A $\theta$ invaiot, is less likely.


33. $\eta$ [кол: $\eta_{\text {коото }}$ or iккото MSS. The $\eta$ in the papyıus is clear, and the line is quite long enough without the superfluous ro.

Fr. (d). This small piece, containing the first letters of lines, we have failed to identify. Since it was found with Frs. (a)-(c) it would be expected to come from the neighbourhood of cc. 30-40.
49. тo]v к ${ }_{1} a \iota$ : so Krüger ; кaì тov̂ MSS., H.
$50-\mathrm{I}$. It is likely that the papyrus had vavкратópel rather than vavтoкрaró $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (B corr. M), but owing to the very doubtful identity of the two broken letters at the end of 1.50 the size of the lacuna between $\nu \eta] \sigma \omega \omega \tau a t$ and $]$ arop $[\omega \nu$ is uncertain.
 stood in the papyrus.

$\left.\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda_{l}\right] \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu(\mathrm{AB})$ suits the space better than $\left.\epsilon \pi i \lambda \epsilon l\right] \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ (CEFGM).
7 I . ov $\pi \rho 0$ : 1. $\pi$ poos ov with MSS.
72. The second $\epsilon$ of $\epsilon \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \pi \sigma \nu \tau}$ has been corrected probably from an .
75. aı $\quad \chi \nu \nu \eta \iota$ : кai ai $\sigma$. MSS. The loss of кat would be easy between кa and at.

82. ато: і̇ло́ MSS.
84. коtขшt: l. кєє $\mu \in \nu \omega t$ with MSS.
87. vuas: í fâs ằ MSS.
88. autv: so MSS.; тaủтó H., cf. Valla and Schol.
881. Plato, Euthydemus and Lysis.
$10.2 \times 6.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second or third century.
A small fragment containing on the recto part of a column, and a few letters from the ends of some lines of the column preceding, from Plato's Euthydemus. The text, which is written in a small neat uncial hand, round and upright, of about the end of the second century, shows one or two unimportant variants as compared with the three principal MSS., with none of which it agrees at all consistently. Stops (in the middle position), paragraphi, and double dots marking a change of speaker occur.

On the verso of this is a portion of a column from the Lysis, written in a small irregular uncial hand with some admixture of cursive, dating probably from the first half of the third century. Double dots accompanied by paragraphi mark, as usual, alternations in the dialogue ; there is also a doubtful instance of a high stop, and one accent. The surface of the papyrus has suffered considerably and decipherment is sometimes difficult. Considering the small size of the fragment variations from the ordinary text are surprisingly frequent; they do not seem to be very valuable, though in most cases they are not obviously wrong.

Recto.

Col. i.


## Col. ii.

$\lambda \eta \mu \mu[\epsilon \nu 0 s$ ovk $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad 302 \mathrm{~b}$ $\eta \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma[\omega \quad \omega \quad \Delta \iota \nu \omega \sigma \sigma \circ \delta \omega$
$\rho \epsilon: \tau \alpha[\lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho o s \quad \alpha \rho \alpha$
$\sigma \nu \gamma \in \tau[\iota s \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma=\leqslant l 302 \mathrm{C}$
${ }^{15}$ кає ov $\delta \in[A \theta \eta \nu \alpha l o s ~ \omega \iota$
$\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \quad \theta \in[0 \iota \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega l 0 \iota \in \iota \sigma \iota \nu$
$\mu \eta \theta \quad i \epsilon[\rho \alpha \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda o \quad \mu \eta$
$\delta \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda[o \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \quad a \gamma \alpha \theta \circ \nu: \epsilon \alpha$
$\overline{\eta \nu} \delta \epsilon \gamma \omega \omega$ ப $\iota \nu v \sigma \sigma \delta \omega$
20 рє. $\epsilon v \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$ ка८ $\mu\left[\eta \chi^{\alpha}\right.$
$\lambda \epsilon \pi \omega s \quad \mu \epsilon \pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma[\kappa \epsilon$

$\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \alpha \rho$ є $\mu о \iota \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \iota \quad \beta_{\llcorner } \omega$ $\mu o \iota$ кає $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \tau[\rho \omega \iota \alpha$<br>кає $\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ об $\alpha \pi \epsilon \rho$ [тоוs<br><br>тolouт $\omega \nu$ : $\in[\iota \tau \alpha$ Tols $\alpha \lambda$<br>त̄oıs $\epsilon \phi \eta$ A $\theta \eta \nu[\alpha \iota o \iota s$<br>$\frac{{ }_{o}[v \kappa}{o[s} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota Z \epsilon U S$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega \iota$

5. $\pi \rho о \beta a{ }^{\dagger} \tau a$ : cf. T, Ven. 189 and Par. 1808, where $\pi \rho \dot{\beta} \beta a \tau o \nu$ has an $a$ written above the final syllable; $\pi \rho o ́ \beta a q o \nu \mathrm{BW}$, Burnet.
6. $\sigma v \gamma \in \tau / s: \tau i s \sigma v \gamma \in$ Burn. with T, $\tau \in \sigma v \gamma \in \mathrm{~B}$.

22-3. $\beta \omega \mu$ оь кат: so TW, Burn.; om. B.


Verso.

|  | 10 $\epsilon \phi \eta \epsilon[l] s \delta_{1} \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha[\lambda 0] v$ : |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mu \omega \nu} \mu \eta$ ка८ ovtol $\sigma[0 \cup] \quad \alpha[\rho]$ |
| [ $\epsilon \phi \eta$ :] $\mu \omega \nu$ Sou入os $\omega \nu$ |  |
| $[\eta \mu \epsilon \tau] \epsilon \rho 0 \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \phi \eta: \hat{\eta} \delta \in \epsilon$ | [ $\pi$ ] $\alpha \nu \tau[\omega] s$ S $\eta \pi 0 \nu: \pi \alpha \mu \quad 208 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\nu 0 \nu & \eta\end{array}\right] \nu$ ¢ $\delta \in \gamma \omega \omega \in \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{15} 5[\tau \alpha s$ к $\alpha \iota ~ \alpha \rho \chi] 0 \nu \tau \alpha s$ ws $\epsilon 0 \iota$ |
|  | $[\kappa \epsilon \nu] \in \kappa \kappa \omega[\nu]$ o $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \in \phi \iota$ |
| $[\alpha v$ ov $]$ Tos oov o $\pi \alpha[l] \delta \alpha \gamma \omega$ | $[\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota: \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \rho \alpha \in \pi] \epsilon \iota \delta \alpha \nu$ |
|  | [. . . . . . . . . . . .]. |

I. $a \rho$ at the end of the line is uncertain, but to read $a \lambda \lambda a \rho \chi$ is not more satisfactory, for though the first of the doubtful letters is in some ways more like $\rho$ than $a$ the second is more like $\rho$ than $\chi$. Moreover the division $a \rho \chi \mid \epsilon \iota$ is very objectionable in a literary text, while to read $a \rho \gamma[\epsilon \iota$ would make this line longer than any of those that follow, and besides necessitate a supplement of three letters at the beginning of 1.2 , where there is no known variant.
 $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós. The scribe omitted the $a$ and perhaps also the $\iota$ in the latter word; he does not seem to have written $\pi \epsilon \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ os.

6. $\gamma \in$ : om. MSS.
7. $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \iota$ : $\delta \epsilon ́$ MSS. except Vat. 226 which has кai in place of $\delta \epsilon$.
8. $\sigma$ ov o $\pi$.: ó $\pi$. $\sigma$ ou MSS.

I4. $\gamma \epsilon$ : the reading is quite uncertain, but something certainly stood in the papyrus between $\sigma 0 \iota$ and $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi 0[\tau a s$. For the insertion of $\gamma \in c \mathrm{cf} .1 .6$.
 here after ápa.
882. Demosthenes, In Aristogitonem I.

$$
9.8 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second century } .
$$

A fragment from the bottom of a column of a roll containing the first speech of Demosthenes against Aristogiton. It is written in an upright and rather small round hand, not very regular, and probably dating from the second century. No stops or other lectional signs are found, but slight blank spaces, perhaps corresponding to marginal paragraphi, are left where a pause occurred in 11. 7 and io. An interlinear addition in 1.8 may be by the original scribe. The fragment is too small to possess any critical value; the writer was apparently careless.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\kappa] \alpha \iota \quad \kappa \in \gamma \rho \alpha[\gamma \omega s] \text { K }[\alpha \iota \text { เo]v เov } \pi[\alpha \nu \tau \quad \alpha \nu \omega \quad \oint 47}  \tag{47}\\
& {[\tau] \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \pi о \iota \omega[\nu \quad \epsilon \nu] \text { таls } \epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota} \\
& \left.[\alpha l] s \text { ws } \delta \in o \nu \quad \sigma \tau_{[ }^{[ } \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda o v\right] \nu \quad \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \quad o \\
& {[\tau l] \delta \eta \pi о \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \omega_{\llcorner }{ }^{2} \quad \text { oт } \quad \text { ] } \eta \phi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \tau O \quad \alpha \phi \omega} \\
& 5 \text { [ } \nu] \text { ]os } \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \circ \text { } \tau \eta[\nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha] \Delta \eta \mu о \kappa \lambda \epsilon \\
& {[\omega v] s \quad \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \alpha[\nu \quad \alpha \nu] \alpha[\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha] s \text { गol } \epsilon} \\
& \left.[\tau \rho] \in \psi \in \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \quad \mu \nu \rho \iota \alpha \quad \omega \nu \in \mu \sigma_{[ }^{\top} \imath\right] \mu \in \nu \\
& \text { [ } \epsilon \rho] \gamma o \nu \text { a } \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \text { } \sigma v \quad{ }^{\delta}[\iota \delta \alpha \\
& {[0] \tau \iota \text { каı } \tau \alpha[\alpha \nu] \tau \iota \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \alpha \text { } \alpha v \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \in \iota \varsigma} \\
& \text { Io [ } \epsilon \rho] \gamma 0 \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \text { avt } \omega t \text { [ }[7] \iota S \text { ovv } 0 \text { Tov } \tau 0 \iota \\
& \text { т] к каl катю жоtш[ } \nu \mathrm{c} \mathrm{\nu}] \text { таı } \\
& \left.[\tau l] \delta \eta \pi \circ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \omega_{L} \nu \quad o \tau \epsilon\right] \quad \eta \phi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \tau O \quad \alpha \phi \omega \\
& 5 \text { [ } \nu \text { ]os } \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \in \tau O \text { т } \eta[\nu \text { ката] } \triangle \eta \mu 0 к \lambda \epsilon \\
& {[o v]_{s} \in \iota \sigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \iota \alpha[\nu \quad \alpha \nu] \alpha[\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha] S \text { тоl } \epsilon} \\
& \left.\tau \rho_{\jmath} \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \quad \mu \nu \rho \iota \alpha \text { } \omega \nu \in \mu O_{l} \iota\right] \quad \mu \in \nu \\
& \text { [ } \epsilon \rho] \gamma o \nu \text { a } \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \text { } \sigma v \text { o[ } \iota \delta \alpha \\
& \text { 10 [ } \epsilon \rho] \gamma 0 \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \text { aut } \omega l \text { [ } \tau] \iota \text { ouv o Tov Tol }
\end{align*}
$$

1. 2. кєкраүшs.
1. SY add $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \tau i(\nu)$ after $\dot{i} \pi a \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ : om. Blass with the other MSS.
$\sigma v \delta[\varepsilon v] \stackrel{[ }{\sigma} \delta a:$ om. $\epsilon \mathrm{AF}$, Blass. It is of course impossible to be sure that $\epsilon v$ was inserted here as well as $\delta$, but the similarity of $\sigma v$ and $\epsilon v$ will readily account for the original omission of $\delta \epsilon v$, whereas $\delta$ by itself would less easily drop out.
2. $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \epsilon 5: 1$. e $\chi$ єıs with MSS.
3. Demosthenes, In Avistocratem.

$$
18 \times 4.1 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
$$

A short fragment containing parts of $\S \S 149-50$ of the speech against Aristocrates. The roll was written in narrow columns, a large space ( 7.5 cm .) being left above them. The good-sized, well-formed hand is of the oval type, but the letters are upright or have only a very slight slope ; $\omega$ is noticeably small. It seems to be a rather early example of this style of literary writing, and perhaps goes back to the beginning of the third century. A stop placed midway in the line and accompanied by a paragraphus marks the end of a section. There are two agreements with minor MSS. against $S$; but judging from the blunders in 11. 11-4 the text was not of a high class.

3. $\pi a^{〔}[\nu] \tau \omega \nu$ : so A ; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{0} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ other MSS., Blass.

8. таvтa : so v ; тaì $\alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma$ Blass with other MSS.
 The text of the papyrus has gone badly astray ; $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$ for $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$ is comparatively harmless, but $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu$ is an awkward repetition of $\pi a \lambda \iota \nu$ in 1. 17 , and the omission before $X_{\rho} \rho[\rho \rho \nu \eta] \sigma o \nu$ reduces the passage to nonsense.
884. Sallust, Catilina.
${ }^{1} 5.8 \times 15.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fifth century. Plate V (recto).
Latin classics have been conspicuous for their rarity among papyri from Egypt, and hence the following fragment of Sallust's Catilina, ch. vi, is of more than ordinary interest. It consists of a nearly complete leaf from a papyrus
codex, which may be assigned to the fifth century. The upright and wellformed hand is of the 'mixed 'type, the forms of the letters, in which cursive characteristics predominate, being in general similar to those e. g. of the legal fragments in P. Amh. II. 28, which are no doubt of about the same date. The ink is of the reddish-brown colour common at this period. Dots in three positions as well as the colon (cf. P. Amh. II. 27) are used for purposes of punctuation, pauses being also sometimes marked by blank spaces (11. I, 3, 25) or paragraphi (1. 6). que is written $q$; the only other abbreviation which occurs is reip. for reipublicae.

The scribe was extremely careless and made a number of errors, which have been amended to some extent by himself but more often by some one else. Since the colour of the ink in these corrections does not differ from that of the text, it is not easy to distinguish the hands ; but the alteration of e.g. propularent to propulerant in 1 . I8 seems clearly to be by the original writer, while the insertion of annis four lines lower down is not less clearly due to another person. There also occur a few cursive adscripts (ll. 5, 6 , and 10 ) which may be independent both of the original scribe and the corrector of amis, \&c.; ;if, however, they are to be assigned to one or other of them, the former seems more likely to be responsible than the latter. It may be noted that the cross-stroke of $t$ in tempore, 1. 5, is brought down to form the base of the following $c$ as in the Italian papyri of the fifth and sixth centuries. In several places small interlinear marks are found of which the significance is not clear; cf. note on 11. 7, 26-7, 30 . The text as corrected is good, agreeing in the main with the best MSS., of which there are a large number dating from the tenth century onwards. The most interesting reading is the occurrence in $11.5-6$ of the sentence ita brevi ... facta est, for which there is otherwise small support. Our collation is based on the edition of R. Dietsch (Leipzig, 1859), from whose text the papyrus rarely diverges.

## Verso.

liberum adq. solutum. $\llbracket$ fuit $\rrbracket$ hi postquam vi. 2
in una moenia convenere. dispari genere
dissimili lingru $\left.\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{]}\right]$. alius alio more viven tes. incredibile memoratu[s]] est. quam faci
a tempore tu
5 le coluerin[ $[\mathrm{t}$ ita brevi multido diversa

sed postquan res corum civibus moribus agris. aucta. satis prospera satisg. pollens videbatur: sicuti pleraq. mortalium hiaben
to tur invidia ex opolentit[ $[$ entia $]$ orta est.
[i]gitur reges. populiq. $f\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ nitioni. bell [o tem taba[nt]
[p]tia]re [pa]uci] ex amicis auxilio esse [nam cete [ri metu pe]r[c]?!!si a peric[u]lis aber[ant 4 lines lost.

## Recto.


bant $m \llbracket[\mu s \rrbracket$ a gisq. 【dis] dandis quam accipien 2o dis bencficiis amicitias parabant imperium
legetimum nomen imperii regium habe annis
bant delecti quibus c[o]rpus infirmum. inge nium sapientia validum erat. reip. consulta
bant ii vel aetate vel cura similitudine
25 patres appellabantur post ubi regium 7 imperium quod initio conservandae $[i$
$[b]$ ertatis $a \llbracket^{\mathrm{t}} d \rrbracket q$. augenda reip. fuerat. in super [bi]am dominationemq. se convertit. immu [tato miore annua imperia. binosq. impera 30 [tores sibi fccere eo] modo min[ume

1. fuit, which is crossed through, is not found in the MSS.
2. alius: so the majority of MSS.; alii Dietsch with P ${ }^{3} \mathrm{BT}$ (2nd hand) $\mathrm{p}^{1} \& \mathrm{c}$.

5-6. ita . . facta est: this sentence is found in Leid. G and with erat for est in a MS. used by Popma, Vind. I and 2, and cod. Herbipolitanus; om. Dietsch. tempore, which is inserted above the line, is found only here; the addition is no improvement. per concordian as a variant for concordia is also novel; the reading is uncertain, the supposed $c$ of con looking more like $n$, and very little remaining of the final $m$. Both per concordiam and tempore might be regarded as explanatory glosses rather than textual variants.
7. Above $e$ of corum is a mark resembling a small $c$, which we do not understand.
ro. We suppose that the mistaken opulentum has been twice corrected. ia over the termination is clear, but the decipherment of the cursive letters which precede at a higher level is very doubtful. The first of them is probably e, and entia seems just possible, though there is really more ink than is satisfactorily accounted for by $n t$. ex ... or epo . . . might be read.
II. temptare or tentare MSS. Just in front of the upright stroke of $b$ in bello there is an angular mark to which we can attach no meaning. The low stop beneath it is doubtful.
13. $\left.p{ }^{\prime}\right][c] u$ ussi $\left(\mathrm{p}^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{6} \sigma\right)$ might also be read, but is less likely than $\left.p e e_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{r}_{[ } c\right] u l s i$.
21. 1. legitimum.
22. annis cio'rpus: so $\mathfrak{N}$; corpus annis is the usual order.
24. ii : ei MSS., except $\mathrm{g}^{4}$, which has hi.

26-7. Several small interlinear signs of doubtful significance occur here ; cf. Plate V. Above quod there is something rather like an $e$, and above the middle $i$ of initio are some strokes resembling the letters $l i$; a more complicated sign appears over augendae, and an angular mark over $e$ of fuerat.
30. There is an angular mark above the $n$ of minume; cf. notes on 11 . II and 26-7.

## IV. MISCELLANEOUS LITERARY FRAGMENTS

## 885. Treatise on Divination.

$23.3 \times 8.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second or early third century.
This text, containing one well-preserved column between two others which have almost entirely disappeared, is written in careful and well-formed upright uncials of about the end of the second century. High stops are used, besides paragraphi, while a coronis below a short line at l. 57 marks the end of a section ; an accent occurs in l. 38. The subject of what remains is the interpretation of strokes of lightning when falling upon statues. A parallel to this is to be found in the work of Johannes Lydus, de Ostentis, $\S \S 47-52$, where a section occurs (probably derived from Cornelius Labeo, a writer of the second or third century) giving the prognostications to be deduced when various objects, and among them statues, are struck by lightning, according to the position of the sun. Possibly astronomical conditions were also taken into account in the present treatise, though they do not figure in what remains. Whether it concerned thunderbolts only ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \kappa \epsilon \rho a v \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) or was of a wider character and included other $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \hat{\imath} a \iota$ is also doubtful. It is interesting as an early specimen of the treatises on signs and wonders which in the Byzantine period became so popular. A noticeable circumstance is that there are no traces of Egyptian influence, the gods mentioned in 11. $44^{-6}$ being exclusively Greck. According to Lydus indeed ( $\$ \S 43$, 52), things were not struck by lightning in Egypt, or if ever they were, when the sun was in Pisces, it was a good omen. Thunderstorms do occur at the present day, though rarely.

| Col. i. | Col. ii. |  |  | Col. iii. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ]ot |  |  |  |  |
| $] \lambda \eta$ |  | $\tau \eta s$ evסalرovı |  | [ |
| ] |  | as $\epsilon \alpha \nu \delta \in$ o入oo $\chi^{\epsilon}$ |  | $\tau[$ |
| ] |  | 35 рws кат $\alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta$ ¢ |  | $65 \nu[$ |
| $5] \in \sigma$ |  | $\eta \epsilon \iota \kappa \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta$ |  | - |
| ] ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | $\gamma \in \iota \sigma \alpha$ vло тои кє |  | $\bar{a}$ |
| ]. |  | раuvou $\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \in \iota$ |  | $\alpha[$ |
| ]! |  | $\alpha \nu$ avtov $\tau \omega^{t} \gamma \epsilon$ |  | $\gamma[$ |
| $] \omega$ |  | 40 vel $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \iota \nu \in \iota$ o |  | $70 \eta[$ |
| 10 ]. | > | $\lambda \omega l$ X $\rho \eta$ ouv тov |  | $\tau[$ |
| $] \ldots$ |  | $\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \alpha$ єıkova |  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot[$ |
| ] |  | $\alpha \phi \iota \epsilon \rho о \nu^{\bullet}$ кац |  | $\alpha$ [ |
| ] |  | $\theta v \in l \nu \quad \triangle i i \quad K \epsilon p a v$ |  | $\epsilon \nu[$ |
| ] |  | $45 \nu[l] \omega l \cdot \kappa \alpha \iota$ Нраклєє |  | $75 \mu$ [ |
| 15 Jots |  | ка८ Tv $\chi^{\prime} \eta \iota \quad \Sigma{ }^{\prime} \omega \tau \epsilon \iota$ |  | $\sigma \theta[$ |
| ]s. |  | $\rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ¢vva |  | $\kappa \in[$ |
| ] $\gamma \alpha \rho$ |  | $\mu \iota \nu$ каı $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \pi \ll$ |  | $\nu$ [ |
| ] $\alpha$ |  | $\epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ то $\pi \rho о$ |  | $\tau \alpha[$ |
| $] \eta \sigma$ |  | $50 \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \quad \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota 0 \nu$. |  | $80 \pi[$ |
| $20 \quad] \alpha$ |  |  |  | $\chi \cdot[$ |
| ] |  | єıкороs єк日vє | - | $\sigma \eta[$ |
| ] |  | $\sigma \theta \alpha l$ к $\alpha l ~ \alpha \pi о т \rho о ~$ |  | $\epsilon \pi[$ |
| $] \leqslant \nu$ |  | $\pi \iota \alpha \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ то $\sigma \eta$ |  |  |
| ] $\alpha \sigma$ |  | ธ5 $\mu$ ¢וov Ovovta |  | $8_{5} \tau$ |
| 25 ]. |  | tois avtois $\theta \epsilon$ |  | $\alpha[$ |
| ] |  | ots | -p | $\lambda \alpha[$ |
| ] |  | $>$ |  | T[ |
| ] |  | $\epsilon \alpha \nu$ ¢IKoves $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ |  | $\mu$ [ |
| ]o |  | $60 \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \kappa[\alpha] \gamma \alpha \theta \omega \nu$ |  | $90 \pi[$ |
| 30 ]. |  | $v \pi 0$ кє¢рavyov |  | $\rho \rho[$ |
| $\alpha \rho]$ |  | $\pi \lambda!\eta \gamma \omega \sigma[\iota] \cdot[$. |  |  |

${ }_{5}$ 1. First $\sigma$ of $\pi \epsilon \sigma o v \sigma \eta s$ corr. from $\iota$.
'(If the statue of a poor man be struck by a thunderbolt and do not fall), it will be the beginning of happiness for him; but if the statue when struck by the thunderbolt falls down entirely, it indicates the destruction of his whole family. The poor man should therefore purify the statue, and sacrifice to Zeus Wielder of Thunder, and Heracles, and Fortune the Preserver in accordance with his means, and appropriate the former portent; but the portent of the fallen statue he should expiate and avert by sacrifice to the same gods. If the statues of noble men be struck by a thunderbolt . . .'

3r sqq. The sense of the protasis of this sentence is apparent from what follows;
 In Lydus, De Ostentis, the passage concerning statues is as follows (§ 47): $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ кat' $\dot{a} \gamma a \lambda \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$

 $v_{v} \boldsymbol{\beta} \rho \stackrel{s}{ }$. The statues there meant are public ornaments, or represent abstract qualities, and the portent has a more general significance than is the case here, where private individuals are concerned.

4I. The marginal sign, which stands midway between the two columns, is repeated again before 1.87 . Its meaning is obscure; it cannot be associated with the paragraphus below l. 4I since at 1.87 there is no paragraphus, nor on the other hand is it very likely in the latter place to have some connexion with the conclusion of the section in 1.57 , for 1 . Io is a full line, and there is therefore no reason to suppose that a section ended at that point. The symbol might be taken to represent $a \rho$ or $\delta \rho$, but the first letter would be incompletely formed.

## 886. Magical Formula.

Third century.
A formula for obtaining an omen, of a type common in magical papyri, and purporting, as often happens with Hermetic writings, to be copied from a sacred book ; cf. note on 1l. 2-4 and Reitzenstein, Poimandres, pp. 138 sqq.

The letters of the alphabet, which are frequently employed in astrology and magic (cf. Boll, Sphaera, pp. 469 sqq., Reitzenstein, op. cit., pp. 260 and 288, Dieterich, ABC-Denkmäler, P. Brit. Mus. 121. 705 sqq., \&c.), play a somewhat mysterious part in the formula, their number being reckoncd as 29 instead of 24. An uneven figure was in any case required owing to the nature of the process described in 11. 19-2I, but how the figure 29 was obtained is quite obscure. To give confidence in the efficacy of the spell, the claim is made (11. 7-10) that it was used by Hermes and Isis in the search for the dismembered body of Osiris. The scribe was a very illiterate person, and makes several mistakes. A couple of dashes are placed in the margin below 1. I and against ll. 24-5.


```
        \beta\lambdaov \tau\etâS \epsilonنं\rho\in\tauí\sigma\etaS \epsiloṅ\nu
        \tauoîS \tauov 'E\rho\muồ \tau\alpha\muiols.
    5 ò \deltaè \tau\rhoó\pi
    \tau\grave{\alpha} \gamma\rho\alphá\mu\mu\alpha\tau\alpha к0
```



```
    \zeta\eta\tauov̂\sigma\alpha \varepsiloń\alphav\tau\etaीs \tauò\nu \alpha'-
    \delta\epsilon\lambda\phiò\nu к\epsiloǹ \alpha}\nu\nu\delta\rho\alpha "O
10 \sigma\iota\rho\epsilon\iota\nu. \epsiloń\pi\iotaк\alpha\lambdaо\hat{v} \mu`€!\nu (?)
    \tauòv & кè \tauoùs ċ\nu \betav-
    0\hat{\varrho} 0\epsilonoùs \pi\alphá\alpha\tau\alphas \pi\epsilon-
```

    15 kos ä \(\rho \sigma \epsilon \nu 0 s \phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa\).
    
$\phi u ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
óvó $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ кє̀ є́ $\pi \epsilon \nu \xi \alpha$ -
$\mu \in \nu 0 s$ '́pe катà dío


$\tau \iota$ кє̀ єن์ $\dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ бou $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \lambda \eta$ -
סóva $̀$ '̀ $\nu$ oìs $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \in \sigma \tau \epsilon l \nu$
$\kappa \alpha i \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \eta$ ! $\eta-$
${ }_{2} 5 \lambda \alpha v \gamma \hat{\omega}$.
 9. ̈̈| $\mid \boldsymbol{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu^{\prime}$ Pap. 14. l. фоіикоя. The $\kappa$ has been inserted later. $17 . \theta \epsilon \omega^{-}$Pap.
 24. 1. $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \eta$.
'Great is the Lady Isis. Copy of a sacred book found in the archives of Hermes. The method is concerned with the 29 letters used by Hermes and Isis when searching for her brother and husband Osiris. Invoke the sun and all the gods in the deep concerning those things about which you wish to receive an omen. Take 29 leaves of a male palm, and inscribe on each of the leaves the names of the gods; then after a prayer lift them up two by two, and read that which is left at the last, and you will find wherein your omen consists, and you will obtain an illuminating answer.'

2-4. Prof. F. Cumont well compares the beginning of a magical formula found


6. $k \theta$ : in $1^{1} \mathrm{I}_{5} k \in$ might be read in place of $k \theta$, the right-hand part of the second numeral being lost, but there is, we think, no doubt about the reading $\kappa \theta$ here ; cf. introd.

1о. $\epsilon \pi \iota к а \lambda о \hat{v} ~ \mu \epsilon[\nu$ : the vestiges following $\mu$ suit $\epsilon$ better than $a$. $\mu \epsilon[\nu$ is not very satisfactory, and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa a \lambda o \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ constantly occurs in magical formulae of this character (e. g. the extract from P. Leyden W. quoted in note on l. 14); but to read $\bar{\epsilon} \pi เ к а \lambda о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon(=\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \kappa a-$ $\lambda_{0} \bar{\mu} \mu u$ ) here makes the change to the second person singular in $1 . I_{3}$ very difficult.
II. The sign following tóv is the ordinary symbol in magical papyri for $\eta \lambda$ 入os.


19. кarà סóo dóo: for this mixture of distributives cf. e. g. Luke x. I.
887. Directions for Wrestling (?).
$10.6 \times 5.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Third century.
On the recto of this small fragment are parts of eight lines from the bottom of a column, containing repeated references to different parts of the body and
apparently belonging to a treatise of the same class as 466 , which is concerned with grips in wrestling. The careful and rather large uncial writing is probably of the third century. On the verso is another text in a similar and possibly identical hand ; but the letters are more hastily formed, and the lines are set much wider apart and also come further down towards the lower edge of the papyrus. The subject here is evidently different, but the remains are too scanty to give a definite clue to its nature.

5
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## V. DOCUMENTS OF THE ROMAN AND BYZANTINE PERIODS

(a) OFFICIAL
888. Edict of a Praefect and Petition.

$$
\text { Fr. (b) } 9.2 \times 14.9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late third or early fourth } \text { century. }
$$

A petition to the exegetes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, with which is here coupled the Small Oasis, from two persons, one of whom was a woman (cf. note on 1.9), concerning the guardianship of the children of their dead sister. Only the first two or three lines of this document remain and its purport is unknown ; the interest of the papyrus lies in the fact that prefixed to the mutilated petition is a copy of an edict, dated in the year 287, of the pracfect Flavius Valerius

Pompeianus, relating to the appointment of guardians for orphan minors. This ordinance directs that magistrates empowered to make such appointments (oi tov̂ $\chi \in \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \in \hat{\imath} v$ ки́pıoı) should do so in all cases where orphans were without guardians, since absence of the latter led to much delay in business in which orphans were involved. The question here arises, what magistrates were competent to appoint guardians? According to the lex Iulia et Titia, passed in B.C. 3 I, this right was in the provinces vested in the praefects, and that that enactment continued in force in the third century is shown by 720, where it is expressly named (A.D. 247). In practice, however, the praefect of Egypt is seldom found exercising his power, which was delegated to subordinate officials, and in particular to the $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a l$, who, as in 888 , are the persons most commonly invoked in connexion with the guardianship of minors ; cf. e. g. B. G. U. rojo, in which a woman supplies to the exegetes the name of a man suitable for the guardianship of her children. From P. Amh. 85 and 86, which are applications addressed to the exegetes for leases of land belonging to orphans, it would appear that this magistrate was actually responsible to some extent for the proper management of property of that class. Professor Mitteis, to whom we are indebted for several points in the interpretation of this papyrus, thinks that the praefect was principally appealed to when the parties concerned came from different nomes, or when one or other of them happened to be residing outside his own nome, and the local magistrates were consequently unable to act. The latter explanation would well suit P. Tebt. 326, where the applicants who have recourse to the praefect are natives of Antinoöpolis domiciled in the Fayûm. The exegetae, however, were not the only officials competent in these matters. In 487 the रрацдатє̀ेs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ is stated to have assigned a guardian to certain minors, and the epistrategus is requested to direct the strategus to give orders that the $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon u^{\prime}$ should substitute another person. According to P. Tebt. 326, where the case is referred to the praefect, the magistrate who would actually make the appointment in accordance with the praefect's instructions would be not the exegetes but the strategus ; cf. P. Cattaoui verso ii. 17-9, where the iuridicus proposes to instruct the strategus to make an appointment of guardians. In both these instances no doubt the strategus may be supposed to be acting merely as the temporary delegate of the superior authority ; but a more general competence to deal with such matters is proved, for Oxyrhynchus at any rate, by 56, where an application by a woman for a кúpoos is addressed to the exegetes because the deputy-strategus was absent, and 898. 26-9, where a strategus orders the guardian of a minor to be changed. A new date is supplied by this papyrus for the praefecture of Pompeianus, who is shown to have been in office in Oct. 287, while from P. Amh. 137 he is known to have been still praefect in July 289.

| ［ $\Phi$ ］${ }^{\text {a }}$［ 0 ov́ıs | Ov̇à́́plos |  | o | $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \eta[\mu o ́] \tau \alpha \tau o s$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 ки́рıo兀 $\kappa \alpha \theta[\epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu[$ I5 letters $\pi o l] \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ тoùs $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \quad[\dot{\eta} \lambda]<\kappa i ́ \alpha \nu \quad \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \mu o ́ \nu \alpha s^{\prime}$. ои゙т $\omega$ र̀े $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \pi[\rho o \sigma-$
 $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau 0[i ̂ s$
 є́ $\pi$ เтро́тоиs グтоь
 $M \alpha \xi \iota \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu 0 \hat{v} \quad \Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \alpha \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$


 ［ $\chi \omega \nu$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ］


 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ т $\eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \nu$－
［ías
50 letters
＇$\Omega \rho \iota \gamma]$ €́ $\nu$ OUS $\dot{\alpha} \pi[\grave{o}] \tau \hat{\eta} S$


－Proclamation of his excellency Flavius Valerius Pompeianus，praefect of Egypt． Orphans for whom no guardians have been assigned shall have guardians in accordance with their age created for them by those competent to make the appointment ．．．；for it will thus result that they receive proper attention，whereas at present much business concerning orphans and depending upon their guardians is delayed because the orphans are unattended by tutores or curatores．The $4^{\text {th }}$ which $=$ the $3^{\text {rd }}$ year of our lords the Augusti Diocletianus and Maximianus，Phaophi ．．．Published in Oxyrhynchus on the 27 th of the same month Phaophi．

To ．．．exegetes in office of the Oxyrhynchite nome and the Small Oasis，senator of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus，from ．．．and Apollonia，both children of Origenes and Thaësis，of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus． We were given the guardianship of our nephews（or nieces），the children of our dead sister ．．．daughter of Origenes，of the said city ．．．
 $\kappa_{\text {ºvór } \eta s \text { Mitteis. }}$
rov̀s $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}[\dot{\eta} \lambda]$ ]кiav кпঠө $\mu$ óvas, ' guardians corresponding to the age of the orphans,' i. e. tutores for those below the age of puberty ( 14 years), curatores for those under 25 years. $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\omega}$ is here used as a wider term including both tutores and curatores; cf. 11. 5-6 where
 the case may be.' The distinction between tutor and curator is not infrequently lost sight of in provincial documents of this period, but no such confusion would be expected, as Mitteis has pointed out to us, in an official proclamation, and ${ }^{\eta}$ roo therefore does not mean that $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \tau \tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \pi$ ovs and кovó́тopas are convertible terms.
5. There is a hole in the papyrus between $\eta$ and $s$ of $\dot{a} v a] \beta o \lambda \eta \bar{\eta} s$, in which there is room for a letter ; the writing surface seems to have been faulty at this point. The supplement of the preceding lacuna is a trifle shorter than it might be.
 blank space may well have been left before the date.
8. The Small Oasis (Bahriyeh) which was grouped with the 'Eпtavopia (cf. P. Amh.
 be combined with the Oxyrhynchite nome, to the west of which it lies ; cf. 485 , where the implication is that persons living in the Oasis were under the jurisdiction of the Oxyrhynchite strategus.
9. The petitioners were either brother and sister, or else two sisters; in the former case, which is the more probable, they perhaps also stood in the relation of husband and wife. In any case the passage provides another instance of female guardianship, which has already been attested for peregrini by 495, and for Roman citizens by P. Tebt. 378 ; cf. Wenger, Zeitschr.f. Savigny-Stiftung, 28, p. $305^{1}$. Various instances in the papyri prove the possibility of a mother acting as guardian to her children (cf. e.g. 898. 5-6), and the guardianship of mothers and grandmothers was eventually admitted by the later Roman law ; but that of women not so related to the ward was at no period legalized.

## 889. Edict of Diocletian and Petition.

$$
{ }^{2} 3.5 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century } .
$$

This narrow strip from a papyrus written in a large cursive hand in very broad lines contains in 11. II sqq. part of a petition addressed to the boule of, no doubt, Oxyrhynchus, by a man who probably wished to be let off some municipal burden on the score of old age and ill-health. In support of his case he appeals to an imperial decree, of which a copy is prefixed in $11.1-11$. The papyrus is thus similar in character to P. Flor. 57, a petition to the praefect claiming immunity from $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma i ́ a l$, which begins by quoting several rescripts of Septimius Severus and Caracalla guaranteeing this immunity to persons over the age of 70 . The authors of the present decree are clearly Diocletian and Maximian, and the date of it is apparently the third consulship of the Caesars Constantine and
${ }^{1}$ In 495 it is the sister of the testator, not, as stated by Wenger, his daughter, who is appointed guardian.

Galerius，A．D．300．It was of the nature of an indulgence（ $\phi \lambda \lambda] a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a, 1.5)$ apparently to persons over the age of $60(\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \kappa 0 \nu \tau a \epsilon \tau \epsilon i s, l .9)$ ，but the special nature of the benefits conferred remains obscure，the only clue being afforded by 1.8 ， where there seems to be a reference to $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa} \pi о \rho \in s$（？）and to the practice of quartering persons upon others（ $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{i} \sigma \tau a \theta \mu o \iota)$ ．The remains of the date of the petition itself（ll．II－2）are too slight to fix the year，but it no doubt falls within the 50 years following A．D． 300.




5
$\phi i \lambda] \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i ́ \alpha \quad \kappa \in \kappa \in \lambda \epsilon v ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \in \nu$［
］ov Xpóvou т $\hat{\rho}$ s mo入vaıтías $\alpha$ ．［
］кат $\alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठı $\alpha \tau[$
$\pi \rho] \alpha ́ \kappa \tau о \rho \in s$ каi є́ $\pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu о \iota$ кọ
］oıs $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \in \tau i ̂ s ~ \dot{s} s \in i \quad \in \lambda \alpha[$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu] \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \Pi_{\alpha} \chi^{\grave{\omega} \nu} \kappa \theta$ ．［

$\tau \hat{\eta} s] ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$



 дทроß］обкíav $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$［
20


 corr．from $\sigma$ ．

1－4．Since there are two Augusti bearing the titles Germanicus and Sarmaticus，and two Caesars，while the consuls hold office for the third time and must be Caesars or Augusti （À̇токрátop］$\sigma \iota \nu$ is the only alternative for Kaíap］$\sigma \iota \nu$ in l．ir），the reign of Diocletian and Maximian，and the third consulship of Constantius and Galerius are clearly indicated． A slight difficulty arises in connexion with the title rovv日七ós（＝Gothicus；cf．for the form

adopted by Claudius, Aurelian, and Probus, but seems to be new as an epithet of Diocletian. With regard to the length of the lines, only in ll. I and i3 can the beginnings be restored


 lines are likely, the initial lacunae may be estimated at not less than 45 letters throughout. How much is lost at the ends of lines is more uncertain. If the names of the Caesars were
 Ȯ̇a入́́pıos Magıutavó]s, i. e. 56 letters, of which 5 -זо probably occurred in 1. 3 ; hence even if $\Gamma \in \rho \mu a v$ [кòs Mé $\gamma \iota \sigma$ oros is the last of Maximian's titles, there seems to be a loss of from 15 to 20 letters, and the total number of letters missing between the points at which one line breaks off and the next commences can hardly be less than 60 on an average, and may amount

 Diocletian has already been styled $\Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu a v i o ́ s$ in 1. I.
8. ко[: or кк[í.

 1. 10. The date beginning ínatias refers to the following petition; Ov[ may be read for ок[. Owing to the length of the lacuna before $\lambda a \mu] \pi \rho o r a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ the names must have been given in full, and it is quite uncertain who these consuls were.
 note on 11. 1-4.



## 890. Letter to a Strategus.

$20.2 \times 14.7 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Third century.
An incomplete letter from the prytanis of the local $\beta$ ov $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ at Oxyrhynchus to the strategus, giving a list of persons who owed money to the municipal treasury. Apparently these sums were to be collected by the agents of the imperial government and to be balanced against moneys owing to the imperial from the municipal exchequer.

```
Aov́кlos \Sigma'\epsilon\pi\tauí\mulos Av́p\etá\lambdalos
    \Sigma\alpha\rho\alpha\pií\omega\nu ò каì 'A\pio\lambda\iota\nu\alphápוos к\alphai \omegas
```



```
    'O\xiv\nuрv\gamma\chi\epsilon\iota\tau\omegaิ\nu \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas A\hat{v}\rho\eta\lambdaíथ
5 \Lambda\epsilon\omega\nuí\delta\eta \sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\hat{\varphi} \tau\hat{\iota}\ell\iota\lambda-
    \tau\alpháт\omega\iota \chi\alphaí\rho\epsilon\iota\nu.
```



```
[ỏ]\phi[\epsiloní\lambda]ov\sigma\iota \tau\hat{\eta}\pi[ó\lambda\epsilonl] \chi\omega\rhooúv\tau\omega\nu
```

```
    [\epsilon's \deltaL]a\gamma\rhoaф\grave{\eta}\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \epsilon'к \lambdaó\gammaov \tau\hat{\eta}S
10 [\pió\lambda\epsilon]\omegas \delta\iota\alpha\gamma\rhoафо\mu\epsilońv\omega\nu каì \nu
    [\gamma\rho\alphá\phio\mu\epsilońv] \sigmaol \pi\rhoòs \tauò \mu\età '́}\mu\pi0\deltaí
    [\xi\epsilon\sigma0\alpha\iota \tau\grave{\eta}]\nu \epsilonl'\sigma\pi\rho\alpha\xi\iota\iota\nu \tauô i\epsilon\rho\omega\tau\alphá\tauou
    [\tau\alpha\mu\epsiloníov.] \epsiloni\sigmai \deltaè Aúр\etá\lambda\iotaol
    [. . . . к\alphaì 'A]\piо\lambda\lambda\omega}\mp@subsup{}{~}{\prime
I5 [\nuós, oi \tau\rho\epsilonîs \Sigma]|\rho\alpha\pií\omega\nuos \tauov̂ к\alphai
    [....... а'\gammao\rho]\alpha\nuо\mu\etá\sigma\alpha\nu\tauоs, (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alpha\grave{s ?) v,}
    [.......... 'H\rho]ak\lambda人̂s òvó\mu\alpha\tauоs
    [ 20 letters ]a\tau..[...
```


' Lucius Septimius Aurelius Sarapion also called Apolinarius, and however I am styled, prytanis in office of Oxyrhynchus, to his dearest Aurelius Leonides, strategus, greeting. A written list of those from whom are to be exacted the sums which they owe to the city, and which are to be used in payment of moneys payable from the account of the city, is hereby given you in order that there may be no hindrance in collecting the revenues of the most sacred Treasury. They are Aurelius . .., Aurelius Apollonius, and Aurelius Domitianus, all three sons of Sarapion also called . . ., ex-agoranomus, 400 drachmae . . .'
 of money or $\tau \grave{a} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \beta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v \tau a$, was going to be stated; but this is not expressed, so that ${ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu$ is practically equivalent to ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$.
14. Perhaps [. . . ó kai 'A] $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \nu 10$, in which case $\delta \dot{v} o$ must be substituted for тرeis in l. 15 .
16. (סिpaxpàs?) v: av, i. e. Aü|pídıos, might be read; but it is likely that the actual amounts of the debts were mentioned, not merely the names of the debtors.

## 891. Apportionment of Duties to an Exegetes.

$$
11.8 \times 6.7 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 294.

A letter from the boule of Oxyrhynchus to an exegetes, acquainting him with the fact that he had been chosen to act in his official capacity during part of the month of Epeiph as superintendent or president in the discharge of certain duties, the nature of which is uncertain (cf. I. II, note), the expenses being borne in common by the whole body of exegetac.

The papyrus is written in a small very flowing cursive, and the surface is much damaged in several places.

```
['E申' í] \(] \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu\) OƯ \(\alpha \lambda \epsilon \rho i ́ \omega \nu\) K \(\omega \nu\) -
```



```
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu K \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega\).
```



```
5 \{ка!\} \(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s) \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s\) ท̀ кра(ті́бтך) ßou-
```



```
\(\delta \iota \alpha \sigma\)..() \(\epsilon \in \nu \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v \pi \rho u \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \omega s\)
Пто入є \(\mu \in i \nu \varphi\) т \(\widehat{̣}\) каi \(\Sigma \alpha \rho \mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta\)
\(\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi} \phi i \lambda(\tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega) \chi^{\alpha} i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu\).
```





```
\(\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, \tau \grave{\alpha}\) ठ̀ \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \omega \not \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\)
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ~ к o \iota \nu o u ̂ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \alpha ̉ \pi o ̀ ~\)
\({ }_{15} \tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota^{\circ}\) каì
```



```
ध́ \(\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma o l, ~ \phi i ̀ \tau \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon\). 2nd hand \(\epsilon \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \dot{u} \chi(o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha)\), \(\phi i \lambda \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon\).
```

' In the consulship of Valerius Constantius and Valerius Maximianus, the most renowned Caesars. The most high senate of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus through Aurelius Cornelianus . . ., prytanis in office, to their dearest Ptoleminus also called Sarmates, exegetes, greeting. An exegetes being required for the ... of Epeiph up to the 17 th, it was decided that you should preside, while the expenses should be paid by the whole body of those belonging to the order. This letter is accordingly sent to you, dear friend, for your information. We pray for your health, dear friend.'

1-2. The writing is much obliterated in these lines, but on palaeographical grounds the papyrus can hardly be later than Diocletian's reign, and that the Caesars are Constantius and Galerius is, we think, certain. Probably the initial $\varepsilon$ was written large, causing 1.2 to begin much further to the right than 11. I and 3.
 repetition of каí; but $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho \grave{~}$ каì $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho о$ át $\eta$ are the regular epithets of Oxyrhynchus, and though $\lambda a \mu . \rho^{\prime}$ might be read for кaı $\lambda a \mu^{\prime}$, the letter before the supposed $\rho$ would suit $\nu$ or $\mu$ but not $\pi$. $\quad \sigma_{\epsilon} \mu \nu 0 \alpha_{\text {át }}$ s and ápXaias, honorific epithets of Hermopolis (e.g. in P. Brit. Mus. 955), are out of the question here.
7. $\delta \iota a \sigma$. ( ) : $\delta_{\iota a \sigma \eta \mu}()$ or $\delta_{\iota a \sigma \tau}()$ might perhaps be read; the letter following the doubtful $\sigma$ has a vertical stroke coming below the line and suggests $\tau$ or $\rho$, while above this is a long horizontal line possibly representing an overwritten $\lambda$ or $\mu$. But $\delta \iota a \sigma \eta \mu$ (oтáтou) and $\delta a \sigma \tau(0 \lambda \epsilon \omega s)$ are unsuitable to the context, and no title of any kind would be expected at this
point, so that it is perhaps safer to regard the word as part of the name of the prytanis, though in that case the abbreviation of it is remarkable.

I i. a. [. . as 'Елєi申: the supposed $s$ is very doubtful, and there may be nothing at all between a (for which $\theta$ may be read) and 'E $\pi \epsilon i \phi$, but $\dot{\alpha} \pi[\dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s] a$ is unsuitable, for the lacuna ought not to contair more than 3 letters at most, and even with $\dot{a} \pi[\dot{0}]$ a there is no stroke above $a$ to indicate a numeral, as there is over $\zeta$ of $\iota \zeta$. Moreover, to supply $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ éas with rás and suppose that only the period and not the purpose for which the exegetes was required was expressed, is unsatisfactory. We prefer therefore to read $a .[.] a$.$s in agreement with$ тás, though тồ 'Eтєi $\phi$ would be expected.
 several exegetae, just as there were several gymnasiarchs; cf. Preisigke, Städtisches Beamtenzeesen, p. 60, and 908. introd. That ßovגєutikóv is the word to be supplied with та́ $\neq$ (cf. C. I. G. 44 I i b. 5) is less likely.

## 892. Appointment of a Superintendent of Works.

$$
24.2 \times 13.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 338
$$

A letter from the logistes of the nome to a member of the boule at Oxyrhynchus, informing him that he had been appointed by that body to superintend the supply of wood required in building a public bath and a gate. Though written in a formal cursive hand the letter is only a rough draft, which has been subjected to correction, especially towards the end. The words added between the lines are more cursively written than the body of the document, but the hand seems to be the same ; $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} v$ added in the margin of 1 . I I is almost certainly due to the original scribe.

On the verso is a list of names preceded by a heading in two lines, the writing being much effaced. Apparently the individuals in question were sent to the Arsinoite nome to meet some charge.

```
    \Phi\lambda\alphaov́los Ev̉\sigma\epsiloń\betalos \lambdaoy\iota\sigma\tau\etàs 'O[\xív\rho\rhov\gamma\chii\tauov
    Av\rho\eta\lambdaím \Pi\alpha\sigmaí\omega\nul '\Omega\rhoí\omega\nuos \beta
    \tau\hat{\eta}S \alphav̇\tau\eta
```



```
5 к\rho\alphaтí\sigma\tau\eta\s \betaov\lambda\etâs \deltai\alphà \tauov̂ \epsilońv\alphá\rhoXov \pir\rhov\tau\alphá\nu\epsilon\omegaS
```




```
    \epsilon̈~l \deltaè \epsilonis
```



```
    \tau\hat{g}\mp@code{\pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas}
```



 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \imath o ̀ ̀ ~ \lambda o v \tau \rho \grave{̀ v} \text { [ }}$ $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \notin \nu \epsilon \delta \rho o \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тò $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ каi $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota к \grave{\nu} \nu$ <br>ن́matєías $\Phi \lambda[\alpha o v] i \omega[\nu \quad O] v ้ \rho \sigma o v ~ к \alpha i ~ \Pi о \lambda є \mu i ́ o v ~$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho[o \tau] \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu T \hat{v} \beta \iota \imath$. 4. їло Pap. 9. ìv Pap.

'Flavius Eusebius, logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, to Aurelius Pasion, son of Horion, senator of the said city, his . . . brother, greeting. Know that by the instructions of the most high senate of the city conveyed through the prytanis in office, Aurelius Nepotianus, you have been chosen to (provide) the timber required for the . . . bath, and also for the construction of the north gate of the city; and you are hereby instructed, brother, to take charge of the work, and with all speed to get the timber cut and delivered, so that there may be no fraud in connexion with the public bath and the municipal work. In the consulship of Flavius Ursus and Flavius Polemius the most illustrious, Tubi 18.'
r. Flavius Eusebius occurs also in 85 and 86.

 or [ $\epsilon$ is $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon$ it $\epsilon a \nu$ (cf. e. g. C. P. Herm. 67.8 ) would be appropriate enough, but are somewhat long. For a similar notification of appointment of. B. G. U. $3^{62}$. v.
7. $\delta \eta \mu$ óvoov would be expected to occur somewhere at the end of this line; cf. l. i i tò $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ גovтрóv; but eis $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma[$ ov cannot be read, and though the letter following $\epsilon$ is may be
 following eis gave the special name of the bath in question, but if so it differed from the



II-2. The words from ëvéjov to ${ }^{\epsilon}$ epov have lines drawn through or above them,
 corrector, whether identical or not with the original scribe (cf. introd.), at first cancelled these words, intending to rewrite the sentence entirely, but changed his mind and merely added what was required to restore the sense of the passage.

## 893. Judicial Sentence.

$$
12.5 \times 34 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late sixth or seventh century. }
$$

The extraordinary grammar of this document makes it difficult to construe, though its general purport is fairly clear. It is a decision or enactment (túnos ; cf. note on 1. I) pronounced by three $\mu \epsilon i \zeta 0 v \in s$ (cf. 900.19 , note) of a village concerning some dispute, of which no details are given, between Marcus, another $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$, and Marinus. The latter, who was apparently the plaintiff, is declared to have the right of imposing upon Marcus, acting through his daughter Sophia, a formal affidavit ( $\theta \epsilon \hat{i}$ оs öркоs), in which perjury would have serious consequences; and

Marcus would then be free from further proceedings. If Marinus declined to conduct an investigation by means of the proposed affidavit he was to be debarred from taking other steps in the matter.

The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus.
 каi Паvîpє $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \mu \in \iota \zeta(o ́ v \omega \nu)$


 aùrov̂
 $\mu \in i \zeta(o ́ \nu \omega \nu)$
 Mapívou
 $\mu \eta(\nu o ̀ s)$
 aย่тоบิ
 oúdéva 入óyov
 Є̇ $V \tau \cup ̛ T \omega$.



 corr. 1. oiov $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon . . . a \dot{a} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \eta$.
' By the sentence of the honourable men Pamuthius, official, son of ..., and Paniren, of official rank, son of John, and Apollos, of official rank, son of Phoebammon, of the village of Apollo, in respect of Marcus, of official rank, and Marinus, tow-merchant: Marinus has power to make inquiry by means of the divine oath through Sophia, daughter of the said Marcus, of official rank, and after the inquiry by means of the divine oath through the said Sophia Marinus shall have no ground of complaint on any matter of any kind whatsoever. Written on the 29 th of the month Pauni, the sixth hour of the day. It is manifest on the other hand that, if the said Marinus refuses to make inquiry by means of the divine oath through the said Sophia, Marinus shall have no ground of complaint on any matter of any kind whatsoever, and Marcus is free of him as though he were declared so by sentence.'

1. For rúmos in the sense of ordinance or decree, found in late Greek, of. P. Brit. Mus.


$\mathfrak{a} \xi \iota \omega[.] \pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ : $\mathfrak{a} \xi \bullet \pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ must be intended (cf. e. g. P. Brit. Mus. 77. 68 ả $\xi \iota \sigma \pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu a \rho \pi \dot{u} \rho \omega{ }^{\prime}$ ); but the space between $\omega$ and $\pi$ is so wide that it is difficult to suppose that nothing intervened. $\dot{d} \xi(\omega[\nu] \pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ may have been written, but not $\dot{a} \xi i a[\nu \kappa \alpha i!$.

7-9. This clause is added as a postscript to provide for the contingency of Marinus refusing to acquiesce in the form of investigation prescribed. Something seems to have been written between mádıv and $\epsilon i$, and the traces may be read as $\eta$; but the expression is very clumsy.

## (b) DECLARATIONS TO OFFICIALS

## 894. Latin Declaration of Birth.

$$
9.4 \times 10.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 194-6 . \quad \text { Plate Vl. }
$$

Declarations of the birth of children are of frequent occurrence among Egyptian papyri, but these have always related to peregrini and until recently there has been no example of such a declaration made by a Roman citizen. Two years ago, however, the omission was supplied by some wax tablets in the Cairo Museum published by $S$. de Ricci, among which is a certificated copy, taken from an official register, of a declaration of birth made by a Roman in the year 148 (Nouv. Revue Hist., I 906, p. 483 ; cf. Archiv, IV. p. 252). The formula of these tablets, which are in Latin, falls into four sections: (I) Names of seven witnesses. (2) Date (a) by Roman consuls and month, (b) by Emperor and Egyptian months, Alexandr(iae) ad Aegyptum, descriptum et recognitum fac[tum] ex tabula albi profession[um libero]rum nator[um] \&c. (3) Date as before, M(arco) Petronio Honorato praef(ecto) Aeg(ypti) professionis liberorum acceptae citra causarum cognitionem tabula v et post alia pag(ina) iii, xviii k(alcndas) Octobr(es). (4) Tib(erius) Iulius Dioscorides . . . fil(iam) n(atam) Iuliam Ammonum ex Iulia Ammonario xiii k(alendas) Septembres.... Here three chief points are clear: the declaration was made in the Latin language, it was made at Alexandria, and to the praefect of Egypt. A fragment of a tablet in the Bodleian Library has been recognized by Wilcken (Archiv, IV. p. 267) as part of a similar Latin declaration.

Another instance has now come to light in the following papyrus, which is later in date by some two generations. In the meantime according to the statement of Julius Capitolinus, Vita Marci, 9. 7-9, the formalities of registration had been regulated by Marcus Aurelius, who ordered that declarations of birth should be made within 30 days of the event at Rome to the praefecti aerarii Saturni, in the provinces to certain tabularii publici. We should therefore be prepared
to find in a registration of a date subsequent to this regulation some features distinguishing it from one of the reign of Antoninus. As a matter of fact, however, these distinguishing features do not occur. Our papyrus shows the same three essential points as the Cairo tablets: the declaration was made at Alexandria, and to the praefect, and the certificate is drawn up in Latin, though followed by the signature of the declaring party in Greek. The tabularii publici, whatever that vague term may signify, do not appear. Prof. Mitteis, to whom we are indebted for information on this question of registration, thinks that perhaps only the praefectorial bureau is thereby meant. In any case it seems that the regulations attributed to Marcus Aurelius had practically no effect upon the form of a Roman declaration of birth in Egypt.

The scarcity of dated specimens of Latin cursive makes this papyrus palaeographically valuable. It is written in a clear and good-sized hand with occasional division of words. Abbreviations and an ordinal figure in 1. 6 (cf. 737) are followed by a single dot. $a$ is sometimes supplied with an abortive cross-bar. On the verso are traces of ink which seem to be more than blottings, but the writing is too much effaced for decipherment ; it is probably Latin, perhaps figures.


## 7. [o]xyrynchó Pap.

'In the . . . year of the Emperor Caesar Lucius Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax Augustus Arabicus Adiabenicus, in the month . . ., at Alexandria on the coast of Egypt, before Marcus Ulpius Primianus, praefect of Egypt. . . . has declared a son, named . . . nus, born to him and Ulpia Sabina on the 16 th . . ., being an inhabitant (?) of Oxyrhynchus. (Signed) I, . . ., declare that a son has been born to me . . .'
I. Probably one line is lost which with the first half of 1 . I gave the date by the Roman consuls and month, as in the Cairo tablets. The year may be the second, third, fourth, or fifth; cf. 1. 4, note.
3. mense...die...: so the Cairo tablets, which also support the supplement ad $A\left[e_{g} y p t\right] u m$.
4. M. Ulpius Primianus is the only praefect with a name ending in -ianus who is
known in the sole reign of Severus, and though the list is not certainly complete the three praefects who held office during this short period cover it quite sufficiently. There is therefore good ground for identifying the praefect of the papyrus with Primianus, whose name occurs in two inscriptions, C.I. G. 4863 . iv of A.D. $194-5$ and C.I.L. III. $5^{1}$ of Feb. 24, 196, and in B. G. U. 973.6 (undated). Mantennius Sabinus was still praefect on April 21, 194 (Archiv, II. p. 447, no. 77), and Aemilius Saturninus had entered office before July ir, 197 (B. G. U. I5. ii. r). The limits of Primianus' praefecture are thus from the second to the fifth years of Severus.

4-5. Cf. Vita Gordiani 4. 8 apud praefectum aerarii more Romanoprofessus filium. The lacuna at the beginning of 1.5 was filled by the name of the father.
6. ]num is the termination of the son's name, and xvi refers to the day of the month on which the birth occurred, and which was given according to the Roman calendar ; cf. the Cairo tablets, section (4). According to the law attributed to Marcus Aurelius (cf. introd.) the registration had to be made intra tricensimum diem; it is noticeable that the Cairo tablets are already in accordance with this regulation.
7. If hab. stands for habitans referring to the name of the father, it is somewhat out of its place. Perhaps a plural participle was intended, connecting loosely with both parents. $O]$ xyryncho should then in either case strictly be [O]xyrynchi, unless [O]xyryncho(rum) (sc. urbe) be read.
895. Return of Village-Accounts.

## I $9 \times 15.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

A. D. 305 .

A statement rendered to the logistes of the nome by two comarchs of the village of Tampeti, of the village-accounts for two months. Most of its details are lost through the mutilation of the papyrus. The report was required in consequence of an order of the praefect (cf. e. g. P. Tebt. 336) Clodius Culcianus, for whose period of office a new date is supplied ; cf. note on 1.8. The document has been joined to another return of a similar character, of which only the beginnings of the first eleven lines are preserved; $\Sigma a \delta \dot{a}^{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} o v$ occurs apparently as a village name. On the verso at right angles to the writing on the recto are the ends of nine lines, apparently of an account of judicial proceedings. The last
 ] ки́рьóv цоv ठьаб $\langle\eta \mu\rangle$ о́татоv.
'Eni ú $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кирí $\omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega}[\nu K \omega \nu] \sigma[\tau \alpha \nu \tau]$ !ou





 $K \lambda \omega \delta i o u ~ K o u \lambda \kappa \iota \alpha \nu o \hat{v}$ тoùs $\kappa \omega \mu \eta \tau[L \kappa 0] \dot{u} s \lambda^{\prime}$ óyous т $\bar{\eta} s$

```
    \eta}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsiloń\rho\alphas к\omegá\mu\etaS \mu\eta\nu\hat{\omega}\nu \deltav́o \tauov̂ \tau\epsilon Ф\alpha\rho\muой\mp@subsup{0}{l}{
```




```
    \tau\iota\mu\etâS \chi\alphá\rho\tauоv к\alphaì \gamma\rho\alphá\pi[\tau\rho\omega\nu ....]. \epsilon\lambdaías \epsiloń\rho\gamma\alpha\tau\hat{\omega\nu}
    \tau\rho\iota\omegâ\nu \alphả\piо\sigma\tau\alpha\lambda\epsiloń\ell\tau[\omega\nu......] '́\pii B\alpha\betav\lambda\omegâ\nu\alpha (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \rhoк,
```




```
    [\gammai(\nuо\nu\tau\alpha\iota)] ò\muо\hat{v}(\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \sigma[.........] \rho . . [. . ....
    [......]\nuv\mu\epsilon\nu \delta\ell̀ \tau0[
    [....] \mu\epsilon\tau\alphaфо\rho\grave{\alpha }\pi[
    [. . . . .] Mıк\rhoà\nu "O[\alpha\sigmal]\nu . [
20 [. . . . .] \epsilon^\[. .].[
    [. . . . \pi\alpha\rho]\epsilon\sigma X \
```

Remains of 4 more lines, below which the papyrus breaks off.

$$
\text { 10. 1. } \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa[a \hat{i}] o_{0}^{\top} \nu . \quad \text { I5. 1. à } \pi о \sigma \tau a \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s .
$$

' In the consulship of our lords Constantius and Maximianus, most renowned Caesars, for the fifth time. To Aurelius Seuthes also called Horion, logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Sakaon son of Petiris, and Aurelius Psois son of Patabes, both comarchs of the village of Tampeti. In reply to your request in the present 215 which $=$ the $I_{3}$ th year, in accordance with the order of his excellency the praefect Clodius Culcianus, for the village-accounts of our village in the two months Pharmouthi and Pachon, we, regarding this as a necessary duty, present them for your information, as follows:-For the price of papyrus and writing-materials . . . of three workmen sent to Babylon 120 drachmae ; for the price of papyrus and writing-materials . . . of one workman sent to . . . i[. .] drachmae; total together $2[.$. drachmae . . '
2. The numeral $\epsilon$ is not very satisfactory, but is confirmed by the date in l. 6 .
6. The years are those of Diocletian and the Caesars Constantius and Maximianus, the year of the emperor Maximianus being omitted ; cf. e. g. the date in 71. 4 .
8. Clodius Culcianus is mentioned as praefect in Feb., A. D. 303, in 71. The present passage proves him to have been still in office at the end of May, A. D. 305.
10. Perhaps $a \nu a \gamma к \epsilon \omega \nu$ was written; the space between $\kappa$ and $\omega$ is narrow for two letters.
 ]. entas, however, remains a difficulty, for there is hardly room for $\kappa a i=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu] \in \lambda i a s$ here, and certainly not in 1. 14 .
 remote locality, e. g. 'A $\rho \sigma \tau \nu 0]$ ctû
16. $\rho$ seems to be the numeral; it is followed at a slight interval by a tall upright stroke which may be $\imath=10$.
 $i \pi \kappa \delta \epsilon i k] \nu v \mu \epsilon \nu$, e. g., is more likely.
19. Mıкрà ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O}_{\llcorner }[a \sigma \iota] \nu$ : cf. 888. 8, note.
896. REPORTS TO A LOGISTES.
$25.1 \times 34 \mathrm{~cm}$. A. D. 3 I 6.
These two reports addressed to the logistes Valerius Ammonianus belong to the same series as 53 , which was sent to the same official and is dated in the same year. The first of them, which is numbered at the top 127 , is also closely connected with 53 in subject. It is an estimate of the probable expense of painting certain specified parts of some public baths which were in course of repair ; and these repairs were also the occasion of the report contained in 53. Some new technical terms occur in the description of the work stated to be necessary. This is followed by a medical report, similar to $51-2,476$, B. G. U. 647, \&c. (cf. 983), upon an official in the service of the governor of the province Aegyptus Herculia (cf. note on 1.29). The doctors certify that the person in question, who was perhaps suspected of malingering, was suffering from a mild attack of fever.

Col. i.

```
\rhoк\zeta.
```



```
    \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha Avं\rho\eta\lambdaiov 'A\rho\tau\epsilon\mu\iota\delta\omegá\rhoоv 'A\rho\sigmat\nuóov ả\piò \tau\etâS}
    \lambda\alpha\mu\pi(\rho\hat{\alpha}s) к\alphai \lambda\alpha\mu\pi(\rhoо\tau\alphá\tau\etas) 'O\xiv\rhov\gamma\chi\iota\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas \zeta\omega\gamma\rho\alpháфоv \tau\grave{\eta}\nu
```



```
    \sigma仑́\nu0\psi\iota\nu \tau\omegaิ\nu \delta\epsilonо\mu\epsiloń\nu\omega\nu \tauó\pi\omega\nu \zeta\omega\gamma\rho\alphaфí\alphas
```



```
    'A\delta\rho!\alpha\nu
    \alphaư\tau\etâS \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas \beta\alpha\lambda\alpha\nuíov, к\alpha\tau\alphà \tau\alphav̂\tau\alpha \delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega} \chi\rho\eta!-
10 \zeta\epsilont\nu \epsilon's \lambdaóyov \zeta'\omega\gamma\rhoa\phiís \tau\hat{\nu} \tau\epsilon \delta\epsilonо\mu\epsilon'\nu\omega\nu
    \tauо́\pi\omega\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \deltav́o \psiv\chi\rhoофо́р\omega\nu к\alphai \epsiloń }\mu\beta\alpha\tauוко\hat{v
    [0]ó\lambdaov ध́\nuòs к\alphai \alpha}\rho\delta\rhoо\mu\etaк\iota\alphaí\omega\nu ö\lambdaov \xi{v\sigma\tauо\hat{v
    [\epsiloni]]\sigmaó\delta\omega\nu к\alphaì \epsiloń}ó\delta\omega\nu к\alphai \pi\alpha\rho\alpha0о\lambdaí\omega\nu \tau\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alphá\rho\omega\nu
```



```
15 [\epsilonis \mu]è\nu \taui\mu\etaे\nu \chiр\omega\mu\alpháт\omega\nu \alphá\rho\gammavрíov \delta\eta\nu\alphaрí\omega\nu
[\mu\nu\rho\iotaá\delta . . .] . [. . . . .] . єоч }\omega\gammaрафías ö\lambda\omega\nu \epsiloń\rho\gamma\omega\nu
[\alphá\rho\gammav\rhoíov \delta\etav\alpha\rhoí\omega\nu \muv]\rho\iota\alphá\delta\alpha\nu \muía\nu} öт\epsilonє
[\pi\rhoо\sigma\phi\omega\nu\hat{.}.]
```



$\delta \omega \rho o s$


Col. ii.
[ $\rho \kappa \eta$ ]


 'O $\xi v[\rho v \gamma \chi] \ell \tau \omega \nu$


 Aiyụ





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu) \Phi \alpha \rho \mu[0] \hat{v} \theta \iota$ [5.]
2nd hand $A \dot{\nu} \rho \eta \eta^{\lambda} \iota \circ$ " $H \rho \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \in \delta \delta \omega \alpha$
$\pi \rho[0 \sigma] \phi \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ஸ่s $\pi \rho o ́ к \epsilon เ \tau \alpha \iota$.




' To Valerius Ammonianus also called Gerontius, logistes of the Oxyrlyynchite nome, from Aurelius Artemidorus son of Arsinoüs, of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, a painter by profession. In reply to the request of your grace for an inspection of the places requiring painting in the public bath of the said city now auspiciously under repair at the warm baths of Trajanus Hadrianus, I hereby declare that for the painting of the parts requiring it-of the two cold water conductors, and one vapourbath, and the entrances and exits of the entire colonnade, and four passages round the vapour-bath in the outer colonnade, and the other places-there is required for cost of paint ... thousand denarii of silver, and of the ... painting of the whole work ten thousand denarii of silver; which I therefore report. The consulship of Caecinius Sabinus and

Vettius Rufinus the most illustrious, . . . (Signed) I, Aurelius Artemidorus, have presented the report. I, Aurelius . . . on wrote for him, being illiterate.'
' To Valerius Ammonianus also called Gerontius, logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from the Aurelii Heron son of . . . and Didymus son of Dioscorus, both of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, public physicians. We were sent by you to-day, Pharmouthi 6, in consequence of a petition delivered to you by Apollonius, officer of Aurelius Antonius governor of Aegyptus Herculia, to go to the house in the said city and inspect this person, and to make a written report upon the condition in which we found him. Having therefore proceeded thither we saw the man himself lying on a bed seized with a slight ...fever; which we accordingly report.' Date and signature of the two physicians.

7-8. T $\rho a a a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \delta \rho \iota a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \in \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ : the ' Baths of Hadrian' are also mentioned in 54. 14

 ad loc. is to be modified accordingly.


 creates no difficulty.
 for the $\rho$, but a mark like an overwritten $v$ would remain unexplained; the supposed $v$, however, is more directly above the $o$ than elsewhere in the papyrus. кє $\quad$ a $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma}$ for $\left.\kappa є \phi a\right] \lambda a i v v$ might be read but is not satisfactory ; perhaps каi $\tau \epsilon\rceil \lambda$ éov.
29. Aegyptus Jovia, Aegyptus Herculia, and Thebais were the three provinces of Egypt according to the reorganization of Diocletian. It was supposed by Mommsen (Abh. d. Berl. Akad., 1862, p. 500), whose view has been generally followed, that Aegyptus Jovia consisted of the western, Aegyptus Herculia of the eastern, portions of lower Egypt, the latter coinciding with the province afterwards called Augustamnica; but the intrinsically more probable hypothesis of C. Jullian (Rev. Hist. xix. p. 357) that Aegyptus Jovia was the Delta and Aegyptus Herculia corresponded to the Heptanomis with the Arsinoite nome, is supported, as the editors notice, by a papyrus published by Collinet and Jouguet in Archiv, III. pp. 339 sqq., and receives fresh confirmation from 896. Nommsen's theory, however, might be reconciled with these two documents by transposing Aegyptus Herculia to the west bank.
31. ката入á $\beta[\omega \mu \epsilon] \nu$ : or perhaps ката入á $\beta[\omega \mu] a t$, the singular being used by mistake for the plural ; the middle is supported by 51. 10.
33. к $\lambda \epsilon \iota \eta \dot{\rho} \not \eta_{\nu}^{\text {övta }}$ occurs in the corresponding passage of 983.
34. The mutilated word is probably an adjective qualifying $\pi v \rho \epsilon$ tiots.
897. Declaration to Riparif.

$$
16.5 \times 12.6 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 346 .

A declaration on oath addressed to two riparii of the Oxyrhynchite nome by four inhabitants of a village, denying all knowledge of the whereabouts of a certain individual whom they had been ordered to produce. On the riparii, who were police-officers, see 904. 3, note. The papyrus is nearly complete; the missing termination probably contained only the signatures.
' $\Upsilon \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ K $\omega \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ́ o v$ тò $\delta^{\prime}$ каi K $\omega \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma ' ~ A v ̉ \gamma o v ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu . ~$







10 § $\eta \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$. кал⿳亠 $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$






].

 14. $\tau$ of $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon$ corr. from $\delta$.

' In the consulship of our masters Constantius for the fourth time and Constans for the third time, the Augusti. To Flavius Eulogius and Flavius Dionysarius, riparii of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Amois son of Horus, and Aurelius Patapis son of Paësius, and Aurelius Sarmates the elder, and Aurelius Papnutius son of Paësius, all from the village of Ision Zapitou. Your grace required us to search out and produce Choous son of Heracleus, supposed to belong to our village. We therefore declare on the august divine oath by our masters the Augusti that Choous is no longer at our village, and that we do not know where he is, and that we have made no false statement, under pain of becoming liable to the consequences of the divine oath . . '
7. Zatitov: or Zatioov or Zayviov. The name of this village is new ; cf. 'I $\sigma \boldsymbol{i} o \nu$ May $\hat{a}$


## (c) PETITIONS

898. Petition to an Acting-Strategus.

$$
23.3 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 123
$$

A petition to Hermodorus (cf. 714), basilicogrammateus and acting-strategus, from Didymus, a minor, complaining of fraud on the part of his mother Matrina in her capacity as his guardian. It is alleged that Matrina, after various acts of bad faith, had obtained possession of a deed belonging to Didymus and demanded in exchange for it a document absolving her from all claims in connexion with the guardianship.
${ }^{\prime} E_{\rho \mu} \rho \delta \delta \omega \rho \omega \iota \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda(\iota \kappa \widehat{\varphi}) \gamma \rho \alpha(\mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath})$













${ }^{15} \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon p[\iota \sigma \pi] \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o v . \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta \alpha \hat{\alpha}[\nu-$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ каì то仑̂ $\Delta l o \sigma \kappa o ́ \rho o v ~ \epsilon ُ \nu \eta ́ \delta \rho \in \cup-$ $\sigma \epsilon \nu \ddot{\alpha} X \rho \iota \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \alpha i \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i ́-$ $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu$ каì $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta S$ '̀ $\nu \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \eta ̀ s$
$20 \gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ каì $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \iota \delta v i ̂ \alpha ~ \epsilon ่ \alpha \nu \tau \eta!!$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \rho \pi \alpha \kappa \nu i ́ \eta \iota$
oủ $\pi$ ро́тє $\rho o \nu$ ó $\mu 0 \lambda o \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \theta e ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon ~$


 $\xi \in \nu \cdot$ каíтоı Ф८лорíкои то仑 $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha(\tau \eta \gamma о \hat{\nu})$
$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu o v ̀ s ~ к р \epsilon i ́-$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, ơ่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́o $\frac{1}{}$


 $\nu \omega ิ \nu$ т $\llcorner\iota \omega \hat{\nu}$, '̇к $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \theta \lambda \epsilon i ́ \beta o v-$ $\sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon$ єis $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ dúva $\alpha \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha u$ u' $35 \tau \eta ̂ S \pi \rho 0 \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu . \quad \widehat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \chi^{\alpha}-$




 Паиิขl к $\theta$.
'To Hermodorus, basilicogrammateus and deputy-strategus, from Didymus son of Dionysius also called Phatres, of the city of Oxyrhynchus. My mother Matrina, daughter of Heracleus also called Matreus, who is my guardian and by whom I am much injured, has ended by beguiling me and causing me to go to the Oasis, and to draw up with Dioscorus, the husband of her freedwoman and her confidant, a . . of one and a half talents of silver, and to mortgage all my property in the Oasis in return for a deed of release received from Dioscorus. On my return to the Oxyrhynchite nome with Dioscorus she watched for an opportunity of asking me for the deed, and after obtaining possession of it, being conscious of the theft of much of my property, she refuses to acknowledge having it, and demands in return a receipt for her guardianship, thinking by this means to escape the consequences of her misdeeds. This she has done notwithstanding the fact that Philonicus the strategus has decided, in accordance with a report of proceedings, that another person should be appointed as my guardian, distrusting both her and my own youth. Besides this she has failed to supply my allowance for the last three months, using every means of oppressing me so as to render me incapable of proceeding against her. For all these reasons I am obliged to present this petition, and beg that it may be registered, and that you will take whatever steps you think best. The seventh year of the Emperor Caesar Trajanus Hadrianus Augustus, Pauni 29.'

1. 'Epuoס $\omega \rho \omega t$ : cf. 714. 2, referring to the year before the date of the present papyrus. In the previous line there $[\sigma \tau \rho a(\tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi})$ should be read in place of $\tau \bar{\omega} \ell$, for 898.26 shows that Philonicus was the name of the strategus.
2. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi[$ ítpo $] \pi o s:$ cf. 888.9 , note.
3. "Oa "at : i. e. the Small Oasis (Bahriyeh) ; cf. 888. 8, note.
 genitive ta入ávtov in 1. 12. The letters åo are quite clear, and the next letter is either $\tau$ or $\pi$. Possibly äठotov is to be read; cf. 1. $18 \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$ a $\pi \pi \epsilon i \sigma \pi a \sigma \tau o \nu$, and P. Brit. Mus. II.


 In l. 18 the deed is called $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \in \operatorname{pi\sigma \pi a} \pi$ as simply.
 $\pi \rho i v a ̀ \nu \lambda a ́ \beta \eta$, instead of which a participial phrase is used as if $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ were absent.
4. Фi入ovíkov: cf. note on I. I and 957. a $\sigma \rho a\left(\tau \eta \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma u \nu \tau o s\right)$ is possible, if Philonicus had resigned or died and was not merely absent temporarily. For the competence of the strategi in the appointment of guardians cf. 888. introd.


5. Petition of Apollonarion.

$$
35.3 \times 25.3 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. 200.

The recto of this papyrus consists of a copy of a petition from a woman called Apollonarion, claiming on the score of her sex to be released from the responsibility of cultivating various plots of Crown land in the Oxyrhynchite nome. That women were legally exempt from the obligation to undertake this duty was known from B. G. U. 648. 12-4 єis $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ( $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a \nu$ ) $\gamma v v \grave{\eta}$ oṽ $\sigma a$ ov̉к ó $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \omega$
 correctness of Wilcken's interpretation of that passage in Ost. i. p. 702 is now confirmed against the view of Mitteis, Aus d. Griech. Papyrusurk. p. 48) ; cf. also P. Tebt. 327 , a petition from a woman asking to be released from the liabilities of an $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\prime} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu a \tau o \gamma \rho a \phi о \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ inherited from her father.

The petition of Apollonarion is dated at the end Thoth 1 of the 9 th year of an emperor, who from the reference in 1. Io to the praefect Aemilius Saturninus must be Septimius Severus: it was a very elaborate and composite document, giving apparently the history of her case from the beginning, and quoting both her own previous petitions and various official correspondence in connexion with them. Since the papyrus contains only the concluding portion of the document, one or more preceding columns being lost, and what remains is in far from perfect condition, it is difficult to trace fully the whole complicated narrative, but the general outline of Apollonarion's proceedings is fairly clear. Her first step was to send the petition which occupies $11.2-32$. In this she explained the nature of her liabilities in connexion with the cultivation of Crown land (11. 3-8) and the difficulties into which she had fallen (11. 8-14), and requested that she, as a woman, might be released from the obligations and her place taken by men (11. 14-20). In support of her claim she appended an account of a similar application made in court in A.D. I54 by a woman, which after the recital of earlier precedents created by two praefects and an epistrategus was decided in the applicant's favour (11. 20-32). The name and rank of the official to whom this initial petition was addressed are lost, but ll. $9-10$ show that he was not the praefect, and 11. $16-7$ that he was above the strategus. The hypothesis that he was the
 in l. 18 ; but since this petition seems to be identical with the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ 亿 $\delta \iota o v$ which in 11. 33 and 38 is coupled with an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ of the dioecetes, probably the latter official was addressed, his name being Flavius Studiosus, as appears from a contemporary document on the verso (cf. p. 225). In answer to A pollonarion the dioecetes wrote a letter, apparently to the acting strategus of the nome, at the same time enclosing a copy of her petition. The text of this letter, as is shown
 diately before 11. 2-32: $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \mu \omega \omega[\sigma] \tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}[\mu \eta v$ at the end of 1.32 may indicate the official signature of the dioecetes appended to the enclosure. The date in 1.33, Phamenoth 6 of the 7 th year, applies to this signature, not to Apollonarion's petition, which was probably written a short time previously. Concerning the contents of the dioecetes' letter, it is clear both from Apollonarion's reference to it in a later
 spoken of by the acting strategus (ll. 37-8) that the dioecetes admitted the justice
of Apollonarion's claims. The next step, as appears from 11. 37-8, was for Apollonarion to write a petition to the acting strategus, Ammonianus, enclosing the letter of the dioecetes and her original petition, and no doubt asking him to give the necessary instructions to the local officials of the different villages to remove her name from the list of cultivators. This petition to the acting strategus must have been presented between Phamenoth 6 and Pachon 27 of the 7 th year, for on the latter day Ammonianus wrote to the comogrammateis of the villages concerned the letter contained in 11. 36-9, enclosing a copy of Apollonarion's petition to himself, the letter of the dioecetes and the original petition, and ordering an inquiry into the facts to be held and a report to be made. So far the negotiations seem to have proceeded smoothly, but at this point a hitch occurred ; for in Tubi of the 8th year (i.e. eight months later than Ammonianus' letter to the comogrammateis) Apollonarion addressed a second petition to the dioecetes, of which the conclusion is extant in 11. 40-45, while the lost beginning of it presumably preceded the letter of the dioecetes which occurred immediately before 11. 2 sqq. In this second petition Apollonarion began by quoting the dioecetes' letter in answer to her first petition, and the first petition itself (11. 2-23), then recounted the action of Ammonianus (11 33-9), and ended by asking the dioecetes to give stricter instructions to the new strategus (whose name is shown by one of the documents on the verso to be Diophan[es]), so that pressure might be applied to the local village authorities to carry out the previous orders of the dioecetes and to release her. The second petition of Apollonarion to the dioecetes is, we think, the $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\delta} \circ \rho v$ which in 1.46 is coupled with an a $v a \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$ as having been quoted in the papyrus. Hence the texts of both these documents seem to have immediately preceded the beginning of the second petition. The $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ is no doubt a second letter of the dioecetes (to the strategus or Apollonarion) in answer to the second petition: and with it we should connect $[\hat{\epsilon} \sigma] \eta \mu \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha a \not \mu \eta v$ in 1. 46, interpreting that on the analogy of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \mu \omega \omega\left[\sigma{ }^{\top} \alpha^{\prime}\left[\mu \eta \nu\right.\right.$ in 1. $3^{2}$ as the official signature of the dioecetes appended to the copy of the petition enclosed in his reply; but the identity of Aufidius Ammonius, who also appends his signature in 1. 46, remains quite obscure. The nature of the àvaypaфi is explained by Col. ii of the verso, which seems to contain an actual copy of it. It was a report, probably supplied by the various comogrammateis, giving the situations and descriptions of Apollonarion's holdings and the names of the previous cultivators. Probably it was sent to the dioecetes by Apollonarion along with her second petition to him, and was also included by him in his reply. That this reply was, like the earlier one, favourable to
 in $11.46-7$ : the date at which it was dispatched is not stated, but though the
second petition was written in Tubi the reply had not taken effect by the end of the year, for on Thoth I of the 9th year Apollonarion sent off yet another petition, addressed, as we think, to the strategus. In this she began by citing the whole dossier, which by this time comprised the second letter of the dioecetes, the avarpaфí, and her own second petition with all its enclosures, and concluded (11. $46-50$ ) with the usual request that the local officials should be instructed to release her from liabilities. Of this petition to the strategus we take the papyrus to be a copy, and, if so, the beginning of this third petition of which the end remains in $11.46-50$ was the actual beginning of the papyrus. A brief summary of the arrangement of this very complicated document, as reconstructed by us, may be of assistance.

I Petition of Apollonarion to the strategus (beginning)
2 second letter of the dioecetes
3 àvaүрафи́
4 second petition of Apoll. to the dioecetes (beginning)
5 first letter of the dioecetes
6 first petition of Apoll. to the dioecetes 11. 2-32
4
7
4 second petition of Apoll. to the dioecetes (end) 11. 40-5
I petition of Apoll. to the strategus (end)
11. $4^{6-50}$

The chronological order of the documents is $6,5,7,4,3,2, \mathrm{I}$.
On the verso, the surface of which is much damaged, are two incomplete columns belonging to three distinct documents, written in hands which strongly resemble each other, but are perhaps not identical, and are certainly different from the hand of the recto. The upper half of Col. i contains parts of 22 lines (the last 8 being almost entirely obliterated) of a document quoting a ímou $\quad \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ós. Among the words decipherable are 1. I ]as àтофá $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ [, $3 \pi \alpha \rho о \iota \kappa()$ каї $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma()$,

 halov каi тoùs róкovs. So far as can be judged, this document, unlike the two following, has no bearing on Apollonarion's case. The rest of Col. i is occupied by a copy of a petition similar to that of Apollonarion, made apparently a year later by another woman called Heraclia. It begins $\Delta \iota \neq \phi v_{\perp}^{\top} \in \iota$ (or $\left.-\tau \omega \not\right)$, the rest of that line and the two next being almost entirely effaced, but in 1.4 sqq. is






 this letter of Diophanes cf. the almost identical letter of Ammonianus in ll. 36-9 of the recto. Then follows a copy of the petition to Diophanes from Heraclia enclosing a letter of the dioecetes Flavius Studiosus, but these two documents, which continue up to the end of 1.17 , are in a hopeless condition. In l. i 8 sqq. is what appears to be a short imperial decree bearing upon the immunity of
 $\mathrm{E} \dot{v} \sigma \beta \beta(\eta ; s)$ : the words $\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i \nu$ dıкaias $\pi a \rho a \iota \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ occur in 1. 21, and a date, $\eta$ (ध̌ँovs) Фapuov̂ $\theta(\iota) ~ \imath \eta .1 .22$ also seems to belong to the decree, but the subject of the two following lines, which are the last of the column and begin much further to the right, is different. Col. ii has only the beginnings of lines, and probably not more than about a third of each is preserved. The subject of the first five lines is uncertain, but the rest of the column (11. 6-45) is occupied by a document bearing upon Apollonarion's case, being we think a copy of the àvaүpaф́ $\begin{aligned} \text { referred to in } 1.46 \text { of the recto (cf. p. 224). It begins (1. 6) } \delta \eta \lambda o v ̂ \mu(\epsilon \nu) ~\end{aligned}$ àvaypá $\phi \epsilon \sigma[\theta a t$, Apollonarion is alluded to more than once, and there are numerous references to lands at various places, including the vouai $\Delta \iota o v v \sigma \iota a ́ \delta o s ~ m e n t i o n e d ~ i n ~$ 1. 6 of the recto, while lists of persons occur, in one case being followed by the words $\pi$ áv $\tau(\epsilon s)$ ö $\nu \tau(\epsilon s) \pi \rho 0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \omega \rho \gamma 0(\iota ?)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 30 \text { letters }] .() \alpha \underset{\sim}{\lambda}() \mu \cdot() \alpha T() \delta_{[ }[
\end{aligned}
$$

[каі̀ 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho \alpha s$ 'A
$\epsilon \dot{u} \mu \epsilon \nu \in[\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta S \quad 19$ letters
$\sigma[\quad 25$ letters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Xєíтov és } \sigma v \nu a \text {. [ } 25 \text { letters }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \Sigma_{\Lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \grave{v}}^{\kappa \alpha i} K_{\epsilon}[\quad 25$ letters
 каi $\alpha, \underline{[ } \quad 25$ letters
 [. . . . . . . . . . vinò тov̂ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau$ 人́-
 каi of $\quad 25$ letters
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v<\eta \nu \quad$ Ig letters $\quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
 т $̀ \nu \dot{\alpha}$.[ 25 letters
 є่ $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ [ $\quad 25$ letters
 $\left.\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\mu \epsilon \tau} \underset{\sim}{[\nu \alpha} \sigma \tau\right] \eta \nu \quad[\mu \epsilon \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota . . . .$.
 тò v́тó $\mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \quad 2 I$ letters



$\nu 0 \iota \alpha \nu \pi o \iota \eta \dot{\sigma} \eta[\tau \alpha \iota \quad 17$ letters


 "̈тєра! aфф [. .] . [. . . . . . . . . . . . . . iv’ $\grave{\omega}$
 Ai入íov 'Avjшvivov $\Theta[\omega \theta \quad 14$ letters












 $\kappa[\epsilon] \kappa \rho \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha . \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau o s . . .[.$.










 Tọ̀ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \gamma \rho \alpha(\phi o \nu) . \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta े \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa o \lambda o v ́ \theta[\omega s$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma^{i} \alpha \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \nu$ тoîs
 'A $\mu[\mu] \omega \nu \iota \alpha \nu o ̀ s ~ \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \grave{s}$ s $\delta \iota \alpha \delta \in \chi^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s ~ \tau \grave{\eta}[\nu]$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ $\kappa \omega \mu о \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ Xv́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ к каi $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \omega$ -












 $\pi] o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho[\dot{\alpha}] \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi \circ \iota o \nu \mu[\epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$






 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu[\epsilon \tau \alpha] \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \iota \eta ́ \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s$






 Pap. 47. $\sigma \epsilon$ added above the line.
' To his highness the dioecetes Flavius Studiosus from Apollonarion also called Aristandra, daughter of Aristander, her mother being Didyma daughter of . . ., of Oxyrhynchus. ... (I am lessee of) 20 arourae near the metropolis, . arourae at Chusis in the pastures of Dionysias, . . . i Io arourae at Ision Panga, $38 \frac{1}{2}$ arourae at Seruphis, and ... arourae at Senekeleu and . . . As long as I had the power I cultivated these and (paid) the taxes, but since it has been my fate as the result both of the extra levies ordained... by his excellency the praefect Aemilius Saturninus and of other causes . . . to have perforce spent nearly all the year on them, not only being hard pressed . . . but also in consequence (having sacrificed) both my household stock, my private ornaments, and... and a large quantity of other property worth a considerable amount for quite a small sum ..., I am hence reduced to extreme poverty. For which reason, in order that I may not become a wanderer . . ., as I have only . . . to live on, I present this petition, and entreat you (to pity) my fate, and release me from the cultivation of the aforesaid lands, and to write to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome instructions that the official in each village shall provide for the cultivation being performed by others; for men are the persons suitable for undertaking the cultivation, as you yourself, my lord, . . . owing to your innate kindness, I have appended . . . in order that I may be completely benefited through you. Farewell. The 18th year of the deified Aelius Antoninus, Thoth.., in the case of . . . etis daughter of Ptollion: Saturninus, advocate, said, "Ptollion the father of my client was appointed (to cultivate) Crown and public land at the villages of Busiris, Thinteris, and ... in the Heracleopolite nome. He died leaving her as his heir, and since the comogrammateis of these villages are imposing upon her the obligation to cultivate her father's land in defiance of the regulations forbidding this, and it has been decided by praefects and epistrategi from time to time that women are not to be forced to undertake this duty, she too requests, citing these judgements, that she may be released from the cultivation, which pertains only to men." Parmenion said, "Let the judgements upon such cases be read." There were read a decree
of Tiberius Alexander in the 2nd year of Galba, forbidding women to be made cultivators, and a decision of Valerius Eudaemon to the same effect in the 5th year of Antoninus, and another of Minicius Corellianus, epistrategus in the ioth year of Antoninus Caesar the lord; whereupon Parmenion said, "In accordance with the judgements which have been read, Tathun . . . has the right to be released from the cultivation ... , and other cultivators ought to be appointed for the land in her stead." I, Apollonarion also called Aristandra, have presented this petition. Signed, the 7 th year, Phamenoth 6. So far the copy of the letter and the petition ; acting in accordance with which the basilicogrammateus of the nome and deputy-strategus Ammonianus wrote instructions to the officials of the villages where the lands are situated as follows: Ammonianus, basilicogrammateus and deputy-strategus, to the comogrammateus of Chusis and those of the other villages. I send you a copy of the petition presented to me by Apollonarion also called Aristandra, to which is joined a letter of his highness the dioecetes, and also a petition concerning the cultivation for which she declared herself not to be liable, in order that you may, in accordance with the judgements on the subject, hold an inquiry and report to me. Signed, the 7 th year, Pachon 27 . The change in appointment of other cultivators ought accordingly to take place in conformity with your letter, and the rents should be exacted from the former cultivators; I therefore entreat you, if it please your Fortune, to command that stricter instructions be written to the present strategus of the nome to compel the officials to make the change in accordance with the orders which they have received, and the collectors to exact the dues from the cultivators who claim the land, and not to harass me, a woman without a husband or helper, following your previous instructions in this matter, that I may obtain relief. Farewell. Presented by me, Apollonarion also called Aristandia. The 8th year, Tubi i[.]. Signed. Signed by me Aufidius Ammonius. Thus far the petition, the list, and the letter; in accordance with which I entreat you to instruct the local officials to make the change in the appointment as claimed by me, and the collectors to exact the dues from the proper persons. The $9^{\text {th }}$ year, Thoth 1. Presented by me Apollonarion also called Aristandra, daughter of Aristander. I, Cornelius son of Pekusis, have been appointed her guardian. I, ...s, assistant, have brought the petition. The 9th year, Thoth $\mathbf{I}$.
r. The nature of this much abbreviated marginal note, which is written in a larger hand than the body of the text, is quite uncertain.

9. For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda a \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}$, which were special levies at intervals, see P. Tebt. 373. 12, note. After $\kappa \kappa \lambda \in v \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \omega$ a date probably followed.
10. Aemilius Saturninus is known from B. G. U. 15. ii. 1, a letter from him to the strategi of the Heptanomis written on Epeiph 17 of the 5th year. His offcial rank was not there given, but P. M. Meyer's view that he was praefect is now confirmed by the present passage and 916. ro, where he is mentioned as praefect in Pauni of the 6th year ; cf. Cantarelli, La serie dei prefetti di Egilto, pp. 63-4. The precise date of this petition of Apollonarion to the dioecetes is uncertain (cf. introd.); it cannot be later than Phamenoth 6 of the 7 th year (cf. l. 32, note), and probably is not many months earlier. After Saturninus the next praefect who is known is Q. Maecius Laetus, who held office in the ioth year.
 11. $4^{2-3}$, where they are contrasted with the тра́ктopfs. The word seems to be a general
 $7 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda(\iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu) \gamma \rho a(\mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu) \kappa a \grave{\imath} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$, P. Flor. 57-54, \&c. After $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \eta[\tau a \iota$ something like $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \quad \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ ]yiav $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \theta a t$ is required by the sense. Possibly the previous
 (p. 226) which contains a list of these persons.
 т $\eta$ pos ；cf．introd．The construction of $11.18-19$ is not clear．$\dot{v \pi}$ étaga，if right，refers to the

 of àфiŋ $\mu$ ．
 where the termination is not eєtis．Probably she had two names．
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime \tau}$ ．［：perhaps $\ddot{\epsilon \prime \tau} \tau, \gamma \gamma_{\eta} \nu$ being an accusative of the same kind as e．g．Aeschin． 3.24

$2_{5}^{5}$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega \nu$ corresponds to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$ in the parallel passage from B．G．U． 648. 12－4 quoted on pp．222－3；cf．Wilcken，Ost．i．p． 427.

27．Parmenion，the presiding judge，cannot have been praefect，for on Thoth I of the 18th year of Antoninus（cf．1．20）that office was held by Sempronius Liberalis（B．G．U．372）． Perhaps he was epistrategus of the Heptanomis，but that view is open to the objection that in Choiak of the 20th year the epistrategus was Statilius Maximus，as is shown by 487．I， while B．G．U． 340 ，an undated petition to him，refers to events in the 12 th year，so that Statilius Maximus may have been already in office in the 18th year．Other possibilities are


28－30．For Tiberius Alexander and Valerius Eudaemon cf．Cantarelli，op．cit．pp． 33 and 49．Minicius Corellianus，epistrategus of the Heptanomis，occurs also in P．Gen． $3^{1}$
 סıaтáरнatos，is to be understood，as is shown by the word кєкрєкóто［s．In l． 28 after $\gamma є \omega \rho \gamma \iota a$ no compound of a $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon \epsilon \theta a t \text { seems satisfactory．}\end{gathered}$

32．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \mu \omega[\sigma] \hat{d}[\mu \eta \nu$ is the signature of some official and the following date refers to it， not to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \times a$ which marks the end of Apollonarion＇s petition．The signature may have been added in the office of the dioecetes upon the receipt of the petition，but since the petition is itself an enclosure in the letter of the dioecetes，we are disposed to regard $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \mu \omega \omega_{[ } \sigma\right] \dot{a}[\mu \eta \nu$ as the signature of the dioecetes at the conclusion of the copy of the petition which he was forwarding ；cf．1． 46 and introd．

33．${ }^{\text {T}} \omega$ s toútov：cf．1．46，B．G．U． 613.25 and 36，and introd．
 $\beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda_{\iota} \delta i \omega \nu$ depends，but the plural $\beta_{\iota} \beta \lambda_{\iota} \delta i \omega \nu$ being probably used，as often，for the singular，the writer may well have meant it to be the antecedent of $\dot{\omega}$ ．Cf．the letter of Diophanes quoted on p．${ }^{225}$ ，where the phrase recurs，but，with the abbreviation of $\beta \iota \beta \lambda(\iota \delta) \delta_{0} \theta \in \nu \tau()$ ． For áràa


 Diophanes quoted on pp．225－6），and perhaps тò ërepov means no more than the＇duplicate＇， i．e．＇copy．＇If it means the second of two $\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda i \delta i t a$ ，and the plural $\beta_{\imath} \beta \lambda \epsilon i \delta i \omega \nu$ in 1.37 is really correct，these were probably duplicates，not two petitions to Ammonianus written at different times，so that the sense would be much the same．None of the three documents
 $y \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a s$ is the original petition to the dioecetes already quoted in $11.2-32$ ，and the letter of the dioecetes immediately preceded it，but the petition of Apollonarion to Ammonianus himself does not seem to have been cited in the papyrus；cf．introd．

39．（є̈тovs）$\zeta$ Пaх⿳亠丷厂 к $\zeta$ ：the traces of the figure of the year are very slight，and would suit e．g．$\eta$ as well ；but the date of Ammonianus＇letter is clearly later than Phamenoth 6 of the $7^{\text {th }}$ year（1．33），the date of the communication from the dioecetes which caused it to be written，and unless the date in 1.39 is earlier than that in 1.45 （Tubi of the 8 th year）we are
unable to explain the relation of 11. 40-50 to what precedes, for $11.40-5$ cannot be regarded as an enclosure in the letter of Ammonianus.
 for the land leased by Apollonarion ; cf. $\pi \rho \circ \boldsymbol{y}^{\epsilon} \omega \rho \gamma 0($ t? $)$ in the àvapaфin cited on p. 226. In 11. $43^{-4}$ a different phrase is used $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi o \iota o v \mu[\dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu] \tau \hat{\psi}\right] s \gamma \bar{\eta} s \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, and in 1.48 they are


## 800. Petition to a Logistes.

$$
24.3 \times 16 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

A. D. $3^{22}$.

A petition to Dioscurides, the same logistes who issued the proclamation about the gymnastic display in 42, from a functionary who had been nominated as an annual superintendent of the express-post, and who here complains of the failure of certain donkey-drivers to support him in carrying out his duties. It is badly put together, in spite of the comparatively high position of the writer.

The year of the sixth consulate of Licinius Augustus and the second of Licinius Caesar, in which the papyrus is dated (cf. 42. 8-9), is still disputed. The two most recent discussions are those of Jouguet in Comptes rendus de l'Acad. des Inscr. et Belles-Lettres, 1906, pp. 231-6 (cf. Archiv, III. pp. 339-43), and Seeck in Rhcin. Mus. 1907, pp. 517 sqq., who uphold A.D. 322, and Viereck in Archiv, IV. pp. 156-62, who decides for A.D. 323. Of these alternatives we prefer the former.
人ıкıvíov


 $\lambda[\alpha \mu \pi(\rho \hat{\alpha} s) \kappa \alpha i ̀ \lambda \alpha \mu \pi(\rho o \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s)$


 ' $\xi$ ध $\epsilon$ Oous
 $\dot{v} \pi[0] \beta \alpha \lambda$ -





 ${ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \xi-$
 $X \alpha \iota \rho \in ́ \alpha \nu$

 $\dot{\eta}[\mu] \hat{\alpha} s \quad \delta_{1 \grave{\alpha}} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$
 $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in$
 $\mu^{\prime} \nu \eta{ }^{\prime}$.





'In the consulship of our masters Licinius Augustus for the sixth time and Licinius the most renowned Caesar for the second time, ... To Valerius Dioscurides also called Julianus, logistes of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Dioscorus son of Silvanus, ex-magistrate and senator of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus. Being already the administrator of the imperial patrimonial estates in the tenth pagus of this nome, I have besides been nominated as contractor for the express-post for the year auspiciously approaching. It was accordingly incumbent on those who customarily discharge such services on behalf of the annual nominees to this office, to render obedience to me who have been entrusted with so many public burdens, and to discharge their customary services, for which their expenses are provided. But whereas I learn that these persons are desirous of acting fraudulently in respect of these important and unavoidable functions, some by absenting themselves, and others by deception, and since the period before entering upon this duty has become short, I therefore hasten to present this petition, requesting that the said donkey-drivers, Faustus, Horus, and Chaereas, be compelled to retain and to provide everything for the functions pertaining to the contract which they were wont yearly to fulfil, in obedience to the annual contractors, so that I may with their assistance perform the function entrusted to me, and not be reduced to appeal to the officials upon this matter. (Endorsed) Concerning a case of fraud: in the consulship aforesaid, Mesore 6. (Signed) Presented by me, Aurelius Dioscorus.'
5. The $\pi a \tau \rho \mu \mu \omega{ }^{2} \lambda \iota a$ are the properties belonging to the imperial patrimonium, which in Egypt in the Roman period were usually called ovotaká. The occurrence of the term patrimonalia in the fourth century is noticeable.



 refer to the race-course, but, as Wilcken has remarked to us, the cursus velox or express postal service is doubtless meant ; cf. Cod. Theod. 8. 5. 62 and Preisigke, Klio, VII. p. 269. коуооvкторia $=$ conductoria is novel, and conductoria is apparently not found in the sense implied here.
 have been in the accusative. The reading is indeed uncertain, and the supposed $\pi$ not very satisfactory; but a participle seems required to balance $\delta \iota a \beta$ á $\lambda$ дovaas, and $\bar{a} \pi \iota[\rho] \bar{\jmath} \sigma \iota$, but for the case, gives a good sense. It is noticeable that évious was originally written for évious.
 and the meaning to be that the interval of time remaining before Dioscorus had to take up his duties had become short. This remedy is somewhat violent, but cf. B. G. U. 893. 12-I 4



15. On the duties of ò ònátaı see Rostowzew, Klio, vi. p. $2_{5}^{2} 3$.

16-17. $\pi a ́ v \tau^{\prime} \epsilon_{\chi} \chi \in \iota \nu$ is strange, but we can find no other suitable reading, and $\pi a ́ v \tau^{\prime}$ is
 space at the beginning of 1 . 17 . au̇oús is practically certain in spite of the letters marked doubtful, for though the $v \tau$ could equally well be read as $\pi$, and $v$, might possibly be $\kappa$, these alternatives give no word. The final shas been written twice over, once as a flourish below the line, and again in the ordinary position. evoos seems to be a slip for évavoious, a word which has already occurred in the adverbial form in 1.8 ; the mistake may have been assisted by évious in 1. I3. tois çvious is hardly a possible expression.
 rity, used in much the same way as ó óфıкcuidos. The titles commonly occur without further definition as e.g. in 894. I, but are also found both in combination with a local name


 of ódфıксidıos in 898. 28. The earliest instance of a $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ that we have noticed is P. Brit. Mus. II. 214. 22, of the reign of Aurelian.

## 901. Petition to a Public Advocate.

$15 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. 336 .

This document like 902 is addressed to an official occupying the position of ěkòmos or defensor (cf. 902. I, note), though in this case as a deputy. It is a complaint of a woman against a neighbour arising out of a chase after her pigs which had got loose; but the details of the story are lost owing to the mutilation of the papyrus. On the verso are a few letters which apparently have no relation to the petition on the recto.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \Pi[\alpha] X$ ळ̀ $\nu$.







10 Xipas goídıov . ... ıv toùs Xúpous ßou入ó $\mu \in \nu[0] s$

 Xúpous $\epsilon \ldots[.$.




 20 letters ] Opaбúr $\eta$ ! ! [. . ]ovo $\alpha$. [. . . . . .


' In the consulship of Vibius (?) Nepotianus and Tettius Facundus the most illustrious, Pachon 6. To Flavius Julianus, deputy-advocate of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelia Allous daughter of Thonius of the village of Taampemou in the fifth pagus. In the evening time of yesterday our two pigs made a rush into our piece of land, and got into the channel of the water-machine of our children and a certain Pabanus, of the said village. The aforesaid Pabanus happening to be by, and having in his hands a stick, wished to (catch) the pigs and (remove them ?) from the place. He had not been in the least injured by the pigs, but full of . . against them, because they had overrun me, wishing to . . . (I know not how?) unless from some madness . . .'
I. Cf. for these consuls, whose gentile names are not known from literary sources, P. Flor. 96.6 and 13 , where Vitelli reads ouii . [.'ov and Tefutiov. With regard to the latter, though the traces of the second $\tau$ in our papyrus are excessively slight, the letter is guaranteed by the comma after the first $\tau$ (see critical note). This mark, which is quite clear, would
not have been inserted if the next letter had been a vowel, and we have no doubt that the supposed $\imath$ in P. Flor. 96 is a similar sign, which is sometimes so exaggerated that it could easily be mistaken for a letter. Moreover, Tettius has the advantage of being a well-known Roman name. Oíı [.. ov may represent either Virius, as Vitelli suggests, or Vibius.
3. סוoккойдть ধ̇кঠıкiav: the occurrence of this phrase shows that Wilcken's objections in Archiv, II. p. 127 to our supplement $\delta \iota o t(0 \hat{v} \tau \tau)[\tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho(a \tau \eta \gamma i a \nu)$ in P. Amh. 72. I are groundless. Its precise significance is not yet proved, but we adhere meanwhile to our original explanation that it means a deputy, and not the magistrate proper ; cf. the analogous use of $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ in e. g. 727. 5, P. Brit. Mus. 908. I3 and 19.
4. The village of Taa $\boldsymbol{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu v$ is mentioned in 501. ıо, \&c.
8. $\pi \epsilon \delta \omega \nu$, if not equivalent to $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ as suggested above, might be for $\pi \epsilon \delta i \omega \nu$; but $\pi \epsilon \delta i a$ in papyri commonly mean the lands of a village, not of a private owner.
10. $\ldots \ldots \iota$ is no doubt an infinitive depending on $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\beta} \mu \in \nu_{[0}[\mathrm{s}$, but not $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$ or $\lambda a \beta i \nu$.
14. Perhaps oúk oioa ö] $\pi \omega$ s. At the beginning of the line there is a vestige of ink in front of oc, but if another letter was written this line was begun further to the left than those above it.

## 902. Petition to a Public Advocate.

$$
3 \mathrm{I} 5 \times 39 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

About A. D. $4^{6} 5$.
A petition to a defensor ( $\epsilon \kappa \delta \iota к о s: ~ c f . ~ n o t e ~ o n ~ 1 . ~ I) ~ o f ~ C y n o p o l i s ~ f r o m ~ a ~$ cultivator, complaining of oppression and wrongful imprisonment by a member of the senate. According to his own statement the petitioner would seem to have been treated with extreme harshness; but it is likely from his repeated offer (11. $9-10,16-7$ ) to pay any debt which could be established against him, that right was not entirely on one side. A difficulty arises regarding the date of the papyrus; cf. note on l. I9.
 [ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{]}$ A
 ov̉のías
 ס̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тoúтov $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$
 $\zeta \omega \omega \nu$,
 $\tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \pi \rho o ̀$

 $\tau \circ ข ิ \nu \tau \alpha$
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$
 каi єis аỉ $\alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$
 $\lambda$ ィ $\beta$ є́ $\lambda \lambda$ ous
 $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau\left\langle\langle\nu\rangle \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \omega s{ }^{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \nu\right.$
 $\tau \cup \rho \alpha \nu \nu ו \kappa \widehat{̣}$ тро́т $\omega$ ßокка́ $\mu$ ои
 $\sigma \hat{\eta}$ 入оуıór $\eta \tau \iota \pi \rho \alpha-$
 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$
反ı $\alpha \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau о-$
 ＇I $\omega \sigma \grave{\eta} \phi \quad$ €́ $\pi \iota \delta \in ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ ．
 $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \theta \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о v$＇$A \theta \grave{v} \rho \kappa \delta$ ．


 16．Some letters inserted above $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \nu$ have been erased．I7．First $\sigma$ of $\mu \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ corr．

＇To Flavius Isaac，most learned advocate and defensor of the upper quarter of Cynopolis， from Aurelius Macarius，son of Joseph，of the said city．In the past I was appointed irrigator and cultivator of real property on the estate of Phoebammon，of blessed memory， member of the council．After his death his brother Theodorus entered upon the manage－ ment of his property，and tyrannously seized eight fine beasts out of my kine；he also sent and had me unjustly carried off to prison three months ago，in consequence of which the remainder of my kine have died of hunger．This he has done in spite of my readiness to pay，if written proof of any debt to him can be produced．Therefore，since advocates have been devised in the cities for the purpose of lending assistance to the oppressed－and I have been reduced to complete ruin and the extremity of hunger through the aforesaid member of the council－I present this petition to your wisdom，begging you to order him to
be summoned, first of all bringing about the restoration to me by his excellency of my kine which he tyrannously seized, in the same good condition in which they then were; and for the rest directing that what seems good to your wisdom should be done, and that I be released from my bonds, since I am ready, as aforesaid, to discharge any debt secured in writing. For the perpetrators of injustice are hateful to the laws, most learned lord adrocate. (Signed) I, Aurelius Macarius, son of Joseph, presented this petition. The year after the consulship of the most illustrious Flavius Vivianus for the second time, and of the consul whose name is to be declared, Athur 24.'


 These $\epsilon \kappa \delta \kappa$ oro are the defensores civitatis who from the year A.D. 365 appear as regularly constituted authorities in the provincial towns (Cod. Just. I. 55; Cod. Theod. 1. 29). They were elected by the body of the citizens, the decurions being ineligible, and held office originally for five years, but after the time of Justinian only for two. Scholastici are expressly named in Cod. Theod. 1. 29. 2 among the classes suitable for the appointment. The defensores had a limited jurisdiction in civil cases and in minor criminal matters; their chief function was, as described in 11. ro-r of the papyrus, to protect citizens from oppression and injustice-plebem tantum vel decuriones ab omni improborum insolentia et temeritate tueantur, Cod. Theod. 1. 29. 7; cf. Cod. Just. 1. 55. 4 ut imprimis parentis vicem plebi exhibeas, descriptionibus rusticos urbanosque non patiaris adfigi, officialium insolentiae, iudicum procacitati . . . occurras, \&c. In P. Leipzig 34. Io of c. A.D. 375 the form $\delta \eta \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \rho$ is used.
 such as drawing up petitions; cf. Cod. Theod. 8. 10. 2, where they are coupled with officiales, and their avarice is censured: nec latet . . . scholasticos ultra modum acceptis honorariis in defensione causarum omnium et anmonas et sumptus accipere consuesse.


7. ['ढтti]גaro is not quite satisfactory, the $\lambda$ being doubtful, and the middle voice unusual.
13. $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha\langle\nu\rangle$ ס́n $\pi \omega s$ : or $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0\langle\tau \dot{v}\rangle \pi \omega s$, as Wilcken suggests.
19. This date is singular, for the order of the words must imply that Vivianus had been consul for the second time, whereas his only recorded consulship is that in A.D. 463 (when his partner was Fl. Caecina Basilius, or, according to Marcellinus, Felix), and the lists show no blanks in the years preceding and following that year, to which period without

 $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho о т a ́ t o v ~ к а i ̀ ~ т о и ̀ ~ a ́ \pi а д \grave{\chi \theta \eta \sigma о \mu e ́ v o v: ~ n u m e r o u s ~ o t h e r ~ e x a m p l e s ~ a r e ~ g i v e n ~ i n ~ D u ~ C a n g e, ~ s . v . ~}$


## 903. Accusation against a Husband.

$27.2 \times 2 \mathrm{I} .6 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Fourth century.
This singular document is an elaborate indictment of a husband by his wife, who gives a circumstantial account of the former's violent or insulting behaviour, extending over a considerable period of time. The two, whose names are not mentioned, seem to have been a young couple, united originally by an äppaфos

дá $\mu \mathrm{os}$, and subsequently by a regular contract (11. 17-8) ; but in neither condition could they succeed in living on terms of harmony. The present document, which is unaddressed, was presumably a kind of affidavit used in proceedings taken against the husband; it is written in vulgar Greek, and in an irregular uncial hand, the letters of the first two lines being much enlarged. The occurrence of the word $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \bar{\eta}$ in 1.37 is of special interest in connexion with the muchdiscussed Epistle of Psenosiris; cf. note ad loc. On the verso are a few lines of shorthand in two columns.
$\Pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \hat{i} \pi \epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{v} \dot{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$.








 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \hat{\eta} \rho \kappa \epsilon \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma \hat{\omega \alpha}$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \alpha ́$.




каì тоis $\delta 0$ únoıs
























 ïß $\iota \iota \zeta$ Pap. 22. $\sigma$ of $\sigma \iota \tau o v$ corr. from $\tau$. 26. ìva Pap. 28. 1. à $\pi \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \eta \sigma a$. 3 r. l.
 added above the line. at of apaı corr. (?) 37. ıк of $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu$ added above the line.
'Concerning all the insults uttered by him against me. He shut up his own slaves and mine with my foster-daughters and his agent and son for seven whole days in his cellars, having insulted his slaves and my slave Zoë and half killed them with blows, and he applied fire to my foster-daughters, having stripped them quite naked, which is contrary to the laws. He also said to the same foster-daughters, "Give up all that is hers," and they said, "She has nothing with us"; and to the slaves when they were being beaten he said, "What did she take from my house ?" and they under torture said, "She has taken nothing of yours, but all your property is safe." Zoilus went to see him because he had shut up his foster-son, and he said to him, "Have you come on account of your foster-son or of such a woman, to talk about her ?" He swore in the presence of the bishops and of his own brothers, "Henceforward I will not hide all my keys from her (he trusted his slaves but would not trust me); I will stop and not insult her." Whereupon a marriage deed was made, and after this agreement and his oaths, he again hid the keys from me; and when I had gone out to the church at Sambatho he had the outside doors shut on me, saying, "Why did you go to the church ?" and using many terms of abuse to my face, and through his nose. There were 100 artabae of corn due to the State on my account of which he paid nothing, not a single artaba. He obtained possession of the books, and shut them up saying, "Pay the price of the hundred artabae " having himself paid nothing, as I stated before; and he said to his slaves, "Provide helpers, to shut her up also." Choous his assistant was carried off to prison, and Euthalamus gave security for him which was insufficient, so I took a little more and gave it for the said Choous. When I met him at Antinoöpolis having my bathing-bag (?) with my ornaments, he said to me, "I shall take anything you have with you on account of the security which you gave to my assistant Choous for his dues to the State." To all this his mother will bear witness. He also persisted in vexing my soul about his slave Anilla, both at Antinoöpolis and here, saying, "Send away this slave, for she knows how much she has possessed herself of," probably wanting to get me involved, and on this pretext to take away whatever I have myself. But I refused to send her away, and he kept saying, " A month hence I will take a mistress." God knows this is true.'
3. трафін[ $\omega_{\nu}{ }^{\nu}$ : cf. P. Leipzig 47. го. The то́́фıаи here were apparently some girls who were being brought up by the complainant, the masculine in 1. 8 being an error A different male toó申ıuos is mentioned in l. 12.
6. For the hyperbole in àmoктivas cf. e.g. P. Brit. Mus. I. II 13 . 12 (d). II ó $\chi \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \eta s$

9. $\pi a \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ (literally 'on our side') is practically equivalent to $\pi a \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$.
14. toiav is a slighting reference to the writer of this indictment. For $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi \\ \nu\end{gathered} \omega$ of. 131.
 $\epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu$ (both of Byzantine period); the word is used in another uncommon sense in 1. 20.
${ }_{15}$. With this oath made in the presence of the bishops cf. P. Leipzig 43, where a bishop acts as a judge.

16-7. The insertion above the line is a parenthetical explanation of ov $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \rho \dot{v} \psi \omega .$. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i s$.
22. Speaking through the nose aggravated the insult ; cf. $\mu v \kappa т \eta \rho i \xi \in \iota \nu$, naso suspendere, \&c. In Persius i. 33 balba de nare locutus has a different meaning.
29. $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ s $\beta$ a入aviov is perhaps better written as two words than one. In either case the article meant seems to be some kind of handbag which was carried by a lady in going to the bath, and would hold trinkets and similar objects. A connexion with $\beta$ a $\lambda a v i v \eta$, Sc. $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$ (cf. 265. 3), is less likely.


 which supplies a contemporary parallel, supports our view of that papyrus as against the interpretation of Deissmann (The Epistle of Psenosiris) who wished to make $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \tau \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ there a proper name.
904. Petition to a Praeses.

$$
31.3 \times 88.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth century }
$$

A petition addressed to an unnamed praeses (of the Thebaid) by a certain Flavius, who had consented to act as substitute for Philoxenus in the post of riparius, a police official (cf. l. 3, note). Philoxenus had undertaken to provide Flavius with the requisite staff of helpers and indemnity in case of accident, but had failed to fulfil his bond; and Flavius, who had been subjected to much indignity and even violence in the performance of his duties, now prays that he may be released from them and the original holder made responsible. The petition is cast in a stilted and rhetorical style; the handwriting is an exceptionally large, formal cursive.

## $\Pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Phi \lambda(\alpha o v i ́ o v)$.


 $\sigma \iota \omega \mu \in ́ \nu o v \mu \alpha \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \iota \alpha \nu \circ$ v̂.

 $\dot{\dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota, ~}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \in i$ каi $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \hat{\eta}$ а́то́т $\eta \mu \alpha ́$ ть $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$




 $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta}$

 $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ €̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$

 $\tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 v$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{v} \mu \in \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha s$




'From Flavius. The purity of your righteous judgement will surely pity me, an old man who has suffered a breach of covenant and mockery at the hands of Philoxenus, the devoted magistrianus. He gave me his word on oath, and promised that he would surely fulfil without any reminding every requirement for the office of riparius, providing for my support both servants and assistants and others whose duty it would be to undertake the guarding of the city; and not only so, but he promised that. if anything extraordinary happened, he would himself make up the loss to those who suffered injury, and also that he would set right everything connected with this office. But all this he has evaded, paying no attention to my unfortunate self, who am daily suspended by ropes and have my body belaboured with blows, and possess no brother, no relative, no son to sympathize with me, so that at last the very breath of my life is in danger. Accordingly I make my entreaties to your highness that I should be released from so grievous an office, and that the original holder should be compelled to finish it either himself or through some other person, as I renounce
it, being unable to endure any longer an office so severe and onerous, in order that having gained my request I may bless the impartial ears of your highness, our most noble lord praeses.'
2. á $\sigma v \nu \theta \eta \kappa \kappa i \quad i \quad$ is presumably an adverb from á $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta \eta k o s$, a form occurring in Onesand. Strateg. 1. 37. à $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \eta \kappa a$ would have been more normal with каi $\chi \lambda \in u ́ \eta \nu$ following.

дayıarpanov: the magistriani were the agentes in rebus in the service of the magister officiorum, and were employed as messengers or representatives in the provinces; cf. Cod. Theod. 6. 27 , Cod. Just. 12. 20 De agentibus in rebus. каӨ由бьше́vos which $=$ devotissimus, i. e. a true servant of the State, was the regular epithet of magistriani; cf. e. g. Cod. Just. 12.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta(i \kappa \omega)$.
3. $\rho \iota \pi a p i o v:$ that the riparius, who first appears in the fourth century, was primarily a police official appears clearly from 1.4, where the $\pi a p a \phi u \lambda a \kappa \eta \geqslant \eta \eta s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ is mentioned as the sphere of duties of his assistants, and the other evidence is in accordance with this. In P . Amh. i46 a riparius issues to eirenarchs an order for arrest similar to those sent in earlier times by the strategus (e.g. P. Tebt. 290), decurion (64), or beneficiarius (65). Petitions to riparii concerning cases of assault are extant in P. Cairo 10269 and P. Leipzig 37, and in 807 they are found engaged in the search for offenders. Other references to them are P. Leipzig 49, where a riparius appears as surety for the appearance of a person, P. Leipzig

 of the sixth century, when the 'houses' of the great nobles play an important part in the administration of the country (cf. 133.8), and P. Brit. Mus. 653 . I7. They were sometimes officials of $\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \pi$ ódєıs (e.g. P. Leipzig 49), sometimes of the nome (P. Leipzig 37 and 897), and are often found acting in pairs, e.g. 897, and P. Cairo 10269. The office, as 904 shows, was a burdensome $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ тоvpyía.
5. àтокаөıбтâv: this form occurs as early as Aristotle, Metaph. 11.8. 12; cf. Diod. i. 78, Act. Apost. 17. I 5 каАı $\sigma \tau \omega ̄ \nu \tau \epsilon s$, \&c.



## (d) CONTRACTS

## 905. Marriage Contract.

A short but interesting contract of marriage between two inhabitants of the Oxyrhynchite village Psobthis. The formula, as usual in Oxyrhynchus marriagecontracts, is of the protocol type, not that of a ó $\mu$ oдo $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$ a as in the Fayûm ; cf. 496. The dowry brought by the bride is briefly described, the obligation of the husband to maintain his wife adequately is emphasized in the stereotyped phraseology, and provision is made for the restoration of the dowry in case of a separation. An uncommon clause is added at the end, where the bridegroom's father appears as a consenting party to the decd and guarantor of the repayment of the dowry; and the opening formula is also remarkable ; cf. 1. I, note.
[........ . 'Avt $\omega \nu i ́] \nu$ оv каi Фаvбтєívas $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \in \beta \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$.




$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \widehat{\varphi}$
















4. $\kappa$ of $\kappa \omega \mu \eta$ s corr. from $\pi$, and $\gamma$ and $\mu$ of $\gamma a \mu o v$ written above $\mu$ and $\gamma$ which are crossed through. 5. [єєs $\phi \epsilon] \rho \nu \eta \nu \lambda o y o v ~ a d d e d ~ a b o v e ~ t h e ~ l i n e ; ~ 1 . ~ \phi \epsilon] \rho \nu \eta \eta_{s} \lambda o ́ \gamma o v . ~ 6.1 . ~$
 M $\eta \nu 0 \delta \dot{\omega} \rho \omega$. ${ }^{17} . \eta \rho_{1}[a] \kappa \lambda \eta s \ldots \kappa \omega \mu \eta s$ added above the line.
'... Antoninus and Faustina, Augusti. Menodorus son of Horus and Tacallippus of the village of Psobthis has given for partnership of marriage Thatres, his daughter by Thatres, to Apollonius son of Heracles and Tausorapis. The bride brings to her husband in respect of dowry one mina's weight on the Oxyrhynchite standard of common gold, in kind, according to valuation, and in parapherna in clothing two outer veils, one..., the other white. Let the husband and wife therefore live together observing the duties of marriage, and the husband shall supply the wife with necessaries in proportion to his means. If a separation takes place after the birth of children or before it, the husband shall restore all the superdowry at the time of separation, and the dowry in sixty days from the day on which the separation takes place; and Menodorus, the giver of the bride, shall have the right of execution upon the husband and upon all his property. The father of the husband, Heracles son of Morus and Apollonia, of the said village, being present assents to the
marriage, and is surety for the payment of the aforesaid dowry. This contract is valid, being written in duplicate in order that each party may have a copy ; and in answer to the formal question they have declared to each other their consent. The ioth year, Phamenoth 18.'
I. It is very unlikely that this line is a date. There seems to be barely room for
 noticeable that the month is not added (there being a blank space after $\sum_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ), and the date at the end makes another at the beginning quite superfluous. Moreover, the mention of the empress in a date would be very unusual, though possibly it might have been thought appropriate in a marriage-contract; cf, the coins in which Faustina is associated with Fecunditas, Fortuna mulicbris, Laetitia, \&c. These considerations suggest the probability
 be compared the arafij ríx common in wills. In any case, however, the mention of Фavareiva $\Sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \eta$ h here appears to fix the year given in 1.20 as the 10 th of Marcus Aurelius,
 ad loc.), the fact that none of the parties to the contract is an Aurelius gives strong support to a date earlier than Caracalla. For Faustina cf. 502. 3-4, where a priest of Фavgriva $\Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma \tau \eta \prime$ occurs in the reign of Marcus.
5. o $\delta \bar{\epsilon} \mathrm{M} \eta \nu \delta \delta \delta \omega \rho]$ os is too long, and the natural subject of $\phi \in \rho \in \iota$ is the bride. We therefore suggest $\epsilon \kappa \delta o \tau]$ Jos, though that word does not apparently occur in the papyri ; cf. however,

 of $\gamma$ in pıaүaïo exemplifies a common phenomenon; cf. P. Tebt. 26. 12, Mayser, Grammatik, pp. $167-8$.
 $\sigma o v(\beta) \rho ı к о \pi a ̈ \lambda \lambda \iota o v$.
8. ]yov is the termination of some word like aavסíkıvò or крокஸ́тıvov.
10. $\overline{\epsilon \pi \iota} \backslash \chi \rho \eta \gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega:$ cf. e. g. 906. 6.

12-3. Cf. 603, where it is similarly provided that the $\pi$ a $\rho$ ád $\rho \rho a$ were to be returned on demand, and the $\phi \in \rho \nu^{\prime}$ within sixty days. The latter term is also that fixed in 497. 6 and P. Gen. 2 I (Archiv, III. p. 387); in Roman marriage-contracts thirty days is a commoner limit. At the beginning of 1 . 13 the space is rather broad for $\ddot{\mu} \mu[a]$, and perhaps $a_{\mu} \mu[a \operatorname{av}][\{\hat{\eta}$ $\langle r \hat{\eta}\rangle$ should be read.

16-8. On the analogy of this passage we would suggest that the signature which in 497. $22-4$ follows those of the bridegroom and the bride's father is that of the bridegroom's father, who was perhaps made security, as here, for the repayment. Similarly in 906. io Isidorus, who is only a few years younger than the father of the wife, may well be the father of the husband. In P. Leipzig 27 , which like 906 is an agreement for divorce, the husband is associated with a person who actually pays over the dowry on his behalf to the wife's father; but this fourth person is there unlikely to be the husband's father, since not only is no such relationship stated, but the husband was a freedman, who would not be expected to have an assignable father. Mitteis suggests that he was the banker, but that does not seem at all probable; we should prefer to suppose that he was more intimately concerned in the transaction, and had appeared in the original marriage-contract as the husband's guarantor.
19. This is a remarkably early example of the use in Egypt of the stipulatory formula, which only becomes common in the third century. In fact we are unable to refer to another instance from the second century apart from those in which Roman citizens are concerned, for in C.!P. R. 22. [35, "which is cited by Mitteis, Reichsrecht, p. $\left.486^{3}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega\right] \tau \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon}[\sigma a$ is an erroneous reading (Hunt, Gött. Gel. Anz., 1897, p. 462).

## 906. Deed of Divorce.

$12.6 \times 33.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. Second or early third century.
The conclusion of a contract for divorce ; cf. 268, P. Leipzig 27, C. P. R. I. ${ }^{23}, \& c$. The document is apparently called an $\dot{a} \pi o x \dot{\prime}$, referring to the repayment of the dowry ; cf. note on l. 10. At the end are the names and descriptions of the principal parties to the contract, Horion who is no doubt the wife's father, Plutarche the wife, and a third person who is not the husband but may have been a surety for him ; cf. 905. 16-8, note.

$\tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \sigma v \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} S \quad \epsilon[\sigma] \tau \alpha \mu[\epsilon \in \nu \alpha$




 $\Delta[\imath] 0 \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta S$
 $\delta \iota \alpha \iota \tau \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu[0] \iota s \alpha^{\alpha} \chi \rho \iota$


 $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \quad \sigma[\nu] \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\sigma \nu \nu \chi \omega \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad$ ảкupov $\epsilon i \nu \alpha l$.


'. . . and the parapherna fixed in the said contract, worth 40 drachmae. The three further agree that they neither make nor will make any claim or proceed against each other on any point connected with the union of Diogenes and Plutarche, or on any other subject whatever up to the present day. Diogenes shall henceforth provide the necessary means for the said sons, who shall live with him until they come of age; and henceforth it shall
be lawful for Diogenes and Plutarche, either of them, to marry as they choose without incurring liability, any act of aggression against them being invalid. The above-mentioned contract, and the registration of it through the record-office, and communication of it are acknowledged to be invalid. This receipt is valid. Horion, aged about 57, with no distinguishing mark. Plutarche, aged about 24 , with no distinguishing mark. O . . Isidorus, aged about 48 , with a scar on his right eyebrow.'

 the $\pi a \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \nu a$ is generally provided for without any stipulation concerning their value, such as commonly occurs in connexion with the $\phi \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta}$. In the marriage-contract of Diogenes
 тари́фєруа seem to have been stated.

 archidicastes in the Library of Hadrian and Nanaeum at Alexandria; cf. 719, P. Leipzig ıo, and, for the latest discussion, P. Strassb. 29 introd. These deeds were, however, in all previously known instances $\chi$ єє́óरpaфа, i. e. private notes of hand without the intervention of the agoranomus or other notarial official, whereas the document in the present case was a $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, i. e. the original marriage-contract of Diogenes and Plutarche. The extant marriage-contracts of the Roman period are all notarial $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi a i(c f . ~ P . ~ M . ~ M e y e r, ~ K l i o, ~ V I . ~$ pp. $44^{2}$ sqq.), and that a $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi y^{\prime}$ should have undergone $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma t s$ at Alexandria is a new and surprising phenomenon. The only explanation which we can suggest is that the $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$ in question resembled that mentioned in 259. 11 in being iòtóypapos, i. e. that it was really a $\chi є \iota \rho \frac{1}{\gamma \rho a ф o \nu ~(c f . ~ P . ~ M . ~ M e y e r, ~ o p . ~ c i t ., ~ p . ~ 447), ~ w h i c h ~ r e q u i r e d ~ t o ~ b e ~ s e n t ~ t o ~}$ Alexandria to receive official $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$. What is precisely meant by $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta o \sigma \iota \nu$ here is also not quite clear, owing to our ignorance of the terms of the $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ and the circumstances of its $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i \omega \sigma t s$, but $\mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{\delta} \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ is likely to be connected with $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta o \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \omega$ which occurs in the instructions of the archidicastes quoted in the documents bearing upon the $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \sigma t s$, e.g. 719. 4, B. G. U. 578 8. 7. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta o \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ in the latter example is explained by Mitteis (Hermes, xxxii. p. $6_{4}$ ) as 'the communication of the copy of the petition concerning $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma$ ts to the defendant through the strategus', but this explanation does not very well suit the other cases where the $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma$ os is not preparatory to an action at law as in B. G. U. 578 , but is only a precautionary step (cf. 719. introd.). Perhaps $\mu \epsilon \tau$ tiooots means the official communication of the fact of $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota s$ to all concerned.
ro. $\dot{a} \pi o x_{n}^{\prime}$ : the reading of the last three letters is uncertain, but an abbreviation of $\dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma^{\prime} \dot{n}$ or $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \zeta_{v} \gamma^{\prime}$ is not admissible. The repayment of the dowry was the chief point in a contract concerning divorce ; the formula of 266 and P. Brit. Mus. II. if 8 is simply that of an àmoxí: cf. Lesquier, Rev. de Phil. 1906, p. 25.

## 907. Will of Hermogenes.

$$
26.5 \times 22.4 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

The following will is preserved on the verso of 412, a fragment from the Kєotoí of Julius Africanus. The testator, Aurelius Hermogenes, a president of the boule at Oxyrhynchus, divides a considerable real estate between his five children-three sons and two daughters-and his wife. Property of various
kinds is first apportioned to the sons, a special bequest being made to the eldest of them (ll. 7-II). Other property was similarly to be shared by the daughters, the elder of whom was married; the dowry bestowed on this elder daughter is confirmed, and provision made for the future marriage-portion of her sister (II. II-6, $24-5$ ). To the wife is given the absolute ownership of some land hypothecated as security for her dowry. A guardian is appointed for the three younger children who were still under age, to act in the case of the sons until they attained their majority, in that of the daughter until her marriage; the wife of Hermogenes is associated in the guardianship, and a nephew is requested to give his assistance when required.

The chief point of interest in this will, which is not the original document but a copy taken after the original was opened (cf. 1.28 and note), lies in its adherence to Latin formulae. According to the express statement of 1.2 the deed was drawn up in Greek; yet it reproduces in a striking manner the phraseology of the will of Gaius Longinus Castor at Berlin (B. G. U. 326 ; cf. Mommsen, Sitzungsber. d. Pr. Akad. 1894, p, 47, Scialoja, Bull. dell Inst. di dir. rom. vii, p. 2, \&c.), which was translated from the Latin. In the recent monograph of Arangio-Ruiz, La succossione testamentaria secondo i papiri greco-egizii, where the evidence is conveniently collected and fully discussed, it is remarked (pp. 277-9) how little difference the promulgation of the constitutio Antonina made to the testamentary formulae current in Egypt. Latin phrases and forms appear sporadically, but the few previously published Greek wills of the third and following centuries have been cast in the typically Greek shape. In the light of the present text this conclusion needs some modification. The preference here shown for Latin forms may be traceable in a greater or less degree to the high municipal position of the testator; but the influence of Roman law upon the formulae of Egyptian wills was evidently stronger than has hitherto been suspected.

The papyrus is dated on Pauni 7 (June 1) of the first year of the emperor Tacitus, and is said to have been opened in the following month Epeiph (June 25July 24) of the 'same first year'. Aurelian seems to have been killed before March 25, 275, but since Tacitus was not chosen emperor till about September and his accession could not have been foreseen, it is evident that the date of the papyrus refers to the year 276 . Tacitus only reigned six months, his death probably occurring early in April; that the news of it had not yet reached Oxyrhynchus by Epeiph is however not very remarkable, for there were considerable variations in the length of the periods which elapsed before changes in the imperial succession became generally known in Egypt: Commodus appears in the date of B. G. U. 5I5 more than five months after his death. Cf.
912. 40, note, and P. Strassburg 8. 17, where Pauni 14 of the first year of Tacitus occurs, and Preisigke's discussion in pp. 30 sqq.

The ends of the lines are missing throughout and the exact extent of the loss is not quite certain. Assuming that 1.6 corresponded verbally to B. G. U. 326. i. I5, the number of letters to be supplied in 11 . I-16 is about 35 , in the remainder 2 or 3 less; and our restorations are made on this hypothesis. In one or two places a slightly longer supplement seems necessary, but not more than can be accounted for by a reasonable variation in the length of the lines and the size of the writing.
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 Паขิขし §.

 тò $\beta$ ои́ $\eta \mu \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi о$ í $\eta$ -



  27. таӥи Pap.


#### Abstract

' Aurelius Hermogenes also called Eudaemon, exegetes, councillor and prytanis of the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, dictated the following will in the Greek


 language, in accordance with the permission. Aurelius Herminus, Aurelius Horion, Aurelius Heraclides, Aurelia Ptolemais and Aurelia Didyme, my five dearest children by my . . . wife Aurelia Isidora also called Prisca, a matron wearing the stola, shall be my heirs according to the disposition below written, and on the conditions on which each..., all other persons being disinherited; they shall proceed to my inheritance in accordance with the bequests made to each of them whenever they . . . themselves to be my heirs; they shall be responsible for giving, doing, and providing all this which is written in this my testament, and I confide this to their honour. To Aurelius Herminus, Aurelius Horion, and Aurelius Heraclides my three sons as aforesaid I bequeath jointly in equal shares on behalf of my inheritance the vineyard belonging to me near the village of (?) Istrus by the upper temple of Isis, and all the corn-land and . . . and utensils and all appurtenances, and all the corn-land belonging to me at Sepho, and in the metropolis my ... house and all the furniture in it; and to Herminus alone as his special property all the corn-land belonging to me at Sen ... jointly with . . ., and my slave called Philodioscorus. To Aurelia Ptolemais and Aurelia Didyme my aforesaid daughters . . . I give and bequeath likewise jointly, and in equal shares on behalf of my inheritance, the vineyard belonging to me at . . . jointly with the said . . . with all the corn-land and the plant, utensils, and all appurtenances. To Didyme alone as her special property I bequeath . . . and I also confirm to Ptolemais by this my will the dowry . . . which I previously gave her, and I leave to her my slave named Eunoea; my remaining four slaves, Dioscurides and Sabinus and Herm ... and . . ., I bequeath to the three sons and one of the daughters, to wit Didyme. To Aurelia Isidora also called Prisca, my wedded wife . . . who has conducted herself becomingly in our married life, I leave as her own property all the corn-land belonging to me at . . . bis jointly with the said . . ., which was previously mortgaged by me to her in security for the dowry brought to me with her ... I appoint as guardian of my three children aforesaid who are under age, Horion, Heraclides, and Didyme, until the boys attain majority and the girl is married, Aurelius Demetrius son of Dionysotheon, with the concurrence, in all that pertains to the guardianship, of my aforesaid wife Isidora also called Prisca ; and accordingly I do not wish any magistrate or deputy or any other person to intrude himself. . ., for I further enjoin it upon the piety of my nephew Didymus to assist Demetrius in any way that may be required of him. To my friend Aurelius Dionysammon I bequeath and I wish that there be given him during his lifetime from . . . and the cornland belonging to me at Moa thirty jars of wine at the vintage and . . . artabae of wheat by the tenth measure in the month of Pauni. (I direct that there be provided as dowry) for Didyme . . . by her brothers four talents of silver . . . This will was made by me in the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus in the first year of our lord Marcus Claudius Tacitus, Pauni 7. The first year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus Claudius Tacitus Pius Felix Augustus, Pauni 7. I, Aurelius Hermogenes also called Eudaemon, have made this will with all the above provisions. Opened in the same first year, Epeiph.'
## 

2. Cf. 990 and P. Rainer 1702. I3 (Wien. Stud. ix. p. 24 I) रра́ $\mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ] 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa$ îs àко$\lambda o ́ v \theta \omega s ~ \tau \hat{n}$ E $\epsilon i a$ [ $\delta \iota a \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$. According to the older Roman law the use of the Latin language was essential in all legal transactions. The emperor who established the right to use Greek
is thought to have been Alexander Severus, to whose reign the Rainer papyrus belongs; whether the permission applied to other countries besides Egypt is disputed ; cf. ArangioRuiz, op. cit., p. 266 sqq.
 $\dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\eta}_{\xi} k a i$ would not fill the space.
3. $\mu$ arpóvas $\sigma$ to丸átas: cf. B. G. U. 860. I, P. Flor. 16. I. The stola was the mark of rank and dignity. aipé $\sigma \epsilon \iota=$ voluntati, a common term in connexion with wills. $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta$ |is a verb apparently meaning 'shares' or 'is endowed'.
 informs us, the fifth letter is almost certainly $\iota$, and therefore something like [kai aûrat eै $\sigma \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o$ jó $\neq 0$ o is probably to be restored. The construction of the rest of that line remains uncertain; $\mu[$ épous], however, is not necessary (cf. e.g. il. 7 and 12 of our papyrus) and

 perhaps ${ }^{2}$ о $\mu$ ot is part of some phrase with oi] vó $\mu o t$, for which cf. the passage of the Leipzig


 in Wessely's Stud. z. Paläographie I, p. 6, 1. 24 ; the phrase corresponds to the Latin formula ceteri omnes exheredes sunto (Gaius 2. 128). The papyrus proves that $\mu \mathrm{ov}$, not $\mu \circ$ which Arangio-Ruiz wishes to read before ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \sigma a \nu(o p$. cit., $\mathrm{pp} 223,276$ ), is correct.


 ототav in the corresponding position, the infinitive . . . parөat, which is also adopted from the Berlin papyrus, perhaps depending on an intervening verb, e. g. фaiv $\begin{gathered}\text { тar. The } \rho \text { of } \rho a \sigma \theta a, \text {, }\end{gathered}$ Schubart tells us, can be any letter having a long tail, i. e. $\iota, \phi$, or $\psi$, and it is preceded at an interval of three letters by a similar long stroke. àmoरó́qaäà therefore does not seem suitable. Dareste proposed $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тò ópẫ $\theta a t$, and Gradenwitz suggests a connexion with cernere, but this is hardly convincing.
$6-7$. Cf. B. G. U. 326. i. 14-5, where rav̂ta (so Schubart) not aủrá is no doubt to be

 does not greatly commend itself, and the mood might be due to a sint in the original Latin.
 $\mu^{\prime} v a$ in the lacuna here. The corresponding Latin formulae are damnas esto dare facere praestare . . fideique eirus committo; cf. the will of Dasumius C. I. L. 1352.116 and 125, the will of Hadoindus in Brissonius, de Formulis vii, ita ut ubicumque aliquid per hoc testamentum meum dedero legavero dareque iussero id ut detur fiat praestetur fidei tuae heres mea committo, \&c.

4. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тò . . 'l I $\sigma \epsilon \bar{i} \nu$ : it is not quite clear how these words should be constructed or




5. vus, which is clearly written, is puzzling. Some expression corresponding to
${ }^{1}$ We are surprised to see that Arangio-Ruiz, op. cit., p. 295, repeats the error of writing $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\omega}$ in $1.5^{1}$ of that papyrus. Evidently ${ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \psi_{\hat{\delta}}$ should be read there as well as in 11.28 and 60 .
$\pi \rho o \chi p e i a s$ in 1.13 is expected. The lacuna at the end of the line was presumably occupied with a description of the oikia.
6. The names of several Oxyrhynchite villages beginning with $\Sigma \in \nu$ are known,
 where $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\nu} v a \dot{u}[\underline{\partial} v$, if correct, implies a previous mention of a person with whom Hermogenes

- held property in common, and the end of 1 . ro seems the most suitable place for the name to be given; cf. also l. 17.

 the end of I . Io; cf. the previous note.


16. The line may be completed e. g. єívoov́gr $\mu$ ot (so 494.9) кaì катà пávтa.
17. Perhaps $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Koр $\varpi] 3 \iota v(45.9)$ or $\Theta \omega \bar{\omega}] \beta \iota \nu$ (614, \&c.).
18. It was the usual practice in marriage contracts to give the wife a general claim against the husband's property for the repayment of her dowry, but in the present case the security seems to have been limited to a portion of the husband's estate which was formatly
 $\phi \epsilon \rho \nu \hat{\eta}$. $\tau[\rho \hat{\nu}$ yánov might be supplied before $\phi \in \rho \nu \dot{\eta} v$, but three or four letters would be enough.
 meant, at which time a boy passed from the care of a tutor (èmitponos) to that of a curator (фроvтıбти́s). According to the provisions of some Oxyrhynchus wills (cf. 491. 9, 495. го) imitponot are appointed to act for minors up to the age of 20 or 25 years, but these cases are anterior to the constitutio Antonina, and considering the strong tendency of Hermogenes
 but not a curator could be appointed by a Roman will. For the phrase émíтротоу поєєiv cf.
 in which the tutor is assigned to the daughter as well as the sons, makes it clear that in that much discussed clause also (cf. Arangio-Ruiz, op. cit. pp. 232 sqq.) émirporos means tutor.

 corresponds to the Latin pro-. But the intervention of a magistrate would according to Roman law be necessary when the sons required a curator ; cf. 888. introd.
19. \$in $\omega \mu_{0 v}$ is very doubtful; the name of Dionysammon's (?) father may be given instead.
 reading would not be very satisfactory, and $\gamma \in \nu \partial \mu \in \nu$, if rightly deciphered, may also be constructed with inó.
20. We suppose that there is a small dash after $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v[0] \mu i a$, followed by a short blank space; but the papyrus is damaged in this part, and a letter or two may have intervened before the supposed то. There is not room for Eiv $\sigma \in$ 位 к.т.... at the end of this line. Perhaps Taкírov alone stood here, with the Roman month or a reference to the consuls; cf. B. G. U. $3^{26}$. ii. $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}=2$.
21. ${ }^{\prime} \lambda i \theta^{\prime} \eta$ к.т. $\lambda$.: this entry, which is in the same hand and was evidently written at the same time as the rest of the text, indicates that the whole document is a copy made
 $\kappa п \grave{\iota} \eta \dot{\delta} \delta \iota \theta \eta_{\eta} \kappa \eta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{v} \theta \eta$, and for $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ in this connexion also 715. 19, B. G. U. 592. ii. 7, \&c.

## 908. Contract between Eutheniarchs.

$30.6 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A. D. 199 .

An agreement between Sarapion, who was either himself a eutheniarch at Oxyrhynchus or, more probably, the grandson of a person holding that office (cf. note on 1.5), and five other eutheniarchs concerning the grinding of wheat for bread to be supplied to the city. The precise terms of the agreement are much obscured by the mutilation of the papyrus; the five eutheniarchs were however each to bear the expense of one factory, and Sarapion and his grandfather were apparently together made responsible for a sixth, the average daily output of each mill being fixed at 20 artabae. But the details are comparatively unimportant, and the value of the document lies mainly in the fresh information supplied regarding the office of gymnasiarch and eutheniarch. The eutheniarchs, who first appear towards the end of the second century, superintended the food supply of the capital towns; but they are not very often mentioned and their official rank is not yet clear (cf. P. Tebt. 397. 14-5, note). They are sometimes found holding another office simultaneously, e. g. that of exegetes; in the present case five eutheniarchs were gymnasiarchs. We here learn further that at Oxyrhynchus they formed a board of at least six ; and 11. I8-2I indicate that these six held office for a period of a single month. Hence it would appear that the number for the year was twelve, and that they exercised their functions in alternate months in two sections of six. With regard to the number of the gymnasiarchs, of whom five are mentioned in $11.6-1_{5}$, this is the largest figure yet attested for Oxyrhynchus ; but C. P. Herm. 57 (to which Wilcken called our attention) indicates the coexistence of at least ten gymnasiarchs at Hermopolis, and there may well have been ten or twelve or even more at Oxyrhynchus. At Athens at this period there were monthly as well as yearly gymnasiarchs, and the monthly office was sometimes held by more than one person (Boeckh, Staatshaushaltung, I. 548).

```
    \sum\alpha\rhoa\pií\omega\nu ò к\alphai` '\Omega\rho\epsiloní\omega[\nu ...
    \omega\nuos \deltai\grave{\alpha} \tauo\hat{v} к\alpha\tau\alphaे \pi\alpha\tau[\epsiloń\rho\alpha \pi\alphá\pi-
    \piov 'A\pií\omega\nuos \gammav\mu\nu\alpha[\sigma]l\alpha\rho\chi\'-
    \sigma\alpha\nu\tauos \tau\eta今s 'O\xiupv\gamma\chi\epsilon\iota\tau\hat{\omega}\nu
\pió\lambda\epsilon\omegas \nuvv\epsiloni \epsilonủ0\eta\nuL\alphá\rhoX\etaS
\tau\hat{S \alphaủ\tau\eta\S \pió\lambda\epsilon\omegaS T\iota\beta\epsilon\rhoí\varphi}
K\lambda\alphav\deltaí\omega \\iota\deltav́\mu\varphi каi \omegàs X\rho\eta\mu\alpha-
```

$\tau i ́ \xi \epsilon i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ тô $\Delta l o \nu v \sigma \epsilon i ́ o u$
каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ iєpâs $\sigma v \nu o ́ \delta o v ~ i \in p o \nu \epsilon \iota-$

[каì 'Av] $\tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega$ каì $\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma i ́ \omega ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ каi

$[\hat{\epsilon} \xi \eta] \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \Omega \rho \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu \iota$
[ $\tau \hat{\varrho}$
${ }^{1} 5[\tau \epsilon \cup ́] \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota$ тoîs є $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \rho-$

$\left[{ }^{\prime} O \xi v\right] \rho v \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega S \quad \chi[\alpha i \rho] \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.
$[\sigma v] \nu \in \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \quad \nu v ิ \nu$
$[\epsilon] u ̈ \theta \eta \nu \iota \alpha \rho \chi \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota \alpha \pi[$ ò $\lambda]$ то仑̂ oै $\nu-$
20 [TOS] $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \Pi \alpha \hat{v} \nu \iota ~ \epsilon ̈ \omega s ~ \kappa \theta$ то仑̂


адртокотєiov èv $\alpha \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$
[. .] . $\eta \sigma \iota \epsilon \ldots \tau \rho \epsilon \phi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \grave{\alpha}$






[.] . [. . .] . $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. . $\sigma \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \sigma v o v[. ~]. ~ \cdot ~[]. ~ \cdot ~ \pi[~[~ \alpha-~$








40 Aủтократо́р $\omega \nu$ Kа८бо́ $\rho \omega \nu$ Моикíov


'Sarapion also called Horion, son of . . . on, through his paternal grandfather Apion, ex-gymnasiarch of Oxyrhynchus, at present eutheniarch of the said city, to Tiberius Claudius Didymus and however he is styled, a victor in the games and exempt from taxation, member of the Dionyseum and the sacred club, and to Theon also called Antimachus and Dionysius also called . . . and Achilles also called Isidorus, ex-exegetes, and Horion also called Berenicianus, ex-exegetes, all five gymnasiarchs and eutheniarchs of the said city of Oxyrhynchus, greeting. I have made a compact with you being now eutheniarchs from the ( 30 th ?) of the present month Pauni till the 29th of the following month Epeiph in the current 7 th year, that one bakery be fitted out by each of you . . . the animals being fed by you with grass and barley, on the understanding that they grind daily in each factory as much as 20 artabae of wheat . . supplying the animals in each factory . . . to provide one factory, the fodder being provided by me, and we shall grind at (this) factory an equal amount daily, namely 20 artabae ; and it shall be unlawful for any of us to transgress the aforesaid conditions. This contract, done in six copies in order that each of us may have one, is valid.' Date and signature of Sarapion.

1. Probably ' $\Omega \rho \epsilon i] \omega \nu o s$ or ' $A \pi i j \omega \nu o s$.
 the order is irregular, in the latter eiөnviápov should have been written. On the whole we prefer the second alternative, though why Sarapion appears in the business at all then becomes obscure, and his action must be supposed to depend upon a private arrangement between himself and his grandfather.

8-1o. This $\Delta$ oovvociov is more probably an Oxyrhynchite than an Alexandrian temple; cf. B. G. U. 1073, a notification from the boule of Oxyrhynchus to the record-office of the
 the statement of this individual's claim, which in 1. r cites a rescript of Claudius Gothicus (cf. Wilcken, Archiv, IV. p. 564 and Viereck, Klio, VIII. p. $4^{13}$ ) addressed toîs $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\iota}$ i $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 Oxyrhynchus is mentioned in 171 (Part II, p. 208), and the impost in 917.3 apparently called $\sigma \pi(o v \delta \dot{\eta}) \Delta_{t o v}(\dot{v} \sigma o v)$ may in some way have benefited the $\Delta t o v v \sigma \epsilon i o v$.
19. àm $\lambda \lambda]$ : cf. 1.44, which shows that this contract was written on Pauni 28. 30 days would be a natural period.
24. $\left[\hat{e}^{\kappa} k\right] \tau \bar{\eta} s$ "̈ $\sigma \eta s$ is not satisfactory, for though the doubtful $\epsilon$ may be $\sigma$, the vestiges of the next letter do not seem to suit $\eta$; a stroke below the line suggests rather $\xi$ or $\rho$. With ions moreover a diaeresis would be expected over the $\iota$. [ $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{c}] \rho \eta \sigma i \omega s$ cannot be read.
28. Not $\grave{\epsilon} \mu$ ồ $\Sigma a \rho a t i \omega \nu u s . ~$
30. There may be a letter at the end of the line after $\epsilon$, e. g. $v$, but a first person plural does not accord at all well with катà тó. The traces do not suit $\delta \epsilon \delta \bar{\eta} \dot{\omega} \kappa а \mu \epsilon \nu$, and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \dot{\omega}^{\prime} к a \mu \epsilon \nu$ is unsatisfactory. $\pi$ might be read in place of $\tau$.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau o i ́[s$ would assist $[\hat{a}] \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta o v \tau a s ~ i n ~ 1.34, ~ b u t ~ t h e ~ \tau ~ e s p e c i a l l y ~ i s ~ d i f f i c u l t . ~$

33. $\epsilon \rho$ is followed by the curved mark commonly used in abbreviations to represent $\pi$. $\pi a \rho\left[\epsilon^{\prime}\right] \times o v[\tau]$ os is very doubtful.
38. Ésaroós is unknown to the lexica but is parallel to tetparaós, P. Amh. 107. 16, B. G. U. 817. 17. The word is also to be recognized in P. Strassb. 29. 46 where, as the facsimile shows, $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi a \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi i \sigma a$ should be read for $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a ̀ s ~ \sigma v v \gamma p a \phi i \sigma a$.
909. Sale of Acacia-Trees.
$27.5 \times 10.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ A. D. 225.
A contract for the sale of fourteen acacia-trees on the edge of a vineyard for 1200 drachmae, the purchase-money being devoted to the payment of arrears of taxes upon the vineyard.
$\kappa \alpha \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \lambda i \kappa \omega \nu \quad \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \eta \rho$ каi єंтако入оч-
5 Өŋ́трıа Av́p $\lambda i$ ía Eú $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o \nu i s$ 'Avtıvóov

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ' } A \mu \mu \omega \nu i o u \text { ' } \epsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \eta ิ S ~ ' O \xi v \rho v \gamma-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \kappa_{\epsilon ́ \nu} \alpha \iota \text { ن́ } \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \text { тoîs } \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \text { '́ } \xi \text { l’ } \sigma o v
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \nu \in о \phi u ́ \tau о v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \lambda i ́ \kappa \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s \text { } \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha s ~ \tau \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s \text { } \tau \eta s \quad \sigma v \mu \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\epsilon} \chi^{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \text { єis } \sigma v \nu \omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu \pi \nu \rho o \hat{v} \chi^{\omega \rho} \eta^{\prime}-
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    \sigma[\alpha]\nu\tauos vi\pi\epsilonे\rho \mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta\mu\alphá\tau\omega\nu \tau\grave{\eta}s \pi\rhooк[\epsilon\ell-
    \mu'́v\etas \alphá\mu\pi\epsiloń^\lambdaov X\rhoóv\omega\nu 0\epsilonov̂ Ко\muódo[v
    \epsilon}\pii \tau\hat{\varphi
```




```
        \alphai\rho\eta}\sigma0\alphal, Є̇\pi\alphá\nu\alphaа\gammaко\nu \delta`̀ \mu\epsiloń\chi\rho\iota \tauо\hat{v}M\epsilon\sigmaо\rho\grave{l
```



```
        \alpha\nu\alpha\betao\lambda\etaे\nu к\alpha!̣ \alpha`\rho\sigma\iotav \tauọ̀\nu [к]ó\sigma\muov \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \tauó-
```



```
        \mui[\sigma]v ка⿱亠乂 \dot{v}\muâs \tauov̀s \pi\epsilon\pi\rho\alphaкó\tau\alphas ка\tau\alphà \tauò '゙T\epsilon\rhoо[v
```



```
        \tau\eta0\epsiloń\nu\tau\epsilons @\muо\lambdaо\gamma\etá\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu. кирí\alpha \grave{\eta}\pi\rho\hat{\alpha}\sigma\iota\varsigma
```



```
        М\alpháркоv Aú\rho\eta\lambdaíov \Sigma\epsilonои\etáроv 'A\lambda\epsilon\xi[\alpháv\delta\rhoоv Ev̉\sigma\epsilon\betao]ûs
        Eủtv\chioûs \Sigma'\epsilon\betaa\sigma\tauov̂ Tv̂\betal l\epsilon.
2nd hand A\dot{\rho}р́}\lambda\iotaos \Pi\tauо\lambda\lambdaí[\omega\nu \Pi\tauо\lambda\lambdaí\omegavos \mu\epsilon\tau' 'є\pi\alpha
        кo\lambdaou0\eta\tau\rhoías \tauท̣[s \mu\etaт\rhoòs
```

        7. \(\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega\) - Pap. 8. vï Pap. 9. \(\epsilon v\) of \(\epsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon v \sigma a \nu \tau o s\) corr. from \(\eta\). I. ïvov
    Pap. $21-2$. o of $\chi \omega \rho \eta o[a] \nu \tau o s$ corr. from $a$ and $s$ added above the line. 27. l. aip $\bar{q} \theta \epsilon$.

＇Aurelius Ptollion son of Ptollion，of Oxyrhynchus，tutor of the children of Apollonius also called Didymus，son of Onesas，who are minors，and the mother of the minors，who gives her concurrence，Aurelia Eudaemonis daughter of Antinous also called Hermes，of Antinoöpolis，acting without a guardian in accordance with Roman custom by the right of her children，to the Aurelii Serenus son of Aurelius Ammonius，formerly exegetes of Oxyrhynchus， and Serenus son of Serenus，and Theonas styled as having Taarmiusis as his mother，and Soterichus son of Didymus，of the said city，greeting．We acknowledge that we have sold to you four in equal shares the fourteen acacia－trees in good condition growing upon the embankment of the newly－planted vineyard belonging to the minors，at the price agreed upon between us of 1200 drachmac of silver，which sum was devoted to the purchase of wheat paid for the dues upon the aforesaid vineyard in the reign of the deified Commodus， on condition that you shall perform the complete uprooting and removal of the aforesaid acacia－trees at your own expense whenever you choose，but of necessity not later than Mesore of the present $4^{\text {th }}$ year，and after the pulling up and removal of the acacia－trees the place shall be set in order in equal shares，half by us and the other half by you the buyers， as hereby agreed，and in answer to the formal question we have given our consent．This sale，of which there are two copies，is valid．The 4 th year of the Emperor Caesar Marcus ＇Aurelius Severus Alexander Pius Felix Augustus，Tubi ry．＇Signature of Aurelius Ptollion．
4. Cf. P. Leipzig 9. 6, where three $\dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\eta} \lambda t \kappa \epsilon s$ send an $\dot{a} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ through their mother as єпакодоv日itpta, and 907. 20, where the concurrence ( $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi a к о д о v \theta \epsilon i v ) ~ o f ~ t h e ~ m o t h e r ~ i n ~ t h e ~ a c t s ~}$ of the guardian of minors is provided for by will.
15. For äкav $\begin{aligned} & \text { ale in vineyards cf. P. Brit. Mus. 214. 13-5 (II. p. 162). The wood was }\end{aligned}$ used for boat-building (Hdt. ii. 96), and for various kinds of machinery (P. Brit. Mus. 1177. 177-220 $^{2}=$ III. pp. 186-7), and gum arabic was obtained from it (Hdt. ii. 96).
20. The clause aî $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$. takes the place of the usual acknowledgement of the purchase-price by the seller. Apparently the money in question had been paid direct to the sellers of the corn.
25. àvaßo入 $\dot{\eta} \nu$ : this word is generally used for 'banking up', and the trees were $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{i}$ $\chi^{\dot{\omega}}$ аatos (1. 15) ; but the context shows that it must here be employed in the unusual sense of digging up or uprooting. ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi\left[\begin{array}{c}e \\ \epsilon\end{array} \pi \iota \rho i \xi \omega \nu\right.$ is very uncertain ; $\omega[$. can be read for $\epsilon \xi$, but $\dot{\omega}[s$ yields no sense, $\dot{\omega}[s \dot{\epsilon}] \pi i \dot{\rho} \eta \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ being inadmissible. We suppose the sense of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \rho \rho \iota \zeta o s$, which apparently does not occur, to be similar to that of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho \rho i \xi^{\prime}$ oo which is read by editors in
 main ones ; for the form cf. inóppıگos.

## 910. Lease of Land.

$$
3 \mathrm{I} \cdot 5 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A lease of 5 arourae of land at Pakerke for four years, following the usual formula. In the first and third years of the lease the land was to be sown with wheat at a rent of 6 artabae per aroura, in the second and fourth years with green-stuffs at a rent of 32 drachmae per aroura; cf. e.g. P. Tebt. 377. Seven artabae of seed-corn were lent by the landlord for the first year's crop. Caracalla is called in the date formula emperor-designate on Nov. 4, 197, as in inscriptions and coins of that year; his tribunicia potestas began in the following January, and already by May, 198, he was placed on an equality with his father (C. I. L. viii. 2465 ) ; cf. 976 , which was written 22 days later than 910 , Caracalla being still emperor-designate, and 916, where he appears as full emperor in Pauni (May 26June 24 A. D. 198).
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 $[\hat{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta}]$ ! $\zeta\left({ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \iota\right) \kappa \alpha \grave{\theta} \theta\left({ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota\right) \xi \cup \lambda \alpha \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota X^{\lambda \omega-}$
 [ $\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha}] \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha ̀ s ~ \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \delta v ́ o . ~ \grave{~} \mu 0-$
 ${ }_{15}\left[\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \kappa^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha l\right] \kappa \alpha \grave{ } \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$






 $[\tau \hat{\omega} \nu] \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s \quad \delta \eta[\mu] \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ трòs $[\tau \grave{\nu} \gamma \gamma \epsilon 0]$ ûXov, òv $[\kappa] \alpha i$ кvpıєú $\epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $[\pi \alpha \rho \alpha] \delta \epsilon € \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha[\iota] \tau \hat{Q} \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$, [òs $\beta] \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta[s]$ т $\eta$ s $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ 30 [ảmo]סór $\omega$ т̀̀ द́кк[ $\phi]$ ópıa каì тоv̀s фópous




$35 \pi \tau \iota K \hat{Q}$ тô̂ $\gamma \in 0 u ́ \chi o u, \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega S$


 $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega ิ \nu \alpha \iota$ т $̀ \nu$
 $\pi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ каì ка $[\theta] \alpha \rho a ̀ \nu$ àmò $\theta \rho$ v́ov каi $\delta \in i-$ $\sigma \eta s \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$. кvрía خ̀ $\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota s$. ('єтоus) ร Avंтокра́тороs Kaíaдpos Movкíov $\sum \in \pi \tau \iota \mu i ́ o v$




```
    Aúzoкра́тороs 'A \(\theta \dot{v} \rho \quad \eta\). (2nd hand) \(T \epsilon \hat{\omega} \Sigma \Sigma \alpha \rho \alpha-\)
    \(\pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu о s \quad \mu \in \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \mu \iota \iota\) є \(\pi i\)
    \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \quad{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu\) '̇ккфорí-
```





```
    poîs \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha}\) ס \(\delta \alpha \times \mu\) às \(\tau \rho 1 \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha\)
```



```
\(55 \pi v \rho o \hat{v} \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha s[\epsilon \in \pi] \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi о \delta \omega \sigma \omega\)
```




```
    тоs \(\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\).
```

 26. ï $\sigma \circ \nu\left[\tau 0 s\right.$ Pap. 37. च̈ $\pi a \rho \chi^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Pap.
'Hieracion son of Hieracion, of Oxyrhynchus, ex-agoranomus of the said city, has leased to Teos son of Sarapammon, his mother being . . . as, inhabiting the village of Pakerke in the eastern toparchy, for four years dating from the present 6th year, the 5 arourae which he owns at Pakerke, on condition that in the present 6th year and in the 8th year Teos shall sow them with wheat at the annual rent of 6 artabae of wheat per aroura, and in the following 7 th and 9 th years he shall cultivate them with green-stuffs at the annual rent likewise of $3^{2}$ drachmae per aroura. The lessee acknowledges that he has on the spot received and had measured to him from the landlord as a loan for seed on account of the land, for the present year only, 7 artabae of wheat, of which he shall be compelled to repay an equal amount to the lessor together with the rent in kind in the month Pauni of the said present year, by the same measure as that by which he received it, guaranteed completely against all risks, the taxes upon the land being payable by the landlord, who shall further retain the ownership of the produce until he recovers his annual dues. If after the coming year (which heaven forbid!) any part be unirrigated, an allowance shall be made to the lessee, who when the lease is guaranteed shall pay the rent in kind and money annually in the month of Pauni, the wheat at the threshing-floor of Pakerke, new, pure, unadulterated, unmixed with barley, and sifted, according to the 4 -choenix receiving measure of the landlord, the measuring being done by his agents; and he shall have the right of execution upon both the lessee and all his property, and the said lessee shall deliver the land in the last year with all the rushes cut, and free from rushes and dirt of all kinds. This lease is valid.' Date and signature of the lessee.

911. Lease of a House.
$11.1 \times 7.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. A.D. 233 or 265 .
This contract for the lease of part of a house at Oxyrhynchus follows so far as it goes the ordinary formula (cf. e. g. 502) ; the chief point of interest in it is the mention of a special appointment of a imoरpaфtús or subscriber to act on behalf of the lessee, whose sight was affected ; cf. note on 11.6 sqq . The papyrus was written in the third century in the I3th year of an emperor who must be Severus Alexander or Gallienus.

```
    'E\muí\sigma0\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu A\dot{v}\rho\tilde{\}\lambda\iotaos \triangle\eta\muq́т\rholos
    ò к\alphaì [Z]wí\lambdaos \dot{\alpha}\rho\\epsilon\epsilon\rho\alpha\tau\epsilonv́\sigmaаs 衤\eta\gamma\eta-
    \tau\etaेs \beta[00u\lambda\epsilonu\tau\etàs \tau\eta\s 'O\xi{v\rhov\gamma\chi\epsilon\epsilon\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \pió-
    \lambda\epsilon\omegas Aú\rho\eta\lambdaíqu \Theta\epsilonо\gamma\epsiloń\nul \Theta\epsilonо\gamma\epsilońvous
5 To\hat{v}\Theta\epsilono\gamma\epsilońvous à\piò \tau\hat{\eta}S aư\tau\etaेs \pió\lambda\epsilon\omega[s
    \alpha}\sigma0\epsilon\nu\hat{l}\tau\alphàs ö\psi\iotas \mu\in\tau' vi\pio\gamma\rho\alphaф'́\omega
```



```
    iँпо\mu\nu\eta\muá\tau\omega\nu \tau\etâs \sigma\tauрат\eta\gammaías
    Aúp\eta[\lambdaío]v \lovv[\sigma]íov \tauô каì 'A\mu\mu\omegavío[v
```




```
    \chi
```



```
    \eta\mu\iota\sigmav 㿟роs oikías каi ai0píov vi\phi' \etaै\nu
```



```
    \chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\eta\rhoí\omega\nu [\pi]\alphá\nu\tau\omega\nu ко\iota\nu\hat{\}s \pi[\rhoòs
    [. . . . . . . . .] . . [.]op к\alpha\tau\alphà тo[. . . . .
```


'Aurelius Demetrius also called Zoilus, ex-chief priest, exegetes and councillor of Oxyrhynchus, has leased to Aurelius Theogenes son of Theogenes, of the said city, who has weak sight, and is acting with the subscriber who has been appointed for him in accordance with the memoranda of the office of the strategus, namely Aurelius Dionjsius also called Ammonius, for a pcriod of two years from Thoth I of the present 13 th year, of his property at the said city of Oxyrhynchus in the quarter of the Square of Thoëris, a half share of a house and yard, beneath which is a cellar, and court, and all the appurtenances, being held by me in common with . . .'

6－8．An appointment of a inoypaфés by the strategus seems to be quite novel，and shows the ímoypapeís in a somewhat new light．This term is frequently used in contracts to designate the person who signs on behalf of an illiterate party to an agreement，but per se has no other concern with the business in hand；there is no reason to suppose that ordinary＇subscribers＇of this kind required any official recognition．A man with defective sight would naturally need in his business transactions the services of such a imoypaфeús， but the latter would not be expected to have the prominence here accorded him，or to be specially appointed by the strategus．On the other hand if the physical disabilities of Theogenes had been such as to debar him from acting on his own account，his representative should have been termed $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ or $\phi \rho о \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau i s$, not $\dot{v} \pi$ oypaфєís．The position of this officially constituted imoypaфєis appears to lie somewhere between that of the curator mente capti and the normal＇subscriber＇．

## 912．Lease of a Cellar．

A lease of an underground chamber in a house together with the space above the exhedra，at an annual rental of 60 drachmae ；cf．502，the phraseology of which is closely similar，and B．G．U．253．The date in 1.40 appears to show that the death of Alexander Severus and the accession of Maximinus occurred some days earlier in the year 235 than has been generally supposed；cf．the note ad loc．
＇E $\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Aúp $\lambda \lambda i ́ \alpha$ B $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} s$
$\Sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i ́ \omega \nu o s$ и $\eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \Sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \iota \alpha ́-$ סos á $\pi^{\prime}$＇O $\xi v \rho u ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu$ пó $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o s ~ A \dot{v} p \eta \lambda i ́ o u$
ธั $\Theta$＇́c $\omega \nu$ os тồ каì＇$A \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \pi \iota \alpha ́ \delta o v$ Av́pク入íe Патúтı Паvov́pıos



 є́ $\boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \delta o u$ Nótou K $К \eta \pi \epsilon i ̂ \delta o s$

 кíov тồ ЄैTous ápyupiov $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
${ }_{15} 5$ ध́ $\xi \dot{\eta} к о \nu \tau \alpha . \quad \beta \in \beta \alpha \iota o u \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta S$ ס̀̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$
$\mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ Х $\rho \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \mu \iota$－
vov $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta o ́ t \omega$ тoùs $\mu \ell \sigma$ Oov－
$\mu$＇́vous aủt $\hat{\eta}$ és $\pi \rho o ́ к \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota ~$
${ }_{2} 5$ тómous каӨapoùs àmò котрíшv $\kappa \alpha \grave{i} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta s \quad \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i ́ \alpha s$ ف́s $\dot{\epsilon}^{\alpha} \nu$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta$ к $\alpha i$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$＇$\oint \in \epsilon \tau \tau \sigma \sigma s$ тoîs тótrots Oúpas каì к入єî̊as，
 $30 \rho \alpha \delta \oint \widehat{\varphi} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi i \alpha \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ô $\delta^{\prime}[\hat{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha}] \nu$

кíov $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ̀̀ $\mu$ oдías，$\gamma \in \ell \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́-$
$\nu \eta S$ $\tau \hat{S} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega S \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \alpha \dot{u}-$
$\tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
$\alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{Q} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．кvpía $\dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega$－
$\sigma \iota s, \kappa \alpha i$ є́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s \dot{\omega} \mu \circ-$
入ó $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．（＇̈тоиs）a Av́токрáтороs
Kaíoapos Taíou＇Iou入íov Oủńpou








'Aurelia Besous, daughter of Sarapion and Sarapias, of Oxyrhynchus, acting with Aurelius Theon also called Asclepiades, has leased to Aurelius Patus son of Panouris, from Mermertha, for one year from Thoth I of the coming 2nd year out of the house which she herself holds on lease from Aurelius Isidorus son of Chaeremon in the South Quay quarter, the cellar within it and the space above the hall at the rent of 60 drachmae of silver for the year. When the lease is guaranteed the lessee shall use the parts leased to him throughout the period without hindrance, and shall pay the rent in two instalments in the year, half the sum at intervals of 6 months, without any delay. And at the end of the period he shall deliver the parts leased to him as aforesaid free from filth and dirt of every kind, in the condition in which he receives them, with the existing doors and keys, or shall forfeit a sum equivalent to what he fails to deliver, and for arrears of rent one and a half times the original amount, the lessor having the right of execution upon both his person and all his property. This lease is valid, and in answer to the formal question he gave his consent. The ist year of the Emperor Caesar Gaius Julius Verus Maximinus Pius Felix Augustus, Phamenoth r.' Signature of Aurelia Besous.
 several papyri of the period subsequent to the constitutio Antomina, is somewhat obscure. That it is not equivalent to $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ кирiov is quite clear from e.g. C.P.R. I. 9. 2 (хшрі̀ кирiov
 29), where there is a direct opposition between кúpoos and $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} s$. Wenger, in his most recent discussion of the subject, Gött. gel. Anz. 1907, p. 293, proposes to find an explanation in the distinction between Reichsrecht and Volksrecht; where the former no longer required a кúpoos the latter retained him in the form of a $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}:$ : cf. P. Leipzig $28.4 \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$
 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$; cf. P. Leipzig 3. i. 2 and 29.3, 20.
40. Фанє] $\grave{\omega} \theta$ a : this is a remarkable date, since Alexander Severus is supposed to have been killed about Feb. ro, and that the accession of Maximinus should have been known at Oxyrhynchus so soon after as Feb. 25 is incredible. If $\Phi a \mu \epsilon] v \dot{\omega} \theta$ a here is correct, the death of Alexander must be put back somewhat earlier; a date from about Jan. 10-20 is the latest that would be expected. On the other hand some days of January in this year must be allowed to Alexander in order to account for coins on which is marked the 14 th year of his tribunicia potestas, which would date from Jan. I. The problem is further complicated by a papyrus from the Heracleopolite nome translated by Wessely in Fiihrer Pap. Erz. Rainer No. 249, which is dated in Pharmouthi of the 14 th year of Alexander ; that is to say, the writer of that document continued to reckon the year by Alexander at least 30 days after another writer, at a place further south, had adopted the new reckoning
by Maximinus. The discrepancy, however, is less striking than that between B. G. U. 784 which is dated by Pertinax on April 2, 193, and B. G. U. $5^{15}$ which is still dated by Commodus on June 2 of the same year, both documents coming from the Fayûm. It seems that the scribes were not very prompt in adapting themselves to the altered conditions, and that force of habit sometimes led careless persons to go on using a superseded formula; cf. 907. introd.

With regard to the reading, the numeral a might perhaps be $\epsilon$, but that makes hardly any difference. It is a little surprising that there is nothing to be seen of the abbreviation of $\Sigma_{\varepsilon} \beta a(\sigma \tau o \hat{v})$, for the papyrus is broken only slightly above the line of the letters. [ $\Sigma_{\in \beta a \sigma \tau o v}$ ] $\Theta \dot{\omega} \theta$ might well be read, but Thoth I of a first year is an impossible date, since according to the Egyptian reckoning Thoth I always began a new regnal year. There is no doubt either about the number of the year in 1.37 , which is also guaranteed by 1.8 , or that ]. $\omega \theta$ a was written at the same time as the rest of the date. $\begin{array}{r} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ \theta\end{array}$ a might possibly be explained as an inadvertence of the scribe caused by a reminiscence of 1.8 ; but this cannot be regarded as a satisfactory hypothesis.
41. тоо́кєєтає may of course have been abbreviated.

## 913. Lease of Land.

A lease of 9 acres of land for apparently three years (cf. note on 1.8 ), at the rent of half the produce, the landlord being responsible for taxes and the tenants providing seed.
$\Phi \alpha \omega \bar{\phi} \iota \eta$.
[. . . . . . . . . . . $\theta$ ]uरat

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ } \sigma \pi \epsilon i \hat{\rho} \alpha \iota \text { ois] } \alpha i \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \text { '́ } \phi^{\prime} \text { ท̀ } \mu \iota \sigma i \alpha s \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$\dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \pi \epsilon \rho[\theta \epsilon \in \tau] \omega s, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s \quad \delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i \omega \nu$ ỏ $\nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \grave{\epsilon}$



 $\lambda \epsilon!\circ\langle\langle \rangle$ 'Ap $\mu \imath v \sigma \iota o v$ viòv

 $\mu^{\prime} \operatorname{l}_{\nu O \nu} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \nu$
 $\sum \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i ́ \omega \nu$ ' $\Omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu o s \dot{\alpha} \xi t \omega \theta \epsilon$ is

( ist hand) of di emu . . . . . t! . . .

On the verso vestiges of an endorsement.
3. $\delta a v i \eta \lambda \iota o v$ over an erasure. 5. a of aphivatov corr. from o. ítov Pap. 7. a ${ }^{2} \lambda \eta$ -


' In the consulship of Flavius Eudoxius and Flavius Dioscorus the most illustrious, Phaophi 18. To . . . daughter of of Daniel, of excellent memory, president of the council in the illustrious and most illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus, from Aurelius Harmiusius son of Padidymus and Aurelia Taor daughter of Castor, . . . from the village of Ptochis in the said nome. We desire of our own free will to lease upon our mutual security from the present year until the sowing of the 13 th indiction, out of the land belonging to you in the fields of our village, 9 arourae of corn-land or thereabouts, on condition that we sow them with any crops we please on the basis of half shares in the resulting produce, the terms being that we shall pay to you the landlord in place of rent the half of the produce in good faith, and that we the lessees in return for the labour bestowed on the cultivation and the seed sown by us in the land shall keep the other half, with no delay, the taxes upon the land being due from you the landlord; and it shall be obligatory upon us on our mutual security to pay the half of the produce at the proper season with no delay, and to perform the banking up of the land. This lease, of which there are two copies, is valid, and in answer to the formal question we have given our assent.' Signatures of the lessees written for them by Flavius Sarapion.
4. [ $\pi$ ропод七тєvo]uévov: cf. 87. 2, C.P. R. I. 19. I, P. Leipzig 37. 3. The title probably means president of the decuriones ( $\pi$ о入ıтєvó $\mu \in \nu=\iota)$; cf. Mitteis, C. P. R. I. pp. 61-2.
6. $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma \mu}$ [ is awkward and raises doubts whether the fragment containing these letters and loa avpp $[$ in 1.5 is after all rightly placed here; the hand, however, though not certainly identical, is very similar, the fibres of the papyrus correspond rather well, and the verso, which contains vestiges of an endorsement in the right position, is also suitable. A title
referring to Káctopos would be apposite, but $] \theta_{\epsilon} \sigma_{\mu}$ [ suggests nothing likely. The name ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{E} \varphi \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ os occurs in 70. 6 , and possibly this may be read here as the patronymic of Castor, rov̀ being omitted, though in the case of the other persons concerned grandfathers' names are not added, and there would barely be room for [ $\mathrm{E} \nu$.

 number of the indiction, which should in that case be the rith, not the 13 th, and $\tilde{\epsilon} \omega s$ has the further advantage of defining the term of the lease.
II. There is not room in the lacuna for ois éáv. The rent of one half the produce was fairly common in the Oxyrhynchite nome; cf. 103, 277, 729.
14. For $\mu[\epsilon \tau \grave{a} k a \lambda \hat{\eta}] s($ or $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{\eta}] s) \pi i \sigma[\tau] \epsilon \omega s$ cf. e.g. P. Leipzig 28. 21 .
20. The corrupt word vaovp $\omega \nu$ is more probably for àoovp $\nu$, as Wilcken suggests, than e.g. for $\nu \epsilon \omega v \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ or $\nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$ (cf. Theophrast. C. Pl. 3. 13. 3 ס̀à tò $\nu \epsilon o v \rho \gamma o ́ \nu$ тє єivau


## 914. Acknowledgement of A Debt.

$$
\mathbf{I} 7 \cdot \mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{II} \cdot 6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 486
$$

A promissory note for the payment of two solidi of gold, due in consequence of a purchase of dye. The goods had already been delivered to the purchaser, who in the present document undertakes to pay the money for them two months later.
 $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho(о \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v) ~ M \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \in \theta$ ìdıк(тíovos).
[Av́ри́入]ıos 'Aтфоиิтos viòs 'Apєov̂тos $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ K u p i ́ a s ~$










[ivoil]ктíovos $\dot{\alpha} \nu v \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega S, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \epsilon i \sigma \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s$

[ $\chi$ óv $\nu] \omega \nu \mu о \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \tau \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi о \kappa \in \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$



On the verso



' The year after the consulship of Flavius Theodoric the most illustrious, Mecheir 5 , the 9th indiction. Aurelius Apphous son of Hareous and Cyria, coming from the city of Oxyrhynchus, to Aurelius Serenus son of Daniel, of the said city, greeting. I acknowledge that I owe as a debt to you, of the price of various dyes which I have bought from you and removed in accordance with the agreement between us, two solidi of gold, total 2 solidi of gold; and the two solidi of gold I will of necessity repay to you free of all risk in the month Pharmouthi of the current 162 nd $=$ the 131 ist year and the present 9 th indiction with no delay, and you shall have the right of execution upon me and all my property, which is mortgaged for the repayment of this debt, as security and lawful pledge. This bond, which is written in duplicate, is valid, and in answer to the formal question I have given my consent. (Endorsed) Deed of Apphous son of Hareotes, of the illustrious city of Oxyrhynchus.'
r. There is an inconsistency in the statements of date, for the year after the consulship of Theodoric was A. D. 485 , whereas the dates by the indiction in 1.2 and by the Oxyrhynchite eras in I. I3 combine to fix the year as 486 . The letters ]is are broken, but satisfactory enough, and $] \beta$ cannot be read; there would indeed be room for one or two more letters in the lacuna, but with a chrism and an enlarged initial letter the space would be sufficiently accounted for. The scribe therefore seems to have made a mistake ; cf. 133 and 140 , in which the eighth year after the consulship of Basilius appears where the ninth would be expected.

9. For $[\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \phi] \omega \nu a$ cf. e. g. P. Strassb. 40. 13.
10. The supplement is a trifle long for the lacuna.
13. On the Oxyrhynchite eras cf. 125. introd.

17-8. Cf. 136. 4 I and P. Arnh. 15 I. 19.
915. Receipt for Lead and Tin.

$$
6 \times 30.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { A. D. } 572
$$

A receipt for lead and tin supplied by a lead-worker for repairing the pipes of a bath. The papyrus was found rolled up with four similar receipts issued to the same lead-worker, which are described in 1000-1003. The writing is in each case across the fibres. 915 alone is dated by the two Oxyrhynchite eras.
$+' E \delta o ́ \theta(\eta \sigma \alpha \nu) \delta(\iota \alpha) \quad A \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \grave{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda \iota \beta o v \rho \gamma(0 \hat{\nu}) \Gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \omega \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \quad \epsilon i s \kappa o ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \lambda \eta \nu \omega \nu$
 бَ́ठєка каi кабıঠךрíov 入íтр(аı) трîs,

 $\lambda i(\tau \rho \alpha l) \tau \rho i ̂ s ~ \mu(o ́ \nu \alpha \iota)$.


1. 2. $\mu 0 \lambda v \beta \delta o v \rho \gamma(o \hat{v})$.

' Provided by Apollos, lead-worker, for Georgius, servant, for soldering the pipes of the bath in the suburb on Phaophi 20 of the 6th indiction, twelve pounds of lead and three pounds of tin, total 12 lbs . lead and 3 lbs . tin only. Total 12 lbs . lead and 3 lbs . tin only. The 249 th which $=$ the 218 th year, Phaophi 20, 6th indiction.'

## (e) TAXATION

916. TAX-RECEIPT.

$$
16.3 \times 19.4 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A receipt for a series of payments on account of a tax of which the name is abbreviated as $\eta$ ) or $\eta^{+}$and the precise nature is still a matter of uncertainty. This impost is known from two other published texts, B. G. U. 572.5 and 10 , and P. Tebt. 500, in both instances occurring along with the vaú $\beta \iota o v$ and other imposts on land. Wilcken (Ost. I. p. $174^{1}$ ) interprets it as meaning óyón. The present text shows that it was calculated upon the aroura, and the mention of the praefect's instructions concerning it suggests that it was a special levy rather than a regular tax. The sums paid are rather high, amounting to 640 drachmae within two months (11. 12-9), but it is not clear whether the individual to whom the receipt is issued was the tax-collector or the tax-payer. Caracalla appears as full emperor in Pauni of the 6th year (May 26-June 24 of A.D. 198) ; cf. 910. introd.

${ }^{2}$ Etous 5 Loukíou $\Sigma \in \pi \tau \tau \mu$ îou<br><br>

```
    \Pi\alpha\rho0ıкой M\epsilonуí\sigmaтоv каi Av̇токр[а́то]\rhoos
    5 K\alphaí\sigma\alpha\rhoos M\alphá\rhoкоv Avंр\eta\lambdaiov 'A\nu\tau\omega[\nuí]vov
```




```
                                    \tau\hat{\eta}S}\kappa\in\lambda\(\epsilon)v
```



```
    \alpháкo\lambdaoú0\omegas \tauoîs \gamma\rho\alpha[\phi\epsiloni]\sigmal v̇\piò Ai\mul\lambdai[o]v
    ⿺夂 \sum\alpha\tauovpvivov \tauо仑̂ \lambda\alpha[\mu]\pi\rhoот\alpháтоv \grave{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu[óvos]
    T\iota\beta\epsiloń\rhotos K\lambdaov́\deltaıos \Gamma\epsiloń\mu\epsilont\nuos ó к[\alpha\iota]
    Talí\omega\nu \delta\rho\alpha\chi(\mu\grave{\alpha}) \delta\iota\alphaко\sigmaí[\alpha]s, \gammai'(\nuо\nu\tau\alpha\iota) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alpha\alphai) \sigma. Па\sigma'í[\nu]
    \beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\iotak(\grave{s) \tau\rho\alpha\pi(\epsilon\zetaí\eta\etas) \sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu(\epsiloní\omega\mu\alpha\iota).}
```



```
    15 \tau\rho\iota\alphaко\sigmaí\alphas \tau\epsilon\sigma\sigma\sigma\epsilon\alpháко\nu[\tau]\alpha, \gammai'(\nuо\nu\tau\alpha\iota) (\delta\rho\alphaХ\mu\alphai) \tau\mu. Па\sigmaí\omega\nu
    \beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\iotaк(oेs) \tau\rho\alpha\pi(\epsilon\zetaí\tau\etas) \sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu(\epsiloni\omega}\mu\alphal)
2nd hand к\alphaì \tau\hat{\eta} \iota\alpha \tauov̂ 'E\pi\epsilonì\phi ó\muoí(\omegas) \lambdaó\gamma(ov) \eta}\mp@subsup{\eta}{}{+
```



```
    \sigma\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu(\epsiloni\omega\mu\alphal).
20 к\alphai \tau\etâ к\delta \tauo\hat{v} \Phiа\omega\hat{\phi\iota í \mu(oí\omegas) [\lambdaó\gamma(ov) \eta+}\mp@subsup{}{}{+}\delta\rho\alpha-
    \chi\mu\grave{\alpha};[\delta]![{\alphaо\sigma]!{[\alpha]s, [ / (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) \sigma.
```



＇The sixth year of Lucius Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax Augustus Arabicus Adiabenicus Parthicus Maximus and of the emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Augustus，Pauni．Paid to Pasion and his associates，public bankers of the Oxyrhynchite nome，on account of the tax of $\frac{1}{8}$（？）ordered to be paid upon the aroura in accordance with the edict of his excellency the praefect Aemilius Saturninus，by Tiberius Claudius Geminus also called Gaiion（？）two hundred drachmae，total 2 co dr．Signed by me，Pasion，public banker．＇Records of other instalments follow．

9．On the praefecture of Aemilius Saturninus cf．899．Io，note．
Ir－2．The name 「ai $\omega \nu$ occurs in P．Brit．Mus．II．258．I $30-1$ ，\＆c．，and the repetition of the $\iota$ here was perhaps a clerical error．The initial letter is uncertain，and might be meant for $\sigma$ or possibly $\epsilon$ ，and $\epsilon$ could also be read in place of $a$ ．In any case a second name
 of ot and $v$ is common enough，to postulate it in a doubtful passage is not very satisfactory． There would too only just be room for the abbreviation of $\pi$ and $\epsilon \gamma$ in the lacma．

## 917. TAXING-MEmorandum.

$5 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second or early third century.
A memorandum extracted from the day-book of a collector of money-taxes, summarizing payments under various heads. Of the imposts mentioned two, the vaúßıov (1. 2) and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi$ apoúpıov (1.3), are familiar. The tax of $\frac{1}{6}$ (1.2) is not often met with in Roman times, but a $\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \mu \alpha \propto \omega \nu$ occurs in P. Brit. Mus. III. 1171.72 and a ধ́ктŋ levied upon $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma o \iota ~ a p p a r e n t l y ~ i n ~ P . ~ T e b t . ~ 343 . ~ 69, ~ w h e r e ~ w e ~ s u p-~$ posed that it was connected with the Ptolemaic tax of $\frac{1}{6}$ of the produce for
 known to have been sometimes calculated in Roman times upon the acreage of land. That the $\epsilon_{\epsilon \prime \kappa}^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$ here too means the $\dot{a} \pi о$ о́ o七 $\alpha$ is very likely, especially as the latter is found in 653, where several of the taxes mentioned in 917 occur; the name ék $\kappa \eta \eta$, however, may be a mere survival and not necessarily imply that the tax was actually $\frac{1}{6}$ of the produce. The tax $v a(\quad) \phi o(\quad)(1.2)$ is known from 653, where we resolved the abbreviations doubtfully as va( $\hat{\nu} \lambda o \nu) \phi 0(\rho \tau i \omega v)$. $\nu a(\hat{v} \lambda o v)$ is on the whole more probable than $\nu a(\dot{v} \beta \iota \nu v)$; but $\phi o(\rho \tau i \omega v)$ is unsatisfactory, and $\phi o(\rho \in ́ \tau \rho o v)$ is more likely than $\phi o ́(\rho o v)$ though va(v́dov) $\phi o(\rho \in ́ \tau \rho o v)$ is a somewhat tautologous expression; фoıvíкшv or фoıvıкิ้vos, however, would more naturally be abbreviated fout ). The remaining impost, abbreviated $\sigma \pi(\quad) \delta \omega \nu()$ (l.3), we connect with $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta(\eta)$ in 653, and regard it as levied nominally for a libation to Dionysus; cf. $\sigma \pi 0 v \delta \delta^{\prime}$ as a tax in P. Tebt. 347. 2. There may well be a connexion between this tax and the $\Delta$ iovvoєiov at Oxyrhynchus, which perhaps benefited by the proceeds ; cf. 908. 8-10, note.

Two other similar memoranda by the same tax-collector are described in 981-2. One of these has only the beginnings of lines; the other, which is complete, mentions besides $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a \rho o(v ́ \rho \iota \iota v)$ a tax called $\pi \eta \chi(\iota \sigma \mu o \hat{v}) \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma(\tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu)$, for which 47 dr. I ob. 2 chal. are paid. $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$ by itself appears as an impost in P. Brit. Mus. II. 117I. 73 , where $7 \frac{1}{2}$ dr. are paid for it, and 400 drachmae are entered for $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \circ \lambda\left(\hat{\eta}_{s}\right) \pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$ in P. Brit. Mus. III. II57. I II , 600 dr . in 1. II 3, and 400 dr . for $\pi \eta \chi(\iota \sigma \mu 0 \hat{v})$ oiкo $\pi(\epsilon \dot{\delta} \omega \nu)$ in 1. 152 . The editors suggest that the charges for $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu$ ós were for measuring areas, but remark that the amounts paid are high ; possibly the impost was levied upon the areas measured, not on behalf of the measuring. That the impost $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \in \tau \rho i a s$ means land-tax, not a tax for measuring, was maintained by Wilcken (Ost. I. pp. 173-6), but the evidence subsequently discovered does not support that view ; cf. P. Tebt. I. p. 39. There is, however, somewhat less difficulty in referring the term $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu$ ós than $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i a$ to an area measured, and we are disposed to regard the $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu \partial s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu$
as a tax upon pigeon-houses levied according to their size. In Ptolemaic times there was a tax upon them called $\tau \rho i \tau \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \omega \nu$ (i. e. $\frac{1}{3}$ of the profits; cf. P. Tebt. 84. 9, note), but this is not known to have survived into Roman times, and the $\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu$ òs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau$. may have taken its place. The 4th year, in which 917 and 981 are written ( 982 is dated in the 3 rd year), more probably refers to the reign of Septimius Severus than to that of Marcus Aurelius, Elagabalus, or Severus Alexander.
'E $\xi$ '́ $\phi \eta(\mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \delta o s) ~ ' A \pi i ́ \omega \nu о s ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́(к \tau о \rho o s) ~ \alpha ' \rho \gamma(v \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu) T \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega$.

$\kappa \beta$ ( $\grave{\eta} \mu t \omega \beta \epsilon \in \lambda \iota o \nu$ ),
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho o(v \rho i ́ o v)(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \rho \theta \quad \chi(\alpha \lambda \kappa o i) \gamma, \sigma \pi(o \nu \delta \hat{\eta} s) \Delta \operatorname{Lo\nu }(\dot{v} \sigma o v$ ? $) \cdot(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \eta$
( $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \beta$ оोov) $\chi$ ( $\alpha \lambda \kappa \circ \hat{\nu}$ ) $\alpha$,

(є้точs) $\delta$ Пav̂vı є.

## 2. $\beta$ of $\kappa \beta$ corr. from $\delta$.

'From the day-book of Apion, collector of money-taxes at Talao. For naubion and the tax of $\frac{1}{6}$ and freight by water for the present 4 th year $22 \mathrm{dr} . \frac{1}{2}$ ob., for land-tax 109 dr . 3 chalci, for a libation to Dionysus (?) 8 dr. 4 ob. I chal. Total I 39 dr. 5 ob. Paid 139 dr. 6 ob. Total one hundred and thirty-nine dr. 6 obols. The $4^{\text {th }}$ year, Pauni 5.'
3. $\sigma \pi(o v \delta \eta s)$ : the first letter might possibly be $\epsilon$, but $\sigma$ is a more suitable reading and is confirmed by 653 ; cf. introd.
4. The sum actually paid is I obol in excess of what was due; similarly in 981 the סoots exceeds the previous total by nearly 2 obols.

## 918. LaND-SURVEY.

Height $2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century.
The verso of this long papyrus contains the text of the new Greek historian (842), and a short description of the document on the recto was given in Part V, pp. 110-r. This is a very elaborate survey-register of Crown land at a village in the south-west of the Arsinoite nome near Ibion Argaei, which is mentioned e.g. in v. I7. The plots leased to separate cultivators are arranged in $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \hat{\imath} \epsilon \in$ of varying sizes which have a double system of numbering. One set of numbers refers to the order in which they occur in the present list, beginning with the ist $\sigma \phi \rho a y i s$ and ending, so for as the papyrus goes, with the 12 th ; the other set of numbers refers to some more extensive register, of which the $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta \epsilon \in s$ here
described formed a part. In only two cases are the figures of the second set preserved, the 1st and 2nd $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \hat{\delta} \epsilon s$ of the present list corresponding to the 17 th and 18 th of the other; and it is not unlikely that there was a difference of 16 between the two sets of numbers throughout. From these numbered $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \hat{\imath} \delta \ell \in s$ must be distinguished the use of the term $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$ in 918 to denote the individual plots ; cf. ii. 16, note.

The normal scheme of the survey is as follows. First comes a description of a particular $\sigma \phi p a \gamma i$ as a whole,-its geographical relation to the preceding $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$, its number on both systems, its size, the rents yielded by it, and its adjacent areas. Where as the result of flooding or other cause in former years (ranging from the 3 rd to the 12 th of an unnamed emperor) the rents were no longer paid or had been reduced, or the land had changed its category (e. g. रє $є \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \nu \rho o s$ which had become pasture land), information is added on these points, there being several references to earlier surveys. The general account of each $\sigma \phi \rho a y i s$ closes with the words $\hat{\omega}_{i j}$ то̀ катак ( ) (cf. ii. 13, note), referring to the following description of the individual plots into which it was subdivided. These more detailed entries give the geographical position of each plot (in the first entry the arourae are
 cultivator, the size and rent of the plot, the adjacent areas, and the addition made to the rent as the result of a reassessment. Where the land was not paying the normal rent or had undergone changes, the details already summarized in the general account of the $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$ are repeated in reference to the particular cultivators, e. g. in Col. xi.

The papyrus is divided into four sections separated by gaps, and as the writing on the recto and verso runs in opposite directions, D , the last section of the historical work containing Cols. xi-xxi, is the first of the land-survey, comprising Cols. $\mathbf{i}-\mathrm{viii}$. Col. i , which is much mutilated, is in a different hand from the rest, and is apparently the concluding part of a summary of the succeeding columns. It is concerned chiefly with land ка $\theta^{\prime}$ v̈́датоs (cf. Cols. ix-xv), and
 begins the detailed list of $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \hat{\delta} \epsilon s$. Lines $\mathrm{I}-2$ indicate the point from which the survey starts, and $11.3-7$ apparently define the position of certain arourae, $22 \frac{5}{8}$ in number, which stand in some obscure relationship to the ist $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$. The general description of that $\sigma$ ppayis occupies $11.8-13$, and the details concerning the two sets of cultivators of the $9 \frac{1}{16}$ arourae comprised in it fill ii. 1-iii. 2 . In iii. 3 begins the general description of the and $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$, which contained $10 \frac{1}{8}$ arourae, the details following in iii. II-v. I4. The 3rd $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma$ is (v. 15-21) contained only 2 arourae situated in a hollow which seems to have been formerly dry but was now flooded, and as no rent or cultivators were assigned to it only
the general description was required. The account of the 4th $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s(v i .1-8$ ) is incomplete, but the number of the arourae in it (8, including $\frac{1}{16}$ aroura for a canal) is preserved. It was divided among three sets of cultivators who owned respectively $4 \frac{1}{16}, 2$, and $1 \frac{15}{16}$ arourae. vi. I9-vii. I contains the description of what is clearly the 5th $\sigma \phi p a y i s$, though the number is for some reason omitted. It comprised $5 \frac{1}{32}$ arourae, but only $4 \frac{1}{32}$ are accounted for in vii. $2-1 \mathbf{1}$, so that either $5 \frac{1}{32}$ is an error for $4 \frac{1}{32}$ or an entry has been left out. vii. $12-18$ gives the description of the 6th $\sigma \phi p a y i s$, which contained $3 C_{\frac{5}{16}}$ arourae, and the details concerning the several plots followed in Col. viii, of which only a few letters from the beginnings of lines are preserved, section $D$ breaking off at this point. So far the land in question, with the exception of that in the 2nd $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$, had been in good condition. The rents up to this point range with one exception from $6 \frac{1}{4}$ artabae per aroura down to $4 \frac{37}{4}$, this being the commonest rate ; cf. P. Brit. Mus. II. 267, where the rents of Crown land near Lake Moeris range from 7 to $2 \frac{1}{3}$ artabae per aroura, $4 \frac{27}{40}$ art. being the most frequent. The exception occurs in the description of the 6th $\sigma \phi \rho a y i s$, where the $30 \frac{5}{16}$ arourae pay at the rate of ( $\pi v \rho o \hat{v}$ à $\rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a s) \delta \kappa^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \rho^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}$, i. e. $4 \frac{1}{20} \frac{1}{60} \frac{1}{16 \overline{0}}$, or $4 \frac{7}{9} 6$ art., a fraction which could not be expressed without departing from the ordinary series of fractions of the artaba $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{12}, \& c$. In every instance an addition to the rents had been recently made of amounts ranging from $\frac{1}{12}$ to I artaba, and in one case (iii. $\mathrm{I}-2$ ) the rent had been twice raised. The case is different when we turn to the later columns of the survey on the recto of sections $C, B$, and $A$. These are chiefly concerned with land which had been flooded, and was therefore unproductive except where it had been reclaimed for pastures. A, containing the ends of lines of Col. xiii, Col. xiv, which is incomplete, and Col. xv, of which the ends of lines are lost, deals with the IIth and 12 th $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta \epsilon s$; but to which $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s \mathrm{C}$ (parts of 12 lines from Col. ix) and $B$ (containing a portion of Col. $x, C o l$. xi, which is fairly well prescrved, and a few letters from the beginnings of lines of Col. xii) refer is not indicated, and the relative order of these three sections would be quite doubtful apart from the text on the verso. If we are right in regarding $A$ as the first section of the historical work (cf. Part V, pp. II4-5), it is the last of the survey, and C and B must belong to the $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \hat{\delta} \in s$ intervening between the 6th and IIth; but it remains uncertain whether C comes between D and B or between B and A ; cf. Part V, pp. II3-4. Col. ix, so far as can be judged from its scanty remains, deals with land similar to that described in Col. xi, various àva $\mu \in \tau \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon \iota s}$ (cf. xi. 5) being mentioned. Nothing can be made of Col. x, but Col. xi. 1-9 gives the conclusion of a general description of a new $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \prime$, which had been flooded, the entries concerning the individual holdings following in 11.10 sqq. Owing to the loss of the beginning and the uncertainty of the construction of the various
relative clauses which are piled one upon another the details are not clear, but various categories of land $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ v $\delta \alpha \pi$ os are distinguishable: ( t ) in 1.2 that on which
 (2) in ll. 3 and 13 land of which the rent had been reduced and which subsequently had been converted into pasture land, (3) in 1.21 land $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \in \in \pi o x \hat{n}$, a category frequently mentioned also in Cols. xiii-xiv, and apparently implying land upon which the collection of the rents (in xi. 214 artabae to the aroura) had been suspended indefinitely ; cf. P. Tebt. 336. 13-5 and 337. 2, notes. Col. xii, as we have said, is represented only by a few letters, and Col. xiii, with which section A begins, has only ends of lines. Both this column and Col. xiv give part of a detailed list of entries referring to what must be the IIth $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$, since the account of the 12 th $\sigma \phi \rho a$ in $_{s}$ begins at the top of Col. xv. Of the five entrics in Col. xiii two are concerned with land $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi x_{i l}^{\eta}$, two with land in another category, the arourae
 ad loc. The land had presumably been placed in this class because it had been flooded, but to judge by P. Tebt. 325 évaфє $(\mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta) \gamma \hat{\eta}$ was capable of being cultivated, though at only a nominal rent. Col. xiv contains five more entries concerning lands placed $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi_{0} \hat{y}$ in the 8th year. Rents at the rate of $4 \frac{1}{4}$ and $1 \frac{5}{8}$ artabae to the aroura are mentioned (the latter being exceptionally low, cf. p. 274), but if our interpretation of $\overline{\epsilon \pi o x} \dot{\eta}$ is correct these represent only the rents paid before the land went out of cultivation. Col. xv begins with a description of the 12th $\sigma \phi \rho a y i s$, which occupies ll. 1-12. Lines 3-II summarize in a manner similar to xi. $\mathbf{I}-5$ the changes which had taken place in the character of the land since the $44^{\text {th }}$ year as the result of various $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \psi \epsilon \iota s$, but owing to the loss of the ends no connected sense is obtainable. The $\sigma \phi p a \gamma i s$ seems to have consisted largely of $\chi \in \rho \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha}(\mu \nu \rho o s)$ which had been converted into $\nu \rho \mu \alpha i ́$ at different periods, and, since $30 \frac{23}{3}$ arourae are mentioned in 1.6 , to have been more extensive than usual. Lines $3^{-2 I}$ give the first three entries concerning individual holdings. In one of these the land had become ка $\theta^{\prime}$ vi $\delta a \tau o s$ in the 12 th year, but the
 claimed in the year in which the survey was written. Since no years later than the I2th are mentioned elsewhere in the papyrus, the ėv $\nu \sigma \tau o ̀ s$ étos is likely to have been very soon after the I2th, and may even be the 13 th. The handwriting proves that the survey belongs to the second century, and most probably to the reign of Antoninus or Marcus Aurelius. We print Cols. ii. I-iii. 16, v. I5-21, xi and xiii, which afford good specimens of the whole. The parts omitted mainly consist of repetitions of the same formulae or are too much damaged to be intelligible.

Two other land-surveys of the Roman period exhibit a classification of land
by numbered $\sigma \phi p a y i ̂ o ̂ s, ~ P . ~ F a y . ~ 339 ~ a n d ~ P . ~ B r u x e l l . ~ I ~(M a y e n c e ~ a n d ~ d e ~ R i c c i, ~$ Musée Belge, 1904, pp. IoI sqq.). P. Fay. 339 is a mere fragment, but the accounts of the IIth and I2th $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \bar{\delta} e s$ are for the most part preserved; the text of the entry concerning the 12th $\sigma$ ppayis is quoted in our publication, that of the IIth follows the same formula. The geographical situation of each $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma$ is, its size, rent, cultivator, and surroundings are given; but the areas are much smaller than in 918, being only I and $\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}$ arourae in the two cases, and the term $\sigma \phi \rho a y$ is seems to be used to denote a plot of ground belonging to a single lessee rather than a group of such plots; cf. ii. 16, note. On the other hand in the Brussels papyrus, which though reputed to come from Dimeh is on account of the proper names more likely to have been discovered at Hermopolis and to refer to land in the Hermopolite, not the Arsinoite, nome, the $\sigma \phi \rho a y i \hat{0} \epsilon s$ are much larger than those in 918 , one of them containing over 635 arourae. iò $\omega \tau \tau \kappa \eta$ as well as $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa\rangle \gamma \hat{\eta}$ is included in them, and the land-tax upon the former is added to receipts from rents of the latter, whereas in 918 private land, though frequently mentioned among the $\gamma \epsilon i$ íveves, is not included in the survey. The Brussels survey, of which the extant portions cover the 6th to the roth $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \bar{o} \epsilon s$, is moreover on a much less elaborate scale than 918 , and does not enter into any details concerning individual cultivators of Crown land.

Col. ii.

 $\Pi \in[\sigma o u ́ \rho \epsilon \omega s$,


 ("роираı) $\in \delta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \iota 5^{\prime}$.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau о \iota \kappa(\iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}) \dot{\epsilon} \delta(\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta), \lambda l(\beta o ̀ s)$

 тоиิ

 $5 \delta^{\prime}\left({ }^{\alpha} \rho о и \rho \alpha \iota\right) \beta$, каì $\dot{\alpha}(\nu \grave{\alpha})(\pi v \rho о \hat{v} \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \beta \alpha s) \delta \angle \delta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$
 $\gamma i(\tau o \nu \epsilon S) \nu o ́ \tau(o v) \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \omega$
 $\rho \epsilon \omega s, \beta o \rho \rho \hat{\alpha}$
 каi Патט́vı[0(s)
${ }^{\circ} H_{\rho \omega \nu} \rho(s) \quad \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau o ́ \chi(o v), \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi(\eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau o v) \quad \Theta \epsilon \alpha \beta \beta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega s \quad \Pi \epsilon \sigma o v ́ \rho \epsilon \omega s$ $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho(o s),[$
5. $\nu \tau$ of a a $\boldsymbol{\nu \quad \tau а \rho o v t o [ s ~ c o r r . ~ 6 . ~ F i n a l ~ o ~ o f ~ t a \sigma a t a ß o u t o s ~ c o r r . ~ f r o m ~} \eta$.

Col. iii.
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta s)<$.
 ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \rho o v \rho \alpha \nu\right) ~(\pi u \rho o \hat{v} \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta s) \delta^{\prime}$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda(\iota \dot{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu), \mathrm{L} \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \sigma[\nu \quad$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\delta} \delta \iota] \omega \tau \iota \kappa(\hat{\omega} \nu) \dot{\epsilon} \delta \alpha \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau(\epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu)$
 $\sigma \phi \rho \alpha(\gamma i s)$
5
$\sigma \pi(o ́ \rho \omega)\left({ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \nu \rho \alpha \iota\right) \eta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}(\nu \grave{\alpha})(\pi v \rho o \hat{v} \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha s) \in \delta^{\prime}\left[\left({ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho o v \rho \alpha\right) \alpha \dot{\alpha}(\nu \grave{\alpha})\right.$

 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda(\iota \kappa \grave{\eta})$


]. $\mu \omega($ ) $i \delta i \omega(\tau / k a ̀) ~ \dot{\epsilon} \delta(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta)$



 $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda(\epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \eta s) \dot{\alpha}(\nu \grave{\alpha})(\pi v \rho o \hat{v} \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha s) \delta \angle \delta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}[(\alpha, \alpha \rho o v \rho \alpha l)$. . भí(тovєs)] $\nu o ́ \tau(o v) T \epsilon \kappa \nu \alpha ́[\nu i s$

 $\rho i ́[\sigma \theta(\eta) \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}\left(\alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho o v \rho \alpha \nu\right)(\pi u \rho o \hat{\alpha} \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta s) \angle \delta^{\prime}$.
7 more lines.

## Col. v.

14 lines.
 סı $\alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau(o s)$,
 $\gamma^{\prime}($ (тovєs) $\nu o ́ \tau(o v) \delta i \omega \rho \nu \xi$





17. 1. $\sigma v \nu o ́ \rho(\iota a) . \quad 21 . ~ \epsilon$ of $\epsilon \chi \circ \mu(\epsilon \nu \eta)$ corr.

Col. xi.
 $\alpha[.].] \in \delta^{\prime}$ ai $\epsilon \tau[\cdot(\quad)$
 $\mu[\epsilon \mu \iota \sigma] \theta(\omega \mu \epsilon \quad \nu \alpha \iota) \gamma\left({ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \iota\right)$ v่ $\pi \grave{o}$




 (x้ $\rho \circ \cup \rho \alpha \iota) 5[\angle] \delta^{\prime}$
 $\sigma \phi \rho \alpha(\gamma i s)$,
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \eta \pi(\epsilon \iota \rho o s) \delta_{\iota}(\hat{\alpha}) \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma(\hat{\omega} \nu)$ каi $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \tau(o v)$
 i $\delta \iota \omega \tau(\iota \kappa \alpha) ~ \epsilon ́ \delta(\alpha ́ \phi \eta)$. $\hat{\omega} \nu[\tau \grave{o}] \kappa \alpha[\tau \alpha] \kappa()$.
 $\nu o ́ t(o v) \delta i \omega \rho \nu \xi$,
 $\lambda(\iota \omega ́ \tau о \nu) \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \lambda_{\iota} \beta[\grave{o}] s \delta_{\iota} \omega \rho \nu \xi$.
 $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi(\hat{\omega} \nu) \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta(v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu)$


 $\mu \in \tau \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{\omega}$
 / $\alpha i \pi(\rho о к \epsilon i \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota)$.

$[\kappa \alpha i ̀ \epsilon] \pi i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \mu ' \epsilon ́ \rho o(s) ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \omega \nu \in i ́[\alpha] s$
 $\epsilon i \sigma \alpha \gamma(\omega \gamma o ́ s), \lambda_{\iota} \beta \grave{o}(s) \delta_{\iota} \hat{\omega} \rho v \xi$.

('人́ $\rho o v \rho \alpha) ~ a ~ \dot{\alpha}(v \grave{\alpha})(\pi v \rho o \hat{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha s) \delta\left[\delta^{\prime}\right.$.
 $\delta] \iota \omega \rho \nu \xi, \lambda_{l} \beta \dot{\partial}(s) \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi(\alpha, \nu \omega) \sigma \phi[\rho \alpha(\gamma i s)$.
 $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ ' $O \nu \nu \dot{\prime}(\phi \rho \in \omega \varsigma) \kappa \alpha i ̀ ' A \gamma \chi o(\rho i ́ \mu \phi \in \omega \varsigma)$


```
    \gamma\epsilon\omega[\rho(\gamma )] \alpha! o\hat{v}\sigma(\alpha\iota) \epsilon'\nu \epsilon'\pio\chi\hat{\eta}
```


r. $\in$ of $\epsilon \tau\left[\right.$ corr. from $a$. The fractions after $\delta \delta^{\circ}$ have a horizontal stroke above them ; similarly in 1 . 10 and xiii. I and 16 .

ii. 2. Probably not кa] $\lambda o v \mu\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega\right)$, for there is hardly room for a proper name, even if an グтє
4. $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\nu} \nu\right)$ : the abbreviation $\mu \in \theta$ ( ) occurs frequently in this survey, always following the description of one of the yeitoves, but is nowhere written out. It is clearly different from d̀và $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu$ which occurs in a corresponding position, e. g. in v. 18, and is, we think, contrasted with it, meaning 'beyond' as opposed to 'between'; cf. v. 17 , where $\mu \epsilon \theta() \sigma v \nu \dot{\omega} \rho(a a)$ ' $1 \beta i \omega v o(s)$ 'Appaiov must mean that the boundary between the lands of Ibion and the village with which

918 is concerned lay beyond the canal which was the south $\gamma$ firay of the 3rd $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$. $\mu \epsilon \theta$ (ópoov) would hardly give the required sense, and would have been probably abbreviated $\mu \epsilon \theta$ op ( ), and $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}(\hat{\eta} \nu)$ (or öv or $\delta \delta^{\prime \prime}$ ) is practically certain. In B.G. U. $57 \mathrm{I} .9-10$, where

 (ôv) $\dot{\epsilon} \delta(a \dot{d} \eta \eta)$.
$\kappa \beta \angle \eta^{\prime}$ : the interpretation of these figures, which seem to give the total of the arourae described in ll. 3-7, is uncertain, for they have a line above them such as is found elsewhere in the papyrus above a series of fractions, e.g. xi. io, but not above numerals referring to arourae. The relationship of $11.3-7$ to $11,1-2$ and 8 sqq. is very obscure, but regarded as fractions the figures are still more difficult.
8. [a] $\sigma \phi \rho a(\gamma i s)$ : the restoration of the missing figure is certain, not only from the position of the entry at the beginning of the list (cf. iii. 4) but from Col. vi, where a $\sigma \phi \rho a(\gamma i s) \eta \eta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau(i) \iota \xi \sigma[\phi \rho a(y i s)$ occurs in connexion with the south $\gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$ of the 4 th $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$.
$\epsilon \nu \in i \lambda()$ is perhaps for $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \in i \lambda\left(\nu \mu_{\epsilon} \nu \eta\right)$ : i入 $\hat{v} \omega$ meaning to cover with slime is quoted by Hesychius. $\dot{\epsilon} v \epsilon \iota \lambda(\eta \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta)$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota \lambda(\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta)$ are unsatisfactory. $\sigma \pi \delta^{\prime} \rho \omega$ is to be connected with (äpovpat), not with the preceding words; cf. iii. 5 .
 (l. 19) seems to be meant.
 Факŋ́ous (v. 21 ), was known previously. For $\begin{gathered}\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \\ \text { (тò катак ( ) cf. iii. 10, xi. 9. The abbrevia- }\end{gathered}$ tion катак( ) perhaps stands for катà кєфалд́д, which is used e. g. in Arist. Pol. 2, го. 7 in the sense of кат äd ${ }^{2} \rho \sigma$. Cf. P. Tebt. 343. 5 and 88 , where áкєфáخo(v) in a survey-list apparently means ' $n o n d e s c r i p t$ ', ' unclassified.'

 back to the plot described in ll. 14-7, both plots being comprised in the ist rфparis.
 the $\gamma \epsilon i$ iroves of the next plot described; cf. also xi. 16 and 19, where $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{v} \omega \sigma \sigma \rho a \gamma$ is refers in each case to the preceding holding. This, the ordinary use of $\sigma \phi \rho a y i s$, which occurs throughout 918 in describing the $\gamma$ eiroves of the individual holdings to express the separate parcels, must be distinguished from its use to denote the larger areas which had numbers, and contained several $\sigma \phi$ payiôes in the narrower sense. Where, as e. g. in xi. $6, \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} s$ or $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \dot{v} \omega \sigma \phi \rho a(\gamma i s)$ occurs in the description of a numbered $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$ as a whole, it refers to another numbered $\sigma$ фpayis, not to an individual holding.
18. For $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \beta($ airvoval $) \mathrm{cf}$. P. Tebt. 84.9 I and note.
iii. 3. For the occurrence of an angular sign before ảvà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu$ cf. P. Tebt. 86. 32. In v. I it takes the shape of a wavy line.
5. $\sigma \pi\left(\delta^{\circ} \rho \varphi\right)$ : cf. ii. 8 , where $\sigma \pi o ́ \rho \varphi$ is written out.

The missing figure of the arourae assessed at $5 \frac{1}{4}$ artabae is supplied by the arithmetic ( $10 \frac{1}{8}=1+9 \frac{1}{8}$ ), and confirmed by the details concerning the 2 nd $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$ given in iii. $17-v$. 14, since two mentions of $\frac{1}{2}$ aroura at that rate occur. The rate at which the $9 \frac{1}{8}$ arourae were assessed ( $4 \frac{37}{48}$ artabae) is restored from l. 13 , \&c.
ri-2. The restorations of the proper names are derived from an entry in Col. iv, where I aroura belonging to these three persons is described. Bevia $[\mu$ os is not improbable, but there is no likelihood of a connexion between this name, which ought to be GraecoEgyptian, and Benjamin.
v. ${ }^{7}$. Though the $\omega$ of $\sigma v \nu \dot{\omega} p(a a)$ is for the most part lost in a lacuna, this spelling is confirmed by $\sigma v \nu \dot{\omega} \rho(a a)$ ' $1 \beta$. 'A $\rho \gamma$. which occurs in Col. vii.

2I. $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \circ \mu\left(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \eta\right)$ is superfluous and should be omitted, or perhaps altered to $\pi \rho о к \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \in \eta \eta$, since the canals called Фaү'ŋous (1. 17) and Фaкíous are obviously identical.
xi. r. In the fractions of the aroura after $\frac{1}{16}$ we should expect $\frac{1}{32} \frac{1}{64} ; \lambda \beta$ can be read, but the two following letters are irreconcilable with $\xi \delta$. The following a may be for $\dot{a}(\nu \dot{a})$, but the sign for $\pi v \rho o \hat{v}$ áprá3as would not fill the lacuna. At the end of the line $\epsilon \tau[$. is

$2-5$. The punctuation of these lines is not clear, and to what figure $\widehat{\omega} \nu$ in each case refers is uncertain. The land 'leased in the 3 rd year' (l.2) corresponds to that described in 11. $12-3$, the 1 aroura in 1.4 to that in 1.18 , and the $6 \frac{3}{4}$ arourae in 1.5 to the $6 \frac{3}{4}$ arourae in l. 15. The $18 \frac{3}{4}$ arourae in 1.3 would be expected to correspond to the figure in 1. 13, but the vestiges following (äpoupaı) there suit $\lambda$, not $\iota$.
9. $\eta$ before $\sigma \phi \rho a(\gamma i s)$ is probably $\dot{\eta}$, not the number of the $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma^{\prime}$, since there is no stroke above it such as occurs with the numbers of the $\sigma \phi \rho \pi \gamma i \hat{\delta}$ es elsewhere.
 not $\sigma \phi \rho a(y i s)$, and to read $\not \beta[\sigma \phi \rho a(\gamma i s)]$ is unsatisfactory, for the individual holdings comprised in the numbered $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \hat{\delta} \epsilon s$ do not themselves have numbers; cf. ii. 16, note. Moreover after $\iota$. . [. .] is a horizontal line indicating $\mu$, or merely a mark of abbreviation, but not occurring in the abbreviation of $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma$ is elsewhere in the papyrus.
21. It is not clear whether $\epsilon \bar{\gamma}$ means $5 \frac{1}{3}$ (arourae) or is an abbreviated word. $\frac{1}{3}$ is not a common fraction of the aroura, but occurs in Rev. Laws lx. 23 . It is not possible to read $\angle$ for $\epsilon$ and connect the fractions with the preceding $\delta$.
919. Advance of Dues on a Freight.

$14.5 \times 10.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.

A. D. 182 ?

Memorandum of an advance of 160 drachmae to a ship's captain for customsdues to be paid at Memphis on a cargo of olives and honey. The 22 nd year in which the document is dated probably refers to the reign of Commodus.

```
    K\beta (\epsilon'тovs) \Piav̂v\iota к\zeta
    \epsilońк \lambdaó\gamma(ov) к\lambda\eta'(\rhoov ?) 'Iov\lambda(iov) \Sigma\alpha\rho\alpha\pi(í\omega\nuos).
    K\alpha\lambda\lambda\epsiloń\propto\propto кv\betaєр\nu\etáт(\eta) \epsilonis \tau\epsiloń\lambda\eta
    M\epsiloń\mu\phi\epsilon\omegas \tau}\omega\nu\nu '́\mu[\beta]\lambda\eta\eta0\epsiloń\epsilon\tau(\omega\nu
5
    ко\mu\iota\sigma0(\epsilon\nu\tau\omega\nu) \alpha’\pi' 'A\rho\sigma\iota\nuо\epsiloni\tau(ov) к\alpha!
    \muó\nu\eta K\lambda\alphav\deltaía 'I\sigmaı\delta'\omega\rhoa
    \mu\epsiloń\lambda\iotaто\Omega кє\rho\alpha(\muí\omega\nu) \ к\alphaì \sigma\epsilon-
    \beta\epsilon\iota\tauí\omega\nu к к \hat{\omega}\nu \lambdaó\gammao(v)
10 \delta\omegá\sigma\epsilon\iota (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\alphai) }\rho\xi\mathrm{ .
    \deltao(\tau\omega) \lambdaó\gamma(o\nu) \sum\, \rho\rho\alpha\pi(í\omega\nul) (\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\hat{\omega}\nu) \rho\xi{.
4. }0\mathrm{ of }\epsilon\mu[\beta]\lambda\eta\eta\epsilon\nu\tau(\omega\nu) corr. from \tau.
```

＇The 22nd year，Pauni 27 ，on account of the holding（？）of Julius Sarapion．Paid to Calleas，pilot，for the taxes of Memphis upon his freight of 90 Prosopite measures of olives carried from the Arsinoite nome，and 7 jars and 20 boxes of honey for Claudia Isidora solely， 160 drachmae，of which he shall render an account．

Let him render an account to Sarapion of 160 drachmae．＇
2．$\kappa \lambda \lambda_{i}^{( }(\rho o v)$ is not quite satisfactory，but $\kappa \lambda \eta$（ $\left.\rho o v o \dot{\mu} \rho v\right)$ or $-\omega \nu$ is unlikely owing to I．ir， where a Sarapion is apparently mentioned whom it is natural to identify with the Julius Sarapion here．
 customs－receipts，e．g．P．Fay．69，72，\＆c．；cf．P．Brit．Mus．III． 1107 and Preisigke＇s recent discussion of this tax in P．Strassb．I．p．50．In P．Hibeh IIO．24，of the third century b．c．， only a small sum for $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa$ óv was paid at Memphis upon a freight of corn．

5．We suppose $\Pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi(i \tau \eta s)$ to be a measure deriving its name from the Prosopite nome，like the＇о $\xi_{v \rho u \chi i \tau \eta s}$（P．Brit．Mus．III．пі7о．verso 79，\＆c．）from Oxyrhynchus．Про－
 фoivko（s）），in which case the measure is not specified ；but apart from that small difficulty it is unlikely that olives from the Prosopite nome in the Delta would be taken to Memphis via the Fayum．The figure at the end of the line is doubtful；it is more like $q$ than $\iota$ ，but does not greatly resemble either．A figure of some kind however seems essential．

8．$\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \tau i \omega \nu$ ：cf．P．Par．10． 22 бєßitoo rvvaikєiov．The word is supposed to be a diminutive of $\sigma \epsilon \beta i s$ ，which according to Hesychius $=\pi v \xi i s$ ．

11．$\Sigma a \rho a \pi(i \omega \nu ı)$ ：the letters are damaged but fairly secure．

## （f）ACCOUNTS

920．Account of Food．
$13.9 \times 13.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Late second or early third century．

A short list of various articles，largely comestibles，with the prices paid for them．This is written on the verso of another money account of which parts of two columns remain，the beginnings and ends of lines respectively being lost． The items in the second column are dated in Phamenoth and Pharmouthi of the 2Ist year of an emperor whom from the handwriting we should suppose to be Antoninus or Commodus；the document on the verso，which is in a different hand，is unlikely to be very much later．

```
    \Delta\̧́v́\omega\nu (\dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\alphá\beta\eta) a
    \sigma\iota\nu\alphá\pi\epsilon\omegas \mu(\epsiloń\tau\rho\alpha ?) \zeta
    \pi\lambda\alpha\tau\alphaкі\omega\nu
    \lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\hat{\omega}\nu
5 \sigma\alpha\lambda\omegáт\iota\alpha
```

| （ $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)$ | $\beta$ 人 ${ }^{\text {ot }}$ ）， |
| :---: | :---: |
| （ $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)$ | 15, |
| （ঠоах $\mu$ 人i） | $\kappa \delta$（oß $\beta$ о ós $^{\prime}$ ）， |
| （סрах $\mu \alpha i)$ | $\eta$ ， |
| （ $\delta \rho \alpha \times \mu \alpha i)$ | $\beta$（ $\delta$ oó $\beta$ o入ol）， |


| ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \alpha \iota$ | ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) ~ \iota \theta$ ( $\delta$ vóß $\lambda^{\prime} \lambda o \iota$ ), |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa i \omega \nu$ | ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \mu \eta$, |
| $\sigma \tau \rho o v \tau(o \hat{v}) \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \lambda(o v)$ | ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) ~ \eta$, |
| $\sigma \phi \alpha l[\rho] i \omega{ }^{\prime}$ | ( $\delta \rho \alpha \times \mu \alpha i)<\delta$, |
| $10 \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa i \omega \nu$ | (ঠрах釆i) $\nu$, |
| $\sigma \phi \alpha \iota \rho i \omega \nu$ | ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \nu \beta$, |
| is $\lambda o ́ \gamma(o \nu) \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \beta \hat{\omega}(\nu O S)$ ot $\quad$ out(ov) | ( $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i)$ ı ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ [ |
| คо $\hat{\omega}^{\nu}$ | $(\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i) \in[$ |

1. $\delta \iota \zeta \ddot{v} \phi \omega \nu$ Pap.
2. First $a$ of $\pi \lambda a \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \nu \nu$ corr.
3. 4. ल̇גaîal.
1. 2. $\sigma \tau \rho \sigma v \in(\circ \hat{\imath})$; so in l. 12.



 Stadtmüller), but are now confirmed by the papyrus. $\Delta i i_{v} \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ov is apparently another form of $\zeta i \xi v \phi o v$, the fruit of the zizyphus or jujube-tree ; cf. e. g. Pliny, H. N. 15. I $4 . \S 47$.
1. $\mu(\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho a)$ : or perhaps $\mu($ árıa) : the abbreviation consists of a $\mu$ with a small $\zeta$ written above and somewhat to the right of it.
2. $\pi \lambda a \tau$ ákıo is a (new) diminutive of $\pi \lambda a ́ \tau a \xi$, which, according to Athen. 309 a, was an Alexandrian name of the fish коракivos.
 is not clear.
3. $\sigma a \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau a:$ the word is unknown.
 purchased for 8 drachmae. Perhaps this was a part payment; cf. 1. 12, where 12 drachmae are paid as earnest money for $\sigma \tau \rho o v \tau(o \hat{v})$. In P. Leipzig 97. xxviii. 18 and 20 , xxix. 19 and 21 occur entries of an artaba cis $\tau \bar{c} \sigma \tau \rho o u \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
4. $\sigma \phi$ atpia are probably sweetmeats, so called from their shape; cf. Vita MS.


## 821. Inventory of Property.

$$
34.3 \times 1.4 .4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century. }
$$

A list of various articles, chiefly of dress; cf. 109, 741, P. Tebt. 406, P. Gen. $80, \& c$. The list is on the verso of a lengthy third-century account, of which the beginnings of lines are lost throughout, mostly concerning measurements of buildings, \&c., and mentioning different kinds of $\pi \dot{\eta} \in \iota \mathcal{S}-\dot{i} \pi \lambda о \hat{\imath}, \kappa а \mu а \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa о i ́(o r-\omega \tau о i ́)$,



 is an entry concerning $\kappa] \in \rho a ́ \mu c a$, followed by the signature Aúp $\eta \lambda \iota o[s]$ इapâs $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \mu(\epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu)$, part of a date, and . . .] $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\delta} \dot{\omega} \omega \kappa \alpha$.

8. $\kappa a \lambda \lambda \epsilon(o v)$ inserted later: the final letter has a stroke above it. $\quad$ 12. l. $\sigma a \beta a v o-$ факıа́ $\iota($ (ov). I6. $\delta$ written through $\gamma$ 24. $\kappa \omega$ of $\pi v \rho \iota \gamma \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ above the line.
'Arlicles deposited with Arsinoë:-3 woollen wraps, 3 . . coverings, r outer cloak, I white mantle, 4 woollen (?) shirts, I white veil, 2 woollen pillows belonging to Calleas, and some linen ones, I girdle, I small face-cloth, I face-cloth belonging to Thaësis, I ditto, worn, I new cross-band, 2 cambrics with shaded stripes, 4 worn shirts, 3 shawls, r bathingbag (?), r thick cambric, 2 worn pillows, r Cynopolite cambric, worn, an Aphrodite, 2 cups; and in the casket some spoons, some pepper.'
3. $\sigma$. «кava is perhaps a geographical adjective ; the first letter may be $a$.

6. $\sigma \mu a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon a$ is possibly to be connected with $\mu a \lambda \lambda o o_{s}: ~ c f . ~ t h e ~ c o l l a t e r a l ~ f o r m s ~ \mu a p i \lambda \eta ~$
 initial $\sigma$ in the case of $\mu a \lambda \lambda$ ós.
8. кєртлка́рьa = cervicalia; the word is found in the form кє $\rho$ ßька́pta in a similar list published by Wessely in C.P. R. I. p. $\mathbf{I 2}^{2}$, and in B. G. U. 814 . II. We interpret $K a \lambda \lambda \epsilon^{\prime}(o v)$ as a proper name on the analogy of $\Theta a \eta \sigma t(o s)$ in I. 12.



 variegated girdles. $\sigma \iota \kappa \iota \omega \tau \epsilon \nu$ in P . Tebt. 413 . II is perhaps for $\sigma \kappa \iota \omega \tau o ́ v$.
17. àvaßo入áóıa occur also in 109. 9. How they differed from $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta$ odáóca is not clear.
18. Bàavápoov is apparently novel; the word may mean a towel or perhaps a bag carried by a person going to the bath like $\pi \rho \dot{\partial} s \beta a \lambda a \hat{i} o \nu ~ i n ~ 903 . ~ 29, ~$
24. $\pi$ г $\rho$ ríкк@ seem's to be the word intended, though there is something between the $\rho$ and $\gamma$. The surface of the papyrus was faulty here, and this may have disconcerted the writer.
 летєро́үароу.

## 922. Account of Horses.

$3 \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{I} \times 2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 7 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ Late sixth or early seventh
century.

This document contains particulars concerning a number of horses and other §ฺ̣a, how they had been disposed of, changes effected by sale and purchase, and losses through decease. The use to which these animals were put is not stated, but
 or perhaps the $\delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ к і р к о s ~(145.2) . ~ T h e ~ p o p u l a r i t y ~ o f ~ h o r s e-r a c i n g ~ a t ~ t h i s ~$ period seems to have led to the introduction of foreign breeds and variation of qualities; one of the horses here enumerated came from Constantinople (1. I5), and several unknown technical terms or epithets occur.

The sheet of papyrus is so made up that the recto of a strip added along one side coincides with the verso of the remainder, and on this surface, which is thus mostly verso, the account is written. On the back at a distance from each other are two scmi-effaced and illegible lines in which we can discover no connexion with the main document.

```
+T\mp@code{\alpha \deltav́o i\pi\pi\alphá\rho\iota\alpha "A\sigmaк\lambdaov \epsiloń\deltaó0\eta \epsilonis \tauò\nu i\pi\pi\iotak(ó\nu).}
    \tauò i\pi\pi\alphá\alpha\iota\nu "\Omega\phi\epsilon\omegas \epsiloń\deltaót(\eta) єis \tauò \alphaै\nu\omega \sigma\tau\alphá\beta\lambdao\nu.
```



```
    \tauò i\pi\pi\alpha\alpha\rho(\iotaov) \Sigma\pi\alpha\alphaví\alphas \epsiloń\deltaó0(\eta) \epsilon'今s \tauò \alphaú\tauò \sigma\tau\alphá\beta\lambdaov.
5 \mp@code { \tau o ̀ ~ i \pi \pi \pi \alpha ́ \rho ( \iota o v ) ~ \tau 0 \hat { v } ~ ' A \rho \sigma \iota \nu o i ́ \tau o v ~ \epsilon ́ \delta o ́ \theta ( \eta ) ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha u ' \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \beta \lambda o v . }
    \tauò \mu\iotaк\rhoòv \lambda\epsilonvкòv i\pi\pi\alphá́\rho\iotav \epsiloń\deltaó0(\eta) \epsilonis \tauòv i\pi\pi\pi\iotaк(óv).
    \Piатрі́к\iotaо\nu каi \tauò\nu \mu\iotaкро̀\nu \gamma\epsilonра́т\eta\nu \deltaє\deltaळка\muє\nu
        vं\pi\grave{\rho}\rho \tauov̂ \piv\rho\rhoov̂ ï\pi\piov \tauov̂ \alphaै\nu\omega \sigma\tau\alphá\beta\lambdaov.
    \tauò \lambda\epsilonvкò\nu фо\rho\alphá\deltaı\nu каi \pi\epsiloń\lambda\alphaто\nu \delta\epsilon\deltaळ́к\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu
```



```
    \tauò\nu к\epsiloń\nu\tauו\nuо\nu \pi\epsilon\pi\rho\alpháк\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu ка` \etả\gammaора́\sigma\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu
        \tauò\nu \mu\iotaк\rhoò\nu \mu\epsilon\lambda\alpha\nuò\nu \tauò\nu \epsilon'\nu \tau\hat{\omega}}\sigma\tau\alphá\beta\\lambda\omega
    \tauо̀\nu í\pi\piто\nu \tauò\nu \lambdaє\gammaó\mu\epsilon\nuо\nu П\lambdaє̀\beta \pi\epsilon\piра́ка\mu\epsilon\nu
```


${ }_{15}$ тò imáápıv K$\omega \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ́ \nu o v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha ́ к \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$



 $\alpha \pi \alpha i \theta \alpha \nu \alpha \nu$.



2nd hand $\eta \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta}$ obo ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda(\epsilon \iota \alpha) \tau \hat{\omega} \nu K \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$.
 Moukíou


 $i \pi$ 'mov Pap.; so in 11. 10, 13 . ${ }^{17}$. Above the last 5 letters of ovp $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \beta \tau$ there is a horizontal

 inserted. 26. l. ̇̇ $\bar{\omega} \omega \dot{\lambda} \eta \sigma a$.
'The two horses from Asclou were delivered to the groom. The horse from Ophis was delivered to the upper stable. The horse of the magistrate was delivered to the same stable. The horse from Spania was delivered to the same stable. The horse from the Arsinoite nome was delivered to the same stable. The small white horse was delivered to the groom. I gave. Patricius (?) and the small . . . for the bay horse of the upper stable. I gave the white mare and the ... for the small horse which died. I sold the ... and bought the small black one which is in the stable. I sold the horse called Pleb for 3 solidi, which the revered Philoxenus has. I sold the horse from Constantinople for 3 solidi, which the revered Philoxenus has. I sold the two asses (?) from Heracleopolis and the ass from Oureeiebt for $5 \frac{2}{3}$ solidi, which were paid to the same. The ass of the magistrate and that of the water-carrier and its mate are dead. The mare which died belonged to Menas the official. Three asses were bought from Ophis for $8 \frac{1}{3}$ solidi, and another from Pallosis for 3 solidi. The she-ass of the Karaneots is dead. The other she-ass of the said Karaneots and that belonging to the people from Lucii and the small one I sold, and received 4 solidi for them.'

1. "Aбкдov on the analogy of " $\Omega \phi \epsilon \omega$ s, इmavias (which occurred in 190), \&c., should be a place-name.
2. Патрікьo here appears to be a proper name rather than a title. The paragraphus after 1.6 indicates that $i \pi \pi \iota \kappa\left(\mathcal{o}^{v}\right)$ ends the sentence (cf. 1. r), so that Patricius does not refer to the groom. Perhaps the name of a horse is meant; cf. l. I3 and note. $\gamma \in \rho a ́ t \eta \nu$ may possibly mean 'aged'; cf. the late form $\gamma \in \rho a \tau i a$. The $\tau$ might be read as $\gamma$.


ir．kévtivos is an unknown word．
 nected with plebeius（cf．Пaтpiкıov in 1． 7 and note）？

I 7. S $\varphi a$ in this context more probably signifies asses or mules than oxen（cf．P．Amh．

 According to Sophocles＇Lex．乡⿳⺈⿴囗十一⿱一𫝀口卩 was not used of horses．
 late writers．$\delta \mu o v \rho \gamma o \hat{v}$ was perhaps intended．
 ＇Apolvoítov．There may well have been a village called Kapáveta nearer to Oxyrhynchus．

## （g）PRAYERS

## 923．Petition to a Pagan Deity．

$20.1 \times 8.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Late second or early third century．

A petition addressed to the deity of some Oxyrhynchite temple，perhaps Sarapis，apparently with a view to prevent the departure of a certain person to Alexandria for purposes of sacrifice，and to cause him to sacrifice at the Oxyrhynchite Sarapeum instead ；but owing to the incompleteness of the first six lines，where the construction is uncertain，the precise object of the prayer is obscure ；cf．1．6，note．Similar petitions or questions addressed to Gracco－ Egyptian deities are extant in P．Fay．137－8，B．G．U．229－30，Wessely，Script． Gr．Spec．no．26，and P．Brit．Mus． 1267 d（Archiv，IV．p．559）；cf．also 925. The papyrus is broken at the top，but it is not certain that any lines are missing．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [.....]. }!\omega \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda[. . . . \\
& \text { [. . . . . .] . . } \sigma \epsilon \text { ' } A \pi \iota \omega \nu r^{\prime} . . \\
& \text { т.. [.]. . . } \nu \eta{ }^{`} E \xi \alpha \kappa \kappa \omega \nu \text {. [. . } \\
& \text { [....]. Tıбаl aùтoîs } \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \\
& 5 \text { [.......]!cis aúzoîs tòv } \\
& \mu[. . .] \nu \nu \text { ò̀ є้̌ } \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \text { єis } \\
& \text { Ovaíav бои̂ то̂ кupíou } \mu \grave{\eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \text {, 'є } \pi \epsilon i \text { кат' ä } \gamma \nu 0 \downarrow \alpha \nu \\
& \text { 1० } \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \rho о \nu \tau i ́ \delta \omega \nu \alpha u \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$


 ки́pıo $\Delta$ tórкovpoı ) is unsuitable; the traces of the letter before the supposed $\iota$ rather suggest $\gamma$ or $\tau$.
2. The letter before $\sigma \epsilon$ may be $\iota, \nu$, or $v$.
3. Unless 'Ȩ̧áк ${ }^{2}$ is nominative, the following letter must be $\tau$, which is possible.
4. Possibly $[\chi \rho \eta \mu$ ]atíau.
5. Jets seems to be the termination of a future verb, though this does not yield a satisfactory construction. $\nu$ or $\pi$ can be read in place of $\iota$.
 But it seems hardly likely that the petition should be merely concerned with the place where a calf was to be sacrificed, and the question whether a person was to make a journey was frequently asked of an oracle ; cf. P. Fay. 137-8 and P. Tebt. 284. 2 sqq., and for a Christian parallel 925. We prefer therefore to suppose that tò $\mu[\ldots .]_{\nu}$ is a personal name or description.
8. катє $\nu$ '́ $\gamma к a t$ : less probably катє $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \eta$.

## 924. Gnostic Charm.

$$
9 \times 7.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century }
$$

A charm for warding off fever, similar to B. G. U. $95^{6}$ (edited with a commentary by Wilcken in Archiv, I. pp. 420-7) and P. Tebt. 275, but Christian instead of pagan; cf. B. G. U. 954-5. The Deity is not addressed under any particular name at the beginning, but the essentially Gnostic character of the charm is shown at the end by the mystical symbols and the occurrence of the title Abrasax, a common Gnostic name of the Supreme Being.

```
    `}\mp@subsup{}{}{5}H\quad\mu!\età\eta \phiu\lambda\alphá\xi\eta\ к\alphai \sigmav\nu\tau\eta
    \rho\etá\sigma\eta\ 'A\rhoías ả\piò \tauov ध̇\pi\imath\eta\mu\epsilon\rhol-
    \nuov̂ ф\rhoıкòs каi \alphȧ\piò то\hat{v} к\alpha0\eta\mu\epsilon-
    \rho\iota\nu0\hat{v} ф\rho\iotaкòs каì \alpha|\piò \tauо\hat{v} \nuvк\tau\epsilon\rho\iota-
5 vov̂ ф\rho\iotaкòs к\alphaì \alphả\piò \tauov̂ \lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\tauôै
    {\tauo\langlev\rangle \lambda\epsilon\pi\tau0!\cup} \piv\rho\epsilon[\tauov̂ . . . . . . . .
    \phi\etas. \tau\alphav̂\tau\alpha \epsilonथ̛̣[\mu\epsilon\nu\hat{\omega}]ṣ{[\pi]\rho\rho\alphá{[\xi-
    \epsilon\iotas ö\lambda\omegas к\alpha\tau\grave{\alpha} \tauò 0\epsiloń\lambda\eta\mu\alpha
        U
```



On the verso

$$
' A \rho i\langle\alpha\rangle s .
$$

2. 3. 'Apiav . . . тīs є่ $\phi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \bar{s}$. v of $\epsilon \pi \imath \eta \mu \epsilon \rho เ \nu 0 v$ corr. from $\sigma$.
. . . $\tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \eta ̄ s . ~ 16 . ~ 1 . ~ a ̈ \gamma เ o \nu . ~$
'Verily guard and protect Aria from ague by day and quotidian ague and ague by night and slight fever and ... All this thou wilt graciously do in accordance with thy will first and with her faith, since she is a servant of the living God, and in order that thy name may be glorified for ever.'

 P. Tebt. 275.21, \&c.
1. Above the $\tau$ of $\lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau o v}$ is what looks like a $\pi$, but in any case seems to be superfluous. The line cannot have proceeded кaì $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \mid \phi \hat{\eta} s$, for though $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \phi \dot{\eta}$ is coupled with iєpà vóvos in contracts relating to the purchase of slaves, who are guaranteed to be àvamópıфot
 shortly be demonstrated by Prof. Kübler.

7-8. $[\pi]$ oá $\{\xi]$ ects is very doubtful, for the writer elsewhere divides words between two lines correctly, and the supposed $\rho$ might be $\iota, \tau$, or $\phi$, while of the supposed $a$ only the slightest vestige remains.
 Daniel (Theodot.) 6. 20.

15-7. $\bar{v}$ and $\overline{\chi_{v}}$ are written larger than the rest. The use of the vowels is very common in magical formulae, but it is curious that here they are six, not seven in number, $\epsilon$ being omitted, unless indeed it was written to the left of $a$ or $\eta$, where the edge of the papyrus is damaged.
925. Christian Prayer.

$$
5.6 \times 9.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fifth or sixth century }
$$

This prayer is a Christian counterpart of the pagan petitions to the oracle of which 923 is a specimen. The writer asks whether it was the divine will that he should make a certain journey and whether success would attend him. Presumably this prayer was to be deposited in some church, just as the similar pagan documents were left in the temples; cf. P. Fay. 137. introd. It is written in a clear cursive of the fifth or sixth century.

```
+`O Ө(\epsilonò)s ò \pi\alpha\nu\tauокра́т\omega\rho ò äylos
o \alpha}\lambda\lambda\eta0\iota\nuòs \phii\lambda\alphá\nu0\rho\omega\piоs к\alpha
\delta\eta\muLoup\gammaòs ó \pi(\alpha\tau)\grave{\eta}\rho \tauov̂ k(v\rhoío)v (\kappa\alphai) \sigma\omega(\tau\hat{\eta})\rho(o)s
```



```
5 \pi\alpha\rho\alphà \sigmaoì \alpha\lambda\etá0\iota\alpha\nu \epsiloni \betaov́\lambda\eta }\mu\epsilon\alpha\mp@code{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\lambda0\epsilonī
\epsilonis X\iotaoù\tau \े\eta \epsilon'िрi\sigmaк\omega \sigma\epsilon \sigmaù\nu \epsiloń\muoi
\piр\alpháт\tauо\nu\tau\alpha (\kappa\alphai) \epsilonv̉\mu\epsilon\nu
```

' O God almighty, holy, true, and merciful, Creator, Father of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, reveal to me thy truth, whether it be thy will that I go to Chiout, and whether I shall find thee aiding me and gracious. So be it ; Amen.'

1-4. Cf. B. G. U. 954. 1-3.
7. $q^{\theta}$ is the common symbol for $\dot{a} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, 99$ being the sum of the numerical equivalents of the letters.

## ( 2$)$ PRIVATE CORRESPONDENCE

926. Invitation to Dinner.

$$
2.9 \times 4.9 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Third century.
This and the following papyrus (927) are further examples of the formal invitations to feasts of which we have previously published examples from Oxyrhynchus (110-1, 524, 747) and the Fayûm (P. Fay. 132), but which curiously enough have not yet appeared in other collections. The occasion of the party in the present case was the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s$ of the person in whose name the invitation was issued, i.e. his admission to the privileged class who were wholly or in part exempt from the poll-tax ; cf. P. Oxy. II. pp. 217 sqq. The normal age of candidates for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa p \iota \sigma \iota s$ was about 13 years, since on reaching

14 they became liable to the tax ；the formality thus heralded the attainment of puberty and the entry upon the duties of a citizen．This invitation is peculiar in having upon the back what seems to be an address，which former instances have lacked；the address，however，is in a different hand and doubtfully deciphered， and possibly it is not really connected with the note on the recto．

On the verso
2nd hand X $\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \omega \nu$ ！
＇H入aбịov．

## 6．1．Xat $\alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \omega \nu$（？）．

＇Heratheon invites you to dine with him，on the occasion of his examination，at his house to－morrow，the 5 th，at the 9 th hour．＇

5．The abbreviation of äpas consists of an $\omega$ through which a $\rho$ is drawn．

827．Invitation to a Wedding．

$$
3.2 \times 6.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

A formal invitation to a wedding，by which no doubt a feast in celebration of the wedding is to be understood ；cf．926．introd．，and 111，747，and P．Fay．132， which are also invitations to wedding feasts．The writing is on the verso of a strip cut from two documents which have been gummed together；one of these apparently mentioned the emperor Alexander Severus，so that the invitation may be referred to the middle or latter part of the third century．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { K } \alpha \lambda \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \iota{ }^{"} E \rho \omega s
\end{aligned}
$$

> є́бтìv aưpıov $\kappa \theta$
> $\alpha \pi \grave{\alpha}$ ©゙pais $\theta$.
＇Eros invites you to a wedding to－morrow the 29 th at the 9 th hour．＇

## 928. Letter of Lucius.

$10.2 \times 7.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Second or third century.
In this kindly letter written by Lucius to Apolinarius, who is addressed as 'brother', the latter is warned of a plot against a girl who had lost her protector, and is asked to befriend her. The writing is across the fibres of the papyrus.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \iota \chi^{\alpha} \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the verso
'A
 else. 14. ï $\sigma \delta \omega \rho \iota \omega \nu$ Pap.
' Lucius to Apolinarius his brother, greeting. Since now that Zopyrus is dead there are persons making designs upon Thal's daughter of Amphithales, and you once had a conversation with me on this subject, I therefore inform you, in order that if you think fit you may act before she is entrapped ; for the son (?) of Sebastinus has no mother either. If you are making pickled fish for yourself send me a jar too. Greet the children from me and Isidorion. I pray for your health. (Addressed) To Apolinarius.'
3. The use of the article with the proper names in ll. 3-4 is unusual, but neither

9. It is difficult to avoid reading $\dot{\delta}$ before $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v}$, though the sentence then seems irrelevant. Without $\delta$, the subject of ${ }^{\circ} \chi \in \iota$ is Thais.

## 929. Letter of Nicanor.

## $34.5 \times 9.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second or third century.

The subject of this letter is the loss of some articles of clothing, which the writer wished his correspondent to assist him in recovering. It is on the verso of the papyrus, the recto containing the latter parts of lines of a column of early second-century accounts, of which the upper portion has been erased to receive the address of the letter. Three sections remain, each following the same

 $\tau \xi \delta, \pi \lambda(\hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s)$. The preceding sections are similarly headed ] $\bar{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \bar{\delta}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \dot{v} \pi \notin \rho \bar{\epsilon}$ respectively, with ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \phi \alpha$ and $\beta \hat{\eta} \tau(\alpha)$ followed by different figures in the next line; in
 in the same position in both cases.

The verso had already been once used, and has been cleaned to make way for Nicanor's epistle. The original document began with a date of the 21st year of Commodus (A. D. 180), but beyond this only a few isolated letters are legible.

[^2]' Nicanor to Ninnarus his brother, greeting. Knowing your goodness to all, I ask you now to do me this one service. Please demand from Tithoïs the sailor a garment consisting of a brown tunic, inside which was a linen cloth, a worn towel, and some wool. All these were inside the brown tunic, and it was sealed with white clay, and with it send back to me all the other garments, making the total number six, to the Oxyrhynchite nome whence I obtained all the aforesaid articles. I write therefore to you, brother, to see if they are in some one else's possession. Please tell me at once about this. I pray for your health. (Addressed) To Ninnarus steward of Apion, strategus (?), from Nicanor.'
 $\omega$ in this letter.
8. $\delta \dot{\imath} \mu a$ for $\check{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \mu a$ is apparently novel.
9. кароivov seems to be for кapuǐvov, 'nut-brown'; cf. Theophr. de Sensu 78 карúivov



 more regular.

${ }^{2} 5 . \sigma \tau \rho a(\tau \eta \gamma \sigma \hat{u})$ is very doubtful.

## 930. Letter to Ptolemaeus from his Mother.

$$
15 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Second or third century }
$$

An interesting letter from a mother to her son, whose teacher ( $\kappa a \theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ) had just left him, and who was now in the charge of his maıסaywyós. The writer with evident anxiety urges him to find another teacher.

 $[\grave{\alpha}] \nu$ Хрєía ${ }^{\prime} \notin \chi \eta s . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v}-$ $\theta \epsilon \nu$ є́ $\lambda o \iota \pi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ '่ $\pi \iota \gamma \nu o \hat{v}-$
5 $\sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta v \gamma \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau 0 \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\Delta$ เoүє́vous кат $\alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \cup-$ кє́val aủтóv. $\quad$ ク $\mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \mu \nu o u \nu$

10 $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \dot{v} v[\alpha] \mu \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ бol $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \in ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ $\delta_{\epsilon} \mu o \iota \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \iota$ каi $\pi v \theta \epsilon \in-$
 $\epsilon \in \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota$ ס $\neq \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon-$ $\rho i ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \hat{v} \sigma o v$. $\omega ँ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ổ $\nu, \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu 0 \nu, \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ $\sigma \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ бoí $\tau \in \kappa \alpha \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha-$
 $\theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \oint о \nu \tau \alpha i ́ \sigma \in \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \alpha i$
 $\kappa \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ \alpha ~ \Theta \epsilon \omega \nu i ́ \delta o s$


$\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \in \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ víias oov кai є́ $\pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau i ́ \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \omega$ -
$\tau \epsilon \iota \iota \dot{\tau} \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega-$


In the left-hand margin

On the verso
$30]$ Пто入є $\mu \alpha i ́ \varphi$ vî̀ı.

4. 1. $\epsilon^{\lambda} \lambda u \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$.

' . . . do not hesitate to write to me about anything which you require. It grieved me to learn from the daughter of our teacher Diogenes that he had sailed, for I had no anxiety about him, knowing that he intended to look after you to the best of his ability. I took care to send and ask about your health and learn what you are reading ; he said that it was the sixth book and testified at length concerning your attendant. So my son, I urge both you and your attendant to take care that you go to a suitable teacher. Many salutations are sent to you by your sisters and Theonis' children, whom the evil eye shall not harm, and by all our friends by name. Salute your esteemed attendant Eros ... (Addressed)... to her son Ptolemaeus.'
 'therefore', is more probably to be connected with edvaij $\theta \eta \nu$ than with the preceding sentence.
15. The subject of ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$ is the $\kappa a \theta \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta ;$; his daughter could hardly have given this information. т̀̀ $\zeta \grave{\eta} \tau a$ no doubt refers to Homer, and is therefore likely to denote the sixth rather than the seventh book, the Homeric books being commonly numbered by letters not figures; cf. notes on 852. Fr. $2_{5}$, and 853. iii. 3-5.

28. There is a blank space after "E $\rho_{\omega \tau a}$, which indicates that this is the name of the $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ós, not the imperative of $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{a} \nu$ to be constructed with what follows in the margin.
29. If the letters $\epsilon \rho \rho$ are right they no doubt belong to $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \rho \rho \omega \sigma$ o or $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma \theta a t$, but the succeeding vestiges present difficulties. The letter next after the lacuna may be $\theta$ or $a$, but neither $\dot{\epsilon}_{\rho} \rho \rho[\hat{\omega} \sigma] \theta a \iota$ nor $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \rho[\hat{\omega} \sigma] \theta(a \iota)$ є ${ }^{v} \chi(o \mu a \iota)$ suits, the plural $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta(\epsilon)$ is unlikely, and there is not room for $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \rho_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\omega} \sigma \theta \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{at}$.

## 931. Letter of Theopompus to a Strategus.

Chicago. $22.9 \times 10.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Second century.
A respectful letter to a strategus of the upper Sebennyte nome (cf. 1. 15, note) from a friend, accompanying the present of an ounce of purple. The fact that in 1.8 the praefect is called крáтьбтos not $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ \tau a \tau o s ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ l e t t e r ~$ was written before the close of the second century, and the early occurrence
 noticeable ; cf. 237. vi. 35, note. The papyrus was briefly described in Part I. 163.

```
\(\Theta \epsilon\) о́тонтоs \(\Sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega \nu \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \iota\)
            \(\tau \iota \mu \iota[\omega] \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \iota \chi \alpha \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \nu\).
    \(\dot{\omega} s \dot{\eta}^{\theta} \epsilon \in \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha s, \kappa \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \epsilon, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)
    ои’үкíav \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) торфи́ра[s] \({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \mu\) -
```



```
бov̂ є̇ \(\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \iota o \nu ~ ф u ́ \lambda \alpha к o s ~ \delta o-~\)
```





```
    є́ \(\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon\), кúplє́ \(\mu o v, \sigma \grave{v} \nu \tau \eta ̄ \iota\)
    \(\kappa \rho a \tau i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \iota\) á \(\delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \iota\) каì \(\tau \hat{\eta} \iota K v \rho i ́ \lambda \lambda \eta\)
        єU'Хо \(\mu \alpha \iota\).
            \({ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \omega(\sigma 0)\).
                    \(\Phi \alpha \hat{\omega} \phi \iota l \epsilon\).
```

On the verso
${ }_{15} \sum^{2} \alpha \rho \alpha \pi i \omega \nu \iota \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \iota \quad \sum \in \beta \epsilon \nu \nu$ v́тov ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \omega$ тó $\pi \omega \nu$ $\pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) \Theta \epsilon о \pi о ́ \mu \pi о v$ фí入ou.

$$
\text { 8. } \tau \eta \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \kappa \rho a \text { above the line. } \quad \text { 6. 1. סoӨ } \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon \neq \eta \nu .
$$

'Theopompus to his most esteemed Sarapion, greeting. As you wished, sir, I have sent by the guard who brought the letter from you the ounce of purple to be presented at the entertainment to the little one ; for I guessed that you were in attendance upon his excellency the praefect. I pray for the health of you, sir, with your excellent sister and Cyrilla. Good-bye. Phaophi 15 . (Addressed) To Sarapion, strategus of the upper toparchy of the Sebennyte nome, from his friend Theopompus.'
8. The $\mu$ ккрá who receives presents at the $\xi \in v i a$ (cf. Archiv, IV. p. 539) is more likely to be a youthful daughter of the praefect than of Sarapion, especially as $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in 1.8 suggests that Il. $8-9$ are closely connected with the preceding sentence.
15. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \iota ~ \Sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \nu v i \tau o v$ ä $\nu \omega$ tót $\omega \nu$ : usually a strategus had a whole nome under his jurisdiction, but the Arsinoite nome had two strategi for the three $\mu \in \rho i \delta e s$. That the Sebennyte nome was in the Roman period divided for administrative purposes into two distinct halves, the upper and the lower, with Sebennytus and Pachnamounis as their respective $\mu \eta \tau \rho o \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, was already known from Ptol. Geogr. iv. 5. 21 and 23.

## 932. Letter of Thaïs.

$$
9.6 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Late second century. }
$$

A letter from a woman to a relative or friend, giving him various instructions about the payment of dues and other matters relating to agriculture. The sentences are loosely constructed and the meaning in consequence not always transparent.




 $\tau \alpha \beta \alpha s$ é $\xi$ is тov̀s $\sigma \alpha ́ k к о u s ~ \sigma \phi \rho \alpha \gamma i \sigma a s ~ \lambda \alpha \chi \alpha$ -

 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon \sum_{\alpha \rho \alpha \pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha}^{\kappa(\alpha i)} \sum_{\alpha \beta i \nu o s .} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ 10 Хогрídı $\chi^{\omega}$ рis $\mu o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \omega ́ \lambda \iota$. ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \sigma$.

\author{

1. Aaïs . . .ï̀̀ $\omega$ Pap. <br> 2. ïva Pap. ; so in 11. 3, 7, and 8 . <br> 7. $\epsilon \bar{a}$ Pap. <br> 8. $\alpha \nu \bar{o}$ Pap. 9. $\kappa^{\prime}$ Pap.
}
' Thais to her own Tigrius, greeting. I wrote to Apolinarius to come to Petne for the measuring. Apolinarius will tell you how the deposits and public dues stand: the name will be that which he will tell you himself. If you come, take out six artabae of vegetableseed, sealing it in the sacks in order that they may be ready, and if you can go up to find out the ass, do so. Sarapodora and Sabinus salute you. Do not sell the young pigs without me. Good-bye.
2. It appears from 88.4 and elsewhere that $\Pi^{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta$ is indeclinable.

4-5. The construction and punctuation of these two lines are not clear. Apparently a verb is to be understood with $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, and $\hat{o} \hat{a} \nu \kappa_{\text {. }}, \boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$. is the predicate of $\tau \grave{o}$ òvoua. After the $a$ of $\delta \eta \mu \sigma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{a}$ above a hole in the papyrus there is a mark which might be taken for the top of a $\sigma$, but to suppose that $\sigma$ was written e.g. for $\langle\epsilon i\rangle s$ does not seem likely, and the mark in question is more probably accidental, or denotes a pause. The 'name' was presumably that of the person to whom the measuring specially related.

933．Letter of Diogenes．
Width 9.2 cm ．
Late second century．
A letter to Apolinarius，a $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \eta$ ，from a friend，chiefly concerning a little girl who probably was Apolinarius＇daughter and had been commended to the care of his correspondent．

```
    X\alphaípols, кú\rho\iota\epsiloń \muov
    'A\pio\lambda\iotav\alphá\alpha\iota\epsilon, \pi\alpha\rho\grave{\alpha}
    \Deltalo\gammaévous \phiídou.
    \tauv\chi\grave{\omega}\nu[\tau]ov \pi\rhoòs \sigma[\epsilon`] \gamma\epsilon\iota-
    5 \nuо\mu\epsiloń\nuO[v] \eta}\delta\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha} \sigma\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\sigma\mathrm{ -
    \pi\alphá\alpha}о\mu[\alphal] \epsilonú\chió\mu\epsilon\nuos
```



```
[\sigma]\omega[\tau\eta\rhoí\alphas \sigmaov] к[....
    about }3\mathrm{ lines lost
[. . . . . . .]@̣[. . . \tau\grave{\eta}\nu }\mu\epsilon
\gamma[\alphá]\lambda\eta\nu छо\rho\tau\età\nu \̂̀\xi\alpha.
\pi\epsilonр\grave{ \tau\etâs \mu\iotaк\rho\alpha人s \epsiloṅ\gamma\epsilonv\alphá-}
15 \mu\eta\nu \ddot{\alpha}X\rho\iotas à\nu к\alpha\tau\alpha\pi\\epsilon\epsilonú-
```

In the left－hand margin



On the verso
$\pi(\alpha \rho \alpha) \Delta \iota \iota^{\prime} \epsilon$ vous
ふ̇Өог七ккои.

$$
\text { 18. 1. } \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \nu . \quad \text { 23. } \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma[\lambda] \iota o \nu^{*} \text { Pap. }
$$

＇Greeting，my good Apolinarius，from your friend Diogenes．Having met with a man who is going to you I greet you most kindly，praying to all the gods for your preservation． ．．I came to the great festival．With regard to the little girl，I was there until she sailed， and everything was provided for her so that when you come back you will bear me witness． Have no more anxiety about your household than you would if you were present．I sent the letter to the little girl and made the night－strategus sleep on guard at the house．Salute my friend Plutogenes．I pray for your health，sir．If it is no trouble to you inquire of

Antinous whether he bought the cloak for your child, and if not, buy it. (Addressed) To Apolinarius, . . . legate, from Diogenes, linen-merchant.'

1. For the optative in place of the more usual infinitive cf. e. g. 526. I, P. Tebt. 4it. $^{17}$.
2. The size of the gap below this line is estimated by the apparent length of the lacunae in 11. 29-30, which are written along the left-hand margin.
3. The late aorist $\begin{array}{r}\eta \\ \xi\end{array}$ occurs e. g. in Pausan. 2. 11. $5 \eta \eta^{2} \xi a s$.

 accidentally omitted after $\mu$ ккрâs.
4. vvктобт ár $^{\prime} \gamma \mathrm{o}$ o occur at Hermopolis, e.g. P. Leipzig 39. 3, 40. iii. 16 (late fourth century) and were probably established in other large provincial towns of Egypt, as they were in those of Asia Minor (Hirschfeld, Sitzungsber. Berl. Akad. ı891, p. 868) ; cf. the vukтєpıvòs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ ós of Alexandria. Their existence at Oxyrhynchus, however, cannot be inferred from the present passage, since it is uncertain where the letter was written. The office is described as a munus personale in Dig. 50. 4. 18. 12. It is somewhat surprising to find the vvктобтрáт $\quad$ रos himself mounting guard over a particular house, especially as it seems from 1. 33 that the writer Diogenes was a person in a private station; his correspondent, however, was a man of some importance.
5. фaı入óvıov: the transposition of $\lambda$ and $\nu$ is common in this word; cf. P. Fay. 347,

6. The letters $\beta$. . are close to the name 'A $\begin{gathered}\text { odıvapi } \omega t \text {, while } \operatorname{a\nu \tau }(\text { ) , which is written }\end{gathered}$
 $\pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ) is a possible reading, but too doubtful to insert in the text. For $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \hat{\eta}$ cf. 33.
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \eta \eta$ is unlikely.
 óooviakồ as a proper name, but the word is, we think, more probably a title both there and in our papyrus.

## 934. Letter of Aurelius Stephanus.

$14 \times 14.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Third century.
A letter concerning purchases of yokes and manure, and other domestic matters.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\varphi} \chi^{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau \nu$.



 $\kappa \grave{\iota}$ Є' $\omega \varsigma$ वे $\nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ к $\alpha \grave{ } \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. є $\hat{\nu} \rho о \nu$ тòv $A i \theta \iota \sigma \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ каì к $\alpha-$

$\tau \alpha s$. $\quad \in \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon v^{\prime} \chi \circ \mu(\alpha \iota)$.
On the verso
5. Second $\eta$ of $\eta \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta$ ou corr. from ou.
' Aurelius Stephanus to Aurelius Chaeremon, his brother, greeting. As I was setting forth for Alexandria I paid to the rope-weaver Petobastis in the presence of Heracleus as the price of yokes 60 drachmae, and as the price of manure at Chusis in the presence of Kopreus 40 drachmae, and to Kale for Kopreus the 48 drachmae which I had with me. Do not fail therefore to throw the manure on the land. I agreed to pay 100 drachmae for ${ }_{2} 5$ artabae, in the presence of Kopreus; you will therefore give him the remaining 12 drachmae. Do not fail to go there, both to help my wife until I return, and for the sake of the irrigation. I found Aethiopas and it is well with him. Salute all our friends. I pray for your health. (Addressed) To Aurelius Chaeremon from Aurelius Stephanus.'
 oxen which drew it ?) occur in P. Flor. 16. 26.
7. द̇v Xúvet: for the village of this name cf. 899. 6. द̇v $\chi \dot{u} \sigma \epsilon \ell$, 'in a heap,' referring to the кómpos is less likely, even if the кómpos in the present case consisted of sifted nitrous earth (sebakh), which Wilcken (Archiv, II. pp. 308-12) supposes to have been used in Roman times, as now, for purposes of fertilization. For the name Kàí cf. B. G. U. 839. i.




## 935. Letter of Serenus.

$$
3^{1} .5 \times 8.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

A letter from a man to his brother, chiefly concerned with the health of various members of the family. On the recto, perhaps in the same hand, are remains of two columns of a list of persons, many of them women, the names being either in the nominative or dative case, followed in some instances at any rate by amounts in artabae. One entry is $\Theta a \eta \neq \epsilon \iota \gamma v \nu a(\iota \kappa \imath)$ ' $A \tau \rho \hat{\eta}(\tau o s) v i(o \hat{v}) \beta a \lambda \alpha \nu[$,

 names $\Pi_{\rho}[\epsilon i] \sigma \kappa \iota \lambda \lambda \alpha$ and $\mathrm{K} \kappa \kappa \hat{\eta} \tau(o s$, gen.) also occur. The document appears to be a taxing-list of some kind.
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu 0 s \Delta l o \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu[\epsilon] l$
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \widehat{\varphi} \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \in \tau \nu$.
$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu o ́ \nu-$
$\tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \quad \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau[\bar{o}$
5 код廿о́тєроข є̇т $\tau \alpha ́ \pi \eta$,
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \alpha ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ ' A \rho \pi о-$
кратішン $\sigma \omega \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
$\kappa \alpha i \quad[\dot{v} \gamma \iota] \alpha i v \epsilon \iota, \sigma v \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta\left[\alpha \alpha^{-}\right.$

$10 \pi[\alpha ́ \tau] \rho \iota \circ \iota \theta \epsilon o i ̀ \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\delta[i \delta o ́] \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ì $\mu \in \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \dot{v} \gamma i \alpha[\nu$ каi
$\sigma \omega[\tau] \eta \rho i ́ \alpha \nu . \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta[\hat{\epsilon}$




17. a of $\delta x a$ above the line.

$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho o \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi \alpha s$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ '̇ $\nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s(\alpha ̉ \rho o u ́-$ pals ?) !.


каi Өє $\alpha \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu$ каì Ө'є $\omega \nu \alpha$
каi $\Delta \iota о$ кє́ $\eta \eta \nu$ каĭ ' $H \lambda \iota o ́ \delta \omega \rho о \nu$.
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \alpha_{-}$
$\xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota{ }^{\prime} I[\epsilon \rho] \alpha \kappa i ́ \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha i \grave{\eta}$
$\theta v \gamma \alpha ́ t[\eta \rho] T \sigma \in \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime}[\rho] \rho \omega \sigma \theta(\alpha \hat{\imath}) \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota^{\prime} \chi \rho \mu(\alpha \iota) \\
& \pi \alpha \nu о \iota к \in i ́ \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

21. $\pi \rho 0$ above the line. $24 . \theta$ of $\theta$ eavouv corr. from a.
'Serenus to Diogenes his brother, greeting. With the assistance of heaven our sister has taken a turn for the better, and our brother Harpocration is safe and well; for our ancestral gods continually assist us, granting us health and safety. I intended to come up myself on the [.th, since Sarapion's friends said that he was ill. I write to you therefore to ask you to write to me at once (?) about him by any messenger you may have. The transport of the bundles will be performed immediately by my father: he has already taken away those in the I[.] arourae. Many salutations to my sweetest brother Harpocration, Theanous, Theon, Diogenes, and Heliodorus. Many salutations to you all from Hieraciaena and her daughter Tsenesis. I pray for the health of you and all the household.'

 and an Oxyrhynchus ostracon published in Arch. Report, 1904-5, p. 16 т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ pavdáкat $\zeta$,


2 I . At the end of the line figures apparently follow the symbol for äpoupat.

936．Letter of Pausanias．

$$
16 \cdot 7 \times 1+9 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Third century }
$$

This letter is noticeable for several unusual words which it contains．It is written in a fairly regular sloping uncial hand in two columns，that to the left， of which only the ends of lines remain，following that to the right．The writer apparently anticipated that he would not finish his letter in a single column，but curiously began on the right－hand side of the sheet，leaving a broad margin in front of his first column．The writing of the left column，which was no doubt considerably narrower than the other，is of a reduced size．A graphical peculiarity is a horizontal dash placed below as well as above the figures in 11．6，II，\＆c．

Col．i．
 $\tau \bar{\omega} \iota \pi \alpha \tau \rho i \quad \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.

каi тò $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa u ́ \nu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \alpha$ бov $\pi \circ \iota \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ тoîs
5 ध́ $\pi \imath \times \omega \rho i ́ o l s ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s . ~ к o ́ \mu ı \sigma \alpha l ~ \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ इ＇úpou

трıХоívєıкоע $\sigma \iota \nu \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \omega ऽ$ каì $\dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \chi o \nu \nu$

$\dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \chi o u v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \tau о s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \xi ̇ ı i ́ \delta ı \nu . ~$
10 ко́ $\mu \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$＇$A \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \mu$＇́ $\rho о$ о $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota к \eta \rho i ́ \delta \alpha$
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к u ́ \theta \rho \alpha \nu \quad \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa о и ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ८ каi $\mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ \tau \iota \nu \alpha$
 каì $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \oint o v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$ ．кó $\mu \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ то仑̂ кouí̧ovtós бol tò émıбтó入ıov к入ovíov
 $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \nu$ б каi ऽ §єúy $\sigma \kappa \omega \rho \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon!̣ \nu \alpha s$.










Col. ii.

| [ | $] \tau \omega s \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \bar{\alpha}$ | [ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ |  | [ |
| [ |  | [ |
| 30 [ | $] \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ к $\alpha \grave{ }$ | [ |
| [ | $] \tau \bigcirc \delta \in \hat{\imath} \pi \bigcirc \hat{\eta}-$ | 45 [ |
| [ $\sigma \alpha \iota$ | ]ṣ $\tau o ̀ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \in \iota$ | [ |
| , | ]. öтt $\pi \in \iota \rho \alpha-$ | [ |
| [ |  | [ $\mu$ ov |
| 35 [ | $] \alpha \nu$ '́X $\chi \in \iota \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \in \gamma-$ | [ |
| [ | ] $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ бoì $\lambda \eta$ - |  |
| [ | ] $\mu \in \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu \pi \in \nu-$ | $5^{\circ}$ |
| [ |  |  |
| [kous | ]. $\epsilon \rho \epsilon о \cup \mu u ́ \rho o v ~$ |  |
| 40 [ | $] \omega$ ог $\alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$ тòv |  |


| ]ov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ aútôv $\eta$ |
| :---: |
|  |
| ]. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \mu \alpha$ к $\alpha i$ |
| ]т! єบT¢ |
| ] $\delta^{\prime} \stackrel{\nu}{\sim} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \alpha-$ |
| ] ¢́ $\mu \hat{¢}$ óvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ |
| $\left.\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \epsilon\right] \tau \alpha \dot{\imath} \sigma \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ |
| ] $\nu \eta \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu$ о |
| ] |
| $\dot{\epsilon} \rho] \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i \quad \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \mathcal{U}-$ |
|  |
| [Xpóvoıs. ] |


 1. Sós. 19, o of фauvodev corr. from i. 20. $\chi$ of ou $\chi$ corr.
'Pausanias to his father Julius Alexander, greeting. Before all else I pray for your health, and I perform the act of worship on your behalf to the gods of the country. Receive from Syrus a basket of 80 eggs and a jug with 3 choinices of mustard and half a chous of raphanus oil and a jug with half a chous of honey and the dagger. From Agathemerus receive a honeycomb and a pot'of 10 cakes and 3 honey-sweet garlands; give these to my sister and salute her warmly. Receive from the bearer of the letter a basket containing 40 eggs (?) and a Canopic basket with 4 pairs of loaves and 6 pairs of . . The cobbler says that he will not give up either the money or the cloak without Justus, for he says "The cloak has not yet been redeemed, and I have entirely failed to find Philoxenus ". I went to the mother of Ammonius, and she says "I have no food now, and the petitions have not yet been got ready". Bring me two hides, a wrap, and a small crate . . . five years old, and some (?) shoes. Send me now an open-work covering (?) having a . . .
6. $\kappa \lambda$ ovion seems to be a form of $\kappa \lambda o v \beta i o \nu$ or $\kappa \lambda \omega \beta i o \nu$, a bird-cage; cf. the Hebrew kelîv. кגovßiv occurs in P. Tebt. 413. 14, where it was mistakenly regarded as a form of ко入óßıov. For öтои after $\beta$ at the end of the line cf. 11.8 and I $_{5}$. Both here and in l. г5 the second letter is apparently $\pi$ not $\mu$, and $\dot{\delta} \mu o \hat{v}$, if that were the word meant, should of
course be followed by a dative; in 1.8 the reading is doubtful on account of a correction and the imperfect state of the papyrus.
 stroke representing the final $\nu$ being left untouched. This is more likely than that $\mu \epsilon \lambda(\lambda) i_{-}$ $\tau_{\iota}(0) \nu$ a should be read, for numerals in this letter have a stroke below as well as above, and the original $o$ is more unaccountable if a figure was intended.
16. $\sigma \kappa \omega \rho \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v a s$ is presumably a compound of $\sigma \kappa \hat{\omega} \rho$ and $\sigma \epsilon \lambda c v o v$, but no such word is known; the doubtful $\epsilon \iota$ may be $\eta$, but this is not less difficult.

 in altering to $\dot{a} \nu\langle a\rangle \beta 0 \lambda \dot{a}\langle s\rangle$ on the analogy of 741. 13-4. The end of this line is puzzling; perhaps $\iota \pi \pi \kappa \iota \nu$ is a separate word $=i \pi \pi \iota \kappa o ́ v$. The final letter is possibly e.g. $\nu$, but only a single stroke is visible; $s$ is unlikely.
25. For atevaetias cf. P. Fay. 347 tєtpatias, which occurs in a list of miscellaneous articles; but the meaning is obscure in either case.
26. The adjective $\sigma а р к о ф a \nu \dot{n} s$ is used of animals in Sext. Pyrrh. Hypol. 1. r4. 50 тá $\tau \epsilon$

39. Not $\sigma$ ]тє $\rho \in \hat{v}$.
42. $\epsilon \lambda] a\} 30 \nu$ : or $] \lambda \beta o \nu$.
48. Perhaps $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa i ́] \eta \eta \mu a ́$.
937. Letter of Demarchus.

$$
21 \times 9 \cdot \mathrm{Icm} . \quad \text { Third century } .
$$

The following letter to a woman named Taor from her brother Demarchus is chiefly concerned with a stone bowl, about the safety of which the writer was anxious. The usual request for various articles and announcement of other articles on their way to the addressee form the conclusion.

$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.




 тóv. к ${ }^{\circ} \nu \nu v \hat{\nu} \nu$ ô̂̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma$ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma o \iota$, $\hat{\omega}$ кvpía $\mu 0 v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda$ -
 $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha$ то仑̂ $\theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \tau \rho o v ~ к \alpha i$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \lambda_{\iota} \theta_{i}^{\prime} \nu \eta s \quad$ '́ $\nu\langle\tau\rangle \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda o i ́ \varphi$
$\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda \eta s \pi \alpha \bar{\alpha} \sigma$ тоîs
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \alpha \cup \jmath \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$
סóg $\eta \alpha \dot{\eta} \tau \widehat{\varphi} \llbracket \llbracket \lambda \alpha]\rceil \tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $A \gamma \alpha-$
 ка[i] д̉ $\nu \tau i ́ y \rho \alpha \psi o ́ v ~ \mu o \ell ~ \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~$


тò кат' єîठos öть $\tau \iota$ каí $\tau \iota$ є $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \eta$ -
 $\tau \iota \nu 0 \epsilon \dot{v} s \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \quad \alpha v j \tau \hat{\omega}$
25 каì є́ $\lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ aúтoû $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$ $T \alpha[\sigma]$ ¢! $\tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, \quad[\pi] \in ́ \mu \psi \circ \nu$ тò $\nu \mu \alpha-$

In the left margin, at right angles
 є́ $\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \chi о \mu \alpha$.
On the verso
$\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \epsilon \gamma$ баккои́ $\delta \iota \alpha$ (a $\pi(\alpha \grave{\alpha})$ тоv̂ 'Avтıvo-


2. $\chi$ апр $\bar{\epsilon}$ Pap. 4. o of ov corr. from $\tau$. 5. 1. 'Ayateivos, and similarly in 1. I7. 8. $\pi a \rho a \gamma^{\prime} y \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ Pap.; similarly in l. 14. 10. їи Pap. 17. $\omega$ of avт $\omega$ corr. from utб. 19. $\kappa$ of $\kappa 0[t]$ corr. from $\epsilon . \quad 25$. $v$ of avtov corr. from $\nu$. 29. 1. $\delta \in \xi(\xi a$.
' Demarchus to his sister Taor, very many greetings. I would have you know that you wrote to me about what Agathinus did to me. Well, if I live and come to my native land I will have my revenge. And for the present I bid you, my dear sister, go to the street of the theatre and find out about the stone bowl in the boat and warn them all there, Philocyrus and Zosimus, to keep a watch on it, lest Agathinus should determine to take the bowl. Write me a reply through the man from Antinoöpolis about whom I sent to you, and write the list there, that you have received so and so. If the man from Antinoöpolis wants anything provide him with it, and come with him to meet Tasoitas. Send your cloak and the jar of pickled fish and two cotylae of good oil. I pray for your health. You will receive three bags from the man from Antinoöpolis who is the bearer of this letter. (Addressed) Deliver to my sister Taor from Demarchus.'
13. The papyrus has $\epsilon \nu \omega \pi \lambda o \omega$, of which the easiest correction seems to be to write $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ for $\omega . \quad \hat{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\dot{Q}} \pi \lambda o \hat{o} \nu$ might perhaps mean 'engraved with a relief of a boat', but this is less likely.

 But there is not much room for the $[\sigma]$, and the $\beta$ is of the cursive form like a $\kappa$, which is not used in $\pi$ apaßá $\eta_{n}$ in 1. 10; the other letters, however, are clear. The writer began the same word after aive $\bar{\varphi}$ in the line above.
22. $\tau \iota$ каí $\tau \iota$ is analogous to тò каì ró: this is simpler than to take $\tau i$ каì тías an indirect interrogative, ör $\tau$ being redundant.
26. Ta[ $\sigma]$ octầ: cf. P. Fay. 101 . recto ii. 9 Tađútทs.
27. Yápous: the usual form is ó yápos or tò خápov, but tò Yápos occurs in Geopon. 20. $4^{6}$


## 938. LETTER OF DEMETRIUS.

Chicago. $\quad 8.9 \times 17.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late third or fourth century.
A letter from a son to his father, reproaching him for his failure to send fodder for the oxen. The papyrus was briefly described in Part I. 161. The writing is across the fibres.





 oapyávas



7. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \lambda a \nu$ Pap.
' Demetrius to Heraclides his father, greeting. It was an unfitting act of yours to intercept the fodder for the oxen at Senao, and not to dispatch it, although you had long ago been instructed to send twelve baskets of hay thither, with the result that the oxen are in danger of destruction. Since the oxen are thus in a sorry state, and the land in consequence is not being irrigated, I hasten to write to you now once more and beg you instantly to get the baskets properly laden and send them off; for you seem to be mocking my industry. I pray for your long health.'
3. $\Sigma \in \nu a \omega$, which is presumably a village of the Oxyrhynchite nome, is not mentioned elsewhere.
939. Letter to Flavianus.

$$
25.3 \times 17.6 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Fourth century. }
$$

An affectionately worded Christian letter, apparently from a dependent to his master, concerning the illness of his mistress. The style, which shows the influence of the New Testament, is more polished than that of the average letter of the period, and the document ranks high as a specimen of epistolary composition. A strip containing the beginnings of lines is missing in the upper portion, but the sense is always clear though the restoration is sometimes quite conjectural.
[T $\hat{\omega}$ кvрí $\omega$ ] $\mu о v$
[ $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \tau] \rho \iota o s$
















 $20 \nu \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu, \kappa u ́ p l \epsilon \quad \mu o v, \hat{\eta} S \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́$








 סє $\sigma \pi$ ót $\eta$ єüХо $\mu \alpha$.

$$
\Phi \alpha_{\rho} \mu 0 \hat{\theta_{l}} 5 .
$$

On the verso
$\Phi \lambda \alpha \beta \iota \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \iota$
$\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s$.
7. ìє $\operatorname{los}$ Pap. 14. ovk' Pap. 19. ìva Pap. 22. vïos Pap. 25. avaкa $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta \epsilon \sigma a$ inserted later.

- To my lord Flavianus from Demetrius, greeting. As on many other occasions so now even more plainly than ever has the regard of the Lord God for you been revealed to us all by the recovery of my mistress from the sickness which overtook her, and may it be granted us to continue for ever to acknowledge our thanks to Him because He was gracious to us and inclined His ear to our prayers by preserving for us our mistress; for in
her the hopes of all of us rest. Please pardon me, my lord, and receive me kindly, though I unwillingly caused you so much anxiety by writing to you the messages which you received. I wrote the first letter when she was in much pain, and I was beside myself in anxiety that you should come to us by every possible means in your power, for this was what duty demanded; but as she seems to have taken a turn for the better I am anxious that you should receive another letter by Euphrosynus, in order that I may make you more cheerful. By your own safety, my lord, which is my first interest, if my son Athanasius had not then been ailing, I should have sent him to you with Plutarchus when she was overcome by the sickness. But now I know not what more I am to write concerning her, for her condition seems, as I have said, to be more tolerable, as she has sat up, but she nevertheless remains rather ill. We comfort her by hourly expecting your arrival. I pray my lord, to the Master of all for your continued health. Pharmouthi 6. (Addressed) To Flavianus from Demetrius.'
II. $\epsilon$ ṽvous: or perhaps $i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, which has already occurred in 1. 7. ì $\lambda \epsilon \omega s \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon a \sigma \theta a t$ is a Sophoclean phrase, $A j$. 1009, $\operatorname{Tr} .763$.

28 sqq. ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \neq a \iota$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. is in darker ink, and at first sight appears to have been added by a different hand; but $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \delta \chi \dot{\delta} \mu \epsilon-$ presents a similar appearance, whereas the rest of the sentence vot . . . ä $\phi \iota \xi \iota$, which must have been written at the same time, is just like the preceding lines. It is therefore improbable that any distinction of hand should be made.
940. Letter to a Clerk. $10.7 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ Fifth century.
A letter containing instructions to a votdolos concerning the vintage. The writing, as is usual with Byzantine letters, e. g. 941-3, is across the fibres of the papyrus.

$$
\chi^{\mu \gamma}
$$

 ${ }_{i v}^{\prime \prime} \alpha \mu \eta$
 $\epsilon i \rho \eta \tau \tau \iota$,
 $\delta \dot{v} \alpha \mu \iota \nu$,
 $\Phi_{o \iota} \beta \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \omega \nu \alpha$

On the verso

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2. iva Pap. }
\end{aligned}
$$

' It is my desire that the flow of the wine should remain as it is for some time until the last holding is ready, that we may not seem to press hardly upon the others who have not yet gathered the grapes. Therefore, as stated above, please to delay the account-taking until you learn the capacity of the other holdings, and in the meantime write to me, and thus I shall see what is to be done. Send for Phoebammon the steward, and keep him at hand together with you. (Addressed) Deliver to the most admirable Joseph, clerk, from (?) Charmoson (?).'

1. A careful discussion of various explanations of the mystic formula $\chi \mu \gamma$ is given by Smirnoff in Berl. Phil. Wochensch., Aug. 18, 1906, pp. 1082 sqq. He suggests that the letters correspond to the Hebrew $\begin{gathered}\text { אחד } \\ =\text { is } \\ \text { is } \\ \tilde{\varepsilon} \nu\end{gathered}$, comparing the representation of the Hebrew tetragrammaton by the Greek $\pi เ \pi t$ 。 It may perhaps be regarded as some slight support for this view that the order of the letters occasionally follows that of the Hebrew, rMX (cf. Arch. Report for $1906-7$, p. 10 ad fin.) ; but the question remains unsettled.
2. $\sigma v \nu o \rho a \hat{\nu}$ in the sense of to 'resolve' or 'determine' is common in Byzantine Greek, e. g. Concil. Chalced. 639 e $\sigma v \nu o \rho \omega ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho \rho \omega \tau \epsilon ̂ a ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ф \nu \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a t . ~$
3. $\mu i a \nu$ piav was used for кaтà $\mu i a \nu$ by Sophocles according to Antiatt. 108. 9, and
 quoted by Jannaris, Hist. Gr. Gram. § 666 as an instance of the same use, while Sophocles, Lexicon, p. 427 , translates this ' once in a while, occasionally'. In the papyrus, however, the context clearly indicates that $\mu i a \nu \mu i a \nu$ means 'together', una, and the sense may well be the same in Apophthegm. 80 a, emphasizing the $\sigma v \nu$ of $\sigma v \gamma к а т a \beta a i v e l v$.
4. Possibly $\pi(a \rho a ̀)$ Xap $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \nu o s$ or $\Lambda u \rho \kappa \hat{a} \sigma \omega \nu o s$, but $\pi(a \rho a ́)$ does not really account sufficiently for all the traces, and the word ending in -wos may be the name of the place of which Joseph was votáplos.

## 941. Letter to John.

In this letter the writer entreats his friend to help him in obtaining from the monastery of St. Justus a piece of ground to be used for brickmaking.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi /
\end{aligned}
$$

viòv
$\mu$ артирíov
 $\sigma \kappa[u] \lambda \mu \grave{o} \nu$
 $\tau o v ̂ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 є́voíkıo

 oú $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$.
10
 Pap.
6. a $\lambda \lambda s$ Pap. ; so in 1. 7. 7. є $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma v s$ Pap. 10. $\bar{\omega} \omega \nu^{〔} \nu \eta$ Pap.
'Since the brickmaker says that the place of the son of Ninnous (?) is full of sherds and not adapted for brickmaking, and as he says that if you will trouble to go to the son of the steward of the monastery of St. Justus he will provide you with a small space, either opposite the martyr's shrine, or on the left of it, or on the right, vouchsafe me the favour of going to him and speaking to him now. It is likely that he will grant you this favour, for it is close by. Say to him this: "If you wish, we will pay you rent, only grant me the favour and God be with you (?)" Inform me immediately by Phoebammon of his answer. Tell him that we only want a little and not much. (Addressed) Deliver to John from . . .'
r. The meaning of this $\pi$ with a dash through it, which is not uncommon at the top of letters of this period, is obscure. It is written like the abbreviation of $\pi$ a $\alpha$ á, but $\pi a \rho a ́$ without a following name is meaningless. Possibly, however, the custom of commencing
 adding the name.
3. $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \ddot{\eta} \nu a \iota \pi \rho o ́ s=$ 'to take the trouble of going to,' as is shown by instances where $\epsilon \omega \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
 or fourth century) $\pi o t \bar{\eta} \sigma o \nu$ aủròv $\sigma \kappa \nu \lambda \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ T \iota \mu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \sigma$, which we translated wrongly, and B. G. U. 830.25 where the active form $\tau \kappa \hat{\lambda} \lambda a i \tau \tau v a \pi \rho o ́ s$ is found in a letter of the first century.
4. The form àvis, evidently employed in a local sense, is remarkable. It occurs at a later period with an accusative, e. g. Th. Prodromus 3. 285-6 (twelfth century) àvis vєрòr фар áкıl $^{2}$, and is used in modern Greek.
7. The subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau v$ is perhaps $\dot{o}$ тózos, the meaning being that the proposed change of locality would be slight; this seems more likely than that $\dot{\eta}$ Xípıs is the subject, and that ' $\gamma \gamma \dot{\prime}$ s is metaphorical, 'the favour is nothing out of the way.'

 $\pi_{\rho}(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon a \nu)$, 'I wish long life and happiness to your magnificence.'

## 942. Letter of Timotheus.

Chicago. $7 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$. Sixth or seventh century.
A letter from a man who had just arrived at Nilopolis, where he had received a letter from the addressee ; in consequence of this he had resumed his journey without delay though very unwillingly. Both the writer and the person addressed
bear abbreviated titles (apparently ordinarius and exceptor respectively) which are somewhat obscure but seem to be military ; cf. 11. 6-7, notes. The papyrus was briefly described in Part I. 162.


 $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho(o ́ \tau \eta \tau \sigma S) \pi \epsilon \rho i$ ó ó $\gamma \delta o ́ \eta \nu$ ढ̈ $\rho \alpha \nu$
 $\epsilon \in \pi \alpha \nu \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$ oû $\nu$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \alpha l$.
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \lambda о \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$.

On the verso

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) T \iota \mu 0 \theta \text { є́ov ó } \rho \delta(\imath \nu \alpha \rho i ́ o v) ~ \Theta \epsilon o \delta o ́ \delta o v . \\
& \text { 4. } \omega \rho \omega \nu^{\prime} \text { Pap. 7. 1. Өєодо́тоv. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- We reached Nilopolis on the $13^{\text {th }}$ about the 6th hour, and after we had released the animals a letter was delivered to us from your brotherly excellency about the 8th hour ; and God knows whether we had not released the animals, and whether we had any more to unloose. Accordingly before three hours were passed, as soon as we could leave the city we leave it, being obliged by the help of God to arrive. We were much displeased with your brotherly excellency for not explaining to us any of the consequences. (Addressed) Deliver to the lord my most excellent, most illustrious, and most beloved brother Petronius, exceptor, from Timotheus, ordinarius of Theodotus.'


2. $\check{\varphi} \bar{\varphi} a:$ probably donkeys rather than horses; cf. 922. 17 , note.
3. $\delta^{\prime}$ aữáa: or perhaps $\delta a v \tau a$ for raùra: cf. Өєoóóov in 1. 7. A better sense would be
 have returned'.
4. ${ }^{\prime} \xi \kappa(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \tau \rho \iota)$ : cf. the $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \kappa \kappa \in \pi(\tau 0 \rho \epsilon s)$ mentioned in 43 . recto ii. 26 , an account of military supplies. The exceptores were a kind of clerks, and those in 43 were clearly connected with the army; probably Petronius too held a military position; cf. the next note. i $\xi \times($ (ovßitopı) , as Wilcken remarks, is also possible; cf. P. Brit. Mus. I. in (7). it ткои及ітор(os).
5. $\delta \mathrm{p} i($ vapion $)$ : we have not found another instance of this title in a papyrus, and the meaning is uncertain, but as ordinarius was used for a centurion and equated to ragiapरos, the term may well apply to some minor military officer.

## 943. Letter of Victor.

$$
\text { I } 7 \cdot 4 \times 34 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Sixth century.
A request to a chartularius (cf. 128. I, \&c.) that he would send three persons in order that a decision might be arrived at on the question which of them was responsible for the dues upon a bath.
$+$


 $\mu \epsilon \iota$ ̧́óтє
 $\lambda 0\langle v\rangle \tau \rho \circ \hat{v}$
 $\epsilon \in \delta i ́ \omega \xi \in \nu$




On the verso

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́(\tau \eta) \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\prime}(\nu \tau \omega \nu) \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o(\tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega)\right) \tau \iota \mu \alpha \iota \omega(\tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega) \quad \sigma \grave{v} \nu \quad \theta(\epsilon \hat{\omega}) \quad \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\varphi} \\
& +\Gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i \omega \chi^{\alpha} \rho \tau(\Omega v \lambda \alpha \rho i \omega)+B i \kappa \tau \omega \rho \sigma v ̀ \nu \theta(\epsilon \hat{\varphi}) \propto \ldots \lambda(\quad) .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. $\sigma$ of $a \pi \circ \sigma \tau \eta$ corr. from o. 4. First $o$ of $\sigma v \nu o \mu \nu \lambda o \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ over an erasure. 6. тоע

'May your true brotherliness vouchsafe to cause the most illustrious Menas and Serenus the most illustrious banker, and Menas the agent to come to arbitration with respect to the bath, and let not the official leave them until the one of them who owes the rent of the bath agrees with the noble house to pay it. For Serenus the most illustrious banker through the persuasion of his wife chased the most discreet Colluthus out of the bath, and having done what he was persuaded to do will not depart. As the Lord lives I do not leave the three, but they pay the rent of the bath until the deputy . . . (Addressed) To the most illustrious and honourable lord, by the grace of God my brother George, secretary, from Victor, by the grace of God. . '
4. $\pi$ рокпи аáropa : cf. P. Brit. Mus. III. 1032. 10, a letter of about the same period as this,
 $\delta \iota \omega$ к $\omega{ }^{\prime}$ 。
 written small, as here, are at this period often indistinguishable. For ouke cf. 126. 4, note.

 à $\pi$ ย́ктє $\iota v a$ í $\mu a ̂ s . ~$
5. The term àvtivenûoos, which is apparently not found in literary sources, occurs also
 $\tau \rho \iota \beta o u v o \nu$ a., and 693. 2, all of the Byzantine period. In 156 we translated the word as 'land-agent', i. e. the deputy of the owner, which on analogy should be the meaning. The yeov रoivzes of Byzantine papyri are commonly people of importance, e. g. Flavius Apion at Oxyrhynchus (133. 4-5, \&c.), whose representative would be an influential person. In the indices of the B.G. U. $\dot{a} \nu \tau \tau \gamma \in \sigma \hat{u} \chi o s$ is classed among the officials.

The preceding word seems to be an infinitive, but there is not space for ${ }^{i} \lambda \theta \in i v$, and $\eta_{\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ and $i \delta \varepsilon i \nu$ are not suitable. A break occurs in the papyrus after the supposed $\nu$, and this may have been followed by another narrow letter.

## VI. COLLATIONS OF HOMERIC FRAGMENTS

(The collations are with text of Ludwich.)

(a) Iliad.
944. $6.1 \times 6.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. A few letters from the ends of ii. $43^{6-444}$, with elisionmarks. Third century, written in sloping oval uncials.
945. $13.5 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of the top of a leaf from a book, containing on the recto the ends of ii. 722-741 and on the verso the beginnings of 753-772, with occasional breathings, accents, and elision-marks. 724 Final $\epsilon$ of $\mu \nu] \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ corr. to al by a second hand. $734 \Upsilon_{\pi \epsilon} \rho^{\prime} เ a[\nu$. Fifth century, written in heavy sloping uncials.
946. $5.7 \times 5.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. A few letters from the middles of ii. 86I-867. 864 ? M $\epsilon \theta] a \eta s \tau \epsilon$ кає Avtı[ $\phi o s$. Late second or third century, written in broad, slightly sloping uncials.
947. $7.5 \times 2.9 \mathrm{~cm}$. A few letters from the beginnings of iv. $443-45^{2}$, from the bottom of a column, with elision-marks. Third century, written in a small and neat but not very regular uncial hand.
948. Fr. (a) $8.9 \times 4.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Two fragments containing the ends of $\mathrm{x} .233-243$ and 250-255, with stops (middle and low points) and occasional breathings, accents, and marks of quantity. Third century, written in a good-sized semi-uncial hand.
949. $13 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. A few letters rom near the ends of $\mathrm{x} .437-452$, from the bottom of a column, with occasional accents (449 $\grave{\eta}$ ). 446 及onv ava] 0 os
 $\pi \tau 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu l \xi \omega[\nu$. Late second or third century, written in square upright uncials similar to those of 869 (Plate I).
950. Fr. (b) $23.8 \times 5.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Two fragments, the first containing a few letters from near the beginnings of xi. 322-329, the second the ends of 359-402 (a whole column), with stops, and occasional breathings, accents, and elisionmarks. $366 \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu .368 \epsilon \xi \epsilon] \nu a \rho \iota \xi \epsilon \nu$. $37 \mathrm{I} \tau v \mu \beta \omega \iota$ added by a second hand
 Third century, written in sloping oval uncials.
951. Fr. (b) $8 \times 6 \mathrm{~cm}$. Part of a leaf from a book, containing on the verso portions of $\mathrm{xx} .425-437$ and on the recto portions of 470-482, with elisionmarks. 473 The letter before ovs is not $\rho$ or $\tau$ but seems to be $\alpha$, i. e. $\pi \alpha \rho] a$ or $\kappa a r]$ a. Fourth century, written in heavy sloping uncials.
952. $11.7 \times 5.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Parts of xxiv. 74-90 from the top of a column, with high stops and occasional accents. $78 \tau \epsilon$ omitted. Third century, written in sloping oval uncials.

## (b) Odyssey.

953. Fr. (d) II $\times$ II. 9 cm . Four fragments from three distinct columns of a MS. of iv. Fr. (a), from the bottom of a column, contains a few letters from 97-100, Fr. (b), from the top of a column, parts of 197-204, Fr. (c) a few letters from 222-224, and Fr. (d), from the bottom of a column, parts of $248-26 \mathrm{I}$, with high stops, and occasional breathings, accents, and elision-
 of Aristarchus ?). $254 \mu \epsilon$ for $\mu \epsilon v$. Second century, written in a round upright uncial hand of good size and handsome appearance.
954. $2.6 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of a leaf from a vellum book, containing on the verso the beginnings of xiv. 299-303 and on the recto the ends of 328-332, with frequent accents. Fcurth or fifth century, the verso being written in lighter and more sloping uncials than the recto.
955. $7 \times 2.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of a leaf from a book, containing on the verso a few letters from xvii. 601-606 and on the recto parts of xviii. 27-40, with high stops and frequent accents. 34 छvve $\eta{ }^{2} \kappa$. Third century, written in upright uncials, those on the recto being much smaller than those on the verso.
956. $9 \cdot 6 \times 14 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Ends of xxiii. 309-326 and beginnings of $342-356$, from
 320 omitted. $345 \rho$ ' omitted. Second or third century, written in heavy square, nearly upright uncials of medium size.

## VII. MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS

These may be classified as follows (we call attention to the fact that the texts of 957-8, 962-7, 969-72, 974, 977-8, 980-1, 987-95, and 997 are given nearly or quite in full).

Writing Exercise 966 verso.
Magical papyrus 959.
Orders to officials $965,969$.
àтоүрафаí 962 recto, 970 .
Reports to officials 983, 989.
Declarations on oath 972, 976.
Petition 991.
Lease 975.
Wills 968, 990.
Loan 988 recto.
Deed of surcty 996.
Miscellaneous contracts 977, 980 recto.
Receipts 964, 995, 1000-3.
Taxation 960, 966 recto, 979, 981-2, 997.
Census-List 984.
Land-Survey 984, 986, 988 verso.
Accounts 962 verso, 971, 978, 980 verso, 985-6, 998-9.
Orders for payment 973-4, 992-4.
Private Correspondence $963,967$.
Titles or $\sigma i \lambda \lambda v \beta \circ \iota$ 957-8, 987.
Demotic papyrus 961.
Arabic papyri and paper 1004-6.
957. $3.3 \times 13.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. A strip of leather, once glued to a papyrus, perhaps a oid $\lambda v \beta o s$, and containing a much abbreviated official note, of which the

 $\mathfrak{a} \pi \grave{o} \ell \gamma$, below which in the right-hand corner is $a \pi \eta($ ) enclosed apparently between rounded brackets. The symbol after $\epsilon i \delta(\hat{\omega} \nu)$ is obscure ; it resembles the sign for $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \eta$ or a cursive $a \iota$, the following letters o being raised slightly above the line: perhaps (кai) oi. A. D. 122-3. Complete. 4 lines.
958. $2 \times 8.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. A strip of vellum, perhaps used like 957 as a $\sigma \lambda \lambda v \beta o s$. It is
 (A. D. 80). The strip is complete above and below the writing, and perhaps nothing is lost at the beginnings of lines. $\pi \rho a \kappa()$, if correct, probably refers to $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa \tau \omega \rho}$ or a derivative, but $\eta \rho a \kappa()$ can equally well be read.
959. $7 \cdot 2 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$. 8 incomplete lines containing magical symbols, interspersed with occasional Greek letters. About the third century.
960. $5.7 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Memorandum of a payment of corn by two persons, the

 the known Oxyrhynchite village $\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho \hat{\chi} \phi \iota s}$ (cf. e.g. 991). Third century. Complete. 5 lines.
961. $10.2 \times 12.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Demotic papyrus containing the first $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ lines of a document. First or second century.
962. $1 \mathrm{I} .5 \times 6.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto the first I 8 lines of an $\dot{a} \pi o \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ of sheep, addressed to the strategus (cf. 245-6) probably in the reign of Claudius or Nero, the writing being much obliterated. On the verso a memorandum


 after the reign of Nero. Complete. 8 lines.
963. $16 \times 9.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. The upper part of a letter from a woman to her mother, thanking her for sending a $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha \rho \iota o v ~(' s t o o l ') . ~ T h e ~ t e x t ~ o f ~ 11 . ~ I-I I ~ i s ~$


 $\mu \hat{\jmath} \tau \epsilon \rho, \sigma\rceil \pi o v i o ́ \alpha ́\langle o v \sigma a$. . . Second or third century. I\& lines.
964. $13.7 \times 16.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Receipt for the rent of a camel-shed, of which the text







 5. Signature of Aurelius Theon. A. D. 263. Completc. 12 lines.
965. $10.2 \times 12.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. An order to the collectors of corn-dues at the village of Фidovíkov (cf. P. Hibch p. 8) to deal gently with a certain individual.

 [. . . . . . . .]. Cf. P. Brit. Mus. II. 379, P. Reinach 57, and Faŷ̂m Tozons, Ostr. 4.5. Third century. Written across the fibres. Incomplete. 4 or 5 lines. 966. $12.7 \times 10.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto 7 lines of an official account, apparently giving a list of payments from different villages. The text is каi $\epsilon \xi \xi \pi \pi \iota \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega \bar{s}$

 the verso are two lines in rude uncials, no doubt a writing-exercise, of which the text is $\epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \tau o v \eta$ (corr.) $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda o v$ (a corrupt iambic line) $\epsilon \ldots$
967. $15.1 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. The upper part of a letter from a man to his sister.


 € $\xi \hat{\eta} s$ s $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ s . . . A d d r e s s$ on the verso. Second century. 18 lines.
968. $39.9 \times 13.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Ends of lines of the will of a woman called Didyme, leaving her property to her sons by her former husband $K \lambda$ ápos and her present husband Sarapion, and making provision for her т $\rho \circ \phi o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho a ̂ \sigma t s . ~ A t ~$ the end are the signatures of the testatrix and witnesses, one of whom is called 'Екát $\quad$. Cf. 489-95. Written across the fibres, probably in the reign of Trajan or Hadrian. 45 lines, including 3 lines of an endorsement upon the verso.
969. $8.8 \times \mathrm{I} 2.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. An order to an à $\rho \chi$ '́ $\phi$ oóos to summon an accused person, simi-
 रóvтоs 'A $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \iota$ ıov $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ катабторâs. Early second century. Complete. 3 lines.
970. $8.1 \times 8.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginning of an $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ addressed to the comogrammateus of $\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \phi \iota s}$ by an inhabitant of Antinoöpolis. The text is $\mathrm{K} \omega \mu \gamma \gamma \rho a(\mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath})$



 identical with Avj . 'Avtivoos, vice-praefect in A.D. 2I5-6 (cf. Cantarelli, La serie dei prefetti, p. 66), unless $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ e ̀ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \kappa(\epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \iota)$, a new title, be read. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho(\grave{\imath} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota)$ is unsuitable. Early third century. 12 lines. This $\dot{a} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ has been glued to another, of which the beginnings of 8 lines are preserved, and which on the verso has Xaı $\rho \eta(\mu o \nu \iota) \sigma \tau \rho a(\tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi})$ and at right angles ] $\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho v ́ \phi \epsilon(\omega \varsigma) \text {. }}$
971. $14.7 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Account of expenditure on irrigation, of which the text is




 $\dot{\delta} \beta(o \lambda o i) \rho \xi \gamma$ ，ô（ $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i) \kappa<$. Late first or early second century．Complete． 10 lines．
972． $14.9 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Conclusion of an oath taken by an official upon entering office，similar to 82 ，a fragment of an oath by a stratcgus．The text is





 A．D．223． 16 lines．
973． $8.5 \times$ IO cm ．A notice to sitologi，similar to 516，619－32，and P．Leipzig II $2-$ II 7 ，authorizing them to pay $24 \frac{1}{2}$ artabae of wheat，beginning $\Delta \eta \mu \eta$ roía
 ठıaбтєí入атє к．т．入．The Фоßóov（or Фокóov）тóтоь are clearly identical with the $\Phi \circ \beta$ ．$\mu$ оv то́тои in P．Leipzig in6．2．After the date，the gth year of Aurelius and Verus（A．D． $168-9$ ），is the signature of a certain Eivúx $\eta$ s，perhaps a $\sigma \iota \tau 0 \lambda o ́ \gamma o s . ~ N e a r l y ~ c o m p l e t e . ~ I 2 ~ l i n e s . ~$
974． $4.5 \times 9.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．An order for the payment of 2 artabae of wheat．The

 Third century．Complete． 4 lines．
975． $19.8 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Signature to a lease of $2 \frac{1}{4}$ arourae，in which the lessee agrecs to pay rent at the rate of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ artabae per aroura and acknowledges a loan of 28 drachmae to be repaid $\left.\ddot{a} \mu a \tau \hat{\tau}\langle\vec{\epsilon}\rangle \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \tau \hat{v}_{\hat{\eta}} \gamma\right] \eta$ ，apart from other debts to the lessor．Written in the 2nd year of an emperor who is probably Domitian or Trajan． 20 lines．
976． $11.7 \times 1 \mathrm{I} .8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Conclusion of a declaration on oath，containing the date


 910．introd．），and the signatures of＇Avtєîs $\Sigma a \rho a \pi a ̂$ asos，who makes the declaration，and of a collector of corn－dues as $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \pi \eta \rho_{\rho}$（Пav入єivos $\pi \rho \alpha ́(\kappa \tau \omega \rho)$ $\left.\sigma \iota(\tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu) \ldots \lambda() \delta_{\iota}(\grave{\alpha}) \Delta t o v v \sigma i ́ o v ~ \beta o \eta(\theta o \hat{v}) \gamma v \omega \rho i ́ \zeta \omega\right)$ ；cf．496．16，note． 14 lines．
977． $18.7 \times 7.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Conclusion of a document relating to a payment of 800
drachmae for the фópos of an $\dot{a} \sigma \chi o ́ \lambda \eta \mu a$ (the collection of a tax ?), containing






 ঠктакобias, / (ঠрахцаi) $\omega$, followed by a similar signature by an exegetes. A. D. 253.21 lines.
978. $4.6 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginning of a list of articles of furniture. The text is
 $\kappa$. [. Third century. 6 lines.
979. $7 \cdot 4 \times 4.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of an account of payments in artabae from the
 6 lines, the ends of which are lost.
980. $14.9 \times 7.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto parts of 14 lines from a list of abstracts of contracts (?), the last 8 lines referring to a purchase of land. Early third century. On the verso is a short list of payments for the purchase of


 Complete. 7 lines.
981. $9 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Extract from the $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho$ is of Apion similar to 917 and 982.


 Cf. 917. introd. Late second or early third century. Complete. 6 lines.
982. $6.5 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fragment of a similar memorandum of Apion, written in the 3rd year, the ends of lines being lost. Cf. 917. introd. 4 lines.
983. $24.5 \times 18.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. Report, similar to 896. ii, addressed to Valerius Ammonianus, logistes, by two $\delta \eta \mu$ ó $\tau$ to iatpoí, of whom the second is named 'A $A$ i $\omega \nu$ 'Hоодórov, concerning the injuries received by a certain Moveîs. The papyrus is numbered 106 in the series of which 53 is no. 105 and 896 nos. 127-8; cf. 53 and 896. introd. Dated in the consulship of Sabinus and Rufinus (A. D. 316). Incomplete. I6 lines.
984. Height 18 cm . The verso of this mutilated papyrus contains the Paeans of Pindar (841). On the recto of sections A-C is a census-list of persons with their ages, parentage, abode, \&c., e. g. Пav $\sigma \sigma \nu \epsilon(i s) ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \lambda(\epsilon v \dot{\theta} \epsilon \rho \circ s)$ 'A $\pi 0 \lambda-$












 $\beta$ ovd $[\epsilon] \tau \hat{\omega} v$ (a phrase which recurs in another fragment . . $\grave{\epsilon} \xi \dot{a} \pi o \gamma \rho a(\phi \hat{\eta} s)$






 Oxyrhynchus, the ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi o \delta o v \mathrm{~K} \rho[\eta] \pi(\hat{\imath} \delta o s)$ being mentioned ; cf. 714. Il Nótvo $K \rho \eta \pi i \delta o s$. Written after the reign of Titus, probably in that of Domitian. On the recto of section D in a different hand (cf. Part V. p. I3) are parts of a few lines from a land-survey, mentioning various $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \iota$. $\bar{a}$ ( $=\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ ) ки́тоь(коs) precedes some of the personal names.
985. Height 37.1 cm . The verso of this papyrus contains the fragments of Euripides' Hypsipyle (852). On the recto is a private account of receipts and expenditure written in the second half of the first century in a large cursive hand. Only one column has complete lines, e. g. 11. 6 sqq. : [ $]$ a.



 oivov đòv Є̇v $\grave{\eta} \lambda \iota a(\sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \varphi)$ ) Movxıv̀̀ $\rho$ (an Oxyrhynchite village; cf. 491. 3)


 $\chi$ Х

 another fragment a series of figures is summed up / $\epsilon$ is $\tau o ̀ a(\hat{v} \tau \grave{o})$ ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i$ )


986. Height 20.5 cm . The verso of this papyrus contains the commentary on Thucydides (853). On the recto are three distinct documents which have been joined together to form a roll of sufficient length; cf. p. 10\%. The first of these, which is on the recto of Cols. xix-xiv of the commentary, is
 probably at the Arsinoite village of Oxyrhyncha, in the 16 th year of Hadrian (see below). Col. i (on the recto of section $H$ ) is a mere fragment and Col. ii has only ends of lines ; but Col. iii is well preserved, and contains the following three entries (ll. 4-25) тôv av̀ $\tau \circ \hat{v}<\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \psi \epsilon i \lambda o v \tau o ́ \pi(o v) ~ a ̀ \nu[. . . . .]$.










 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \ddot{\omega} p \iota \sigma \tau a \iota$. In the margin against the beginning of each of these




 following begins Фaviov Пєтєборфєю́ $\epsilon \omega$ s $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ Фaviov. In Col. v, which is in the same hand as Cols. $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{iv}$ and is on the recto of Col. xiii of the commentary, begins a return of $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma o \delta \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} ~ \grave{\epsilon} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta$ (i. e. confiscated land) at Oxyrhyncha supplied by the comogrammateus. Lines $1-5$ [ $\pi$ upà . . . . . ?


 I3I-2). єivaı $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$. followed by a survey-list of holdings with rents, $\gamma \in i \quad i \quad o v \in s$,
\&c., which is continued in the fragmentary Cols. vi-viii. Col. viii has been cut down the middle and joined to another document in a different hand (Col. ix), the line of junction corresponding to the margin between Cols. viii and vii of the verso. This is a return by $\sigma \iota \tau 0 \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} о \iota \tau \tau \pi$ (apxias) to an official of the חo入є́ $\mu \omega \nu$ os $\mu \in \rho i s$, probably the basilicogrammateus, and mentions

 Cols. $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{xv}$, corresponding to Cols. vi-i of the commentary, belong to an account of seed-corn issued at the rate of 1 artaba per aroura to various cultivators of Crown land, the rent of the holdings being described in detail. Col. xi is well preserved, but the others are more or less broken.











 ( $\pi v \rho \circ \hat{v}) ~ ร \varsigma^{\prime} \kappa^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$. The fractions $\frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{25}, \frac{1}{40}$, and $\frac{1}{75}$ of an artaba are unusual ; cf. 918. introd. and P. Tebt. $3+1$.
987. $7.7 \times 9.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. A piece of vellum with the name ${ }^{*} A \pi a$ Biкт $\kappa \rho$ in uncials enclosed in an ornamental border, and below in different ink ]. $\overline{\chi \rho}$. Fifth or sixth century.
988. $15 \times 18.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. On the recto is the conclusion of two copies of a $\chi \in \rho \rho{ }^{\prime} \gamma p a \phi o r$ concerning a loan of corn, the first copy having lost the beginnings of lines.



 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho о \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \varepsilon \nu \underset{\varphi}{\varphi} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Dated in the 4 th ycar of Severus Alexander, Athur 30 (A.D. 224 ). On the verso is a memorandum concerning the sale of unpro-







989. $24 \times 10.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. A list of persons and $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma \tau \dot{p} \rho a$ at different villages, sent apparently to some official with a view to the exaction of a contribution from






 or fourth century. Incomplete, the beginning being lost. 26 lines.
990. $9.5 \times 25.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Beginning of a will of a woman. The text is ${ }^{~} \Upsilon \pi a \tau \epsilon i a s$




 followed by parts of two more lines. For the formula cf. 907. A. D. 33 I. 8 lines.
991. $8.3 \times$ II cm . Beginning of a petition (?) addressed to a police official




992. $8.6 \times 16.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. Order for the payment of a jar of wine. The text is

 $\kappa \beta$. A. D. 413 . Written across the fibres. Complete. 6 lines.
993. $6.9 \times 7.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Order issued by a church for the payment of two jars of wine to a plasterer on the occasion of a feast. The text is $+{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \dot{a}$ yia

 followed by flourishes. Sixth century. Complete. 5 lines.
994. $30.5 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Order for the payment of 12 artabae of corn to a monk. The text is $+\Phi \circ \iota \beta a^{\prime} \mu \mu \mu^{\top} \omega \nu$ ко́ $\mu(\epsilon \varsigma)$ каi $\Sigma a \mu . o v \grave{\eta} \lambda \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \beta \lambda(\epsilon \pi \tau о \varsigma)$. $\pi а \rho а \sigma \chi \circ \hat{v}$


 as often, in the figure of the indiction, which should be the 8th not the 9 th. Written across the fibres. Complete. 4 lines.
995. $3^{1} \times$ II cm . An illiterate receipt for a solidus and three кó $\mu \tau$. The



 Complete. 4 lines.
996. ${ }^{1} \gamma .1 \times 29.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Deed whereby two $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i$ become surety to the heirs of Flavius Apion that two other $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o$ ó, Praous and Georgius, would remain on the estate belonging to the heirs, the formula being practically identical with






 к.т.入. Nearly complete, only the last few lincs, which corresponded to 135. 28-31, being missing. Title on the verso. 21 lines.
997. $15.1 \times 9.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. An account relating to various Oxyrhynchite villages, perhaps a list of fines for arrears of taxes. The text is [? ' $\Upsilon \pi \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \iota^{\prime}(=\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$ ? )

 the verso in a different hand Nєỉov є̇тoцк(iov) [.,] Tavá $\epsilon \omega s$. Fourth century. Practically complete. II lines.
998. $32 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$. Account of allowances (?) to inhabitants of various Oxyrhyn-


 $\nu_{0}(\mu \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota a)$ 15. The other payments are made to $[\tau] 0 \imath ̂ s \dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \mathrm{~N} \epsilon \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega s \dot{v}\left(\pi \grave{\epsilon}_{\rho}\right)$


 ${ }^{\prime}$ Ißícuos, $\Sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ avíovos. The total is given in a second column, ri(vovtal)
 sixth century. Practically complete. 24 lines. The papyrus was briefly described as 191.

999． $3+\times 37.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Account of receipts and expenditure on one of the estates







 one of which is $\pi(a \rho \hat{a}) \tau o \hat{v}$ kowvô $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{v}(\pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho)$ i $\delta i(a s) \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ．The names
 column，probably followed by another which is lost． 22 lines in all．The papyrus was briefly described as 196.
1000． $6.3 \times 26.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Receipt similar to 915 for 4 入ípat of tin，provided by Apollos，$\mu \circ \lambda v \beta o v \rho \gamma o ́ s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma(\imath v)$ тồ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \beta v \tau o s ~(l . ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \tau o s) ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \gamma \epsilon o v \chi \iota \kappa(o v ̂) ~$ $\mu а к \epsilon \lambda \lambda a \rho(i o v)$ ．Written across the fibres，about A．D．572．Cf．915．introd． Nearly complete． 2 lines．
1001． $8.3 \times 3 \mathrm{I} \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．A similar receipt for 6 גitpal of tin and 4 of lead

 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi(o ́ \tau o v) ~ i ̀ \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \dot{\gamma} \rho o v$ ．Written across the fibres，about A．D． 572. Nearly complete． 3 lines．
1002． $5.8 \times 3 \mathrm{I} .5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．A similar receipt for 8 入itpat of lead and some tin provided by Apollos $\epsilon i s \delta \iota o \rho \theta(\omega \sigma \iota \nu) \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu(o s) \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu(\epsilon \in \nu o v) ~ \Sigma a \beta \eta \tau($ ）тôv $\lambda o v \tau \rho(o \hat{v}) \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda(\eta s)$ oik（ías）$\epsilon i s \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda[$ ．Written across the fibres， about A．D． 572 ．Incomplete． 3 lines．
1003． $6.5 \times 30.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．A similar receipt for 8 入ítpaı of lead and 4 of tin
 vov́vecs．Written across the fibres，about A．D．572．Nearly complete， 2 lines．
1004． $34.2 \times 17.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Arabic papyrus containing on the recto 24 lines， of which the ends are missing，and on the verso a complete letter（？）of 9 lines in a large hand．Seventh or eighth century．
1005． $18.9 \times 22.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．Arabic papyrus containing on the recto 8 complete lines with part of one line at right angles，and on the verso the last io lines of another document with part of one line at right angles．Seventh or eighth century．
1006． $15.6 \times 7.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．A complete Arabic document of 13 lines，written on paper in the mediaeval period．

## I N D I C E S

## I．NEW LITERARY TEXTS．

（a） 852 （Euripides，Hypsipyle）．

## （Numbers in thick type refer to fragments．）

à atós $^{60} \mathrm{II}_{5}$ ．
ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ l．i．7，iv．I ；20－1．16；
60． 20 ；64． $68,86,93$ ， 98.
a่ สќ́入ך 32．5， 9 ；60．Іо．
á $\gamma$ vós 60．33，6I．
ả $\gamma \mathrm{p}$＇$\omega \mathrm{s}$ 60． 56 ．
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ióєĩ 1．iii． 15 （idéiv Pap．）．
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хєiр 32．ІІ ；58．7， 10 ； 64. 9 I.
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13．8；16．II．顽 $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$
16． 9 ．
ả入ogเテтєіे 7． 6.
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ঠเө́кєєข 5．23， 28.
ঠокıци́そєıン 4． $3^{\circ}$ ．
ઈóga 7．18；14． 2 І ；19．Іб． סópv 5． $3^{2}$ ．
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$\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota 12.26$.
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s 5$ 5． $23,27$.
є＂$\mu ф$ итоя 16． $3^{8}$ ．
$\epsilon_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ 1．8；2． 8,25 ；3．28， 33 ；
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$\grave{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau \Lambda \zeta \zeta \epsilon \iota 1.22 ; 3.10$.

є’گเซтávat 7．7．
€＇$\xi$ ор $\mu \hat{a} \nu$ 7． 13.
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$\epsilon \mathfrak{v}^{3} 10.8$ ．
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катоьк［3．8．
катпр $\begin{array}{r}\text { оĩ } \\ \text { 8．} 12 .\end{array}$
кєфи́̀atov 1．І I ；2． 32.
кєфали́ 3． 9.
ки́риуна 5． 6.
клє́оя 19．ІІ， 12.
кєขסัvขєข่ยเข 14． 8.
кivouvos 17．1，3， 8.
коเขós 1． 33 ；15． $2 \mathrm{I}, 23,3^{6}$ ；
16． 16 ；17． 25 ；18． 35 ；
19． 7.
Коркураїкй 1． 26 ；2．19．
ко́б $\mu$ оя 7． 26.
крєі́бо $\frac{18 .}{} 26$.
крivet 1．29；17． $3^{1}$ ．
ки́клоs 9．1о，14， 17 ．
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 3． 3 ．
кต́ $\pi \eta$ 12． 3 ．
ปакєбачио́ขоs 1． 25 ；6．19； 16． 24.
Аа́ккข 17． 6.
ムакаvía 10． 13 ．
入адтро́s 15． 20.
$\lambda \in ́ \gamma є เ \nu 1.27 ; 2.34 ; 5.7$ ； 6．7， 12 ；10． $3^{6}$ ；14． 2 ； 15． 9 ；17．I3， 17.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \iota s 1$ ． 5 ．
$\lambda \eta \pi \tau$ є́оу 19.8.
$\lambda \iota \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ 9． 18.

入оүєбно́s 2． 4.
入ó ós 17．13；18． 27.
入o七тós 1． 13 ．
Avóıака́ 3．I 5.
$\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon i ้ 16.5$.
pit $\Delta$ ía 1． 25.
цй̀ıбта 18． 20 ；Fr．16． 4 （？）．
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu 16.35$.
Maра日＇் 14． 2.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma t o s ~ 7 . ~ 18 . ~$
$\mu \in$ Oóptos 13． 25.
$\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\lambda \epsilon เ \nu} 3.23 ; 12.9$.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 1.10$.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ 1． 34 ；3． 22 ；5． 14 ； 9.

11；10，8， $36 ; 11.14 ;$
15． 17 ；16． 6 ；17． 6.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \operatorname{pos} 3.29$ ；13．12；15． 21 ． $\mu \not ́ \sigma o s ~ 4.5 ; 13.9$.
$\mu \in \tau$ 1． 3 I；6． 24 ；10． 25.
$\mu \in \tau a ́ ß a \sigma \iota s$ 3．1 2 ；6． 28.
$\mu \in \tau a v o \varepsilon i \nu 6.32$.
$\mu \in \tau a \xi \dot{\text { ú 3．}} 12$.
$\mu \in \tau a \phi \circ \rho \iota \kappa \omega ิ s 5.34 ; 12.1,12$.
$\mu \in \tau а \chi є!\rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 8.8$.
$\mu \in \tau \in ́ \chi є L$ 10．30；15．І 6.
нє́хрь 2．г 6.
$\mu \eta$ 3．3，19；5．24，28； 7. 17；14． 6 ；15．9；16． $3^{6 ;}$ 17． 4 ；19． 10.
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ 7.3^{6 ;} 16.37$.
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$ 7． 35 ；17． 28.
$\mu \eta \nu$ ，кai $\mu$ ．2． 27.
Móvos 2．33；18．26， 29.
vaós 10． 37.
ขaûs 6．19， 22.
$\nu \epsilon o ́ t \eta$ ．6． 33.
ขо́ŋца 19． 15 ．
 27；15． 20.

עо́цоя 15．18， 27 ；16．37；
17． 7.
ขขิ้ 7． 19.
vuv 15． 16 ．
оїабє 13． 5.
оікєіข 10． 33 ；15．7．
oik
oikos 7． 33 ．
oîos 1．30．oîo 2．I3； 16. 7，21；17．23，32．olós тє 6． 26.

ónos 16． 2 I．

＇O入v $\quad$ tás 1．13；2．7； 4. 28 （？）．

＂Оцпрооя 4．16；6．у4；17．18．
о́ $\mu і \lambda i ́ a ~ 7 . ~ 36 . ~$
ó $\mu$ oíws 1． 3 ；2． $3^{6 ; 6.9 .}$
$\ddot{u} \mu \omega s$ 1． 28.
ómrîos 7． 24.

ото́тоя 9． 16.
ö́тои 10． 14.
ภ́คầ 7． 25.
ópүi乡єб $\theta a \iota$ 16．I．
оје́ $\gamma \epsilon \sigma$ Өat 13． 13.
о́иiそєє 4． 29.
о́ $\rho \bar{u} \nu$ 13． 15 ；17． 27.
ö́oos 6． 26.
$\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho 3$ ． 2 I．
öтаข 2． 32 ；8．35；12． 2.
öтィ 1．12，I5，2I，23；2．I；
3． $3^{1}$ ；8． 3 ．
ơ̇ס́́ 2． 9,25 ；3．6；6． 3 I ；
16． $1,6$.
oùdeís 6． 20.
ov̇ס́́tepos 5．I9．
ои̉кє́т८ 7．II．
ov๋ 2． 33 ．
ои゙тє 18． 36 ．
oบ๋่าos 1． 28 ；2． 3 I ；3． 35 ；
4． $10 ; 5.15 ; 15.4$ ．ойтея
1． 35 ；5． 22 ；10． 1 I ．
ò $\phi$ ci入єıl 3． $3^{1}$ ．
тá ${ }^{\text {On }} 7.7$.
тá入ıу 1． 32 ；2．17，22， 29 ；
9． 16 ；15． 2 ．
тароткía 10． 32.
$\pi a \nu \sigma v \delta i ́ n ~ 6 . ~ z . ~$
тарá 1． 27 ；15． 7 ；17． 8.
тараßаìve九 3．II（？）．
тараסıঠóvaı 2． 5 ；6． 2 I ．
таракцй 15． 4.
тара́vouos 11．ェ8．
Парáтьог 13． 22.
тара́таद̆เs 5． 36.
$\pi$ тає́ $\lambda \kappa \epsilon เ \nu 5.25$.
$\pi a_{\rho} \epsilon ́ \chi \in ا \nu 18.22$.
$\pi$ âs 2．17， 2 I ；3．31； 11.
14；15．I7．
тatpis Fr．4． 1.
$\pi \epsilon \delta$ io 13.4.
$\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 16． 19.
Пєıраєєús 9．II，i6．
té $\lambda$ as 16． 1 ．
Пє $\lambda a \sigma \gamma$ кко́s 11 ． 15.
Пєлотодцทбเакós 3． 23 ．
Пєлотор $\dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s$ 2． 18.
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 6． 2 I．
$\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 17． 16
$\pi є \rho i ́ 1.8,9,18 ; 6.9,28 ; 19.12$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta_{0}$ с，9． 15 ．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ ívat 17． 2.
Пєрєкл $\bar{s}$ 8．3， 30.
Пербıка́ 1． 3 I ；3． 26.
II $\eta$ еєía 13． 20.
Пívóapos 6． 35 －
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ์ \epsilon \iota \nu$ 14．I 0.
П入атаїкá 2．15．
плatús 2． 8.
$\pi \lambda$ eî́tcs 10． 20 ；18． 19.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ 3． 25 ．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu a ́\} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 5． 26.
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ os $15 . ~$ I．
$\pi \lambda_{\text {ovteí 17．}}$ I3．
$\pi \lambda$ дйтиs 17．II．
тоєї้ 3． 20 ；6．19．
локкідоs 2．iI．
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ้ \nu 1.24 ; 7.3$ I．
$\pi$ ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\epsilon \mu}$ оs 1． 2 I ；3．22， 25 ； 6.
34；7．9， 12.
тó入ıs 4． $15 ; 17.26$.
то入८тєía 15． 22.
 тодıтıко́s 17． 28.
то入入⿱㇒木́кıs 6． 27 ；7．1；13． 27. то入入uХой 2． 26.
modi＇s 1．10；2．26， 27 ； 3.
9；8．12；10．30；15． 7.
vi $\pi$ то入入oí 1． 27 ；5．25， 29.
тоуєì 17．7， 9.
тотє́ 10． 9.
Потєוסаıатıкá 1． 26.
$\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ І．І7，30；2．3， 29 ；
3． $1,2,33$ ；14． 16,20 ；
15． 10.
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 3．І 3.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ єía 7． 3 I．
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v́татоя 9．5．
$\pi \rho i \nu 1.20 ; 7.32$.
$\pi \rho o ́ 17.2$.
тро́⿱亠䒑ovos 7． 22.
$\pi р о к є i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 3 . ~ 14 . ~$
$\pi \rho o v o \in i ้ \nu ~ 7 . ~ 12 . ~$
$\pi \rho \dot{v o \iota a ~ 8 . ~ 11 . ~}$
$\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \omega ิ \varsigma$ l． 35.
$\pi \rho$ ós 1．4， 35 ；3．ı 8 ；15．9，
23， 36 ；16． 2 ；17． 2.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta$ иєє 15 ． 3 ．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \theta \eta$ ŋ́ $\eta$ 3． 28.
$\pi \rho о \sigma к є і ̈ \theta$ Өaє 7． 17.
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma т \iota \mu о \nu 16.8$.
тротьө́vat 1．13；3． 24.
$\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau 0 s 3.27,33 . \pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau 0{ }^{\prime} 3.22$.
¢́aтт $\omega \downarrow \eta$ 16． 35.
คŋтт́од 3． 23 ；5． 22.
баvрат＇̆́ 5． 30.
бךкós 10．37， $3^{8 .}$
इıкє入ír 6． 18.
бко́тоs 5．I 7.
атратєiєє 7． 30.
өтра́тєขца 7． 32.
बтратотє $\delta \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu 5.2$.
テv
$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon u ́ s$ 3． $3^{\mathrm{r}}$ ．
бขวко́лтєเ 1．I7．
बขјкрıтіко́s 17．І 7.
$\sigma v \gamma \chi \in i ̃ 2$ 2． 2 I．
бvкофарт 4． 9.
бvцßaívetv 2． 3 1．
$\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \in \iota \nu$ 7． 2.
би́ $\mu \beta a \sigma t s 5.34$.
$\sigma v \mu \beta$ ó入 $\alpha \iota \circ$ 15．І 8.
бv $\mu \mu а \chi є i \nu$ 6． 22.
бv $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\chi}$ ía 6． 20.
аข $\mu \mu i \sigma \gamma \epsilon \iota$ 7． 35.

бט́v $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu о$ 19． 4.
бvขєìvaı 16．I 5 ．
бขvєірєць 3． 2.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \bar{s}$ 2．10， $33 ; 3.5$ ．
$\sigma v \nu \eta \eta_{\eta}$ 1．5；5．10；13．2．
$\sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta$ s 13． 4.
ovvıสтávaı 13． 11.
би́vтаүرг 1． 9.
vขขтє $\lambda \in i \nu 10$ 10． 6.
аvขтıย́va 5． 33.
ミvрáкочбааи 6． 23.
$\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 13．I I．
$\sigma \not \subset o ́ \delta \rho a$ 1． 22.
$\sigma \chi$ Єסо́ン 3． 27.
$\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu a$ 5． 10.
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \zeta \zeta \epsilon \nu$ 6． 26.
тá $\gamma \mu \mathrm{a}$ 13． 17.
тадаıтьрєî 17． 3 （？）．
$\tau \in 6.26 ; 17.25$ ．
тєíxos 9．2， 10.

тє入єเô̂̀ 1． 20.
тє́入єоン 3． 29.
тє $\lambda \in \cup \tau a ̂ \nu 14$ ．т．
т́́révos 10． 35.
тє日́vaı 4．33， 34 ；5．1； 17. I 8 ；19． 5.
тıцầ 15． 23.
TIS 1． 35 ；2． 32 ；3． $34 ; 4$. 27 ；6． 7 ；11． 2 ；14． 28 ； 15． 20 ；16． 2.
тоє 3．2．Сf．каітоь．
тонồtos 1．33；6．12；7．12， 20；15．8．
тоtovтórротоя 14.9 （？）．
тотเкต̂s 13． 3.
то́тоя 2． 25 ；12．17；13． 16. тобойтоs 9.12.
т $\rho$ eís 1．II ；10．у6．
трє́тєєข 1．20， 33.
т $ө$ є́фєє 4.35 ．
трıакодтои́rŋя 4．II．
imáyєเข 5．7．

ข̇тápхєเข 17． 23.
ímєрßatós 13．7．ímєрßatติs 10． 29.
บлйкооs 18． 32.
ن́то́ 6． 33 ；16． 37 ；17． 7.
inó $\theta \in \sigma$ ts 2． 24 ；3． 30.
ن́mó入 $\eta \psi$ เs 7．20， 2 I；14． 17.
íтороєiv 3． 35.
ข์กоттยย์ยเข 6． 5 ；15． 3 8．

v̈gтatos 2．І 6.
фаiрєб大at 13． 8 ；17．6．I2； 19． 17.
Фáл $\eta$ род 9．І I， 13.
фа́vaı 2． $3^{6}$ ；3． 21 ；4． 27 ； $6.17,35 ; 10.8$ ，II； 15. 35.

фаvєрós 3． 32.
фidia 5． 7.
фi入ıкós 5． 6.
фídos 5． 8.
фuえáَ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ 9．1；11． 3.
$\phi \nu \lambda \eta ́ 10.3^{6 .}$
фúgis 19．I，8， 9.

$\chi \epsilon \iota \omega \dot{\nu}$ 1．I5．
$\chi^{\text {єí }} 8.7$.
$\chi \rho$ 亿́ 2． $3^{6 .}$

$\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma$ өи 5．6，10， 18 ；11．19．
र $\rho \eta \sigma \tau$ о́s 7． 22.
रpóvos 1．14；2．2I，22， 25 ；
3． 3 ．
$\chi$＇́pa 10．26， 28.
$\chi$ ррі广єє 7． $3^{8 .}$
廿óyos 19．10，I3．
ís l．13，22；2．9，35； 3.
23；4．13；5．І8，19； 6.
30，32，35；7．20， 27 ； 9.
$5 ; 13.5$, І3；14．Іо； 15.
19；17． $16,18,32$.
$\omega \tilde{\omega} \sigma \epsilon 5$ ． $25,26,28$.
（c）OTHER LITERARY TEXTS．
（Numbers in thick type refer to papyri．）
（1）Greek．

ả atós $^{2} 885.59$ ．
«үєเข 851．3；856． 29 ； 858 乙 。
8．＂$\gamma \epsilon 854.6$ ．
áyopá 858 b． 27.

àүрєі̀ 854.8.
＇Aурímтаs 849． 25.
a $\gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 856． 48 ；857． 2.
«̈́єкктоs 966 （？）．
ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda$ фós 850．23， 25,32 ； 886． 8.
d̉óv́vatos 850． 10.
á $\_\lambda \lambda a 860$ a． 6 （？）．
＇AӨךра̂oı 856．26， 42.
aiveî 850．II．
aivês 860 a． 13 ．
айрєє 849． 8 ；850． 4 ； 886. 19.
aiตpeì 864． 14.
«ккатоуо́лабтоя 850．17．
 22.
«ห๐ข์ยเข 849． 27 ； 858 6．29． 30；868． 9.
йкратоя 868．у．
＂́кроs 887．recto 4， 7 ．
亢iкли́ 864． 18.


 d̀ $\lambda \eta \theta$ जेs 849．3， 4.
diлкí 860 a．т．
ả̀ $\lambda \dot{a}$ 849．20， 26 ；851．7； 854． 6 ；855． 6 ； $858 b$. 13；869．6，I4．
à $\lambda \grave{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ 855．7．
＂$\lambda \lambda$ 入os 858 U．14；864．4； 867.5

＂̈иоубоя 864．і8．
ả $\mu \phi \iota \nu v$ v́vu 850． 26.
${ }^{〔} \nu \mathrm{~L}$ 855．4，6；856． $5^{\text {S ；}}$
863． 4.
àvá 857． 3 ．

ảvаүка̧́єє 850．5．
àvaүкабтıко́s 869．I5．
à 2 íkpıбıs 863．Io．
àvatitévaı 858 b．i 6.

d̀ขท́p 857．6， 22 ； 860 a．8， 16；885． 5 8；886． 9.
« $\downarrow$ Өрштоя 851．S；869．20； 887．verso 5.
àӘv́tatos 850． 15.
àvıaтávaı 850．4， 9 （àvıбт $\omega$ ）； 858 b． 27.
ảrotyvúvai 864． 23.
àvтiरgaфov 886． 2.
ä้ $\omega 858$ b． 32 ；862．14．
 862．18．
áópatos 850． 34.
$a ̉ \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta}$ 850． 20.
àтаитâv 858 b． $3^{6 .}$
ä $\pi a \xi$ 863．4．
àm $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\lambda}$ 850． 29.
атєє́ $\chi є є \theta a \iota 850 . \mathrm{I}_{3}(?) ; 857$. 15.
àtıє́và 850． 3 I ．
ảло́ 854．S；856．50； 869. 7 （？）， 9 （？）．
àтоßá入入єเข 866． 3 （？）．
aтоүเүvต́бкєเข 850． 6.

àmoӨขŋ́бкєเข 849．3， 23.
àпо́каура 868． 4.
а̇то入єітєєц 865．І．
àmo入入v́vaı 855．9．
àто́vıттрог 856． 66.
а̇тотролєітєєン 859． 3 （？）．

аытофє́ $\rho \in \iota$ 849．9．
àт $\dot{a}^{\gamma} \mu \omega \nu$ 855．І 3 ．
ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \downarrow$ 855． 9.
àл $\dot{\lambda} \epsilon \iota a \mathrm{885} .38$.
ä $\rho a$ 849．2，6， 22.
＇Араßía 870 （？）， 7.
＇Арүєїь 857． 4.
ápıбтєрós 887．recto 2， 5 ．
ä ィィттоs 864． 2,$4 ; 868.6$.
＇Арка́des 870．І 5.
ä $\rho \sigma \eta \nu$ 886．І 5 ．
ápтíws 855．І 7.
àpхєкúv $\eta$ үos 851． 2.
à $\rho \chi$ ク́ 885．31．
à $\sigma \pi i s 858$ ． 19.

àvuरєî 857．I 9.
aủtós 849．2，9，IO， 18 ；
850． $1,26,27,32,35$ ；
851．7；855．9； 856.
32，47，50，64，74； 857.
19；858 〕．15，30； 869.

12；885．32，39．ó aủтós
858 b．18；885． 56.
áфаүvi＇（＇єıv 869． 3 （？）．
ảфaıク́s 850． 30.
d́фє́ $\lambda_{\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu} 854.7$.
ảфı́́vaı 855．4， 6.
à $\phi \iota є \rho о и ̃ \nu ~ 885 . ~ 43 . ~$
àфıкขєı̂の $\theta a \iota$ 855． 2 I．
＇AХaเoí 864． 3.
Ваßидஸ́vtoє 856． 25.
ßá入入єє 856． 8 （？）．
ßápßapos 857．20， 26.
ßaбıлєia 856． 3 1．
ßaбı入єús 849．ı 6 ；856． 70.
Bé́тбо兀 870． 32.
$\beta$ i $\beta \lambda$ до 886.2.
ßıồ 863． 4.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 869.2$.
$\beta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ 859． 6.
ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma$ ă 849．7， 23 ； 850.
14；851．1； 858 b． 4 1．
乃ou入ín 858 6．32， 34.
ßрогт ${ }^{\prime}$ 864． 26.
Bporós 880 a．I．
ßрохí̧єєข 850． 6.
$\beta v$ oós 886．II．
$\beta \omega \mu$ ós 869． 3 ．
Галátaı 870． 23.
ráp 854．8；855．14， 22 ； 856．30，74；857．5； 858 b．25，29， 39 ； 860. 16；867． 5 ；870．6； 887．verso i．
849．18；855．8； 858 b． $24 ; 861.5$ ；862． 8.
Г＇́ $\lambda \omega \nu$ 857．I 5 ．
үє́vos 885． 39.
Гє́р ${ }^{\prime}$ 856． 60.
Г＇́т $\eta$ S 855．3， 4.
ү́́фира 850． 24.
$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ 857． 28.
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$\pi$ орєข́єб $\begin{gathered}\text { atı } 850.25 .\end{gathered}$
то́р $\eta \eta$ 856． 4 I．
тотацо́s 850． 24.
$\pi$ то́тєроу 869． 8.
той 862． 7.

$\pi \rho o ́, \pi \rho o ̀ ~ т о \hat{~ 863 . ~ 9 . ~}$
$\pi \rho о \beta_{\text {ov }} \in \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 858$ b．33，34．
$\pi \rho o ́ \delta ̊ \eta$ дos 855． 3.
$\pi \rho \circ \delta$ ót $\eta$ s 856． 62.

$\pi$ رоós 850．23，${ }^{25}$ ，31， 33 ；
851．2；855．7，16； 856.
34， 76 ；857．Іо．
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 857．І．
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta$ á̧є $\sigma$ Өat 867．4．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota$ 855．7．
тротт $о р$ і́a 870．14．
$\pi \rho o \sigma$ เย́vaı 850． 26
$\pi р о \sigma \kappa u \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu 850$ ．II．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \pi о \iota \epsilon$ ī $\theta a \iota 885.48$.
тро́тєроs 885．49．тро́тєроу
856． 7 I ．
$\pi \rho о \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i \nu$ 856． 67.
$\pi \rho$ útaves 858 b． 26.

856． 7 I．
$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós 856． 3 I．
тикıขós 860 b． 8.
$\pi v \nu \theta a ́ v \in \sigma \theta a t ~ 856.63$ ；866． 2.
$\pi \hat{u} \rho 855.3$ ．
$\pi \dot{\rho} \rho \delta а \nu_{0}$ 855． 2.
Пuppias 855．8， 2 I．
$\pi \omega ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ 856． 9.
$\pi \hat{\omega} \mu a$ 854． 7.
$\pi \omega ิ{ }^{\text {un }} 858$ b． 23 ．
ṕćкos 856． 33.
р́î̀ 850． 24.
زீ $\eta \mu a$ 856． 34 ．
$\sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi 858$ b． 30.
$\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \kappa \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} 858$ b． 28.
इ́ípos 858 ర． 2 I．
батрós 856． $3^{6 .}$
ミариátaı 870． 34.
бßєขvúvaı 850． 29.

बє́ $\lambda \mu a$ 854． 6.
бךцаірєє 885． 40.
бךцєiov 885．50， 54.
бíkvos 856． 40.
$\sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda o s$, катà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa$ ．855．ı 8.
бкпии́ 858 b． 27.
$\sigma \kappa \eta \psi \iota s$ 856． 29.
бкл $\eta$ ро́s 856． 22.
ミкú白 857.2 г．
бтактグ 855．І 6.
बтє $\nu$ a $\begin{aligned} & \text { ós 850．} 2 .\end{aligned}$
бтє́vєढ 864． 7.
$\sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ Oos 887．recto 8.
бтǐos 860 b． 8.
бто入 ${ }^{\prime}$ 864． 7.
бтратєv́єц 856． 57.
бтра́тєчца 865． 3.
атрат 7 о́s 858 b． 18.
бтратเต́тทs 850． 26.
इтí $\phi \eta \lambda_{0}$ 859． 3.
б́v 849．І I，20， 2 I ；850．7，
II，12， 29 ；855．I， 16 ；
866． 22.
$\sigma \nu \mu \mu \chi$ ধє̂̀ 857． 8.
$\sigma v \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu$ 849． 5 ．
бv́v 854． 6.
avva日poiऍєє 850． $3^{2}$ ．
бúvסou入os 855． 5.
$\sigma \phi$ єís 860 a． 9.
$\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ 850． 26.
бхi $\sigma \mu a$ 856． 33.
इ́́тє七ра 885． 46 ．
талака́pঠıos 860 a． 3.
Tàaós 859． 2.
талєîo 886． 4 ．
тáほ̌s 856． 64.
тáरa 851．7．
таұи́ 855．Іо．тáұıтта 850. 28.

Tєкто́テayєs 870． 22.
т́́x $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { 855．12，} 13 .\end{aligned}$
$\tau \eta \lambda a v{ }^{\tau} \omega$ 886． 24.

Tíßıos 855． 3 ．
$\dagger \tau \theta \omega \nu \omega \iota$ 856． 40.
тive 868.5 ．
тıs 850． 26 ；855．12， 15 ；
856．2， 37 ；858 b．29， 38 ；
864． 5 ．
тоє 856． 65.
тоíos 869． 14.
тод $\mu$ й 850 ． 15.
То $\lambda \mu$ íoŋs 858 b． 22.
то́тє 864．І 6.
три́хך入оs 868．іо．
треís 857． 23.
трєако́тьоя 857．3．

тро́тоя 886． 5.
т $\quad$ и́ $\xi$ 854． 8.
тúरๆ 885． 46.
＇Yסpoûs 865． 3.
v̌ $\delta \omega \rho$ 857． 28 ；867． ．
viós 865.5 ．
$\dot{v} \mu$ eis 858 b． 30 ．
ข゙ँapझts 869．I．
ปँт́́ 850 ．I ；856． 69.
ข́тєрьঠєi้ 857．14．
ілтє $\mu \mu \eta \kappa \eta s$ 867． 6.
útó 850． $24 ; 855.20 ; 856$.
27．32；857．19；865．3；
885．37， 60.
íло入єiтєє 886． 20.

865． $5 \cdot$

фаívé $\theta$ aı 864． 2.
фávat 849． 24 ；850． 27 ；
856．6，т6，54，65，73， 74.
фаитú̧єц 864． 25.
фи́риакоу 887．verso 6.
фápvyگ 856． 55.
$\phi \in i ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 849.17$.
Фєьoías 862．7．
（2）Latin（871－2）．
e 871． 9 ．
ego 871． 4.
in 871． 5 ．
inertia 871． ．

кєiv 862．10；867．1．
$\phi \in u ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu$ 856． 27 ；887．verso 3． ф८入єiv 849． 26.
філтатоs 855．І8．
ф $\lambda$ vap $[869$. 19．
ф入и́ŕpos 855．I ${ }^{2}$ ．

фо七тây 854． 7.
фортíon 855． 8.
$\phi \rho a^{\circ} 854.2$.
фрйv 855．I5；864．I．
Фрúqєs 870． 25 ．
фи入ıкй 854． 9.
$\phi$ 人六 856． 50 ．
фú̀入oд 856． $3^{6}$ ；886．15，І 7.
фúpєı̀ 864．I6．
$\phi \omega \nu[858 a . \mathrm{I}$.
$\chi$ वádкєпs 864． 25.
$\chi$ дало́s 860 a． 4.
хи́pıs 855．І9；856． 76.
$\chi$ रûvos 856．69．
$\chi$ єip 850． 28 ；855． $3^{2}$（？）．
$\chi$ रoós 864．іо．
Хр́́os 856． 35.
$\chi \rho \eta ́$ 885． 4 1．
$\chi р \bar{\eta} \mu а$ 857． 27.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu а т і \zeta є \iota$ 886． 24.
$\chi \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma$ बa 856． 24.
$\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a 858$ b．19．
$\psi \bar{\eta} \phi$ оs 856． 2 \＆．
廿uरpós 856． 12.
命 855．3．
ติठє 851． 3 ．
ら入̀ $\bar{\nu} \nu \eta$ 864． 9 ．
فَ $\mu$ os 887．recto 3 ．
is（relative）851．I ；854． 5 ；
856． 41,54 ；859． 7.
$=$ öt $855.2 \mathrm{I}($ ？$)$ ．
$\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon 858$ b． 44 ．
is 871．4，6， 9 ．
loqui 871.4 ．
magis 871．I， 2.
meminisse 871． 3 ．
minimus 871. 7.
ne . . . quidem 871. 6-7.
negare 871. io.
non 871. 4 .
nullus 871.6.
numerus 871. 4.
pars 871. 6. perforare 871 . in (?).
quam 871. 1, 2, 8 (?). qui 871. $4,5,6,9$. sapientia 871.3 . sed 871. 5 .
suus 871.5 .
ter 872. 9.
tunc 872. I 6.
virtus 871. I.

## II. EMPERORS.

Clacdius.
Кスav́óıos 962.
Nero.
N $\epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu 962$.
Galba.
Гádßa 899. 28.
Titus.
$\theta$ єòs Títos $984 . \quad$ Títos 958.
Hadrian.

'Aópıavós 957.
Antoninus Pius.
'Avtcrivos Kâ̂̃. ó xúpıos 899. 30.

'Avt $\omega$ vivos 899. 29.
Marcus Aurelius and Verus.

Marcus Aurelius.
'Àt
Commodus.
Acòs Kópoơos 909. 23.
Ко́нобоя 988.
Septimius Severus.
Imp. Caes. Lucius Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax Aug. Arabicus Adiabenicus 894. I .

Septinius Severus and Caracalla.







## Severus Alexander.

 988.

## Maximinus.



## Gallus and Volusianus.

Aùtoкр. Kaíoapes Гátos Oủíßıos Tpєß


## Gallienus.



## Tacitus.


ó кúpıos Ма́pкos K $\lambda$ aúSıos Tákıтos 907. 26.
Diocletian and Maximian (cf. Index III).






Maurice.



Aüyougto 897. І 2.

## III. CONSULS; ERAS, AND INDICTIONS.

## Consuls.




 983.








902． 19.

ітатєías Фגanvíov Tıßєрíov Maı
Eras of Oxyrhynchus．

```
\epsilońтos \pi0 \nu\eta ( }\mu\eta\mathrm{ Pap.; 4I3) }992
    , \rho\xi\beta \rho\lambdaa (486) 914. 13.
    , \rhoо与 р\muє(499)994.
    , \sigma\mu0 \sigma\iota\eta (572) 915. 4.
    , \sigmaq\gamma \sigma\xi\beta (6I6-7) 999.
```

Indictions．
2nd（ 6 th cent．） 993.
3rd（584） 996.
5 th $(616-7) 999$.
6th（572）915．2， 14.

$13^{\text {th }}\left(444^{-5}\right) 913.8$.

## IV．MONTHS AND DAYS

（a）Montis．
Гєриалiкєьos（Pachon） 962. $\Sigma \in \beta$ äто́s（Thoth） $958 ; 985$.
（b）Days．
єỉoi＇A $\pi \rho$ í $\lambda$ 入еси 899 ．introd．

є̇таүбиévшン a 972.
тргака́s 967.

## V．PERSONAL NAMES．

＇A［．］ám 12.
＇Аßаßiкı（s） 984.
＇Аßрабák 924．х 8.


＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ ао́клєєа，ミapatoûs also called Ag．，daughter of Aristion 964.

＇A $\quad$ Хорi $\mu \phi$ иs father of Anchorimphis 918．iii． 12.
＇A $\quad$ барípos son of Anchorimphis and father of Benia［．］is 918．iii．II．

＇A $\gamma \chi$ opi $\mu \phi$ is son of Onnophris（I）918．ii．i I， 18，23，xi．20；（2） 986.
＇ $\mathrm{A} \delta \rho \iota(a \nu)$ 929．introd．
＇AAavácios son of Demetrius 939． 22.
＇Aïàs，Aùp $\begin{gathered}\text { ina＇A．daughter of Agathodaemon } \\ \text { n }\end{gathered}$ 990.

Aitloтâas 934． 14.
Aììitos Saroupvìos praefect 899．го；916．9．
Aióqvpas father of＇Tharion 984.
＇À́́gavopus，＇Ioṽ̀ıos＇A．father of Pausanias 936． 1.

＇A入入oîs，A $\dot{u} \rho \eta \lambda i a$＇A．daughter of Thonius 901.4.
＇A $\mu$ クovis son of Patunis 918．ii．i5．
＇A $\mu$ joùs son of Sokonopis 918．ii．I4．
＇$A \mu \mu \omega \nu a ̂ s$ son of Pastoous and father of Petesuchus 986.
＇ $\mathrm{A} \mu \mu \omega \nu \iota a \nu$ ós 895．introd．
＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ иavós，Oùa $\lambda$ є́pıos＇A．also called Gerontius， logistes 896．1， $23,34,3^{6} ; 983$.
＇А $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} v$ los $936.21 ; 989$.
＇А $\mu \mu \omega$ ஸ́vos son of Ammonius 986.
＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{\nu}$ s son of Rhodion and father of Ammonius 986.

＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} v o s$, Aìp $\grave{\lambda}$ los $\Delta$ lovóvios also called Am． 911． 9.

＇A $\mu$ óts，A $\dot{\imath} p \dot{\eta} \lambda t o s$＇A．son of Horus 897． 4.
＇A $\mu v \nu \tau a \rho o u ̀ s ~ d a u g h t e r ~ o f ~ A m y n t a s ~ 918 . ~ 5 . ~$.
＇A $\mu v \nu t a ̂ s ~ 918 . ~ 6 . ~$

＇A $\downarrow \delta \rho о ́ \mu а \chi о s ~ 973$.
＇Avíotos son of Anoup 996.
＇A $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma$ oos father of Aurelius Anoup 996.
＂Avidлa 903． 32.
＇Avoútios deacon（？） 993.
＇Avoúr father of Anesius 996.
Аขои́т，А $\dot{\rho} \rho \dot{p} \lambda l o s$＇A．son of Anesius 996.

＇Avteis son of Sarapas 976.
＇Avтíдахоs，Ө＇є $\omega \nu$ also called Ant．，gymnasiarch 908． 10.
＇Avtivoos 933． 29.
＇Avrivoos also called Hermes 909．5．
＇Aขтшvivos 899．introd．
 praefect ？） 970 ．
＇Avтธ́vos，Aùpíhoos＇A．governor of Aegyptus Herculia 896． 29.
＇Avtćvios，「ácos＇Ioúalos＇A． 972.
＂А $л а$ Віккт $\rho 987$.
 senator 977.
＇$А \pi i \omega \nu$ 923． $2 ; 967$.
＇Ami $\omega \nu$ collector of money－taxes 917．I； 981； 982.
＇A $A$ i $\omega \nu$ eutheniarch 908 ． 3,45 ．
＇A $\pi i \omega \nu$ public physician，son of Herodotus 983.
${ }^{\prime}$ A $\pi i \omega \nu$ strategus（？） 929.25.
＇A $\pi i \omega \nu$ ，థлаои́tos＇A． 999.
＇Aтoдıváplos 928．і，16；932．2， 3.
 also called Ap．，prytanis 890．i．


＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ápoo also called Aristandra daughter of Aristander 899． 2 et saep．
＇Атоллшу＇a 905．І7； 984.
＇Aто入入 $\omega \nu$ ia daughter of Origenes 888．го．
＇A $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu i a$ daughter of Sarapion 918．v．is．
＇Aподд $\omega$ йоs 929．introd．； 969.
＇Aтo入入ต́vıos assistant of sitologi 973.
 Onesas 909． 3.
＇Aто入入ف́vios son of Gaius 969.



＇Aто入入ต́vios son of Panephremmis 918．iii． 8.
 890．I4．

＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\omega} s \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ ，son of Phoebammon 893． 2.
＇Anфoùs son of Epimachus 999.
 20.

＇Арєía 924．2， 19.
＂Apetos vegetable－seller 980.
＇Apєoûs father of Aurelius Apphous 914．3， 20.

＇Apıテтávópa，Apollonarion also called Ar．， daughter of Aristander 899． 2 et saep．
＇Apiotavopos father of Apollonarion also called Aristandra 899． 2.
＇Apigtavסpos son of Zenon 988.
＇Apırтív father of Aurelius Theon also called Eudaemon 964.
 surnamed Ar．，son of Aristion 964.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ A $\rho \mu \mathrm{L}$＇us father of Taames 918．iii． 9.
＇Apuıv́stos，Aupj́入ıos＇A．son of Padidymus 913．5， 2 I．
＇Aputvots son of Patron 936.
＇Apouañıs 984.
＇Артократішу 935． 6.
＇Арбъขó 921．1．
＇Apoivoos father of Aurelius Artemidorus 896． 2.
 Arsinous 896．2， 20.
 912． 4.

＇At $\eta$ рıs 984.
＇Aт ค s 935．introd．
A $\tilde{u}^{\kappa} \tau \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ father of Horus 935．introd．
Aùp $\lambda i i_{\text {＇＇Aïas daughter of Agathodaemon } 990 .}$
Aúp $\lambda \lambda i a$＇A $\lambda \lambda$ ous daughter of Thonius 901． 4.
Aùp $\lambda i$ ía B $\eta$ ooûs daughter of Sarapion 912．I， 40.

Aujp $\lambda i a^{a} \Delta i \delta \dot{v} \mu \eta$ daughter of Aurelius Hermo－ genes also called Eudaemon 907． 3 et saep．
 called Hermes 909.5.
Aúpŋ入ia Өєavoûs daughter of Didymus 960.
Aùp $\eta$ 入ía＇I $\sigma \iota \delta$ ó $\rho a$ also called Prisca 907．4， 16， 21 ．
Aúp $\bar{\lambda} i a \quad$ Птодєцais daughter of Aurelius Hermogenes also called Eudaemon 907. 3，II， 14 ．
 991.

Aùpŋ入ia Taóp daughter of Castor 913．5， 22.
A $\nu \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$＇$A \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o s$ ex－exegetes 909． 8.
Avpí̀tos＇A $\mu$ óts son of Horus 897． 4.
Aúpभ́גcos＇Avovit son of Anesius 993.
Aùpí入ıos＇Avt $\omega \nu i v o s$ ó крárıotos（vice－praefect？） 970.
 Herculia 896． 29.

Aúp $\eta \lambda 10 s$＇A $\pi \phi$ ồs son of Hareous 914． 3.
Aúpìtcos＇Appiv́cios son of Padidymus 913. 5， 2 I ．

Aú $\rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$＇A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu i \delta \omega \rho o s$ painter，son of Arsinous 896．2， 20.
 20.
 911．I．
Aủp ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$ díovuos public physician，son of Dioscorus 896． $24,37$.
Av̉pí̀ıos $\Delta \iota o v v \sigma a ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu ~ 907 . ~ 23 . ~$
 911． 9.
Aùpí入tos $\Delta$ tovírtos also called Aphrodisius， gymnasiarch 977.

Aúpídıos $\Delta$ tóoкороs senator，son of Silvanus 900．4， $3^{\text {г．}}$
 Aúpj̀itos＇Eppeivos son of Aurelius Hermo－ genes also called Eudaemon 907．3，7，io．
 exegetes 907．r， 27.
 genes also called Eudaemon 907．3，7， 19.

Aủpク́入ıos ${ }^{\text {T}} \mathrm{H} \rho \omega \nu$ public physician 896．24， 37.

Aúpウ́入cos $\Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ also called Asclepiades 912． 4.
Aúpídıos Өَ́ $\omega v$ also called Eudaemon sur－ named Aristion，son of Aristion 964.
Aủpク́入ıos Өє
Aúpウ́入ıos＇1ríiopos 964.
Aúpŋ́̀ııos＇I $\sigma i \delta \omega \rho o s$ son of Chaeremon 912．го．

Aủpグ入ıos $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu i ́ \delta \eta s$ strategus 890．4．
Aúpídıos，Loúktos $\Sigma \in \pi \tau i \mu t o s ~ A u ̀ \rho$ ．इapariov also called Apolinarius，prytanis 890．1．
A $\dot{p} \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s$ Maкápıos son of Joseph 902．2， 18.
Aủpク́入ıos $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \iota a \nu o ́ s$ prytanis 892． 6.
 priest elect 970 ．
 892． 2.
Aùpク̀入los Патиои́тlos son of Paësius 897．5．
Aùpグ入ıos Пaгâтıs son of Paësis 897． 4.
Aúp $\lambda^{2}$ los Пatĩıs son of Panouris 912． 6.
Aúpク́入ıos Птодєцаios 970.
Aùpク́ $\lambda \iota o s \Pi_{\tau} \tau \lambda i \omega \nu$ son of Ptollion 909． 1 ， $37 \cdot$
Aupijios इakíay comarch，son of Petiris 895． 4.

Aủpí入ıos ミapariov also called Apeis，senator

## 977.

Aúpínıos $\Sigma$ aparíwv also called Theon 960.
Aúpク́入ıos ミapâs 921 ．introd．
Aúpク́入ıos $\Sigma a \rho \mu a ́ r \eta s$ elder 897． 5.

909． 8.
Avj $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s \Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s$ son of Daniel 914． 5.
A $\dot{u} \rho \dot{j} \lambda t o s ~ \Sigma \in \rho \eta \hat{\eta}$ os son of Serenus 909．Io．
Aú $\rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s ~ \Sigma \epsilon \dot{u} \theta \eta s$ also called Horion，logistes
895．3．
Aúpク́入ıos $\Sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi$ avos 934．I，I7．



Avp $\eta^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ son of Aurelius Hermogenes
also called Eudaemon 907．3，7， 19.
Aiфíolos＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o s$ 899． 46.
 Aphr．，gymnasiarch 977.
＇Aфроঠín goddess 921． 22.
＇Axcintís also called Isidorus，gymnasiarch 908． 12.

## Bapıxâs 995.

Be $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$ father of Sarapion 985.
Bevta［．］is son of Anchorimphis 918．iii．i i．
Bє $\rho \in \nu \iota \times \iota a \nu o ́ s$ ，＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ also called Ber．，gymnasi－ arch 908． 13.

Віктшр 943．9．＂А $\pi$ а Вікт 987.

ráos father of Apollonius 969.
Гátos＇Ioúגıos＇Avтஸ́vıos 972.
Гátos Поидфє́ $\rho \nu$ vos Tıßєрivos 972.
Гaím（？），Tı $\beta$＇́pıos Kגav́óos Г＇́ $\mu \iota \nu o s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~$ Gai．916．II．
 916．II．
 Gerontius，logistes 896．1， 23.
$\Gamma \epsilon$ ต́ $\rho \gamma \operatorname{tos} 915$ ． 1.
Гєஸ́pytos castrensis（？） 1001.
Г＇є́ $\rho \gamma$ ооs chartularius 943． 9.

$\Delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ ，＇A $\gamma$ aOòs $\Delta$ ．＂$p \xi a s$ ，son of Caecilius 990.
$\Delta a v ı \eta_{\eta} \lambda o s$ father of Aurelius Serenus 914． 5.
$\Delta a v i \eta(\lambda ı o s$ president of the council 913． 2.
$\Delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu$ ароо $937 . ~ І, ~ 31 . ~$
$\Delta \eta \mu$ éas 980.
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i a$ daughter of Andromachus 973.
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \rho$ os father of Athanasius 939．2，33．
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \rho \iota o s$ son of Heraclides 938．і．
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \rho \iota o s, A \nu \bar{j} \eta$ ıos $\Delta$ ．son of Dionysotheon 907．20， 22.
$\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s$, Aù $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s \quad \Delta$ ．also called Zoilus， exegetes 911．I．

$\Delta i \delta u ́ \mu \eta$ ，Aúp $\lambda i i^{a} \Delta$ ．daughter of Aurelius Hermogenes also called Eudaemon 907. 3 et saep．

$\Delta i \delta v \mu o s$ father of Aurelius Soterichus 909．i2．
$\Delta i \delta i \mu o s$ son of Dionysius also called Phatreus 898． 3.
$\Delta i \delta v \mu o s$, ＇A $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega$ ©́tos also called Did．，son of Demeas 909． 3.
$\Delta i ́ \delta v \mu o s, A \dot{v} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s \Delta$ ．public physician，son of Dioscorus 896． 24 ， 37.
$\Delta i \delta v \mu o s$, Tı $\epsilon$ ́́pıos Kגaúסıos $\Delta$ ．gymnasiarch 908． 6.


$\Delta \iota ⿱ ㇒ 日$ є́v $\eta$ s linen－merchant 933．1， 32.
$\Delta \iota \nu v \sigma o ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ ，Aúp $\eta$ خıcos $\Delta .907 .23$.
$\Delta$ tovvá́pıos，$\Phi \lambda$ aoúlos $\Delta$ ．riparius 897． 3 ．
－tovúvios 971 ； 974.
$\Delta$ tovéroos assistant of collector of corn－dues 976.

Atovúvtos gymnasiarch 908．II．
$\Delta$ uvvíros father of Herodes 988.
stovígtos also called Phatreus，father of Didymus 898． 3 ．
$\Delta$ oovvoros father of Ptolemaeus 910． 56.
$\Delta$ tovúaıos，Aúp $\grave{\lambda} \iota$ os $\Delta$ ．also called Ammonius 911． 9.
$\Delta t o v u ́ \sigma \iota o s, ~ A u ́ p \dot{\eta} \lambda t o s ~ \Delta$ ．also called Aphrodisius， gymnasiarch 977.
$\Delta \iota o v v \sigma o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ father of Aurelius Demetrius 907． 20.
$\Delta t o ́ v v a o s ~ g o d ~ 917 . ~ 3 . ~$
$\Delta$ ios son of Dius 986.
sios father of Onnophris 918．ii．19； 986.
tios son of Onnophris and father of Dius 986.
dios son of Onnophris and father of Hera－ cleus 986.
$\Delta i o s$ son of Onnophris and father of Pene－ oueris 986.
Аıо́ткороя 898．Іо，I4，I7．
$\Delta$ tórкороs father of Aurelius Didymus 896. 25.
$\Delta$ tóбкороs father of Psenamounis 989.

$\Delta$ со́ткороs，Aùjй $\lambda \iota o s ~ \Delta$ ．senator，son of Silvanus 900． 4.

$\Delta$ เобкоирії 907. I 5
$\Delta$ toбкоขрiồs，оía入є́pıos $\Delta$ ．also called Julianus， logistes 900． 3 ．
$\Delta ı \not \subset a v^{2} \eta s$ strategus 899．introd．
 14.
$\Delta \omega$ ро́ $\theta$ єоs 992.
＇Екі́тьу 968.
＇E乡акоиайs 967.
${ }^{\text {＇}}$ E $\xi$ ák $\omega \nu$ 923． 3.
＇Eriцадоs father of Apphous 999.
${ }^{〔} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu[$ 907． 15.
＇Ериãs wineseller 985.
＇Ephท̂s，＇A $\nu \tau$ ivoos also called Herm．909．5－
 exegetes 907．x， 27.
＇Epuóócoos basilicogrammateus and acting strategus 898．I．
＂Epos 927．1．

Eủú $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ € 10 s smith 989.
Evioathovis，Aúp $\lambda_{i}$ ia Evio．daughter of Antinous also called Hermes 909． 3 ．
Ev̇ठaí $\omega \nu$ son of Lycus 984.
 exegetes 907．I， 27.
 named＇Aplati $\omega \nu$ ，son of Aristion 964.
Eủסaíh $\omega$ ，Oủa入́́ptos Eủס．praefect 899． 29.
EüӨá入apos 903． 27.
Eủ̀óyıos，థ入aov́los E $\grave{\lambda} \lambda$ ．riparius 897． 3 ．
Ev̉vota 907． 15 －
Eúá́ßıos，థ入aoṽlos Eủv．logistes 892．I．
Eủтúx ${ }^{2}$ s sitologus（？） 973.
Eủфpó́vulos 939．19．
 chief－priest elect 970.

Z $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ father of Aristander 988.
Z $\dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ father of Heron 986.
Z $\omega$ ŋ́ 903．5．
Z $\omega$ i ${ }^{2}$ os 903． 12.
Zwidos banker＇s assistant 916．i 8 ．
 exegetes 911．I．
Z $\dot{\pi}$ иироs 928． 3 ．
Z $\dot{\omega} \tau \iota \mu$ оз 937．I5； 974.
＇H $\lambda$ ć $\sigma$ tos（？）926． 7.
＇H ${ }^{\text {cóó } \omega \text { роs 935．} 25 .}$
＇Hра日＇є ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ 926．I．
${ }^{〔}$ Нраклâs 890．17； 985.
＇Hр́́к $\lambda \epsilon$ daughter of Theon 899．introd．
＇Hраклєiôךs son of Apollonius 918．v．＇ 19.
＇Hpaклєións father of Demetrius 938．I．
 Hermogenes also called Eudaemon 907. 3，I7， 9.
＇Hраклєо́обооs fatlier of Heracleodorus 984.
＇Hраклєóסضроs son of Heracleodorus and father of Hierax 984.
＇Нра́кл $\quad$ оs $934.5 ; 984$.
＇Hрáкл $\quad$ оs father of Choous 897． 8.
＇Нра́кл $\quad$ оs son of Dius and father of Musthas 986.
＇Hри́кл $\quad$ оз son of Hierax 984.
＇Hро́к $\begin{aligned} & \text { пos also called Matreas 898．} 5 \text { ．}\end{aligned}$
＇Hра́кддоs son of Peneoueris 986.
${ }^{\text {＇Háá }} \mathrm{H}$ クos son of Peneoueris and father of Heracles 986.
＇Нра́клдоs father of Ptolemaeus 984.
＇Нрак入 $\bar{\eta}$ son of Heracleus 986.
＇Hpak入 $\hat{\eta} s$ son of Morus and father of Apollo－ nius $905.4,17$.
＇Hракл $\eta$ s son of Ptolemaeus 984.
＇Hoóooros father of Apion public physician 983.
＇Нрஸ́ồs 988.
＇Hpผ́ôns son of Dionysius 988.
${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \rho \omega \nu$ comogrammateus 986.
＂$H \rho \omega \nu$ father of Heron 918．ii． 19.
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ Hov son of Heron and father of Patunis 918．ii． $12,18,24$.
${ }^{*} H \rho \omega \nu$ son of Nestnephis and father of Patunis 918．iii． 12.
${ }^{7} \mathrm{H} \rho \omega \nu$ village－elder，father of $\mathrm{A}[$.$] apes 918$ ． xi． 12.
$\mathrm{H} \rho \omega \nu$ son of Xenon 986.

24， 37.
Өaŋ̂бıs 888．Іо ；921．I 2 ；935．introd．
Өaŋ̂vıs daughter of Panesneus 984.
Өaís 932．I．
Өais daughter of Amphithales 928． 4.
Өá̀入ovбa 984.
Өapiov son of Aischuras 984.
Өarp $\bar{s}$ daughter of Menodorus 905． 3 ．
Өєaßījıs daughter of Pesouris 918．ii．12， 22， 24.
$\Theta \epsilon a \nu o u ̄ s ~ 935.24 . ~$
Өєaขoûs，Aúp $\lambda i i_{a}$ ．daughter of Didymus 960.
Өєapoûs 963.
Өєozévŋs father of Theogenes 911． 4.
$\Theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \bar{s}$ son of Theogenes 911． 4.
Өєoүє $\boldsymbol{\nu} \eta \mathrm{s}$ father of Aurelius＇Theogenes 911． 4.

Өєóסotos 942． 7.
$\Theta \epsilon$ ód $\omega \rho$ оs 802． 5.
Өєо́тоитоs 931．г，г 6.
Өє́ $\omega \nu$ 899．introd．；935． 24.
Ө＇́ $\omega \nu$ also called Antimachus，gymnasiarch 908．1о．

Ө́́ $\omega \nu$ ，Aú $\rho \dot{\eta} \lambda c o s$ ．also called Eudaemon sur－ named Aristion，son of Aristion 964.

$\theta \epsilon \omega \nu i s$ 930． 24.
＇Ißotis 989.
＇Iєракіаи＇а 935． 27.
＇Iєракíc» ex－agoranomus，son of Hieracion 910．I．
＇Iєpakiшv father of Hieracion 910．i．
＇I＇́fás son of Heracleodorus 984.
＇I＇́f pa $\xi$ father of Heracleus 984.
＇l $\eta \sigma 0$ ûs 924．I 5 ；925． 4.
＇Iou入ıàós 992.
＇Iou入ıaขós，Oĩa入є́pıos $\Delta$ tookoupió $\eta$ s also called Jul．，logistes 900． 3 ．
＇Iovえıavós，Ф入aoúlos＇I．acting defensor 901．3．

＇Іoú入cos 乏apaтíw 919．2，І I（？）．
＇Ioú入ıos，Гálos＇I．＇Avtต́vios 972.
＇Iov̂ $\tau$ тos 936．І8．
＇Iov̂бtos monk 994.
＇Iov̂бтos，ô äytos＇I． 941.3.
＇Iғáк，Ф入aoúlos＇I．defensor 902．I．
＇I $\sigma \iota \delta \dot{\rho} \rho a, ~ A \dot{u} p \eta \lambda i ́ a ~ ' I . ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ P r i s c a ~ 907 . ~$ 4，16， 2 I．

＇I $\sigma i \delta \omega \rho i \omega \nu$ 928．I4．
＇І $\sigma i \delta \omega \rho o s$ 906． 10.
＇I $\sigma i \delta \omega \rho o s$, Aipij $\lambda \iota o s$＇I．son of Chaeremon 912. IO．
＇I $\sigma i ́ \delta \omega \rho o s$, ＇AXì $\lambda \epsilon$ ús also called Is．，gym－ nasiarch 908． 12.
＇I $\sigma i \omega \nu$ son of Panephremmis 918．ii． 19.
＇I $\omega$ ívins 941．10； 995.
＇I wávpls father of Georgius 996.
＇I $\omega$ áv ${ }^{\prime} \eta$ s father of Paniren 893． 2.
＇I $\omega \sigma \eta$＇$\phi$ father of Aurelius Macarius 902．2， 18.
＇I $\omega \sigma \eta$＇ो notary 940． 7.
Kaıкìsos father of Agathodaemon $9 \boldsymbol{9 0}$ ．
Kaк $\eta$ s 935．introd．
Ka入ク́ 934.7.
Ka入入є́as 921.8.
Kà入́́as pilot 919． 3.
Kávт $\omega \rho$ 913．5， 22.

K入ápos 968.
Kえavóa＇I $\sigma \iota \delta \omega ́ \rho a$ 919．7．
Kえaúdıos，Tıßépıos $\mathrm{K} \lambda$ ．Г＇́ $\mu \iota \nu$ os also called Gaion（？）916．I I．
 908． 6.
K入 $\boldsymbol{\omega} \delta \iota o s$ Koùkıanós praefect 895． 8.
Kó入入ov cos $^{934 .} 6$.
Kот $\rho \in \dot{́}$ 934．7，8，ІІ．
Koрє $\lambda \lambda$ дàós，Mıviкıos K．epistrategus 899． 30.

Kopıй $\lambda \iota o s$ son of Pekusis 899． 49.
Kор $\nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s \pi o \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \tau \eta \dot{\prime} 980$.
Kov $\lambda_{\kappa \iota a \nu o ́ s, ~ K \lambda}^{\text {人 }} \delta \iota o s$ K．praefect 895． 8.
Kирía 914． 3.
Kúp $\iota \lambda \lambda a$ 931．II．
$\Lambda \in \omega v i \delta \eta s, A \dot{v} \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} l o s$ A．strategus 890． 4.
\oúkıos 928．I．
\oúkıos Kєрє $\lambda[$ ．．．．．．．］avıavós 965.
 Apolinarius，prytanis 890．1．
＾úкоs 984.
Lúkos son of Horus and father of Eudaemon 984.
$\Lambda v{ }^{\prime} \phi \quad \phi \rho \omega \nu$ father of Orseutes 984.

Makáplos，Aúpý̀tos M．son of Joseph 902．2， 18.

Mapía 992.
Mapivos tow－merchant 893．4，5， 8.
Marcus Ulpius Primianus praefect 894． 4.
Mápкоs $\mu \epsilon i\} \omega \nu$ 893．2， 4.
матрє́zs，‘Hра́кдұos also called Mat．898． 6.
Matpeiva daughter of Heracleus also called Matreas 898． 5 ．
M $\eta$ vâs 943 ．I．


M $\eta \nu o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s$ son of Horus 905．2， 15.

Moveis 983.
MurAâs son of Heracleus 986.
M $\omega$ pos father of Heracles 905．i 7.
$\mathrm{N} \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \iota a \nu o ́ s$, Aúpи́入ıos N．prytanis 892． 6.
Nє $\epsilon \tau \nu \eta \eta_{\phi} \boldsymbol{s}$ father of Heron 918．iii． 12.
Nıкáv $\omega \rho$ 929．r， 26.

Nìvooûs 941．I（？）．
＇O $\nu \eta \sigma a ̂ s$ father of Apollonius also called Didymus 909． 3 ．
＇ $0 \nu \nu \omega \phi \rho ı s$ 918．ii． 7.
＇Ovע⿳亠丷厂甲pis father of Dius 986.
＇Ovv $\omega \phi \rho i s$ son of Dius and father of Ancho－ rimphis 918．ii．I I，18，23，xi． 20 ； 986.
＇O $0 \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \phi$ es son of Horus 918．iii．7．
＇O $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \bar{\omega} \phi \rho \iota s$ son of Orseutes 984.
＇Opoєúrns son of Lycophron and father of Onnophris 984.
Oủa入́́pıos＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu \iota a \nu o ́ s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ G e r o n t i u s, ~$ logistes 896．ı， 23 ； 983.
Ov̉a入́́pıos $\Delta \iota \sigma \sigma к о ч р i o ̂ \eta s ~ a l s o ~ c a l l e d ~ J u l i a n u s, ~$ logistes 900． 3 ．

 888． I ．
＇Oфıधús father of Sisuphis 984.
Паavoūфıs 984.
Паßâvos 901．8， 9.
Паүิิขıs 989.
חadiovuos father of Aurelius Harmiusis 913. 5， 22.
Haj́vıos father of Aurelius Papnoutius 897． 6.
Haños father of Aurelius Patapis 897． 5 ．

Па $\mu$ ои́ ${ }_{\imath}$ оs $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ 893． ．
Пavєбขєús freedman，father of Petarpo－ cration 984.
Пауєфрє́ $\mu \mu$ father of Apollonius 918．iii． 8.
Пауєфрє́ $\mu \mu$ s father of Ision 918．ii．I 9.
Пavipev $\mu$ єí $\} \omega \nu$ son of John 893．1．
IIavoupıs father of Aurelius Patutis 912． 6.
Патıvoútıos，Aúpŋ̀лıos П．son of Paësius 897．6．
Парєұа́тทs 984.
Пápıs，Aíp $\eta$ 入ıos П．also called Zeuxianus，chief－ priest elect 970.
Пар $\mu \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ 899． $27,30$.
Пaбi $\omega \nu$ public banker 916．6，І2， 15.
Пaбí $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ smith 989.
Пaбi $\omega \nu$ ，Aip $\eta_{\lambda} \cos$ ח．senator，son of Horion 892． 2.
Havt $\boldsymbol{\text { Hoùs }}$ father of Ammonas 986.
Пataß $\bar{s}$ s son of Aurelius Psois 895． 5.

Пат $\hat{\beta}$ ıs 984.
Патрікєоs（？）922． 7.
Пáт $\rho \omega$ father of Harmiusis 986.
חarv̂vis father of Ameous 918．ii．I5．
Hativis son of Heron 918．ii．I 1，19，23，iii． 12.
Пatûtıs，Aủpŋ́入ıos П．son of Panouris 912． 6.
Пavגîvos collector of corn－dues 976.
Mavarvias son of Julius Alexander 936．i．
Пavфผิเs 984.
Пєкодápıos（？） 992.
Пé̀ $\omega$ роs 918．xiii．I3．
Пєкйбıs father of Koр $\nu_{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \iota o s$ 899． 49.
Пє́vßa 989.
Пєvєovpis son of Dius 986.
Пє $\boldsymbol{\nu \in o и ̆ \rho ı s ~ f a t h e r ~ o f ~ H e r a c l e u s ~} 986$.
$\Pi є \nu \epsilon o \hat{v} \rho \iota \iota$ son of Heracleus 986.
Пєбойpıs father of Theabesis 918．ii．12，22， 24.
Пєтартикратіш son of Panesneus 984.
Пєтєборфіิิuts son of Phanias 986.
Петєбои̃ 985.
Пєтєनoûحos son of Ammonas 986.
Пєтipes son of Aurelius Sakaon 895． 4.
Пєтоßárтts ropemaker 934． 4.
Пєтоифผ̂̀s 984.
Пєтри́vıos exceptor 942． 6.
П $\lambda \epsilon \beta$ horse 922．I3．
П入очта́рхך 906．4，7， 10.
П入оитоүє́ข Пs $^{\text {933．}} 27$.

Поийpıs 986.


Hoúvgıs 984.
חpaoûs 996.
Прєібка，À̀ $\rho \eta \lambda i a ~ ' I \sigma \iota \delta \omega ́ \rho a$ also called Prisca 907．4， $\mathbf{1}$ 6， 2 I ．
Прєібкілда 935．introd．
Primianus，Marcus Ulpius P．praefect 894． 4.
Птодє $\mu$ aíos 930． 30.
Пгодєцаíos basilicogrammateus 986.
Птодє $\mu$ 人̂os son of Dionysius 910． 56 ．
Птодє $\mu \mathrm{i} o s$ son of Heracleus and father of Heracles 984.
 970.
$\Pi \tau о \lambda є \mu a i s$, A $\dot{\jmath} \eta \lambda \lambda_{i a} \Pi$ ．daughter of Hermogenes also called Eudaemon 907．3，if， 14.
Птодє $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \nu o s$ also called Sarmates，exegetes 891． 8.
Пто入入í $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{899 .} 2$ r．
$\Pi \tau о \lambda \lambda i \omega \nu$ father of Aurelius Ptollion $909.1,37$. $\Pi \tau о \lambda \lambda i \omega \nu$ ，Aúpí入tos ח．son of Ptollion 909. 1， 37 ．
＇Pooíc⿻ father of Ammonius 986.
Sabina，Ulpia S．894． 6.
£aßivos 907．15；932． 9.
इa入ó ${ }^{\prime}$ ıs 989.
इa
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ father of Teos 910．3， 48
乏aparâs father of Anteis 976.
Eapurias 912． 2.
इapanios daughter of Herodes 988.
£aparíi 890 ．г；912．2；918．v． 18 ； 935. 14， $23 ; 968$ ； 991.
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ son of Belleos 985.
इapani $\omega \nu$ ex－agoranomus，father of Apollonius and Domittianus 890．I5．
Eapaniav also called Horion，son of ．．．on 908．I， 44.
$\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ ，strategus of Sebennytus 931．1，I5．
इapani $\omega \nu$ ，Aúp $\grave{\lambda} \lambda$ ıos $\Sigma$ ．also called Apeis， senator 977.
ェapani $\omega \nu$ ，Aúpí入ıos $\Sigma$ ．also called Theon 960.
 called Apolinarius，prytanis 890．r．
इapati $\omega \nu$ ，Фえaov́los $\Sigma$ ．son of Horion 913． 24.
ミаратобஸ́ра 932． 9.
Eapanov̂s also called Agathoclia，daughter of Aristion 964.
ミapâs 974.

इapâs，Aúp $\lambda \lambda ı o s ~ \Sigma .921 . ~ i n t r o d . ~$

 891． 8.
इартократі́s 984.
乏aтoupvìvos $\rho \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ 899． 21.
ミatoupvivos，Aipíhlos $\Sigma$ ．praefect 899．10； 916． 9.
$\Sigma є \beta a \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ì $о$ 928． 10 ．
$\Sigma \in \nu \alpha \mu о \bar{\nu} 999$.
$\Sigma \epsilon \nu o \nu \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \rho t s$ daughter of Heracleus 984.
$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \pi a \nu \epsilon \sigma \nu \epsilon u ́ s$ daughter of Panesneus 984.
$\Sigma \in \nu \pi a p a i t_{t s}$ daughter of Panesneus 984.
$\Sigma \in \nu \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \lambda \iota s 984$.
इévtpıs 984.
$\Sigma \in \nu u ́ \phi ı s 984$.
$\Sigma \epsilon \nu \phi \omega ̄ \iota$ daughter of Lycus 984.
$\Sigma \in \nu \chi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{S} 984$.
$\Sigma \in \pi$ rímos，$\Lambda \frac{v ́ \kappa \iota o s ~}{\Sigma}$ ．Aujpídıos $\Sigma a \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ also called Apolinarius，prytanis 890．r．
इєр $\eta$ ขos 935 ． 1.
$\Sigma є \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s$ banker 943．1， 5 ．
$\Sigma_{\epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s}$ father of Serenus 909．го．
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \nu o s$ son of Serenus 909．1о．
$\Sigma \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o s, A \dot{u} \rho \dot{j} \lambda \iota o s$, ．son of Aurelius Ammo－ nius 909． 8.

$\Sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \theta_{\eta} s$ ，A $\dot{v} \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$, ．also called Horion，logistes 895．3．
$\Sigma_{\imath} \lambda \beta$ avós father of Aurelius Dioscorus 900． 4.
£ıoúфıs son of Ophieus and father of Sisuphis 984.

Eıoúфıs pastophorus，son of Sisuphis 984.
$\Sigma$ इокоу $\bar{\pi}$ เs father of Ameous 918．ii． 14.
ミoфía daughter of Marcus 893．4，5， 8.


$\Sigma$ тovoímoos，$\Phi \lambda$ av́os $\Sigma$ ．dioecetes 899 ．introd．， 2.
乏úpos 936． 5.
इфрауis 984.
$\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \nless \chi o s$, A $\dot{v} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s \Sigma$ ．son of Didymus 909． 12.
Ta $\alpha \mu \hat{\eta} s$ daughter of Harmieus 918．iii． 8.
 991.

Taavoûфıs 984.
Таарцıи̃бıs 909． 12.
Táß 996.
Ta日v⿱亠 ．．．899． $3^{1 .}$
Tакá入入ı $\pi$ тоs 905． 2.

Taュoupıs 984.
Taóp 937． $1,31$.
Taóp，Aúp $\lambda \lambda i a^{\prime}$ T．daughter of Castor 913．5， 22.
Татávך 996.
Талптáp（ıos？） 999.
Taлтixts 984.
Taбaraßoüs daughter of Onnophris 918．ii． 6.
Taбoıtâs 937． 26.
Tarvayoûs 884.
Tavaopäтıs 905． 4.
Tauф̂̂ıs 984.
Taфîßıs 984.

Teaîıs 984.
Tєfєûs 984.
Тєрєұа（ ） 984.
Tєu申шûs 984.
T $\epsilon \hat{\omega}$ s son of Sarapammon 910．3， 48.
Tıßєpivos 「átos Пou入фє́pдıos T． 872.
Tıßépıos＇A $\lambda$ égavסpos praefect 899． 28.


## 916．I1．

Tıß́́pıos Kגav́dıos $\Delta i ́ \delta u \mu o s ~ g y m n a s i a r c h ~ 908 . ~ 6 . ~$ Tíypıos 932 ．I．
TiAóts 929． 7 ．
Tı $\mu$ ó $\theta$ єos ordinarius 942． 7.
Towvaâs 984.
T $\sigma \epsilon \nu \eta ̄ \sigma!s ~ 935.28$.
Ulpia Sabina 894． 6.
Ulpius，Marcus U．Primianus praefect 894．4．
Фavias father of Petesorphiomis 986.
Фavias son of Petesorphiomis 988.
фатрєús 884.
Фatpєús，$\Delta$ ıovíros also called Phat．，father of
Didymus 898． 4.
Фaûaros 900．15； 985.
Фıловıо́бкораs 807．І1．
Філо́кироs 937． 15.
Філо́viкаs strategus 898． 26 ； 957.
$\Phi_{1} \lambda o ́ \xi \in \nu 0 s$ 922．I4，I6；938． 20.
Фi入ógevos magistrianus 904． 2.
Фıлобтє́申а⿱亠䒑os 984.
Флаßıavós 939．1， 32.
$\Phi \lambda a$ ó́los 904．1．
$\Phi \lambda a o v i t o s ~ \Delta t o \nu v \sigma a ́ p l o s ~ r i p a r i u s ~ 897 . ~ 3 . ~$.

\＄入aovitos Eúá́ßios logistes 892． 1.

Фגaov́ıos＇Iou入ıavós acting defensor 901． 3 ．


$\Phi \lambda a o u ́ l o s ~ \Sigma a p a \pi i \omega \nu$ son of Horion 913． 24.


Фоィßа́ $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ 941． 8.
$\Phi \circ \iota \beta \dot{\beta} \mu \mu \omega \nu$ father of Apollos 893． 2.
Фаьßá $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ comes 994.
$\Phi$ เßá $\mu \mu \nu \nu$ ex－councillor 802.4 ．
Фо九ßá $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ фроขтıбтís 940．5．
Фоاßа́ $\mu \mu \omega \nu \chi^{є!\rho เ \sigma т \eta ́ s ~} 995$.
Хаıра́ $\mu \omega \nu$ 926． 6 （？）．
Xaıpéas 900． 15.
X $\alpha \iota \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu$ son of Anchorimphis 918．xi． 20.
Xaip $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ father of Aurelius Isidorus 912．го．
Xaı $\eta \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ strat egus 970.
Xat $\eta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ，Aủ $\eta_{\eta}^{\lambda}$ tos X．834．1， 17.
$\mathrm{X} \epsilon \mu \in \nu \epsilon$ ûs 984.

X $\omega$ ous 903 ．26，28， 31 ．
$\mathrm{X} \omega a \mathrm{u}$ s son of Heracleus 897．8， 13 ．
¥aûtıs 984.
$\Psi \epsilon v a \mu o u ̂ v i s ~ s o n ~ o f ~ D i o s c o r u s ~ 989 . ~$
$\Psi \in \nu \tau a u ̂ s ~ 984$.
$\Psi$ ıраi $\eta_{\text {s }} 984$.
$\Psi \omega$ ©ैs 984.
＇$\Omega ф \epsilon \lambda i ́ a ~ 983$.
＇$\Omega \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ 888．10， 12 ；918．ii． 3.
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ 906． 10.
＇$\Omega \rho^{\prime} i \omega \nu$ father of Aurelius Pasion 892． 2.
＇$\Omega$ píw also called Berenicianus，gymnasiarch 908． 13 ．
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ father of Flavius Sarapion 913． 24.
 895． 3.
＇$\Omega \rho i \omega \nu$ ，Aupク́nıos＇$\Omega$ ．son of Aurelius Hermo－ genes also called Eudaemon 807．3，i 7， 19.
＇$\Omega$ pi $i \omega \nu, \Sigma_{\text {u }} \rho a \pi i \omega \nu$ also called Hor．，son of ．．．on 908．I， 44.
－$\Omega \rho$ os 900.15.
＂Spos father of Anchorimphis 918．xi． 21.
＂Soos father of Aurelius Amois 897． 4.
＂$\Omega \rho$ os son of Auctus 935．introd．
＂$\Omega$ pos father of Lycus 984.
＂$\Omega$ pos father of Menodorus 905． 2.
＂Spos father of Onnophris 918．iii．7．

## VI．GEOGRAPHICAL．

（a）Countries，Nomes，Cities，Toparchies．

Aegyptus 894．3， 4.
Áyvatos 888．І ；899．introd．
Aїуиттоs＇Нркоилía 896． 29.
 934． 3 ； 998.
Alexandria 894． 4.
＇Avtıvocús 937．20， $23,29$.
＇Avtıvois 909． 6.
＇Avtevó́ $\omega \nu$ тódıs 970.
＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ тıóov（ $\pi$ ó入ıs）903．29， 33 ；933． 32 （？）．

Ваßu入̀́v 895．13．
＇E入入クขıxós 907． 2 ； 990.



Kaעштıкós 936．15．
Kvขomo入itøs（ $\nu \rho \mu o ́ s) ~ 921.21$.

K $\omega \nu \sigma \tau a \nu \tau i v o v \pi$ тó入ıs 922 ． 15 ．
Mé $\mu$ фıs 919． 4.
нєрis 986.

ขоиós 899． 42 ； 900.6 ；913． 6 ； 991.
$o^{\prime}(?) 991$.
＂Oafıs 898．9，13．Mıкр ${ }^{2}$＂O．888． $8 ; 895$. 19.
＇o ${ }^{\prime} v \rho v \gamma x i \tau \eta s(\nu 0 \mu o ́ s) 888.8 ; 892 . ~ 1 ; 895$. 3 ；896．г， 23 ；898．16；899．introd．，5， 16；900． 3 ；901． 3 ；905．6；916．7； 923．І3；929．18； 991.
 896．3， 25 ；899． 3 ；900．5；907． 1 ， 26 ；908．4，17；909．9；911． 3 ； 913. 4；914．4，20；990； 999.
 909．1；910．1 ；911． 12 ；912． 3.
Oxyrhynchus（？Oxyrhynchorum urbs） 894. 7.
$\pi a ̂ \gamma o s, \delta$ ©́катоs $\pi .900 .6 . \quad є \pi .901 .5$.
Подє́ $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ оя $\mu є$ рís 986.
$\pi$ ли $\iota$ ı，$=$ Cynopolis 902．2．$=$ Nilopolis 942. 4．$=$ Oxyrhynchus 889．14， $15 ; 892$ ． 3 ， 9 ；896． 9,30 ；899．6；804． 4 ；808． 6 ； 909．13；910． 3 ；911．5；914．6； 962. ］ıт $\hat{\nu} \nu$ тó入ıs 895．15．$\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ 902 . ~ 10 . ~$
Пробштiтクs 919． 5 ．
${ }^{\text {＇P }} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ маі̂о九 919． 7.
$\Sigma є \beta \epsilon \nu \nu$ útov ä $\nu \omega$ то́тоь 931．15．

 ävш то́тос 931．I5．
（b）Villages，є̇тоíкıa，то́тоь．
I．Oxyrhynchite．
＇А 1 єú 989.
＇А $\mu$ ßıoûtos то்тоı 999.

＂A $\sigma \kappa \lambda$ ov 922．I．

Ev̉ayүє $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ov 098.
Eủtuđúóos є̇тоíк． 998.

Zaлitov，＇I $\sigma$ єiov Z．897． 6.
＇Нраклєїоу є́тоік． 989.
Өá̃бıos 998.
Өєayévous 998.
Өஸ̄नßıs 989.
＇ $1 \beta \iota \omega ่ \nu 998$.
＇Í́лๆ 997.


＇I $\sigma \epsilon i o \nu$ T $\mathrm{pú} \phi \omega \nu 0 s 989$.
＂І $\sigma \tau \rho o v 907.8$.
Kaраขє $\dot{\tau} \eta$ ）922．24， 25.
Aaұavías $\mathrm{N} \hat{\eta} \sigma o s 998$.
ムєикаס́iov N $\mathfrak{\eta}$ бos 998.
ムоикі́о 922． 25 ； 998.
Маıочцâ тóтоц 999.
Маруирітои то́то兀 999.
мє入іта 998.
Мєриє́ $\theta a$ 912．7．
Mєбкаขои̂ขıs 998.
Movípov 979.
Моขхเขต́p 985.
Моขิұıs є่ $\pi$ oíк． 996.
M $\hat{a}$ 907． 24.
Neíरov є́тоі́к． 997.
Nєкढิ้Әıs 998 ； 1003.
N $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma o s$＾axavías 998.

Nıки́тои 998.
＇Обтракідои 998.
Oúpєıグßт 922．Іч．
Пауүа̂，＇Ібєîo П．899．7； 988.
Пауүоидєєiov 998； 999.
Пакє́ $к \boldsymbol{1}$ 910．4，7， 32 ； 998.
Пад入 $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ or $\Pi a \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s ~ 922 . ~ 23 ; 998 . ~$
Паขєиิıร 989.
Пе́ $\lambda a \ln 9$.
Пє́тขך 932． 3 ； 997.
Пoûxเs 966.
Птодє $\mu$ â є̇тоі́к． 989.

## INDICES

Пт $\omega$ хเs 913.6.
इǻá入ov 895．introd．
इaußa日ஸ́ 903．ı9．
$\Sigma \epsilon[$ 日07． 10 ．
$\Sigma є \nu a \omega$ 938． 3.
इєขєкє入єú 899．7； 979.
$\Sigma є \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \tau a$ 909．І7； 979.
इє $\downarrow \tau \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu \omega 1981$.
इєри̂фıs 899．7；970；989；991．इєри́－ $\phi \epsilon \omega s$ пódes 960.
इєфஸ́ 907．9；997； 998.
$\Sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \eta$ є่тоі́к． 989.
ミкє́入ous 998.
ェк 979.
ミтavia 922． 4.
$\Sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ аиínvos 998.
Таалтє́ $о$ оу 901.4 ； 989 （？）．
Такодкі̂入ıє（？） 997.
Такóva 998.
Ta入á 917．1．
Тацлє́тє 895．5；997； 998.
Taváıs 997.
Tapov Aivou 998.
Tapov $\sigma^{\prime} \beta$ т 998.
$\mathrm{T} \in \xi \in \epsilon i=99^{\prime} 7$.
Tє $\rho$ v̂Өıs 998.
Tท̂̀s 989.
тi入入 10 о 998.

Фоßóov（or Фоко́ои）то́тоє 973.
Xıoút（？not Oxyrhynchite）925． 6.
$\mathrm{X} v ̄ \sigma$ เs $899.6,36$ ；934．7．X．ä $\nu \omega 989$.
$\Psi \hat{\omega} \beta \theta_{\iota}$ 905． 2.
${ }^{3} \Omega \phi$ is 922．2， 22 ； 989.

2．Arsinoite．


## 3．Heracleopolite．

Bovatipıs 899． 22.
Өıขтท̂pıs 899． 22.

Фıлорі́коч 965.

（c）ӓ $\mu$ оба of Oxyrhynchus．

дро́дои Өойрібоs 911 ． 13.


K $\rho \eta \pi i \delta i o s ~ 984$.
Nótov K $\rho \eta \pi i ̂ \delta o s$ 912． 1 I．

## （d）Tribe and Deme（Antinoite）．



## （e）Miscellaneous（Buildings，$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \iota$ ，oủбíaı，\＆c．）．

 ＇А $\rho \chi є \pi$ о́入ıঠоя к $\kappa \bar{\eta} \rho о s ~ 988$.

பıovvaıáóos vouai 899．introd．， 6.
$\Delta$ เovvaєío 908． 8.
$\Delta \iota \downarrow v \sigma o \delta \omega \rho \iota a v \grave{j}$ ov̉𧰨ía（Arsinoite） 986.
ס̀七̂puॄ Tєкขáves（Arsinoite）918．ii．3， 13 ，iii．
6，I3．ठ．Фaүウ́ous or Факク́ous（Arsinoite）
918．v．I 7， 2 I．$\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \delta .988$.
Өє $\rho \mu a ̀$ Tpaıàà＇Aбpıavá 896． 7.
＇I $\sigma \in$ îov，тò ẩv ${ }^{\prime}$＇I $\sigma .907 .8$.
кл $\bar{\eta} \rho o s$＇$А \rho \chi є \pi$ ó $\lambda \iota \delta$ os 988.
кирเакóv 903．19， 2 I．
 915． 2.
царти́рıог 9414.
ขоцаi $\Delta \iota o \nu v \sigma \iota a ́ \delta o s ~ 899 . ~ i n t r o d . ~ 6 . ~$
ov̉aia $\Delta$ tovvaoó $\omega$ рıàń（Arsinoite） 986.
$\pi \rho о a ́ \sigma t \in \iota o \nu$ 915． 2.
$\pi u ́ \lambda \eta$ ßоррıvŋ́ 892． 8.
$\Sigma a \beta \eta \tau(), \sigma \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \Sigma .1002$.
ミаратєiov 923．I4．
Tekváves $\delta \iota \omega \rho \nu \xi$（Arsinoite）918．ii．3， 13 ，iii． 6，13．
Tpaıàà＇Adpıàà $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ ~ 896.7 . ~$
Фayท́ous or $\Phi a k \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 17， 2 I．

## VII．RELIGION．

（I）Pagan．
（a）Gods．
＇A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \theta_{\epsilon}$ òs $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma เ \sigma \tau о s ~ 984 . ~$

＇Aфроסїт 921． 22.
$\Delta$ óvvaos 917． 3 （？）．
Өєoi 933． 7 ；935．3，10；938．5．
（b）Temples．
stovváion 908． 8.
iєро̀̀＇A $\pi о ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ оs 984．iєр．＂Apє 98 ． 98.
таттофо́рьо 984.
ミаратеїо 923．9．
（c）Priests．
 ảpхıєрєús 970.

табтофо́роs 984.

## INDICES

（d）Miscellaneous．

єорг $\eta$ ，$\mu є \gamma$ á̀ $\eta$ є̊oр．933． 13.
Avría 923． 7.
ífpà $\sigma$ v́voóos 908． 9.
iєpovíкทs 908． 9.

（2）Christian．
（a）Divine Titles．
＇Aßpaбá̧́ 924．17．
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta s$ $\theta \epsilon$ ós 939．4．ó т $\frac{1}{\nu} \nu$ ö̀ $\lambda \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi .939$. 29.
$\theta$ єós 903． 37 ；941． 8 ；942．з，4；943． 9.
 $\theta$ єòs таитокра́т $\omega \rho$ 925．1．
＇İбoûs Xipıттós 924．15；925． 4.
кúplos 925．4；943．7．
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \rho$ 924．I5．
татท́р 924．І 5.
$\pi \nu \in \bar{v} \mu a$ ä́yเov 924．́ 6.
viós 924．I ${ }^{\text {อ }}$ ．
（b）Ecclesiastical Titles．
§七́ккодоs 993 （？）．
є́тібкотоя 903．Іу．

oikovópos roû áyíov＇Iov́otov 941．4．
（c）Miscallaneous．
дарти́рıо 941.4.
g $\theta\left(=a j \mu \eta_{\nu}\right)$ 925．7．
$\chi \mu \gamma$ 940．ı； 995.

## VIII．OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TITLES．


àvтáp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 907． 21.
äpgas 900．4； 990.
а̀ $\rho \chi$ є́фобо 969.
àpхเєратєи́баs 911． 2.
áp $\chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ ús 970.
ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ 907． 2 I ；922．3， 19.
 $\delta \omega \rho o s, \delta \iota a \delta є \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ каì тì้ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a \nu$（A．D． 123）898．I．＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu t a \nu o ́ s, ~ \delta \iota a \delta є \chi . ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~$

 I 3 I－2） 986.
ßoŋ日ós 976．Cf．Index XI．
ßоu入єขтク́s 888．8；892． 2 ；900． 4 ；907． 1 ； 911．3；977； 984.
ßоvえウ́，$\dot{\eta}$ кратїтๆ ß．891． 5 ；892． 5.
 रข $\mu \nu a \sigma เ a ́ \rho \chi \eta s$ 908．15； 977.
रv $\mu \nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ 908.3$.
 （A．D．200） 899. introd．，I， 37.

 902．I．
є́ $\xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s ~ 908.13,14 ; 909.9$.


＇${ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \in \pi \tau \omega \rho$ 942． 6 （？）．

 Cf． $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\omega}^{\prime \prime}$ ．

єтıттрátךүos 899．25．Mıvíkıos Kope $\lambda \lambda l a \nu o ̀ s ~$ ̇̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho$. （А．D．146－7）899． 30.
є̇ло́ттทs єip $\eta \nu \eta s 991$.


$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \nu \nu \in \dot{\nu} \sigma a s$ ．See $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．

 Ev̇סaí $\omega \omega \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \nu \tau \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s(A . D .141-2) 899.29$. MI．Ulpius Primianus（？），praefectus Ae－ gypti 894．4．ó $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho$ óтatos $\eta \gamma$ ．Aípìıos ミ̌atoupvivos（A．D．198）816．10，（A．D．200）
 （？identical with Aip．＇Avriroos，vice－praefect


 （A．D． 305 ）895．7．ó коátıGтos ท̀ $\gamma \not \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ （unnamed）931． 8 ； 967.
 896． 28.
iat $o$ ós，$\delta \eta \mu$ óqıos iat．896． $26 ; 983$.
кабтрі́бוos（？） 1001.
ко́ $\mu$ еs 994.
кшца́рхŋs 895． 5.
кюцоүраццатєи́s 899．introd．， $24,3^{6 ;} 970$ ； 986.
 305）895．3．Oن̇a入є́ $\rho \iota o s$＇A $\mu \mu \omega \nu \iota a \nu o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ к а i ̀ ~$ Гєро́vtlos（A．D． 316 ）896．2， $23 ; 983$.

 892． 1.
$\mu a y \iota \sigma \tau \rho \iota a \nu o ́ s$, кa $\theta \omega \sigma \iota \omega \mu$ évos $\mu a \gamma .904 .2$.
$\mu \epsilon \zeta$ 豸óтєроs 922． 21 ；943． 3 ．
 893． $2,3,4$ ．

ขотápıos 940 ． 7.

оiкоขónоs атратпүои̂（？）929．25．Cf．Index VII．（2）（b）．

ỏ $\delta \delta \iota \nu a ́ p \iota o s ~ 942.7 . ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ v ́ \pi a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ o ̉ \rho \delta \iota \nu a \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ 999 . ~$ óффıкıá入ıos 896． 28.
 $\pi \rho а \gamma \mu а т \iota к$ о́s 899．17，35，42， 47 ．
praefectus．See $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．


 965； 976.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \cup \tau$ т 933 ． 3 г．

$\pi$ рокоขра́тьр 943． 2.

$\pi \rho о \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs 913.4.
три́таขıs 907．І．є̈vapХюs три́т．889．13： 890．3；891．7；892．5．
¢́лтápıos 897． 3 ；904． 3.
бוто入о́yos 973 ； 986.
 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs каі тウ̀̀ $\sigma \tau \rho$ ．See $\beta$ абь入ıкòs $\gamma \rho a \mu$ ．

 899．introd．＇ $\mathrm{A} \pi i \omega \nu($ ？）（late second or third cent．）829．25．X $\alpha \iota \eta \mu \omega \nu$（early third cent．）970．Aє 1 Unnamed 899．I7， $4^{2}$ ．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \gamma \rho a \mu-~$

$\gamma \rho$ ．（b）$\Sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \nu \nu$ úтои ä $\nu \omega$ то́т $\omega \nu$ ．इаратí $\nu \nu$ （second cent．）931．I 5 ．
б $\chi$ олaбтıкós 902 ． 1.
 16．ठो $\eta$ о́б $\cos \tau \rho$ ．916． 7.
 III．
ข่ $\pi \eta \rho$ ย́тทs 899． 50 ；916． 18.

фúخa̧̧ 931． 6 ；933． 25.
Хартоила́рıоs 943． 9.
$\chi \in \iota \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta$＇s 995.

## IX．WEIGHTS，MEASURES，AND COINS．

## （a）Weights and Measures．


äpovpa 899．6，7， $16 ; 907.8,9$ ，І І， 13,18 ， 24 ；910．7，10，12， 50 ；913．10， 20 （？）； 916． 8 ；918．introd．et saep．；935． 2 I（？）； 986； 988.
๙јт兀́ßŋ 903．22，23， 24 ；907． 24 ；908．28， 35 ；910．10， $18,52,55$ ；918．introd．et saep．；920．1；932．5；934．10；980； 966 ； 974 ； 979 ； 986 ； 994 ；998－9． Unusual fractions：$\frac{1}{5} 986 . \frac{1}{15} 986 . \frac{1}{16} 986$. $\frac{1}{20} 918$ ．introd．；986．$\frac{1}{40} 986 . \frac{1}{60} 918$. introd．$\frac{1}{75} 986 . \frac{1}{160} 918$ ．introd．

бıко́тvえоу 937． 27.
סıт入оขิv 992－3．
§єûyos 936．I5， 16.
$\dot{\eta} \mu i \chi$ ои $936.7,9$.

кєра́ $\mu$ เоข 907 24； 919.8 ； 961 ．introd．； 928.
12；937． 27.
ко́ $\mu \tau о \nu(?) 995$.

入iтра 915．2，3；1000－1002．


 $=\frac{1}{10} \operatorname{artaba}(?) 920.2$ ．
 905． 5 ．

озјкі́a 931． 4.
$\xi \in \sigma \tau \eta s$ 921． 23.
 кацарштікós（or－тós）921．introd．
Просштiт ${ }^{\text {（？}}$（？ 919.5.
таруávך 938．3， 6.

атати́p 936． 40.
тєтрахоі̀икод $\mu$ ќт $\rho о \nu$ ．See $\mu$ ќтрод．
трıхоі́ขıкоу 936． 7.

## （b）COINS．

ápyiptov 896．15，17；898．12；907． 25 ； 909．19；912． 14.

 3；909． 20 ；910．13， 53 ；912．14； 916． 12 et saep．；917．2，3，4，5；919．10， II；920．I et saep．；934．6，7，9，10，I I； 964；977；980－1；985－6．
ठvóßoえo兀 920．1，5，6； 971 ； 981.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \beta \beta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \nu 9$ 917． 2.

## кєра́тьข 998.

$\mu \nu a a i ̂ o \nu, \chi \rho \cup \sigma o \hat{~} \mu \nu .905 .5$.

ขо́ $\boldsymbol{\iota \sigma \mu а ~ 9 2 2 . ~ 1 4 , ~ 1 6 , ~ 1 8 , ~ 2 2 , ~ 2 3 , ~} 26$.
 ठрєías voц． 998.

ỏßo入ós 917．4，5；920． 3 ； 971 ； 981 ； 985.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta \circ \lambda о \nu 917.4$.
тáлaขтоข 898．12；907． 25.


$\chi^{\text {a } \lambda \kappa o ́ s ~} 936.17$.
$\chi^{\text {алкойs 917．} 3 ; ~} 981$.
хрибiov 995 （？）．
$\chi$ х
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## X．TAXES．

à $\rho \gamma \cup \rho \iota к a ́ ~ 981-2 . ~$
8єка́тך $\pi$ аролкผ̄у（？） 997.
 932． 4.

є̈ктך 917． 2.
غ̇тарои́ртоข 917．3； 981.
єंтьклаб $\mu$ о́s 899． 9.
$\eta^{\text {）}}, \eta^{+}(=$ถ่ $\gamma \delta o ́ \eta$ ？）916．7，17， 20.
Мє́ $\mu \phi \epsilon \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~ 919 . ~ 3 . ~$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta \mu a$ 909． 22.
vaúßıov 917． 2.
vaû̀ò форє́трov（？）817． 2.

ó $\gamma \delta$ ón．See $\eta$ ）．
ov̀𧰨ıaká 986.
$\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu 981$.
бเтเкá 965 ； 976 ； 986.
テTovờ $\Delta$ ıovv́бov（？）917． 3 ．
тє́ $\lambda \eta$ Мє́ $\mu \phi \epsilon \omega s$ 919． 3.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda о \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ 899． 9.
фópos 899．40．Cf．Index XI．
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ảßap $\eta$ 933． 29.
ảßá́ккаутоs 930.23.
ảßoŋ́日ŋтоs 899． 44.
äßpoхos 910． 27.
${ }^{\prime} \beta \omega \lambda$ os 988.
ä $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ıos 924 ． 16 ； 925 ． 1 ； 941.4 ； 993.
ả ád $^{\prime} \eta$ 935． 19.
＂ 7 voıа 923． 9.
ả $\gamma 0 \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon t \nu$ 922． 11,22 ；933．29， 30.
à үора⿱огйбаs 880．16；910． 2.

àypós 967.
à $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu i a}$ 939． 12.
 937．2，9， 3 I ； 964 ； 967.
ãoॄ $\lambda \phi \stackrel{\delta}{0}$ и̂s 888． 1 I．
à $8 € \lambda \phi \iota$ кós 942．2， 5 ．
áoє入фо́s 892．3，ч2；902．5；903． 15 ； 904. 6 ；907． 25 ；928． 2 ；929．2， 2 I； 934.
2 ；935．2，6， 23 ；942．6；943． 9 ； 995. ảঠє入фо́тワs 843．1．

äठıкоs 902．х7．àíkws 902．7．

ảסo ${ }^{\text {on }} 910.33 ; 988$.
ả́í 935． 9.
ảら̆́mtos 904． 5 ．
ả $\eta \delta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 942.5$.
à ${ }^{\prime} \rho 888$.
$\ddot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \cos 904.6$.
aiyıa入itıs 918．xiii． 10.
aizıàós 918．xi．5， 14.
ail ${ }^{2}$ рıи 911．14； 986.
aịeî 892． 6 ；906．7；909． 27 ；913．11．
aй $\rho \in \iota \nu$ 903．10， $1 \mathrm{x}, 28,30,35$ ．
aïpects 907． 4 ．
aitév 898．18， 23.
aī̀vıos 996.
àкаӨароі́a 912． 26.
äкаעӨa 909．17，24， 28.
äxi้ס́uvos 910． 22 ；914． 10.
ảк入ıข่́s 904． 9.
àко́ 904． 9.
ảкодоvӨєiv 931． 9.
 899． $30,33,46$ ；916． 9 ； 964.
àкр८ßє́бтєроs 899．introd．
äкрı㞔 910． 33 ； 988
äккироя 906．8， 9.
ब̀к $\kappa \omega$ и́t $\omega \mathrm{s}$ 912． 19.
ӓкк $\mathbf{\pi}$ 939． 12.
$\grave{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ 925． 5 ．
d $\lambda_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu} 908.26,34$ ．
«̉入 $\lambda \theta_{\iota \nu}$ ós 925． 2.
d $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \eta$ 913．7，18， 23 ；918．ii．15，20， iii． 13 ．
«入入 ${ }_{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ 906． 3 ；909． 19.
${ }^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda$ os 895．14；899．1о，13， 36 ；902．15； 903． 28 ；904． 4,5 ；906． 5 ；913． 16 ； 918．ii． 17 ，iii． $1,2,15 ; 921$ ． 13 ； 922. 23， 25 ；929．15；939．3；940．3，4； 984；988； 999.
а̀入入о́трıоs 929．2 1； 963.
ä入фа 929．introd．
ѝ $\lambda \omega \nu$ єía 918．хі．4，16， 18.
ä̀ as $^{210 .} 3^{2}$ ； 988.
а̃ $\mu$ 903． 3 ；904． 7 ；905． 13 ；907．24； 910．19；939． 23 ； 975.
ґі $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon і \nu$ 934．9，I 2.
а̀ $\mu є \rho \iota \nu є \imath \imath ~ 930 . ~ 8 . ~ . ~$
«иє́рцциоs 933．го．
đi $\mu \dot{\eta}\left(q^{\theta}\right)$ 925． 7.
ӓ $\mu \mu$ оs 988.
à $\mu \pi \epsilon$ ィıко́s 907． $8 ; 909.15$.
${ }^{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ оs 909.23 ．
¿ «лтє入оvрүós 985.
д $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} 967$.
ä $\mu ф$ обол 911．І3；912．І І ；964； 984.
а̉ $\mu$ ф́́тєроs 895．5；896． 25 ； 964.
àvaßaivєı 898． 15 ； 932.8 ；935．13．
«̀vaßo入áōıov 921． 17.
àvaßo入ウ́ 888．5；909．25，29；913． 20.
ìváßo入ò 936． 24.

 II．
à áqкך 900． 18.
àvaүрáфєєン 899．introd．

à $\nu a \zeta \eta \tau \in โ ̃ \nu ~ 897 . ~ 9 . ~$

 985.

ảváخ $\eta \psi \stackrel{\text { ıs }}{ } 986$.
àvа入íซкєєข 985.
àvá $\lambda \omega \mu$ 891． 13 ；900．11；929．introd．； 936． 43 ； 971 ； 985 ； 999.
àขaц́́трŋбıs 918．introd．，xi．5， 14.
ävavбоо 899.44.
àvaртá̧єє 898． 21.
ảขaสтрє́фєєข 907． 1 т．
à $\nu \iota \sigma$ ф́́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 939． 5 ．
ब̀vaтротウ́ 902．II．
àvaфaiveı 939． 4.
àvaфє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 916． 8.
àvaфо́pıò 898． 37.
àvєкто́тєроу 939． 25.
àvévevos 906． 8.
àv́́ $\chi є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 903 . ~ 36$.
ìve廿ıós 907． 22.
àvíp 893．1；898．Іо；899．18， $26 ; 805$.
5 ；907． 20.
àvtévą 902． 16.
annus 894． ．

ảขтáp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 907． 21.
àvтเชєov̂Хоs 943． 8.
àvтıүрáфєเข 937． 19.
àvтiүрафоу 899．introd．， 33.
àvтı入аرßávєı 892． 9.
àvтi入ך廿ıs 900． 13.
む̀ขтเтоєєіซӨat 899． 43.
àvtis 941.4.
ả̀глєiv 971； 985.
ä $\nu \tau \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s 971$.
«̈vvópos 918．ii． 10.


989．àv $\nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ 940． 3 ．


a૬゙七ô้ 898． 37 ；899．26， 47 ；900．14； 902．13；913．24；989．
àтаүорєи́єєข 899．24，28．
ฝ่таเтє้̂ 890． 7 ；929． 7 ；939．17．
а̇таітクбเs 899．40，43， 48.
àma入入avŋ́ 905．11，13， 14.
à $\pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 893.9$ ；899．16，26， 3 I．
ảтаขтầ 903．12， 28.
а̇тараїтŋтоя 900．12；904． 9.
àmарті乡є！ц 908． 23 ；936． 22.
äтая 939． 4 ；943． 9 ．
à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ$ оs 898．10； 984.
$\grave{\imath} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ 903．16， 17.

 943． 3 ．
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à $\pi$ モ́ $\chi$ єぃ 964.
à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta$ s 910.5 ；918．ii． 3 el saep．；986； 988. à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \tau \iota к o ́ s ~ 985$.
àтเย́vแи 900．I3．

àтаүрáфєı $970 ; 984$.
гітоүрафи 984.
 46 ；976．ảлабєб．á $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ v́s 970.
àmoóé $\chi \in \sigma$ Өat 939．I I．
àtraঠ̂̀óval 902．14；905．I 2 ；910．19，30， 55；912．19；913． 23 ；914． 12 ； 937. 3I；942． 2 ； 988.
à $\pi ⿰ 丿 ⿱ 一 𫝀 口 \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \kappa є \iota \nu 922.10,20,21,24$.
 introd．；929． 17.
а̉такєїбӨaь 921．І．
атток入тро́⿱ораз 907． 5 ．
àто́кр七テıs 941． 9.
ảтоктєìє兀ン 903．б．
àто入ข́єเข 942．2，3； 965.
àtóvota 901 ． 15 ．
a่топ入ๆроиิข 900．8， $10,18$.
àторєiv 939． 24.
à $\pi 0 \sigma \pi a ̂ \nu$ 902．6， 14,15 ．
àтобтย̇へ入єเข 895．13， 15 ；938．3．7； 939. 14， 22.
àtoovvıotávaı 977.
ȧо́тактоя 998.
ả̃oтáซбє兀 904． 8.
àтотірєı 912． 29.
à $\pi o ́ \phi a \sigma ı s ~ 899 . ~ i n t r o d . ~$
àmox ${ }^{\text {g 898．}} 23$ ；906．10； 964.
ápүvрıкá．See Index X．
àp र̛́pเov 896．І5，I7；898．12；807． 25 ；
909．19；912． 14.

à $\mu \epsilon \tau \eta$ 902．14； 995.

ব́pıбтєァós 941． 5 ．
äpıotos 913． 3.
дјркєіг 903． 27.
а́ $\mu$ о́ऽєє 906.7.
äpavpa．See Index IX $(a)$ ．
а́ $\rho \rho а \beta \omega_{\nu}$ 920． 12.
ӑррŋ $907.15,19$.
ä $\rho \sigma$ เs 909．25， 29.
ápráß $\eta$ ．See Index IX（ $a$ ）．
äртı 936．22，23， 25 ；941． 6.
а́ртокатєіор 908． 23.
＂ртая 936．І 6.
＂̈рхєル．＂＂$\rho \chi \omega \nu$ 907． 21 ；922．3，19．ä $\rho \xi ் a s$ 900． 4 ； 990 ．${ }^{\text {á }} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta$ 9ı 918 ．ii． 1 ， 14 ， iii．II，xi．Io； 967.
àpХє́фобоs 969.
ảpхŋ́ 995.
àpхєєратєv́баs 911． 2.
ảpхเєрєи́s 970.
à $\sigma \in ́ \lambda \gamma \eta \mu a$ 903． 2 I．
ä́ $\eta \mu$ аs 906．10； 984.
ả $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} 911.6$.
à $\sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ 930 . ~ 22, ~ 26 ; 932 . ~ 9 ; ~ 933 . ~ 5, ~$ 26 ；934．I5；935．22，26；936．13， 47 ； 963.
à $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \eta к \in i ~ 904 . ~ 2 . ~$
à $\chi^{\text {ód }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \mu a 977$.

ảтє入 $\eta^{\prime}$ 908．Іо．
ӓтє $\rho$ 936． 8.
àтótт $\eta$ а 904.4.
aủ入ウ́ 911．I5； 986.
aũpıò 926． 4 ；927． 3 ．
aủróOı 910．I 4．
ä $\phi \in \sigma t s$ 918．v． 20.
à $\dagger \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$ 888．І 1 ；907．19；809．2，4， 16 ； 984.

ảфı́́vaı 932． 5.

ä $\phi \iota \xi \iota s$ 939． 28.
à申ıбтávaı 943．3，6， 7 ．
àфор $\mu \eta \eta^{899}$ ． 10.
ӓхрь 898．18；906．6；940．2；943．4．

ßала⿱亠乂́pıò 921．I 8.
ßa入aveîà 892． 9 ；896．9．тò тpìs $\beta_{u}$ 入． 903. 29.
$\beta a \lambda a v[935$. introd．
$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 934． 9.
及á $\mu$ а 914． 7.
ßарєì 939． 23.
ßaбavísєı 903．Iо．
ßaбt入ıкós．$\beta a \sigma . \gamma \eta \eta^{2} 899.22$ ；918．ii．10，23， iii． $6,9,14$ ，xi． 7 ，xiii． $10 ; 986$ ； 988 （？）． $\beta a \sigma$. pó $\mu \eta$ 986．$\beta a \sigma . ~ \gamma \rho а \mu \mu a t \epsilon i ́ s ~ a n d ~ \beta a \sigma . ~$ тратє乡iтŋs．See Index VIII．
ßaбтá̧єเ 914． 8.
ßаикá入ıov 936．6，＇8．
ßєßaıồ 907． 14 ；910． 29 ；912．I5．
$\beta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ 929．introd．
$\beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ o ́ \imath o v ~ 896 . ~ 27 ; ~ 899 . ~ i n t r o d ., ~ 33, ~ 37, ~ 38, ~$ 46；936． 22.
ßैı $\beta$ 入iov 900．I 4.
阝íkos 936． 28.
Bios 905．II．
$\beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta$ 904． 5.
$\beta$ о́n $\theta_{\epsilon: a} 902$. I I ； 904.4.
ßoŋ $\theta$ 氏iv 907． 22.
ßoŋ日ós 903．26， $3^{\text {I ；}} 973$ ； 976.
ßоїко́s 902．6，I 4.
Boppús 918．ii． 6 et saep．； 986 ； 988.
ßoppevós 892.8.
$\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ 900．12；901．10， 13 ；907． 2 I， 23 ；913．7；925． 5 ．
ßoviєurig．See Index VIII．
ßou入í 891．5；892． 5.
ßои́入 $\eta \mu$ 907．1，14，26， 27 ； 990.
ßoûs 901．I 5 ．
रацеiv 905．9，10，12，15，17；907． 20. үацккóv 903．І 7.
үа́цоя 905．4，9，17；906．8；927． 2.
rápos（тò $\gamma$ ．）937． 27.

$\gamma$ єíтьข 918．ii． 5 et saep．；986； 988.
үє́ข $\eta \mu$ 913．I I ； 985.
रєouरєi้ 999.
үєouxıкós 1000.

$\gamma \in \rho a ́ r \eta s$ 922．7．
$\gamma є ́ \rho \delta \iota o s 984$.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \in i \nu 899.8,41$ ．
$\gamma \in \omega \rho$ yia 899．introd．， $16,18,24,26,28,31$ ， 32， $3^{8}$ ；913． 15.
$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma$ ós 899 ．introd．， 32,$44 ; 902.3$ ；918．ii． I1，23，iii．7，14，xi．7，18， 21 ； $974 ; 999$.
$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ 899． 44 ；910．17，20，23，40， 49 ； 913.
 899． 22 ；918．ii． 10,23 ，iii． $6,9,14$ ，xi． 7，xiii． $10 ; 986 ; 988$（？）．$\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i a \quad \gamma \hat{\eta}$ 899．introd．，22．$\gamma \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon u k \eta$ 929．14．$\pi \rho 0-$ ซóß́ov sc．$\gamma \hat{\eta} 986$.
रท̄pas 889． 18.
әпра́бкєєข 904． 2.
үпровобкі́а 889．І9．
үі́ $\downarrow \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ 892． 1 I ；894． 8 ；895． 16 ； 896. 29,32 ；898． 20 ；899．18， 40 ； 900. 18，19；901．7；903．17；904．4，5； 905．ІІ，14；906． 9 ；907． 25 （？）； 910. 27,36 ；912． 32 ；914．9， 15 ；915． 3 ；

916．I2， 15 ；918．introd．，xi．1，2，4，I3， 22，xiii． 3,18 ；925． 7 ；932． 2 ；933．4， 14，20；939．7；974；981；986；990； 994－5；998－9．
$\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ 937． 3.
ү入uкús 907． 3 ；935． 22.
$\gamma \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma t o s ~ 943 . ~ I . ~$
$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta 996$.
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 976$.
$\gamma \nu \omega ̄ \sigma \iota s$ 939． 4 ； 998.
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \eta \rho \rho 97$.
रоноиิ้ 938． 6.
youßє $\downarrow$ áptov 921 ．introd．
үра́цца 898．І 5 ；907． 2 ；908． 38 ； 910. 58；913． 25 ；937． 30 ；939．18； 942. 2； 963 ； 990.
रра $\mu$ атєús．See Index VIII．
уранца́тьоу 914．18， 20.
үра́ттрог 895．12， 14.
$\gamma \rho u ́ \phi є \iota \nu$ 893．6；896． 2 I；898． 9 ； 899. introd．，40， 4 I ；905．18；908． $3^{8}$ ； 909. 34 ；910． 57 ；913．2I， 25 ；914．19； 916． 9 ；929． 20 ；930． 2 ； 932.2 ； 935. ェ6，І7；936． 29 ；937．3， 2 І； 838.6 ； 939．12， 24 ；940．5；967； 990.
$\gamma \rho a \phi{ }^{\prime} 988$.
rừs 918．ii．4， 10.
रv $\mu \nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ ．See Index VIII．
$\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma$ á $\rho \chi \eta$ s．See Index VIII．
$\gamma v \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ ，à $\pi \grave{o} \gamma v \mu \nu .984$.
रข $\mu \nu 0$ и̃ข 903． 7.
$\gamma v \nu i$ 899．introd．， $25,28,44$ ；907．3，16， 20；934．12；935．introd．，943．5； 984； 992.

סavei̧єt 899．introd．
סamáv ${ }^{\text {909．}} 26$.
סєi้ 899． 40 ；905．10；906．6；913．19； 936． 3 I．

סєíбa 910． 4 I ； 988.

§єка́тך 997 （？）．
$\delta \in \xi$ เós 906．10；941．5．
$\delta \in \sigma \mu$ ós 902． 16.
§єб $\mu \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \circ \nu 902.7$.
סєãórクs 942．6；943．9；998； 1001. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ ．Өєós 939．4．ठ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठ́ $\lambda \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi .939$. 30．Cf．Index II．
סє́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 937． 29.
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סи́ 899．14；902． $13(?) ; 907.16$.
ठ市六оs 893． 7.
סŋخ入oûv 896． 9 ；899．introd．，38， 39 ； 902. 19；906． 8 ；941．8；972；984； 986.
ঠпцıovpүós 925． 3.
 $\delta \eta \mu$ ．803． 3 І ；910． 23 ；913．17； 932. 4．$\delta \eta \mu$ ．ßалаעєĩo 896．8．$\delta \eta \mu$ ．$\gamma \hat{\eta} 899$. introd．，22．$\delta \eta \mu$ ．є̇іітаү ${ }^{2}$ 900．9．$\delta \eta \mu$ ．

 916． 7.
ঠпнобiшбь 906． 9.
ঠпиápıos 896．I5， 17.
סıá．ס́à äpas 935．I7．di（a）913． 26.
סıaßá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 900$ ． 13 ．
ঠıаүра́фєєン 890．го；916． 6.
ঠıaүрафŋ́ 890． 9.

סıáסoxos 895．introd．； 996.
ס七á $\theta \in \sigma \iota s$ 896． 3 I．
ঠぇаӨйкך 907． 6.
ঠıaipєбьs 962.
סíaıта 943． 3.
§taıтầ 906． 6.

ठıaлацßávєıข 898． 38.
סıалоүŋ́ 957 （？）．
סıaтáo $\chi$ є८ 904． 2.
ठ七лє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 933． 2 1．
ঠıаторі乌єь 977.
ঠєатра́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 898． 25 ；902．17．
§ıaбךцо́татоs 888．1；895．introd．， 7.
סıaбтє́ $\lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 918$ ．introd．，xi． 2 ； 973.
бเá $\tau \tau \eta$ 918．v． 15.

ঠа́таүиа 899． 28.
ठıaтá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 899． 22.
סıaтєivelv 918．ii． 4.
бatє入єiv 937．7．
ס孔аф́́рєь 907． 20.
ठıаф $\theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu 938.4$.
ঠıáфopos 914．7．ठเáфора 988.

òıóóva 891．I5；899．introd．， 37 ；903．8， $23,24,25,30 ; 904.3$ ；907．6，11， 23 ； 915．I ；919．10，II ；922．I et saep．； 923．І5；931．6；934．ІІ；935．ІІ； 936．л 2,$17 ; 937.30 ; 943.5 ; 974 ; 985$.
§ıєขıavri§єıン 899．II．

סเє́ $\rho \chi \in \sigma$ Өaı 964.
סıєтía 910． 5 I．
סıєuтvұєї 899．20， 45 ．
si引uфор 920．I．
Sıкатокрьтía 904． 2.
סíканоs 899．introd．； 905.9 ； 909.8 ； 914. I 8.
бıко́ти入ор 937． 27.
סıó 929． 20 ；935． 16.
סьo七кєî̀ 901． 3 ．
ठь๐кทгท่s．See Index VIII．
סıóp日 $\omega$ ıı 1000 ；1002－3．
$\delta \iota \pi \lambda o u ̀ s 988$ ．$\delta \iota \pi \lambda o u ̃ \nu$ ．See Index IX $(a)$ ．
бıб解 905．18；909． 34 ；913．21； 914. 19.

бíфоо 978.
ঠเผ́кєเข 940． 3 ；943．5．
$\delta \iota \omega \rho v \xi 918 . \mathrm{ii} .3$ et saep．； 988.
бокєї้ 891．12；898． 39 ；899． 4 I； 902. І5；937． 17 ；938．7；939．17， 24 ； 940． 3.
бокца́乡єє 928．7．

סóvts 912． 20 ；917． 4.
Soūगos 903．2，5，9，16，25，32，34； 907. II， 15 ；924． 10.
$\delta \rho a \chi \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ．See Index IX（b）．
－брахная 936． 38.
бро́доя 900． 7 ；911． 13

סúvaцıs 899． 8 ；905．10；930．10；940．4．
סúvaбӨaє 898． 25,34 ；899． 31 ；904．7， 9；932． 8 ；939．${ }^{5} 5$ ；942． 4.
ઈибтихєi้ 904．7．
є่à 923． 6.
є́ßбоиךкобто̀s каі̀ трі́тоs 889．І7．
є่ $\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi є \iota \nu$ 913． 24.
є̇ $\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega s$ 896．31；902．10， 17.
ย่ $\gamma \gamma v a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 905 . ~ I 7 ; ~ 972 . ~$

є̇үүús 940．6；941．7．
є่ $\gamma к а \lambda є і \downarrow$ 906． 3.
є่ $\gamma к \lambda \epsilon i \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ 903．2，12，20，23， 26.
є่ $\gamma к \rho а т \eta$＇s 898．І9．
є＇$\gamma \chi є \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 900.9,18$.
є่ $\gamma \chi$ рй $\zeta є \iota \nu$ 892． 7.
є́ $\gamma$ ต́．emu 913． 26.
 12，16，22，iii．3，9，xi．7，8； 986.
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Є＂Oos 900．7，1о；909．7．
fiốćva 891．16；892．4；895．II； 896. $21 ; 897.14 ; 903.34,37$ ；910． 57 ； 913． 25 ；929．3，22；930．9：942．3； 963 ； 967.
єi̊oí 889．10；899．introd．
єỉios 905．6；937． 22 ； 957.
єikós 941． 6.
є $\iota i \pi \epsilon p$ 942． 3 ．
єiрŋ́⿱亠䒑⿱亠巾 991.
єi今．$\quad$ нiav $\mu i a \nu$ 940． 6.
єiбáyєढ 985 （？）．
єíवaүตүós 918．xi． 17.
єiซเє́vaı 900． 7 ；910． 26 ；912． 8.
єїoóos 896．13； 986.
є＂̈ $\pi \pi \rho a \xi \iota$ เs 890． 12 ；914．I 4.
є̈кабтоя 899.17 ；904． 6 ；907．4， $5 ; 908$. 22，26，29；939． 27.
є́ки́тєроя 905．г9；906． 7 ；908． 38.
є́кßаìєєц 918．ii．18，xi． 20.
є’кßá入入єเข 903． $34,36$.
є́коє́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma$ Өa 939． 27.
є́кঠıסóva 905．2， 15.
є̇кסıкєi้ 937． 7.
є́кঠıкіа 901．3．
є̈коぇкоя．See Index VIII．
є＂коотоs $905 \quad 5($ ？）．

ékeîlos 899． 23 ； 92312.
є́кклךбіа 993.
є́кко́ттєєц 892．І о．
є́кл ${ }^{\prime} \mu \beta a ̈ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu 988$.
є́кои́б九оs 996．є́коибíws 913． 6.
ढ̈кталаı 938． 3.
єкктє入єі้ 900．16； 972.
є̈ктך．See Index X．
є̀ктьө́vaı 899． 4.
є゙ктьб८я 905．17；914．17．
ékто́s 904．3， 5.
є́кфєढ́yєє 898． 25.
є́кфóplov 910．9，20，30，49；918．introd．，xi． 2，3， 13.
є́入аía 919． 5 ；920． 6.
єौлає夫 936．8；937． 27 ； 971.
є่ $\lambda a \sigma \sigma o v ิ \nu$ 918．xi．3， 13.
є̇ $\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \omega \nu 988$.
є่ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon i \nu$ 904． 2.
є่ $\lambda є \cup \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 904.7$ ．
є $\lambda \pi$ тis 939．9．


ধ́ $\mu$ ßaठ́ıкós 896．II．
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ ßaóós 921 ．introd．


＇́ $\mu \pi \mathrm{o} \delta i ́ \zeta \epsilon \omega$ 890．I 1 ．
є゙ $\mu$ фороя 899． 13 ；918．xi． 10.
＂̈цфитоs 899．19．

889．13；890． 3 ；891． 7 ；892． 5.


є่ข $\delta 0 \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{a}$ 899． 12 ；907．Іо．

द̀ $\nu \in \delta \rho \in i ́ a ~ 900 . ~ 19$.
ধ̇vє $\delta \rho \in \cup \in \epsilon \iota$ 898．17；900．12；938． 2.
Є゙ $\nu \epsilon \delta \rho \circ \nu$ 892．I I．
èveívaı 912． 12.
ส゙v $\in \in \nu$ 902．I $2 ; 943.3$ ．
ধ́vย́ $\chi$ ข
Є゙ $\downarrow$ Өa 896． $3^{2}$ ．
є̇ขӨáó 967.

évtautós 889．І 6 ，I7 ；910． 40 ；912． 7.

 28 ；910．6，8，17，21；911．11；913．7；
914．I3；917． 2 ；918．introd．；964；
981； 988.
є́ขоікьоу 912．І 3 ，19， 3 І ；841．7；964； 971 ； 986.


ढ゙עохоя 897．Іо ； 972.
द̀ขนầ $\theta a$ 903． 33 ； 999.
є̀ขтє $\cup \theta_{\epsilon} \nu 930.3$ ．
є่ขтvүरávєเข 900．19； 969.
＇้̇ $\nu \mu$ о́т $\omega$ s 904． 3 ．
є́ $\xi$ aip $\epsilon$ тоs 907．10， 13.
égá $\mu \eta \nu$ оs 912． 21.

є́ $\ddagger a \sigma \sigma$ ós 908． $3^{8 .}$

＇́ॄєival 906．7；908． 36.

＇$\xi \in \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 899．introd．； 957.
є̇छєтатья 899． 39.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \eta \eta \eta \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma a s$. See Index VIII．


ধ́ $\ddagger \eta \kappa 0 \sigma \tau$ ós 889．16（？）．
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és＇íval 934.3 ．


द́＇̧ovaia 893．3；904．7，ıо．
$\epsilon \xi \xi \omega$ 903． 20

＇ॄॄตтткós 999.
є́оке́va 899． 18.
єорти́ 933．13； 993.


е̇такодоvөєì 907． 20.
є́такодоvӨи́трıа 909．4， 37.


є́та́гаүкоя 909． 27 ；910． 18.
є̇тaขàи́єเข 942．3．

є̇пúve 903．14， 20 ；912． 13 ；918．ii． 21 ， xi． 16, 19； 985.
ё $\pi$ áv $\omega \theta \in 986$.
є̇тароі́рьи 917． 3 ； 981.
ётархоя．Sce Index VIII．
̇̇ $\pi \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda a ̂ \nu$ 938． 7 ．
е̇теі́ 899．9， 23 ；902．Іо ；923．9；928． 3 ； 935． 14.


є̇лєเஎє́ $\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 902． 5.

єтпє́ $\chi_{\chi} \sigma \theta a \iota$ 901．13；906． 4.
є̇пєр $\omega \tau$ à̀ 905．19；909． $3^{2}$ ；912． $3^{6}$ ； 913. 21；914．9； 964.
єтєє́ $\chi \epsilon \nu 903.16 ; 940.4$.

$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \prec \beta o v \lambda[1002$.

е̇т $\pi$ үра́фєє 899． 49.
 898． $3^{6}$ ；899．І5， $32,45,49$ ； 900 ． 14 ， 21；902．13， 18 ；907．14；921．introd．； 940．7；941．го；942． 6.
є̇ $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i \nu ~ 895.6$ ；896． 5 ；904． 3 ．
$\epsilon$ $\epsilon \iota \theta v \mu \epsilon i ้ \nu 963$.
етлккалєі̀ 964.
е̇тıка́абıov 921．I4．


єтікрибтs 926． 2 ； 966.

є̇тькратєі̀ 986.
Є̇тıขє乇єєン 939． 9.
є่ $\pi$ เขоєi้ 902． 10.


є́тьрюдขи́vaı 889． 20.
є́тьткєขálєєข 896．7．
єппібкє廿८s 918．introd．；970； 986.
є́тібкотоs 903．і5．
є́тíтта日ноs 889． 8.
є́тiбтад $\mu a$ 899．introd．（？）．
є̇ті́ттабөaィ 899．І 8.
є́тьттє́ $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 891$. 17；892．4，12；896．26； 899.
introd．， $34,38,4^{2}, 44,47 ; 938.3 ; 967$.
＇่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ 896． 5 ．
$\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ 899．introd．，33，37， 46 ．
є่тьтто่าเ๐ 931．6；933． 23 ；936．14．
є่тьттаи́т $\eta \gamma$ ．See Index VIII．
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \in ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ 899． 4 I．
єппітауна 900．9．
ढ̇ $\pi \iota \tau \in ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 907． 2 I ．
є่ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \leqslant i \omega s ~ 938.6$.

є’тเтротєі́a 907． 20.
є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi \eta$ 898． 24.
єтлітротоя 888．5；898．6，28；907．г 8；909．2．
є̇тьфауе́бтатоs．See Indices II and III．
є́т兀фє́рєє兀 899． 50.

є́ $\pi \iota \chi$ ต́pıos 936． 5 ．
є่ $\pi$ о́кєоу 989 ；996－7．
є่то́ттทs 991.

є́ $\pi о \chi \grave{\eta} 918$ ．introd．，xi． 2 I，xiii．2，I 7.
є́ $\rho \gamma{ }^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 923.1$ I．
є́рүабтท́pıоу 908．27，29，32， $34 ; 989$.
є’руátทs 895．12，14；971； 985.
є้рүои 892．9，І 2 ；896．І 6.
є́рєї 929． 22 ；932．3；940．3．Cf．$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
є́рєoùs 921．2， 8.
є́рі́кıдоs 921．introd．
є́pıov 929．I I．
 937．6， 25 ； 967.
є́ботт $\quad 978$.
є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu$ ós 901． 5 ．

ส̃тєраз 898． 28 ；899．19， $32,38,40 ; 905$. 8 ；907． 2 І ；909． 3 І ；918．xiii．14；
923．І І ；939．І $8 ; 986 ; 988$ ； 996.

Є゙тı 898．7， $3^{2} ; 899.3^{8 ;} 900.6$ ；911． 10 ； 939． 3 ．
є̇тоí $\omega$ ตs 902．9， 16.

єข̉ถóкıцоя 942． 6.
є $\cup \in \rho \gamma є \sigma i ́ a ~ 899 . ~ 19 . ~$
є $\dot{v} \rho \gamma є \tau \epsilon$ iv 899． $20,45$.

$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta a \lambda$ ク́s 902． 15.

єن̇Өクขเархєї 908． 19.


єن̉入аß́̇ซтатоs 943． 6.

єірібкєє 918．хі．5，І5；925．6；934．14； 936． 20.
є ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \in \iota a$ 907． 22.
єن̇бєßُ́́vтatos 996．Cf．Index II．
єข่тขขติร 896． 7 ；900．7．


12；933．6，28；934． 16 ；935．29； 936.
3,50 ；937． 28 ；938． 9 ；939． 30.
єủス ${ }^{\prime} 939.8$.
є́фєঠрєи́єєン 928． 5.
є’ф $\ddagger \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu$ о́s（ $̇ \pi \iota \imath \mu$ ．）924． 2.
є́ф $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho$ is 917．1 ；981－2．
＇่фıสтávaı 912． 27.
є＇申uós 906． 8.
є́форầ 896． 30.
 16；901．9，І2；902．9，16；903．9，29， 30， 35 ；905．І9；907．8，9，10，12，І7， 23 ；908． 39 ；910． 15,54 ；912． 9 ； 913． 16 ；918．ii． 16,18 ，iii． $15, v .21$ ， xi．II，12，18， $20 ; 922.14,16 ; 928$. Іо；929．19；930． 3 ；934．8； 935. ${ }^{1} 5,17$ ；936．15，22，26，35；938．5； 939．10， $21,25,26$ ；940．6；942． 3 ； $964 ; 990 ; 995$.
 25 ；934．13；936． 13 ；940．4； 943. 8；964； 965 ； 985.
filius 894． 5 ．

ऍєиктйрьоข 934． 5.

Ґグข 907．23；924．ІІ ；937．5；943．7 （乡̂̀ кúpros）．
ऍŋ̀ra，тò $\zeta .($ i．e．Iliad vi） 930.15.
گŋтєi้ 891．10；893．4，5， 8.
گшүрафía 896．6，Іо，І 6.
らぃүрáфos 896． 4.
ऍ解 ${ }^{2}$ 902．6，8， 15 ；922．17，19，22， 23 ； 942．2， 3 ．

च̋ 893．7；903．І 3 ；904． 8 ； 906.8 ； 907. 2 I ；912．29；913．1о；925． 6 ；941．4， 5； 972.
${ }^{\dot{\eta}} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu 924$. ． ．
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \in і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 895 . ~ 1 о . ~ \grave{\eta} \gamma о \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ s．See Index VIII．

$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．See Index VIII．
グ $\gamma$ ouv 941.5.
${ }^{\prime \prime} \circ \eta 963$.
ぞ $\delta \iota \sigma \tau a$ 933． 5 －

グкєเข 933．13．
$\dot{\eta} \lambda t a \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} p t o \nu 985$.
ŋ̀лıкіа 888．з；898．зо；906．7；907．19．
д́нє́ра 893． 7 ；900．13；901．5；903． 4 ； 904． 6 ；905．13；906． 5.
пп $\mu \not \rho \eta \sigma i \omega s$ 908．26， 35.
і̀ $\mu$ нлі́a 912． $3^{2 .}$
$\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu$ 七七vs 909．30， 32 ；911．14；912． 21 ； 913．І3， $16,19,23$ ；986．＇́ $\phi^{\prime}$ ग$\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i a s$ 913．II．
${ }_{\eta} \mu i \chi$ оч $936.7,9$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \omega \beta \lambda \iota \nu$ ．See Index IX（b）．
ìvíка 939． 23.
$\ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho 0$ 918．ii． $1,10,23$ ，iii． 7,14 ，xi． 7 ．
グ $\pi \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} 936.17$.
ท̈то七 888． 5 ．
Өavцávıos 940． 7.
$\theta \in a ̂ \sigma \theta \nexists 963$.
Ө́єatрог 937．i I．
Өєios（sc．ӧркоя）893．4，5，8；897．12， 16. $\theta$ єєótatos 996.
Өє $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 893.7$ ；898． 22 ；903．35；931． 3 ； 937． 3 ；941．7， 9 ；943． 6.

Өє́ $\mu$ 932． 4.
$\theta$ tós．See Index VII．
өєр $\mu$ á 896． 8.
$\theta \bar{\eta} \lambda u s$ 907．ェ6， 19.
ө入ißєє 898． 33 ；903． 33.

ө入í廿ıs 939． 13.
Өขグбкєเข 902． 9.
Ó̀ ${ }^{2}$ os 896． 12.
$\theta \rho a \sigma v i \tau \eta s$ 901． 19.
Өриокатєív 910． 40.
Opúà 910． 41.
Өvүátךр 893． 4 ；905．3；913．3，5， 22 ； 930．5；984； 990.
Ө́vєL 923． 23.
Gúpa 903． 20 ；912． 28.
$\theta$ voía 923．7．
habitare 894．7．
iatpós 896． 26 ； 983.

i̊tıтıкás 918．ii．22，iii．3，9，xi．7， 8 ．
$i \delta \iota \omega() 986.$,
iєpoviкпs 908． 9.

890．12．iє $\rho^{\prime} \nu 984$.
iкаขós 918．v．I5．
iкєбia 904．7．
ï $\lambda \in \omega$ 939．7．
i入v́є兀ข 918．ii． 8 （？）．
iцáтtov 905． 7 ； 921.5.
ĩva 891．16；892． 9 ；895．ІІ ；899．45；
903． 26 ；904． 9 ；924．11；928．7；
929． 21 ；932． $2,3,7,8$ ；937．1о；
939．19；940． 2 ； 967.
ivסıктív 913．8；993－6．Cf．Index III．
imтápıò 922．I et saep．
іллєкьуакає（？）936． 24.
iтлтєко́s 922．1， 6.
ї $\pi \pi$ os 922．8，Іо， 13 ．
їая 907．7，12；908． 35 ；909．14， 30 ；

iбtávat 906． 2 （？）；909． $3^{22}$
ка́үкє $\lambda$ доз $994 ; 999$.
каӨapás 910．32，41；912． 25 ； 988.
каӨaра́тŋŋ 904． 2.
каӨєठра́рьоン 963.
ка $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ 899． 25.
каӨทүךтท＇s 930． 6.
каӨŋ̈кєєц 930．г2；939．г6．
＊аӨךцєрьขós 924． 3 ．
каӨıฮтávaィ 888． 2 ；898． 29 ；902． 3 ； 939. 19.

каӨобı๐ขิ้ 904． 2.

каӨ́s 899． 44 ；902．І5；909． $3^{2 .}$
каі́тає 898． 26.
каıขós 921．introd．， 14.
каı pós 899． 25 ； 913 ． 19.
какофи ${ }^{\prime} 988$.
какติs 935．І5；938．5．
калєï̀ 918．ii． 4 ；926．1 ；927．1 ； 989.
кадós 902． 6 ；913．14；988．кал̂̂s 929.
6 ；934． 14 ； 967.
кацарштєко́s 921．introd．
кацаратós 921．introd．
ка́датоз 913．I5．
$\kappa а \mu \eta \lambda \omega ́ \nu 964$.
кацли́лоs 918．ii． 8 （？）．
Kaעштıкò̀ $\sigma \phi \cup \rho i ́ \delta \iota a \nu$ 936．I5．
картós（＇wrist＇）910．25．
картós（＇fruit＇）913．12，13，19， 23.
карúìas（кápoıขos）929．9，I3．
каббเтéptov 915．2， 3 ；1000－3．
кабтрі́бıos 1001 （？）．
катаßаìєєข 898． 9.
катаßá̀入єєข 913．I5．

катаүіү $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ 910． 4.
катако́ттєєン 904． 6.
катак（ 918．ii．13，iii．1о，xi． 9.
каталацßáขєь 889．7；896．3І ；939．5；
942．I．
каталєітєєข 907．7，12，14，17，23； 985.
катадє $\mu \pi a ́ \nu є \iota \nu$ 907． 5.
катадаүєїо 906． 9.
катан́́уєєц 989.
катаขаүкá乌єєข 904． 8.
ката เดиิข 940． 4 ；941．5；943．1．
катаگ́v̇єц 988.
кататлєі้ 930． 7 ；933．І 5.
кататлє́кєьข 903． 35.
катабкєvá乌єє 892． 8.
катабтєірєьц 965.
катабтора́ 969.
катафє́рєєг 923． 8.
катафиү ${ }^{\prime} 899$ ．introd．
катах $\omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ós 898． 37.
катоєкıко́s 918．іі．І 6, v．І8，хі．І 6, І 7 ．
ка́тоєкоs 984.
кє入єข́єเข 889．5；899．9， 4 I ；902．13；
916．7； 970.
кє่́าєvaıs 895．7．
Kévtıvos 922．II．
кєра́цтог．See Index IX（a）．

кє $\rho \lambda a ́ \rho \iota o \nu(=к є \lambda \lambda a ́ \rho \iota o \nu$ ？$) ~ 978$.
кєртєка́рıоу 921．8， 20.
кєф́́入аєоу 899．introd．； 988.
$\kappa \eta \delta є \mu о \nu i a$ 888．I I．
$\kappa \eta \delta є \mu \dot{\omega}$ 888．2，3，5．
кп入є́ขєєоข 971.
$\kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu 938.4$.
кi้ขסvขos 910． 22 ；914． 11.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \varsigma 903 . ~$ г 6, I $8 ; 912.28$.
клұророріа 907．5，8，І 2， 26.
клпродо́лоя 899．23；907．4，6；986； 999.
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ 918．ii．24，v．18，r9，xi．16； 919.
$2(?) ; 971 ; 984 ; 988$.
$\kappa \lambda \eta() 980$.
$\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}{ }^{2}$ 896． 33.

коі̀ $\lambda \omega \mu$ 918．ii． 2 г，v． 16.
коє $\mu$ аैбӨаı 933．${ }^{25}$ ．
коเขós 891．І4；905．5，І2；907．Іч； 911.
 907． 12.
коเขшขía 905．\＆．
ко́лд $\eta \mu а 986$.
ко́ $\mu є \varsigma 994$.
коці乌єь 910． 26 ；919． 6 ；931． 5 ；936．5， 10， 13,$14 ; 963$.
ко́ $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ 915． 1.
ко入о́ßıоу 921．6，у6．
ко́итоу 995 （？）．
кон廿о́тєроя 935．5．
коуооикторі́а 900．6， 16.
коvtat ${ }^{\prime} 993$.
ко́трьоу 912． 25.
ко́троя 934．6， 1 о．
кобкเขєข́єเข 910． 33 ； 988.
кобрарі́̊̊ьу 903． 29.
ко́ $\not \mu$ оя 899． 12 ；909． 29.
коира́тшр 888． 6.
кратєiv 903．24， 26.
 891．5；892．5．кр．ঠıоккті́s 899．introd．， 1，37．ó к $\rho$ ．$\grave{\gamma} \not \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\nu} 931.8 ; 967$ ．о к $\rho$ ． 970.

крıӨ́ 908． 25 ； 988.
крі̀єєц 898． 27 ；899．25，26， $27,29,39$.
кри́ттєєข 903．І6，І8．
ктẫӨat 903． 34 ；904． 6.

ктŋ̄ขos 908． $25,26,30 ; 938.2,4,5$.
клйбเs 889．х9．

кúӨра 936．I 1.
кขрıако́s．то̀ кирєако́ข 903．19， 2 I．
кขрıєúєıข 910． 24.
кирєєขтıкйs 907．і7．
кúpıos（＇guardian＇）888．2；899． 49 909． 6.
кúpıos（＇valid＇）905． 18 ；906．Іо； 908. 37 ；909． 33 ；910． 42 ；912． 35 ； 913. 20；914．І 8 ； 964.
кúpıos（title）895．introd．；899．introd．， 18 ； 902．18；904．10；922．14，16； 923. 7 ；925．3；931．3，го；933．м，28； 937． 9 ；939．х，5，9，20， 28 ；943．7； 995．Cf．Index II．
ки́pos $998 ; 1001$.

кю́ $\mu$ 895．5， 9 ；897．6，9，14；899．introd．， 17，35，36；901． 8 ；905．4，17； 913. 9；918．xi．3，ІЗ；986；989；991．Cf． Index VI（b）．
кшرптько́s 895． 8.
$\kappa \omega \mu о у р а \mu \mu а т є \dot{\jmath}$ ．See Index VIII．
$\lambda a \lambda$ єì 903.14.
$\lambda a \mu$ ßávєє 898.14 ；903． 37 ；922． 26 ； 936． $4^{2}$ ；937．18（ $\left.\lambda a \beta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota\right), 22$.
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ 942.6 ; 943 . ~ 1, ~ 2, ~ 5, ~ 9 . ~ C f . ~$ Index III and Index VI s．v．＇o $\xi v \rho v \gamma \chi \iota \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$.
$\lambda а \mu \pi \rho о ́ т \eta s$ 942．2， 5.
入áұavò 966.
$\lambda a \chi a \nu o \pi \omega ่ \lambda \eta s$ 935．introd．
$\lambda a \chi a \nu o ́ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu о \nu$ 932． 6.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \beta \eta s 1000$.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 888． 1 ；895．introd．；899．introd．， $2 \mathrm{I}, 27,30$ ；903．I，8，9，10，13，20，21， $25,30,3^{6}$ ；907．х6；918．ii． 13 ，iii．6， If，v．It 2 I；922．I3；930．I5； 932. 5 ；935．І5；936．х7，18， 2 І；941．2， 3，6，7，9； 1002.
$\lambda_{\epsilon}$ เточ́р $у \eta \mu$ 900．I 4 ．
入єıтоируía 904．3，5，8， 9.
入́́vтเò 929．ro．
$\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau$ ós 924．5．$\lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau a ́$ 920． 4 ．
$\lambda$ خuкós 905．8；921．5，7；922．6， 9 ； 929. 14.
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu \boldsymbol{1 9 2 9 .}$ introd．； 985 ； 999.
入íav 936． 13.
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$\lambda_{i} \beta_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda_{\text {os }} 902$. i 2.
$\lambda i \theta$ ivos 918．v． $20 ; 937.13$.
$\lambda_{\iota}$ о́s（ $\begin{array}{r}\text { i }\end{array}$ 902． 9.
入ıขoîs 921． 9.
入ívov 929．іо．
入iтра 915．2， 3 ；1000－3．
$\lambda i \not \psi$ 918．ii． 7 et saep．； $986 ; 988$.
入óylos 902．I， 18.
入oyıóтŋs 902．I3，I 5 ．
入oүเбно́s 940． 4.
入oyเซтク＇s．See Index VIII．
入óyos 890． 9 ；893．6，8；895．8； 896. 1о；904． 3 ；905．5；914．І7；916．7． 17，20；919．2，9，II；920．12；964； 971；985；994； 999.
入o七тós 904． 7 ；907．І5；918．xi．ı2； 921. introd．；934．II．
入out póv 892．I I ；915． 2 ；943．3，4，6，8； 1002.

лохєía 992.
$\lambda$ ג́єє 907． 28.
$\lambda \nu \pi є$ є̂̀ 930． 4.
$\lambda \nu \tau \rho \circ \hat{v} \nu 936.19$.
رаүєєрıкós 1001.
маүıбтрıа⿱ós 904． 2.
мака́pıos 902． 4.
накєл入а́рıоs 1000.
$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ 939 . ~ 20 . ~$
$\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu 939.3$.
$\mu a ́ v \delta \rho a 984$.
$\mu a \nu$ Өávє $\nu$ 900．I I ；937． 12 ；940． 4.

царти́рıо 941.4.
$\mu а \sigma т \iota$ ой 903.9.
$\mu a \tau \rho \dot{y} \nu a$ тто入̀àтa 907． 4.
цафо́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \eta$ я 937． 26.

$\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau a \tau o s ~ 904 . ~ 10$.
цє́＇̌as 920． 8 ；923．І ；933．І 2 ； 984 ；
988 ； 996 ；1002．$\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ and $\mu \epsilon \iota \zeta_{0}^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$.
See Index VIII．
$\mu \in \theta^{\prime}\left(\eta \eta^{\prime}\right)$ 918．ii． 4 et saep．
$\mu \in \theta(\quad 988$.
$\mu \in i$ ל́́тєроs，$\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ ．See Index VIII．
$\mu$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ as 922． 12.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 930．11， 18 ；939． 2 I．

$\mu \in \lambda \iota к \eta \rho i s$ 936．го．
$\mu \in \lambda i t i v o s ~ 936 . ~ I I . ~$
$\mu \in \lambda i x \rho \omega s 984$.
$\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu} 890.7$ ；930．Іо；935．12．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu ф \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 972$.
$\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oỉ̀ 899.8.
$\mu \in ́ v \in \iota \nu 903.33,3^{6}$ ；940． 2 ； 964.
$\mu \in$ pis 940．2， $4 ; 986$.
$\mu$ е́́pos 905．19；911．14；913．13，16，19， 23 ；918．ii． 22 ，iii． 9, xi． 8,16 ，xiii． 7 ； 984－6 ； 999.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o s . ~ a ̀ v a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ 918 . ~ i i i . ~ 3, ~ v . ~ I 5, ~ I 8 . ~$
$\mu \in \sigma$ тós 936． 37.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 934.3$.
$\mu \in \tau а \delta$ taтаү́́ 899．40，43， 47.
$\mu \in \tau а \delta ̊ ı a т а ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 899． $3^{2}$ ．
$\mu \epsilon \tau$ ádçıs 906． 9.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda$ á $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ 888．I $^{2}$ ；899． 23.
$\mu \in \tau a \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$ 899．I4．
$\mu \in \tau a \xi v ́ 914.8$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 969$.
$\mu \in \tau \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 902．13；940． 6.
$\mu є \tau а ф о р a ́ ~ 895 . ~ і 8 ; ~ 935 . ~ і 8 . ~$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 904． 6.
не́тохоs 916． 7 ；918．ii．12， 24 ，xiii． 5 ．
$\mu \in \tau \rho \in i ̂ \nu 932.3 ; 988$.
$\mu$ є́тр $\eta \mu$ 9 909． 22.
$\mu_{\text {ќт }}$ р $\eta$ бєя 910.35.
цє́троу 907． 24 ；910． 2 г，34；986； 988.
Cf．Index IX（a）．
$\mu_{\text {Є́ } \chi \rho \iota ~ 906 . ~}$ ；908． 27 ；909． 27.
$\mu \eta \delta a \mu \bar{\omega}$ 901．II．
$\mu \eta \nu$ 893．6；895． 9 ；898． $3^{2}$ ；902． 8 ； 803．6；908．20， 2 I ；910．20， 3 I ； 914. 12；916．14；958；962；964；967； 985 ；988．Cf．Index IV．
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \rho$ 888． 10 ；898． 5 ；899． 2 ；903． 32 ； 905．2，3，4，I7；909．4，II， $3^{8 ;} 910$. 3 ；912． 2 ；914． 3 ；924．15；928．1о； 936． 2 I， 47 ； 963 ； 984 ； 996.
$\mu \eta \tau \rho о ́ т о \lambda \iota s ~ 907.9 . ~$
$\mu \eta \chi$ àápıos 985.
$\mu \eta \chi^{a \nu}{ }^{\prime} 901.7$ ； 985.
цıкро́s 903． 28 ；921．І 1 ；922．6，7，Іо，I2， $26 ; 931.8 ; 933.14,22$.
$\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i v 902.17$.
$\mu \pi \theta_{0}$ र̂̀ 910．I，I4，28，39， 48 ；911．I； 912． $1,16,17,23,4 \mathrm{r}$ ；913．7，14， 22 ； 918．xi．2，I I，12，xiii． 18 ； 977.
$\mu i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \iota s$ 910．29，42；912．9，16，35； 913. $20 ; 964$.

－vaaiov 905． 6.
$\mu \nu$ еía 895．introd．
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta$ 902． 4 ；913． 3 ．
$\mu$ ó $\lambda \nu \beta$ óos 915．2， 3 ；1001－3．
$\mu \mathrm{\lambda} \nu \boldsymbol{\beta} \delta$ ov $\rho$ रós 915． 1 ；1000－3．
ноуá̧єє 994.
норахо́ 905．і9；908． 39.
но́vos 899．І 5， 26 ；907．Іо；910．І7； 915.
3 ；919．7；993－5．но́ขоу 899．І І ；904．
4；941．8， 9.
$\mu$ н́т $\omega \sigma \iota s 1001$.
uvpıás 896．І6，Іч．
цúpov 936． 39.
$\mu$ ข́бтроข 921． 25.
nasci 894． 5 ．
vaúßıov 917． 2.
vaî̀o 917． 2.
vavtıкós 929． 8.
עє́os 910． 32 ； 988.
ขєóфитоs 909．I6．
$\nu$ ข́ 939． 20.
ขоєì 990.
$\nu 0 \mu \eta$ 899．introd．， 6 ；918．introd．，xi．5， I5．

ขоцıбца́тьov．See Index IX（b）．
ขо́доя 902．І 7 ；903． 7.
ขоцós 899．30，42；900．6；913．6； 991.
Cf．Index VI（a）．
ขобๆ入óтєроs 939． 26.
vóтos 939．6， 23.
ขотápıos 940．7．
vóvos 918．ii．I et saep．；986； 988.
$\nu \cup к \tau \in \rho เ \nu$ ós 924． 4.
ขчктобтра́тๆүоs 933． 24.
ขข̂v 899． 4 I ；906．6，7；908．I8； 929.
5 ；937． 8 ；938． 6 ；939．3， 23 ．
ขvví 908．5； 988.
छєעia 931． 7.
$\xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta s$ 921． 23.
$\xi \iota \not \subset i \delta \iota o \nu 936.9$.
$\xi!\lambda a \mu a ̂ \nu$ 910．II．
乡ú入oข 892． 7.
$\xi v \sigma$ тós 896．12， 14 ．
¿うбón（？）916．7，17， 20.
¿ßo入ós．See Index IX（b）．
óoós 918．ii．7，13，iii．6，v． 20.
${ }_{\text {ö }} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ 896． $3^{2}$ ；899． 4 I ；904．7．
ỏӨovıaкós 933． 23.
oi̋ซヲat 898． 24.
оікєî̀ 984.
оікєіол 899．І 2.
оїкє́тทร 904． 4.
оікіа 896．зо；903．го；907．го； 911.
14；912．12；926． 3 ；933． 26 ； 962 ；
980；984；986； 1002.
оікоуо́цоя 929． 25 ；941． 4 ； 993.
oíkos 933．19；943． 4.
oivoтрíтทs 985.
のivos 907． 24 ； 985 ；992－3．
оіобঠウ́лтотє 893．6，9；904． 8.
ỏкขє̨̀ 930．І．
ì ${ }^{\text {jóos 899．13；941．4，} 9 .}$
ӧ $\lambda$ os 893 ．6， 9 ；896．12，16；903．4， 5 ；

ö $\lambda \omega$ s 924． 8.

 introd．； 995.
¿̇ $\mu \nu \cup ์ \in \iota \nu$ 897．І І ；903．І 5 ； 972.
б́ $\mu$ доуєі̆ 897．І І ；898． 22 ；905．20； 909. 13， 33 ；910．І 3 ；912．36；913．2 1； 914.
6，І9；939．6； 964 ； 996.
о́ иой 895．І 6.
¿́ «оvрүós 922． 20.
ठ̈ $\mu \omega \mathrm{s} 939.26$.

ỏvo日ウ́ $\lambda \in \iota a$ 922． $24,25$.
öขоиа 890．І7；903．23；907．ІІ， $15 ; 924$. $12 ; 930.26 ; 932.4 ; 936.46$.
övos 932．8； 985.
ỏgús 900．7．
опо́тау 909． 26.
ึтกข 936．6， 8 （？），І 5 ．
оыт $\omega \rho о \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \eta$ ， 980.
öп $\pi \omega$ s 899．introd．， $17,39,42,47$ ；935．16； 938． 6.
ópầ 896． $3^{2}$ ．
ỏ $\rho \delta$ เ̀áptos 942． 7 ； 999.
ठрє́үєєц 902．I I．
орі彻 986.
ӧ $р$ коя 893．4，5， 8 ；897．12，16；903．18； 972.

ঠ́p $\mu \hat{\sigma} \theta$ 大aı 914．4； 996.
о́ $\rho \dot{\prime}$ 901． 6.
òpфаиıкós 888． 4.
ỏ $\rho$ фavós 888．2， 5 ．
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ӧбоя 898．І3；899．8；902．17；903． 34 ； 913．го；939． 12.
ö́テобтєค 904． 3 ．

ӧтє 942． 4 ；943． 6.
о̊т 903． 8 et saep．；924．10；930． 9 ； 936. I7，19， 2 I；937． 22 ；939．7；941．3， 7， 9 ．
oủ $\mu \eta$ グ 903．ェ6．
оѝүкía 931． 4.
oủ入ŋ́ 906．Іо．
ои้̉ 899．8， $40 ; 901$ ．І5；903．1о；930．
18；934．9；937．5， 8 ；938．5；942．3．
๑ข̉テía 902． 3 ； 986.
ov̉ $\sigma \iota a \kappa o ̀ s ~ \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau \dot{r} s 986$.
๐йт 888．3．oũт $\omega$ 940．5；941．7；998－9．
óфєìৗєข 890．8；899． 48 ；904．4； 910. 25 ；914． 6 ；942． 4 ；943． 4.
ó $\emptyset$ рús 906．ıо．
д́ффıкıá̀ıos 896． 28.
oै $\psi \stackrel{\text { ıs } 911 . ~}{6} 6$.
ó $\psi \dot{\nu} \nu t o \nu 898.3$ I ； 974 ； 994.
ó $^{\prime}, \gamma$ o＇$^{\prime} 991$.
$\pi / 941$. ．
тâjos 900． 6 ；901． 5.
$\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 930．17，19， 27.
таєбiov 928．І3；930． 24 ；933． 29.
тaís 901． 8 ；915．．
$\pi a ́ \lambda \iota$ 893． 7 ；903．ェ8．
таvєúфŋцаs 999.
тауоькєі́ 935． 30.
$\pi а \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ я 899．І 3 ；903． 7.
$\pi а ́ \nu т о \theta \in \nu ~ 988$.
талтокра́тьр 925．龴．
$\pi a ́ v \tau \omega s$ 904．2， 3.
สávv 942．5．
та́ттоs 908．2， 45.
тараßаìeıу 908． $3^{6}$ ．
тараßá入入 $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ 930． 2 I ；934． 12 ；937． 10.
$\pi а р а \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i ́ a ~ 957$.
тара $у є \uparrow \lambda є є \nu$ 937．8， 14.
тара́үєьข 901． 18 ； 971.
$\pi а \rho a \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta$ แ 934．13；942． 4.
тараঠ́́́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 910 . ~ 28 . ~$
тараסıঠóvaı 910．39；912．23， 29.
тараӨо́入ıо 896．І 3.
тараíт $\eta$ гь 899．introd．
таракататıӨ́vaı 907． 7.
тараколои $\theta$ єi้ 942． 5.

тарадацßа́vєьข 912． 27.
$\pi а \rho а \lambda \eta \pi \tau \iota к о ́ s ~ 910 . ~ 34 . ~$
$\pi а р а \mu є \tau \rho \epsilon i \nu$ 910．І 5 ．
$\pi а р а \mu \nu \theta \epsilon i ̄ \theta a \iota ~ 939 . ~ 26 . ~$
тарабкєvá̧єı 902．7，14；943． 2.
таратєiveı 918．iii． 3 ．
тарат $\eta$ єі̂ข 937． 16.
таратvүхávєเข 901． 9.
тарафє́рєє 892．іо．
тара́фєрขа 905．7， 12 ；906．3．
тарафилак ${ }^{\text {904．}} 4$.
тараұф́р $\eta \sigma \iota s 998$.
тapeivaı 888．5；905．16；913． 25 ； 914. 13；933． 2 І ；934．4，7，10； 972.
тарєขах入єív 965.
тарєขтєө́vą 907． 2 I．
тарє́дєเข 895． 2 І ；900．Іо，у7；903．27， 28 ； 904．4；907．6；908． $3^{\text {r，}} 33$ ；913．12， 18；937．24；941．4，6，7，8；972；－992－4．
тарıбтávaı 897．І 0.
тарои（ ）899．introd．
тародк 997.
тарорầ 904． 6.
$\pi$ apovaía 903． 15.
$\pi$ ûs 897． 6 ；898．33， 35 ；899．introd．， 20 ；

5 ；905．І2，І6；907．5，6，9，10，І1，13，
18，20，28；908． 46 ；910．22， $38,42,5^{6}$ ；
911．І 6 ；912．26， 35 ；913．1 1， 24 ； 914.
II，I6；924．I 2 ；929．4，12，I5， 20 ；
830． 25 ；933．7， 16 ；934．І5；935． 26 ；
936． 3 ；937．14；939．6，9，15， 29 ； 942. 6； 964 ； 988.
табтофо́роs 984.
татєї 988.

I 5 ；925． 3 ；935． 20 ；936． 2 ；937． 10.

$\pi$ т́трıos 935．Іо．
татрis 937． 7.
maxús 921．I 9.
$\pi \epsilon \delta i o v ~ 913.9$.
тєîva 902． 12.
$\pi \in і$ Іैда 943．5， 6.

$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 928．І 2 ；930．І 2 ；931．4， 26 ； 937. 2 I， 26 ；938． 4.
тєутаєтía 936． 25.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega^{\beta} \beta$ д $\quad$ or．See Index IX（b）．
$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota s$ ．See $\pi i \pi \epsilon \rho a s$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau$ тоs 994.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta 0 \lambda a ́ \delta \iota \iota \nu 921.2$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 913 . ~ І 2, ~}{13} 3,23 ; 986$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \zeta \omega \mu a$ 921．Іо．
$\pi \epsilon \rho u \sigma \tau a ́ v a \iota ~ 899 . ~ I 4 ; ~ 902 . ~ I 2 . ~$
тєр८бтєро́y 981.
$\pi \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu \alpha 921$ ．introd．
$\pi \eta \chi \iota \sigma$ о́s 981.
$\pi \eta \hat{\eta} \chi$ s．See Index IX $(a)$ ．
тітєрая 921． 26.
 I 8.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} เ \nu$ 898．29；903．І7．
тíтıs 907．7；913．14；924．9； 980.
$\pi \iota \sigma$ rós 893．I．
$\pi \lambda$ áкıò 921．introd．
$\pi \lambda a \kappa o u ̂ s ~ 936 . ~ i ~ i . ~ . ~$
$\pi \lambda a \nu a ̂ \nu 898.8$.

$\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i ̂ a ~ 937 .{ }^{\text {I }}$ I．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \sigma$ ．See $\pi о \lambda$ ís．
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$ 903． 6 ；904． 6.
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ 9 929．introd．
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu 902$. го， 16 ；904．3， 5 ；943． 7.
$\pi \lambda \iota \nu \theta \in v \in \iota$ 941． 3 ．
$\pi \lambda \iota \nu \theta \epsilon v \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{241 .} 2$.
$\pi \lambda i \nu \theta_{\text {os }} 986$.
$\pi \lambda$ оíon 937．Із．
$\pi \nu є \hat{\nu} \mu a$ 904． 7 ；924． 16.
тоเєi้ข 888． 3 ；892．1о；898．8；899．17， $34,39,43,47,48 ; 901.6$ ；903．7，19； 904． 4 ；907．6，I8， 26,27 ；909．26， 30 ； 913． 1 5， 20 ；928．7，8，І І ：929． 6 ； 933. 23；936．4， 3 г ；937．4；938．2， 7 ； 941. 3 ；943．6；963；967；971； 990.
токкі $\lambda$ т $\eta s 980$.
ло́д七七 890．S，Іо；892．3，9；895．г丂； 896. 9， 30 ；899．6；902．2，Іо；904．4； 908.6 ；909．І 3 ；910． 3 ； 911.5 ； 914. 6；942．4；960；962．Cf．Index VI（a）． $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon ข ́ є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 902.4, ~ І 2 . ~$
то入しтєкй 903． 37.
$\pi$ о入ıтıко́s 892．I I．
$\pi о \lambda_{\imath є \tau і ́ a ~ 889 . ~}^{6 .}$
то入ús 888． 4 ；893．7， 2 І ；899．І3； 903. 21 ；930．г 6,22 ；935．22， 26 ；936． 5 I； 938．8；941．9．$\pi \lambda с i \omega \nu ~ 939 . ~ 24 . ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon і-~$ бтоя 399． 13 ；900．9；939．3．$\pi \lambda$ кїбта 937． 2.
торфípa 931． 4.

тобєía 918．introd．
тотє́ 928． 6.
тотí̧et 938． 5 ．
тотьбцо́s 934．I 4 ； 967.
$\pi \rho а \hat{\gamma \mu \alpha ~ 888.4 ; 893.6,9 ; 902.3,5 ; 938 . ~} 2$
$\pi \rho а \not \mu а т \iota к o ́ s ~ 899 . ~ І ~ 7, ~ 35, ~ 42, ~ 47 . ~$
$\pi \rho а \iota \pi$ о́тเтоя 900． 5 ．
трактє́os 940． 5.
$\pi \rho a ́ к т \omega \rho$ ．See Index VIII．
$\pi \rho a ̂ \xi ı s ~ 905 . ~ 14 ; ~ 910 . ~ 36 ; ~ 912 . ~ 33 . ~$
$\pi \rho a ̂ \sigma \iota s ~ 909.33 ; 988$.
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 895．introd．；902．15；924． 7 （？）
925．7．
$\pi \rho \epsilon \pi o ́ v \tau \omega s$ 907． 17.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in v \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} 933$ ． 3 I．
$\pi \rho є \sigma \beta$ v́тєроя 897．5；984（？）；986； 996
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ ．к $\omega \mu \eta s$ 918．xi．3， 12.
трір 928． 8.
$\pi \rho о$ а́ттєוov 915． 2.
$\pi \rho \circ \beta a \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ 935． 2 1．
$\pi \rho о \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\omega} \omega \rho \gamma \circ \mathrm{s}$（？）899．introd．
$\pi \rho о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi є เ \nu 907$. г І ；908． 37 ；913． 22.
$\pi р о є ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta а \iota ~ 898 . ~ 35 . ~$
profiteri 894． 5 ．
троьбтávaı 891． 12.
$\pi \rho o i \xi 907.14$.
трокєїбӨaı 896．37， 39 ；899．г 6 ；900． 20 ； 905．І 8 ；907． 18,28 ；908． 46 ； 909. 22， 24 ；910． $5^{6}$ ；912．24， 4 I；913．24； 918．ii．9， 2 I，iii．5，9，xi．I 5，xiii． 17 ； 929. 19； 972 ； 977 ； 988.
$\pi$ токочра́тьр 943． 2.
$\pi \rho о \lambda а \mu \beta$ и́ขєє 928． 8.
$\pi \rho о \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 901． 9 ；902．12，16；903． 25 ； 939． 25 ．
$\pi \rho а \nu о \eta \tau \eta$＇903． 3 ； 999.
тра́vota 899．І 7.

$\pi \rho о \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon ข ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta$ аи 913． 4.
$\pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma o p \in u ́ \in \iota \nu$ 928．I4．
$\pi \rho о \sigma є ́ \rho \chi є \sigma \theta a \iota$ 907． 5 ．
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ е́ $\chi є เ \nu 930.1$ I．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ そкє 888.3 ；899．introd．， $26,38,48$.
тробки́ขұца 936．4， 48 （？）．
$\pi \rho о \sigma о \delta \iota к$ ás 986.
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \delta o s, \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta o v ~ s c . ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} 986$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma о \rho і \zeta є є$ 918．ii．I 7 ，iii．1，2，I5．
$\pi \rho о \sigma о ф \epsilon і \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 912$ ． 3 I．
$\pi \rho о \sigma ф є ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ 903．6；904．7；907．І8．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i ้$ 896．І 8， $3^{2}, 34,37,3^{8 .}$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \chi \omega \rho \in i ้ \nu ~ 909 . ~ 20$.
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu ~ 903 . ~ 21 ; ~ 904 . ~ 8 . ~$
$\pi \rho о т а ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ 889．І 5 ．
$\pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu$ 898． 22 ；899． 44 ；918．xi．II．
$\bar{a}=\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu 984$.
$\pi \rho о т \iota$ Ө́vat 888．7；889． 10.
$\pi \rho о и \pi а \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 907.18$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \phi а \sigma \iota s ~ 903.35$.

$\pi \rho о х \rho$ е́a 907．у3；910． 16.
$\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau a \nu \iota$ ．See Index VIII．
$\pi \rho \hat{т} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu}$ 902．І3；924．9．$\pi \rho \hat{\tau} а$ 939．І3．
 $\pi u ́ \lambda \eta$ 892． 9 ．
$\pi \nu \nu \theta a ́ v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 930． 12 ；933． 29.
$\pi \hat{v} \rho 903.6$.
$\pi$ трүібкоя 921． 24.
$\pi v р є \tau о ́ s ~ 924.6$.
$\pi \nu \rho$ є́тьор 896． 33.
тupós 907． 24 ；908． 27 ；909． 21 ；910．9， 10，18，31，51，55；918．ii． 9 et saep．； 966；974；986； 988.
тирро́s 922.8.
$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i ้$ 922． 6 ；932． 10.
$\pi \omega s$ 902． 13 （？）；939． 15.
$\pi \omega ิ$ 932． 4 ；939． 24.
ค̣âov 939． 17.
ค́aфа́vevos 936． 8.
คٌ ${ }^{\circ} \tau \omega \rho$ 899． 2 I．
р́тáptos 897． 3 ；904． 3 ．
fís 903． 22.
fóa 920．I3．
$\dot{\jmath} \mu \eta 986$.
júvts 940． 2.
 $\sigma \epsilon \in$ єи้ $\chi$ нає 891．18；928．І5；929．24； 931．1о；933． 28 ；934．16；935． 29 ； 936． 50 ；937． 28 ；938． 8 ；939． 28.

баßалофакıа́рıор 921．І I， 12.
ба́ккоз 932． 6.
баккои́dıо 937． 29.
бал $\dot{т \iota a ~ 920 . ~} 5$.
барүávך 938．3， 6.
баркофагís 936． 26.
$\sigma \epsilon a v \tau o u ̂ 928$ ．I I．
$\sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{a} \sigma \mu \tau \circ$ 897． 1 I．
$\sigma \epsilon \beta$ ітьоу 919.8.
бๆцаі́vєь 942．5； 985.
$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \circ \hat{\nu} . \quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \omega \mu a \iota$ 899．introd．；916．I3， 16，19；974；977；992．є̇ $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \omega \sigma а ́ \mu \eta \nu$ 899． $32,39,46$ ；921．introd．＇ส $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \dot{\omega} \sigma a \tau о$ 980.
$\sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \rho \circ \nu$ 896． 2 I．
ォívaтı 920． 2 ；936．7．
ब८८óvivov 921．І5，19， 21.
бเтко́s 907． 8 et saep．；913．10； 965.
бเто入óyos 973； 986.
бítos 903． 22 ；936． 22 ； 994 ；998－9．
бıтофо́роs 918．ii． 7.
бка́лтєє 985.
бкєîos 1001.
бкєшто́s 921．I 5 ．
бкотєî̀ 940．5．
$\sigma \kappa v ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 941． 3.
бкидло́s 941．5．
бкитápıò 936． 23 ．
$\sigma \kappa \omega \rho \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \nu \eta(?)$ 936． 16.
$\sigma \mu a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon o s(?) 921.6$.
богßріконафо́ртьоу 905．7．
боvßрıкота́ 入ıьо 921． 4.
бтєipєเ 910． 9 ；913．11．
$\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ p \mu a$ 910．І 6，54；913．І 6.
бтогס́ク 917． 3 ．
бтори́ 913． 8.
$\sigma \pi$ ópos 918．ii．8，iii． 5.
бтоvঠá̧єı 939．18； 963.
атоибаîos 929． 3 ．
бтоvó 963.
$\sigma \tau$ áß $\lambda_{o \nu} 922.2,3,4,5,8,12$.
бтаӨ ${ }^{\text {ós } 905.5 .}$
бтати́p 936． 40.
$\sigma \tau € \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 902.7$.
бтєфáviov 936． 12.
$\sigma \tau \iota \pi \pi \sigma \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon v \tau \eta \eta_{s} 893$ ．3．
бтолãта 907． 4.
бтохá̧єбӨaı 931． 9.
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$ ．See Index VIII．
бт $a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s . ~ S e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I . ~$

$\sigma \tau \rho \omega \bar{\mu}$ 921． 3 ．
$\sigma v$ ，є́vov̂ 903． 30.
$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{-1} 904.6$.
$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ 939．І 0.
бvүүрафウ́ 905．І8 ；906．2， 8.
бvүкขрєì 907．9，Із．
$\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \in i ้$ 906． 9 ；907． 2 ；911．7；988； 990.

бvฝ入ацßávєєข 935．3， 8.

бuц३aìะเข 888． 3 ；899．9，І 6 ；902．8； 904． 4.
бขц३ぃої้ 905． 8.

би́лиахоя 903． 25 ；904． 4.
бvипа́бхєเข 904．7．
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \omega^{2} \sigma_{t s} 985$.
$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \in i \nu$ 909． 18 ；913． 24 ；934． 10.
бі́ $\boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \mathrm{os}$ 914． 4.
$\sigma v \mu \psi \in \lambda \iota a$ 921．introd．
бuváyєเข 900．I3； 985 ； 988.
биขєıঠ́́yаи 898． 20.
बขveìvaı 907．г 6.
$\sigma \nu \nu \in \nu \epsilon i \nu a \iota$ 929． 12.
бข้ย́Хєเข 896． 34 ；899．II．
бuvๆクopề 899． 2 I．
биขウ̇もєıа 994.
аиуӨヴкク 903． 13.
สvขıテтíval，бvขєбтஸ́s 912． 4.
бívoóos 908． 9.
бúvo入os 893．6， 9.
бицонодоуєї 943． 4.
$\sigma u \nu 0 \rho a ̂ ̀ ~ 940 . ~ 2 . ~$
ouvóplò 918．v．I 7.
бข่ขо廿เs 896． 6.
бvขтєiveเv 904．5．
бvขтє入єi้ 989.
бuvtทpeì 924．1．
бขvтөヒ́vaı 908．18．
бขขтıцầ 905． 6.
बขvaขŋ 909． 21.
бфаиіор 920．9，I I．
бфраүі广є！ 929. 13；932． 6.
бфpayis 918．introd．et saep．
бфирíóıo 936．I5．
б $\chi$ Єסóv 899．I I．
бхоเขiov 904． 6.
$\sigma \chi^{\circ} \downarrow \nu о \pi \lambda$ о́коs 934． 4.
б才о入а⿱ттко́s 902．I．
бஸ́ऍєL 935． 7.
 1002.
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu а$ 889．І 8 ；904．6；907．І5．
бшца́тเo้ 939． 21 ， 26.
$\sigma \omega ̄ s$ 903．II．
бんт $\eta$ 925．3．
батпріа 904．7；933．8；935．12；939． 20.
$\sigma$. ıкıavos 921． 3 ．
та́ та 891．$^{5} 5$.

тú入àтov．See Index IX（b）．
талápıò 936． 24.
тацєîo 890．I 3 ．
тарі́хเор 928.
тáббєレン 918．xiii．2， 17.
ravtúr力s 940． 2.

тєively 906． 5.
тє́кขอу 888．ІІ ；905．I 1；907．3，19； 909. 2，7；930．18； 986.
тє入єi้ 899． 9.
тє́ $\lambda \epsilon$ tos 902．І I；909．І 8.
тє $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$ тtaíos 940． 2.
тe入єutầ 928．3； 984.
тє入єvтท́ 902． 4.

тєтрахоivixis 910． 34.
тєтрஸ́ßoえoу．See Index IX $(b)$ ．
тє́ $\omega$ 9 940． 2.
тๆ入ıксиิтоs 900．12；939．I I．
тпрєї 985.


18；912． 30 ；914．7；934．5，6；971；
980； 985 ； 988.
тьнเஸ́tatos 930． 27 ；931． 2.
тıs，тı каí тı 937． 22.
тоívè 900． 7 ；902．10；940． 3.
toîos 903．I4．
тоьои̃тоs 899． 27 ；904．8， 9.
то́коя 899 ．introd．
то́ноя 903． 24 ； 957.
тотархіа 910．5； 986.
то́тоя 896．6，ІІ，14；899． 47 ；909．29；
912．13，18， 25,28 ；931．15；941．2，
4；973；986； 999.
тобойт心s 940． 5.
то́тє 939． 22.

трє́тєєン 935．ऽ；939．г7．
трє́фєเข 899．І 5；908． 24.
трıакás 987.
т $\rho \imath \beta$ ккós 921．13，I6，20， 2 I；929．II．
трıхоірікоу 936． 7.
тро́тоя 902．6，14；939．І5．
трофи́ 908． 33 ；938． 2.
тро́фıдоя 903．3，6，8，12， 13 ．
т тофós 968.
т $\rho$ uүầ 940.3 ．
три́үๆ 907． 24 ； 975.

## X1．GENERAL INDEX OF GREEK AND LATIN WORDS

тบүха́vєเข 888．4，5；899．14；904．9； 933． 4.
$\tau u ́ \lambda \eta 978$.
ті́тоя 893．І， 9.
тupavviкós 902．6，I4．
тúx 899． 41 ．
ißрíctข 903．5，I7．
üßpıs 903．I．
íとєía 930．13；935．II．
íyเaiveเข 935．8；936． 3.

íj $\rho a \gamma \omega{ }^{\circ}$ ós 971.
íрота́рохоs 902． 3.
ífoо́ópos 922．r9．
ṽ $\delta \omega \rho, \kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ v̈датоs 918 ．introd．et saep．
viós 893．12；894．8；902． 2 ；903．4； 904．7；909．8；913．5， 21 ；914．3，5， 20；924．I5；930． 30 ；935．introd．； 939． 22 ； $941.2,3$ ； 960 ； 984 ； 989 ； 996.
íтауорєи́єє 907． 2.
ітакои́єเข 900．9， 17.
ขँสа́рхєเข 899．8；905．16；910．7，37； 911. II；912． 34 ；913． 9 ；914． 15 ；933． 17 （ілйрктає）； 986.
iтатєía．See Index III．
 Index III．
íтєúӨvvos 907． 6.
iтєрßаiขєเข 889．I6．
і́тєрфvє́бтатоs 996 ； 998.
í $\pi \eta \rho \in \tau \in$ ใ． 929.5.

ітоßäл $\overline{\epsilon เ \nu} 897.8$ ；900．6， 8.
iлоүрáфєเц 899．introd．
ímoүрафєús 911． 6.
іло́ó $\eta$ а 936.25.
iтоөض́кך 914．IS．
ітока́т 922.2 I．
ітокєібӨає 914．I 6.
iто́久oyos 988.
ímó入oıтоs 902． 8.
ітонє́ขєเข 904．Ј．
iпó $\mu \nu \eta$ м 911． 8.
iтониๆцатібно́s 898． 27.
íто́ $\mu \nu \eta \sigma$ เร 904.3 ．
iттотáббєєข 899．19， 35 ；907．4．
іттотөє́va، 898．I3．
ข̃ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho$ Os 936.45.

фаivelv 902． 9.
фаเขó入ıข 936．18，19．фаи入óvıov 933． 30.
фахós 966.
фávaı 967.
фаעєрós 902． 3 ；928． 6.
фаvє $\rho \circ \hat{\nu}$ 925． 4.
фє́рєเข 905．з；936． 23.
$\phi \in \rho \nu \eta$ 905．5，13，18；907．18．
ф Өáverv 889． 2 I；907．14；935． 20.
$\phi$ เá $\lambda \eta$ 937．І 2, I 8.
фi $\lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ 889． 5.
фı入ávөрюत̃os 925． 2.
фi ios 890．5；891．9，17，19；907． 23 ；
931． 17 ；933．3， 27 ； 942.6 ； 983.
фоиขкळ́v 918．ii．I．
форáotov 922．9， 2 I．
фо́рєтроу 917． 2.
фópos 899． 40 ；910．12，30，50；913．13； 943．4， 7 ； 977.
фортікш́татоs 904． 9.
фрің 924．3，4，5．
фрореiv 990.
фоо⿱亠䒑十і 902．5；907．25；923．10．
фроขтıสти́s 940．6；984； 998.
фú入ą̧ 931．6；933． 25.
фu入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \downarrow$ 905． 9 ；924．1．
Хаірєь 890． 6 ；891．9；892．3；908．17；
909．13；928．2；929．2；931．2； 932.
I；934． 2 ；935． 2 ；936． 2 ；937． 2 ；
938． 1 ；939． 2 ；963－4； 967 ；973－4．
Хaipoıs 933． 1.
$\chi^{\text {алкєús }} 989$.
$\chi^{\text {алкiov }} 1003$.
$\chi$ алкós 936． 17.

$\chi^{\text {арíS }} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 941.5$.
Хápıs 939． 6 ；941． 6 ；963．ха́рเข 898． 35 ； 899．I4；934．I3．

Хортоu入ápıos 943．9．

$\chi \in \rho \iota \sigma \pi \eta{ }^{\chi} 995$.
$\chi \in \iota \rho о т о \nu \in$ î 888． 2.
$\chi \in \rho \sigma a ́ \lambda \mu v \rho$ os 918 ．introd．
хє́ $\rho \sigma а \mu \mu о$ о 988.

$\chi$ đ＇́s 901． 5.
$\chi$ นт $\omega$ 929．9， 13.
$\chi^{\lambda \epsilon u ́ \eta ~ 904 . ~} 2$.
$\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho o ́ s ~ 910 . ~ I r, ~} 52$.
$\chi \mu \gamma$ 940．I ； 995.
$\chi$ хорíoto 932．Іо．
$\chi$ оipos 901．5，Іо， 12, I 3 ．
$\chi^{\circ} \rho \eta \gamma \epsilon i \nu 898.32$ ；908． 28.

$\chi$ хо́ртоя 908． 25 ；938． 3.
रoûs（＇mound＇） 985.
хрєіа 899． 25 ；900．12，16，18；930． 3 ； 972.
$\chi$ хє́оя 914．І7．
$\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon i ้$ 902． 9 ；914．7．
хрй乡єєข 896． 9 ；937． 23.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu а т і \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 890． 3 ；908．7；909．6，ІІ ；
964； 977.
$\chi$ रŋ̆vaı 900． 7.
$\chi \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ 912． 16.

хрŋбто́s 937． 27.
хро́vos 889.6 ；907． 23 ；909． 23 ；911．1о；
912． 18,22 ；936． 52 ；937． 6 ；938． 8.

хрибós 905．5；914．9，10； 995.
$\chi р \omega َ \mu а$ 896．І 5
$\chi \hat{\omega} \mu a$ 909．І $5 ; 985$.
$\chi$ ф́ра 900．8，ıо．
$\chi \omega \rho є \hat{\imath}$ 890． 8 ；909． 2 1．
$\chi \omega$ ріо $907.8,13 ; 985 ; 998$.
$\chi$ брís 898． 3 І ；909．6；932．го； 988.
廿i入ós 986.
廿ux ${ }^{\text {q．903．} 33 .}$
廿vхрофо́роs 896．II．
ஸ்ขєіَの日aı 914． 8.
ตóv 936． 6.
ஸ́p 893． 7 ； 901.5 ；926． 5 ；927． 4 ； 935.
 2， 4 ．
ఉ $\sigma a u ́ t \omega s ~ 910 . ~ I 2 . ~$
ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon 891$ ．І 2 ；893． 3 ；896． 29 ；897． 8 ； 904．7；908． 22 ； 910.8 ；923． 4 ； 930 ． І 8 ；933．І7；934． 8 ； 939 ． 5 ．

## XII．INDEX OF PASSAGES DISCUSSED．

（a）Authors．

| iii. | PaGE | Euripides Frag． 472 （Nauck） |  | PAGE 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Apophthegm．Patrum $80 \cdot \dot{a}$ | 284 310 | $75^{2}$ 754 |  | 23 80 |
| Aristophanes，Frogs 1312 | 92 | 755－8 |  | 81 |
| $1313-6$ | － 85 | 757 |  | 101 |
| Aristotle，Poetics 14. | －28－9 | 759 |  | 102 |
| Callimachus Frag． $66 a$ | 142 | 760－7 |  | 82－3 |
| Clemens Alex．，Schol．on p． 105 | 21 | 764 |  | 84 |
| Cod．Iust．I． 55 ．．． | 238 | 870 |  | 101 |
| Cod．Theod．1． 29 | － 238 | Homer X 55 |  | 140 |
| Comicorum Fragmenta 231 （Kock） | 154 | Iul．Capitolinus，Vita Marci |  | $213-4$ |
| Cramer，Anecd．Paris．iii．p．84． 3 | 140 | Lydus，De Mensibus iv．7．p． 7 |  | － 83 |
| Dionysius Hal．，De Thucyd．Iudic |  | Mnesimachus，Hipp．ı．I ． |  | 98 |
| 9－20 | I I I－2 | Pindar Frag．i 10 |  | 141 |
| Dioscorides r．Io | － 259 | Steph．Byz．s．v．Ф¢úyıa |  | 145 |

(b) Papyri, Inscriptions, \&c.
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## EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND

## GRAECO-ROMAN BRANCH.

THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND, which has conducted Archaeological research in Esypt since 1882, in 1897 started a special department, called the Gracco-Roman Branch, for the discovery and publication of remains of classical antiquity and early Christianity in Egypt.

The Graeco-Roman Branch issues annual volumes, each of about ${ }^{2} 50$ quarto pages, with facsimile plates of the more important papyri, under the editorship of Prof. Grenfell and Dr. Hunt.

A subscription of One Guinea to the Graeco-Roman Branch entitles subscribers to the annual volume, and also to the annual Archaeological Report. A donation of $£_{5} 5$ constritutes life membership. Subscriptions may be sent to the Honorary Treasurers-for England, Mr. H. A. Grueber, British Museum; and for America, Mr.E. R. Warren, Pierce Building, Copley Square, Boston.

## PUBLICATIONS OF THE EGYPT EXPLORATION FUND.

## MEMOIRS OF THE FUND.

I. THE STORE CITY OF PITHON AND THE ROUTE OF THE EXODUS. For 18S3-4. By Edouard Naville. Thirteen Plates and Plans. (Fourth and Revised Edition.) 25 s.
II. TANIS, Part I. For $1884-5$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. Eighteen Plates and two Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
III. NaUKRATIS, Part I. For $1885^{-6}$. By W. M. Flinders Petrie. With Chapters by Cecil Smith, Ernest A. Gardner, and Barclay V. Head. Forty-four Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
IV. GOSHEN AND THE SHRINE OF SAFT-EL-HENNEH. For 1886-7. By Edouard Naville. Eleven Plates and Plans. (Second Edition.) 25 s.
V. TANIS, Part II; including TELL DEFENNEH (The Biblical 'Tahpanhes') and Tell nebesheh. For is87-8. By W. M. Flinders Petrie, F. Ll. Griffith, and A. S. Murray. Fifty-one Plates and Plans. 25 s.
VI. NaUkRatis, Part II. For i888-9. By Ernest A. Gardner and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-four Plates and Plans. 25 s.
VII. THE CITY OF ONIAS AND THE MOUND OF THE JEW. The Antiquities of Tell-el-Yahûdîyeh. An Extra Volume. By Edouard Naville and F. Ll. Griffith. Twenty-six Plates and Plans. ${ }^{2} 5$ s.
VIII. BUBASTIS. For 1889-90. By Edouard Naville. Fifty-four Plates and Plans. 25 s.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ He went on to Lemnos afterwards, at any rate according to Homer 11467 sqq ., where he is represented as sending cargoes of Lemnian wine to the Greek army-a most appropriate gift from a descendant of Dionysus. Cf. Anth. Pal. iii. 10. $5^{-6}$ quoted above.

[^1]:    48. 49. Мake $\delta$ [oves.
[^2]:    Nєıкávшן Nıขvá-
    $\rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega}!\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \chi^{\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu .}$
    єídós $\sigma 0 v$ тò $[\sigma] \pi 0 v \delta є o v$
    тò $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha a s ~ к \alpha i ~$
    
    
    $\sigma \epsilon \iota s \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha s T_{!} \theta_{0}-$
    «ข тòv vavtıkòv סúua
    кароívov Xıт用
    
    тьо⿱ т тьßакóv, каi ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho!\alpha$,
    
    
    
    On the recto
     2nd hand $\pi(\alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}) N \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \nu o \rho o s$.
     above ll. 13-4.

